

GOODNESS OF FIT FOR MULTIVARIATE LINEAR REGRESSION MODELS

By

Ahmed H. Haroun
Cairo University

1- Introduction

This paper presents a test statistics for goodness of fit for the multivariate linear regression models. This test statistics has an approximate central F distribution. In section 2, the specification and estimation of the model are presented. Derivation of the appropriate test statistics and its approximate distribution is given in sections 3 and 4, respectively.

2- The Multivariate linear regression Model: Specification and estimation

The multivariate linear regression model is a direct extension of the linear regression model to the case where the dependent variable is an $m \times 1$ random variable y_t . That is

[1] The statistical generating mechanism (GM) takes the form

$$y_t = B'x_t + u_t \quad t \in T \quad (2-1)$$

where $y_t : m \times 1$, $B : k \times m$, $x_t : k \times 1$, and $u_t : m \times 1$

In direct analogy with the $m=1$ the multivariate linear regression model will be derived from first principles based on the joint distribution of the observable random variables involved, $D(Z_t; \Psi)$ where $Z_t = (y_t' \ x_t')'$, $(m+k) \times 1$. Assuming that Z_t is an IID normally distributed vector, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ x_t \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad \text{for all } t \in T \quad (2-2)$$

We can proceed to define

[1] The systematic and non systematic component are:

$$\eta_t = E(y_t | X_t = x_t) = B'x_t, \quad B = \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \quad (2-3)$$

and

$$u_t = y_t - E(y_t | X_t = x_t), \quad t \in T \quad (2-4)$$

Moreover, by construction, u_t and η_t satisfy the following properties :

$$(i) \quad E(u_t) = E\{E(u_t | X_t = x_t)\} = 0, \quad t \in T$$

$$(ii) \quad E(u_t u_s') = E\{E(u_t u_s' | X_t = x_t)\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \Omega & t = s \\ 0 & t \neq s \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \quad E(\eta_t u_t') = E\{E(\eta_t u_t' | X_t = x_t)\}$$

$$= E\{\eta_t E(u_t' | X_t = x_t)\}$$

$$= 0 \quad t \in T$$

$$\text{where } \Omega = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$$

[2] The statistical parameters of interest are $\Theta = (B, \Omega)$

[3] X_t is assumed to be weakly exogenous with respect to Θ .

[4] No a prior information on Θ .

[5] $\text{Rank}(X) = k$, $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)'$: $T \times k$, for $T \geq k$

(II) Probability Model 1:

$$\Phi = D(y_t | X_t; \Theta) = \frac{|\Omega|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} e^{-1/2(y_t - B'x_t)' \Omega^{-1}(y_t - B'x_t)}, \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{mk} \times \mathbb{C}^m, t \in T \quad (2-5)$$

that is

[6] (i) $D(y_t | X_t; \Theta)$ is normal,

(ii) $E(y_t | X_t = x_t) = B'x_t$ linear in x_t ,

(iii) $\text{Cov}(y_t | X_t = x_t) = \Omega$ homoskedastic (free of X_t),

[7] Θ is time invariant.

(III) Sampling model :

[8] $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T)'$ is an independent sample drawn from $D(y_t | X_t; \Theta)$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, and $T \geq m+k$.

The statistical GM (2-1) for the sample period $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ can be written as:

$$Y = XB + U \quad (2-6)$$

where

$$Y_{(T \times m)} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & \dots & y_{1m} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & \dots & y_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{T1} & y_{T2} & \dots & y_{Tm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{(T \times k)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{T1} & x_{T2} & \dots & x_{Tk} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2-7)$$

and

\mathbb{R}^{mk} denotes the mk - dimensional space, and \mathbb{C}^m denotes the space of all real positive definite symmetric matrices of rank m .

$$B_{(k \times m)} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \cdots & \beta_{1m} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} & \cdots & \beta_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{k1} & \beta_{k2} & \cdots & \beta_{km} \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{(T \times m)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1m} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & \cdots & u_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{T1} & u_{T2} & \cdots & u_{Tm} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2-8)$$

It is clear that the system in (2-1) can be viewed as the 1th row of (2-6).

It is usually in the measures of goodness of fit to operate entirely in terms of deviations from sample means, thus eliminating the constant terms. Hence let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{(T \times m)}^* &= (I_T - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell') Y, \quad X_{(T \times (k-1))}^* = (I_T - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell') X^o \\ U_{(T \times m)}^* &= (I_T - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell') U, \quad B^* = (\beta_{ij}) \quad \begin{matrix} i = 2, 3, \dots, k \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{matrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2-9)$$

where $\ell = (1, 1, \dots, 1)'$ and X^o is the sub matrix of X resulting when we delete the first column of the latter. The model (2-6) may now be written as

$$Y_{(T \times m)}^* = X_{(T \times (k-1))}^* B^* + U_{(T \times m)}^* \quad (2-10)$$

From assumptions [6] to [8] we can deduce [see, Muirhead, 1982, p.432] that likelihood function takes the form:

$$L(B^*, \Omega^* | Y^*) = \prod_{i=1}^T D(y_i^* | X_i^*; B^*, \Omega^*)$$

and the log likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} \log L &= -\frac{mT}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{T}{2} \log |\Omega^*| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^T (y_i^* - B^{*'} X_i^*)' \Omega^{*-1} (y_i^* - B^{*'} X_i^*) \\ &= \text{const.} - \frac{1}{2} \{ T \log |\Omega^*| + \text{tr} \Omega^{*-1} (Y^* - X^* B^{*'})' (Y^* - X^* B^{*'}) \} \end{aligned} \quad (2-11)$$

using the differentiation rules

$$\frac{\partial \log |A|}{\partial A} = A^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial \log |A|}{\partial A^{-1}} = A', \quad \frac{\partial \text{tr}(AB)}{\partial A} = B' \quad (2-12)$$

we find

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial B} = (X^{*'} Y^* - X^{*'} X^* B^*) \Omega^{*-1} = 0 \quad (2-13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \Omega^{*-1}} = \frac{T}{2} \Omega^* - \frac{1}{2} (Y^* - X^* B^*)' (Y^* - X^* B^*) = 0 \quad (2-14)$$

These two equations lead to the following maximum likelihood estimators (MLE's):

$$\hat{B}^* = (X^{*'} X^*)^{-1} X^{*'} Y^* \quad (2-15)$$

$$\hat{\Omega}^* = \frac{1}{T} \hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^* \quad (2-16)$$

where

$$\hat{U}^* = Y^* - X^* \hat{B}^* \quad (2-17)$$

is the matrix of residuals in the entire system. For $\hat{\Omega}^*$ to be positive definite we need to assume that $T > m+k$ (see Dykstra 1970). Moreover, the MLE's \hat{B}^* and $\hat{\Omega}^*$, given by (2-15) and (2-16), are independently distributed, \hat{B}^* is $MN(B^*, \Omega^* \otimes (X'X)^{-1})$ and $T - \hat{\Omega}^*$ is Wishart distribution with $T-k+1$ degrees of freedom and scale matrix Ω^* , that is $W(\Omega^*, T-k+1)$ [see Muirhead, 1982, pp 431].

3 - Testing Goodness of Fit for The Multivariate Linear Regression Model

In the general linear model²

$$y^* = X^* \beta^* + u^* \quad (3-1)$$

if we wish to test the null hypothesis that the dependent variable y^* does not depend on $X^* = [x_2^*, x_3^*, \dots, x_k^*]$ (or, alternatively, that the fit does not improve by introducing them as explanatory variables), this can be carried out as a test of the null hypothesis:

$$H_0: \beta^* = 0 \quad (3-2)$$

But under this null hypothesis

$$\frac{R^2}{1-R^2} \times \frac{T-k}{k-1} = \frac{\beta^{*'} X^{*'} X^* \beta^*}{\hat{u}^{*'} \hat{u}^*} \times \frac{T-k}{k-1} = F_{k-1, T-k} \quad (3-3)$$

where $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\hat{u}^{*'} \hat{u}^*}{\hat{y}^{*'} \hat{y}^*}$ is the familiar coefficient of determination, $\hat{\beta}^*$ is the

ML (or OLS) estimator, and \hat{u}^* is the residual vector. Thus, a test of the hypothesis in (3-2) can be based on the central F distribution, which is well tabulated.

As in the case of $\hat{\beta}^*$ in the linear regression model, The MLE \hat{B}^* (2-15) preserves the original orthogonality between the systematic and the non-systematic component. That is, for the estimated systematic and non-systematic components

$$\hat{Y}^* = X^* \hat{B}^* \text{ and } \hat{U}^* = Y^* - \hat{Y}^* = Y^* - X^* \hat{B}^* \quad (3-4)$$

we have

$$Y^{*'} Y^* = \hat{Y}^{*'} \hat{Y}^* + \hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^* \quad (3-5)$$

² This is corresponding to the multivariate linear regression model (2-10). Therefore y^* (of dimension $T \times 1$) and X^* (of dimension $T \times (k-1)$) in (3-1) are in terms of deviations from the sample mean. That is, the constant term in (3-1) is eliminated.

and $\hat{y}' \perp \hat{y}$. This orthogonality can be used to extend R^2 and $1 - R^2$, respectively, to

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(m \times m)} &= I_m - (\hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*) (\hat{Y}^{*'} \hat{Y}^*)^{-1} \\ &= [Y^{*'} Y^* - \hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*] (\hat{Y}^{*'} \hat{Y}^*)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3-6)$$

$$H_{(m \times m)} = I_m - G - (\hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*) (\hat{Y}^{*'} \hat{Y}^*)^{-1} \quad (3-7)$$

The matrix G varies between the identity matrix when $\hat{U}^* = 0$ and zero matrix when $\hat{y}' = \hat{U}^*$. In order to reduce these matrices (G and H) to scalars we can use [see: Hooper (1959), (1962)] the determinants

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= |G| \\ &= \frac{|Y^{*'} Y^* - \hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*|}{|Y^{*'} Y^*|} = \frac{|\hat{B}^{*'} X^{*'} X^* \hat{B}^*|}{|Y^{*'} Y^*|} \end{aligned} \quad (3-8)$$

$$d_2 = |H| = \frac{|\hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*|}{|Y^{*'} Y^*|} \quad (3-9)$$

Now suppose that we wish to test the null hypothesis

$$W_{\alpha}: B' = 0 \quad (3-10)$$

strictly speaking, this a hypothesis on the goodness of fit of the multivariate linear regression model.

In the next section we shall prove that the statistic

$$F_{c_1, c_2} = \left(\frac{d_1}{d_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{c_2}{c_1} \quad (3-11)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constant defined in (4-14) and (4-17), is approximately distributed as central F distribution with c_1 and c_2 degrees of freedom. Therefore, we may test the null hypothesis (3-10) - or the goodness of fit of the multivariate linear regression model - by the following procedure:

- (1) Select a level of significance α
- (2) Determine the critical value F_{α}^* by the condition

$$P\{F_{c_1, c_2} \geq F_{\alpha}^*\} = \alpha$$

where F_{c_1, c_2} has the central F distribution with c_1 and c_2 degrees of freedom

- (3) Compute $\left(\frac{d_1}{d_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{c_2}{c_1}$ and if it is less than or equal to F_{α}^* accept W_{α} in (3-11), otherwise reject it.

4- The Approximate Distribution of the Test Statistic in (3-11)

In deriving the approximate distribution of the test statistic in (3-11) the following two lemmas are required.

Lemma (1): [Generalization of Cochran's theorem]

Let V be a $T \times m$ matrix such that its i th row v_i obeys $v_i' \sim MN(0, \Psi)$. Suppose that we can write

$$V'V = \sum_{i=1}^p V' \Lambda^i V$$

where the Λ^i 's are matrices with $\text{rank}(\Lambda^i) = r_i$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that,

$$V' \Lambda^i V = \sum_{\alpha=r_i+1, \dots, r_{i+1}}^{r_i+1, \dots, r_p} \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}'$$

where the ξ_{α} 's are mutually independent, each with $MN(0, \Psi)$, $\alpha=1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^p r_i$

is that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p r_i = T$$

Moreover, if $r_1 \geq m$, then

$$V' \Lambda^1 V \sim W(\Psi, r_1)$$

Proof: see Anderson [1958, p. 165].

Lemma (2):

If the $m \times m$ matrix A is $W(\Psi, n)$, where $n \geq m$ is an integer, then $|A|/|\Psi|$ has the same distribution as

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{n-i}^2$$

where the χ_{n-i}^2 for $i=0, 1, \dots, m-1$, denote independent chi-square random variables.

Proof: see Muirhead [1982, pp. 100-101].

From (3-8), (3-9), and the test statistic in (3-11) we have

$$\frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{\left| \hat{B}^{*'} X^{*'} X^* \hat{B}^* \right|}{\left| \hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^* \right|} \quad (4-1)$$

we now show that $\hat{B}^{*'} X^{*'} X^* \hat{B}^*$ is distributed independently of $\hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*$. But notice that

$$\hat{U}^* \hat{U}^* = \hat{U}^* (1 - N^*) U^* \quad (4-2)$$

where N^* is the symmetric idempotent matrix

$$N^* = X^* \left(X^{*'} X^* \right)^{-1} X^{*'} \quad (4-3)$$

Furthermore ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}^* (1 - N^*) U^* &= U' \left(1 - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) (1 - N^*) \left(1 - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) U \\ &= U' \left(1 - N^* - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) U \end{aligned} \quad (4-4)$$

This is so because

$$N^* \left(\frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) = 0 \quad (4-5)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} U' U &= U' \left(1 - N^* - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) U + U' N^* U + U' \left(\frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) U \\ &= U' M_1 U + U' M_2 U + U' M_3 U \end{aligned} \quad (4-6)$$

Now it is apparent that M_i , $i=1,2,3$ are symmetric ,mutually orthogonal, idempotent matrices and thus

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{rank}(M_1) &= \text{tr} \left(1 - N^* - \frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) = T - (k-1) - 1 = T - k \\ \text{rank}(M_2) &= \text{tr} M_2 = k - 1 \\ \text{rank}(M_3) &= \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{T} \ell \ell' \right) = 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4-7)$$

But the T rows of U are mutually independent, identically distributed, each with $MN(0, \Omega)$. Thus, by lemma(1), we conclude that $U' M_i U$, $i = 1,2,3$, are mutually independently distributed. Moreover, if

$$T-k \geq m, \quad k-1 \geq m \quad (4-8)$$

then the two matrices $U' M_1 U$ and $U' M_2 U$ are distributed as $W(\Omega, T-k)$ and $W(\Omega, k-1)$ respectively. On the other hand, it is immediately obvious that

$$U' M_1 U = U^{*'} (1 - N^*) U^* = \hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^* \quad (4-9)$$

$$U' M_2 U = U^{*'} N^* U^* = (\hat{B}^* - B^*)' X^{*'} X^* (\hat{B}^* - B^*) \quad (4-10)$$

Hence from (3-8) and (3-9) we see that, under the null hypothesis $H_0: B^* = 0$

$$\frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{|\hat{B}^{*'} X^{*'} X^* \hat{B}^*|}{|\hat{U}^{*'} \hat{U}^*|} = \frac{|U' M_2 U|}{|U' M_1 U|} \quad (4-11)$$

and by lemma(1) and lemma(2) we have

$$\frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{k-1-i}^2}{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{T-k-i}^2} \quad (4-12)$$

although the exact distribution of $\frac{d_1}{d_2}$ cannot be expressed in a convenient form

and be tabulated, we may approximate³ the m th root of the numerator

$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{k-1-i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$, by a chi-square variable whose parameter would be chosen so

as to coincide with the first moment of $\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{k-1-i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$. Thus we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{k-1-i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \sim \chi_{c_1}^2 \quad (4-13)$$

where

$$c_1 = 2 \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-1-i}{2} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-1-i}{2}\right)} \quad (4-14)$$

this constant is chosen so that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = E(\chi_{c_1}^2) &= E\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{k-1-i}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} E(\chi_{k-1-i}^2)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-1-i}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{k-1-i}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x}{2}} x^{\frac{k-1-i}{2} - 1} \frac{1}{m} dx \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[\frac{2^{\frac{1}{m}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-1-i}{2} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-1-i}{2}\right)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4-15)$$

Similarly, we may approximate the m th root of the denominator in (4-12) by

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{T-k-i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \sim \chi_{c_2}^2 \quad (4-16)$$

where

³ For other approximation see Hoel (1937).

$$c_2 = 2 \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{T-k-i}{2} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{T-k-i}{2}\right)} \quad (4-17)$$

But then it is apparent that the m th root of $\frac{d_1}{d_2}$ may be approximated, under the null hypothesis, by a central F distribution with c_1 and c_2 degrees of freedom, for the numerator and the denominator of the fraction are mutually independent. Thus we can write, approximately,

$$\left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{c_2}{c_1} \sim F_{c_1, c_2} \quad (4-18)$$

and we may test H_0 - or the goodness of fit of the multivariate regression model - by the procedure presented at the end of the preceding section.

References

- [1] Anderson, T.W., " An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis ", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958.
- [2] Dykstra, R.L., " Establishing the positive definiteness of the sample covariance matrix ", Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 1970, pp. 2153 - 4.
- [3] Hoel, P.G., " A significance test for component analysis ", Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 1937, pp. 149-158.
- [4] Hooper, J.W., "Simultaneous equations and canonical correlation theory " , Econometrica, 1959, pp. 245-250.
- [5] Hooper, J.W., " Partial trace correlations ", Econometrica, 1962, pp. 324-331.
- [6] Muirhead, Robb J., " Aspect of Multivariate Statistical Theory , " John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1982.