

## ALTERNATIVE APPROXIMATION TO THE EXTREME NORMAL TAIL

### PROBABILITY AND ITS INVERSE

By H.Z. SABRY

King Abdul-Aziz University, Saudi Arabia

#### ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a simple approximation to the normal tail probability and its inverse. Results show that it works well on a larger interval compared with similar methods. Its accuracy is better than that of other schemes especially in approximating smaller values of the normal tail probability and its inverse.

**Keywords:** Approximation; Normal tail probability; Inverse cumulative normal distribution.

#### INTRODUCTION

The normal tail probabilities and its inverse has practical and theoretical importance. There are many sources, such as Hamaker (1978); Lin (1989); Lin (1990) and Revfiem (1990), in which approximating the standard normal tail probability and its inverse has appeared. Let  $Z$  be the standard normal random variable with density and distribution functions  $\phi(z)$  and  $\Phi(z)$  respectively,  $p=Q(z)=1-\Phi(z)$  and  $z=Q^{-1}(p)$ . Hamaker (1978) proposes the following simple approximation to the normal tail probability and its inverse denoted by  $p_1$  and  $z_1$ :

$$p_1 = 1/2 [1 - \{1 - \exp(-y^2)\}^{1/2}], \quad (1)$$

with  $y = 0.806 z(1 - 0.018z)$  and

$$z_1 = 1.238y(1 + 0.0262y), \quad (2)$$

where  $y = [-\ln\{4p(1-p)\}]^{1/2}$ . Another simple approximation to  $p$  and  $z$ , denoted by  $p_2$  and  $z_2$  is given by Lin(1989) where

$$p_2 = 1/a \exp(bz + az^2), \quad (3)$$

with  $a = -0.416$  and  $b = -0.717$ , and

$$z_2 = [-b - \{(b^2 - 4ac)\}^{1/2}] / 2a, \quad (4)$$

with  $c = -\ln(2p)$ . Lin(1990) offers a simpler logistic approximation to  $p$  and  $z$  denoted by  $p_3$  and  $z_3$  where

$$p_3 = (1 + \exp -y)^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

with  $y = 4.2\pi z / (9 + z^2)$ , and

$$z_3 = 9y / (4.2\pi + y), \quad (6)$$

where  $y = \ln(1/p - 1)$ . Revflem(1990) gives an approximation up to  $z=3$  and suggests to use the approximation given by Feller(1968) for  $z>3$ . Revflem's approximation denoted by  $p_4$  and  $z_4$  is given by

$$p_4 = \begin{cases} 1 - 1 / \{1 + \exp(-2y)\}, & z \leq 3 \\ \phi(z) / z, & z > 3 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

with  $y = z \{ (2/\pi)^{1/2} + z^2/20 \}$ , and

$$z_4 = \begin{cases} v = 7.45/v, & z \leq 3 \\ 2\pi \{ (1 - (r^2 - 1) \phi(z/2) - 1) / (r^2 - 1) \}^{1/2}, & z > 3 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

with  $v = [14 \{ (2.11 + s^2)^{1/2} - s \}]^{1/3}$ ,  $s = 0.5 \ln \{ (1 - \Phi) / \Phi \}$ ;

$\phi = \ln \{ r(2\pi)^{1/2} (1 - \Phi) \} = 3/2$  and  $r = 1 - \log_{10} (1 - \Phi)$ .

PROPOSED MODEL AND CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposes the following approximation to  $p$  and  $z$  denoted by  $p_5$  and  $z_5$  where

$$p_5 = 1/2 \exp(bz^d + az^{2d}) \quad (9)$$

Replacing  $p_5$  by  $p$  and solving equation (9) for  $z$  we get the following approximation for  $z$ :

$$z_5 = (x)^{(1/d)} \quad (10)$$

where  $x = [-b - \sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}] / 2a$ , and  $c = -\ln(2p)$ .

An effort was made to fit a form  $bz^d + az^{2d}$  to  $\ln(2p)$  using the minimization of the sum of absolute deviations criterion. The obtained values are;  $a = -0.307$ ;  $b = -0.82$ ; and  $d = 1.074$ . Comparisons are made in Table 1 and Table 2 ( $E-05 = 10^{-5}$ , etc.) to show where the approximations differ. Table 1 shows that the proposed approximations  $p_5$  have negligible absolute relative errors over the interval  $2 \leq z \leq 4$  and absolute relative errors of 2% or less over the rest of the interval  $0 \leq z \leq 7$ . Table 2 shows that the present approximations  $z_5$  have negligible absolute relative errors except for  $z = 0.5$  and  $z = 1$ , in which the relative absolute errors of new approximation  $z_5$  are 4% and 1% respectively. The new approximations can easily be computed using ordinary scientific calculators. The superiority of the proposed approximations  $p_5$  and  $z_5$  on the interval  $2 \leq z \leq 7$  is clear. We can note that  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  have increasing relative absolute errors over the interval  $4 \leq z \leq 7$  (greater than 10% for  $z \geq 4.5$ ). Although approximations  $p_4$  have decreasing relative absolute errors on the interval  $3 \leq z \leq 7$  but its absolute relative errors on this interval are always greater than that of  $p_5$  ( $p_4$  has an absolute relative errors of 10% at  $z = 3$ ). Also the new approximations

TABLE 1 Estimates of  $p=1-\Phi(z)$ 

Exact		Approximations				
z	p	$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$	$p_4$	$p_5$
0.0	.50000000	.50000000	.50000000	.50000000	.50000000	.50000000
0.5	.3085375	.3080176	.3148537	.3151485	.3085700	.3160312
1.0	.1586553	.1588551	.1610328	.1611987	.1587982	.1620019
1.5	.0668072	.0671664	.0668939	.0666741	.0669367	.0676044
2.0	.0227501	.0228689	.0225697	.0225346	.0226881	.0228198
2.5	.0062097	.0062006	.0061849	.0062130	.0060270	.0061927
3.0	.0013499	.0013371	.0013766	.0013621	.0012100	.0013437
3.5	.0002326	.0002310	.0002489	.0002256	.0002493	.0002320
4.0	.0000317	.0000324	.0000365	.0000260	.0000335	.0000317
4.5	.340E-05	.376E-05	.436E-05	.186E-05	.355E-05	.343E-05
5.0	.287E-06	.358E-06	.422E-06	.687E-07	.297E-06	.291E-06
6.0	.987E-09	.207E-08	.212E-08	.346E-11	.101E-08	.100E-08
7.0	.128E-11	.688E-11	.464E-11	.878E-20	.130E-11	.128E-11

NOTE: Entries in the P column are based on Staff of Research and Education Association, Dr. Fogiel, Director (1984).

TABLE 2 Estimates of z

Exact		Approximations				
z	p	$z_1$	$z_2$	$z_3$	$z_4$	$z_5$
0.0	.50000000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.5	.3085375	.4981156	0.517770	0.518702	0.499999	0.521
1.0	.1586553	1.001018	1.009578	1.010900	1.000302	1.013505
1.5	.0668072	1.503993	1.500660	1.498988	1.500572	1.506051
2.0	.0227501	2.004328	1.996654	1.995979	1.998355	2.001280
2.5	.0062097	2.501913	2.498570	2.500192	2.489195	2.499030
3.0	.0013499	2.998658	3.006091	3.002738	2.968205	2.998600
3.5	.0002326	3.497160	3.518538	3.492146	3.516780	3.499273
4.0	.0000317	3.999670	4.035214	3.958463	4.010938	4.000468
4.5	.340E-05	4.507750	4.555478	4.394899	4.506833	4.501723
5.0	.287E-06	5.022353	5.078758	4.797798	5.003715	5.002674
6.0	.987E-09	6.073502	6.132820	5.500232	5.999209	6.002906
7.0	.128E-11	7.155605	7.194528	6.073554	6.995810	6.999794

$p_5$  and  $z_5$  are more simple than  $p_4$  and  $z_4$  (the proposed approximation uses only one simple formula while the other uses two). The present approximation can easily be used for simulating the tail portion of the normal distribution and for generating normal random variates.

A reasonable conclusion is that the new approximations are simple and have reasonable accuracy over a wide range and can be recommended over the interval  $2 \leq z \leq 7$ .

#### References:

- Feller, W. (1968) An introduction to probability theory and its applications (vol. 1), New York: John Wiley.
- Hamaker, H.C. (1978). Approximating the cumulative normal distribution and its inverse. Applied Statistics, 27, 76-77.
- Lin, J.T. (1989). Approximating the normal tail probability and its inverse for use on a pocket calculator. Applied Statistics, 38, 69-70.
- Lin, J.T. (1990). A simpler logistic Approximation to the normal tail probability and its inverse. Applied Statistics, 39, 255-257.
- Revfeim, K.J.A. (1990). More approximations for the cumulative and inverse normal distribution. The American Statistician, 44, 63-63.
- Staff of Research and Education Association, Dr. M. Fogiel director (1984). Handbook of Mathematical, scientific, and Engineering Formulas, Tables, Functions, Graphs, Transformations. Research and Education Association, 61 Ethel Road West Piscataway, New Jersey 08854.