# Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor in Early and Late Neonatal Sepsis

# GHADA A. SHOUSHA, M.D.\*; KHALED S. AWAD, M.D.\*; ABDALLAH E. MOHAMMED, M.D.\*\*; SARA M. ABD ELHAMID, M.Sc.\*\*\* and TAYSEER M. GAD, M.D.\*

The Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University\*, Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University\*\* and Resident of Pediatrics, Ministry of Health, Qena\*\*\*

#### Abstract

*Background:* Neonatal Sepsis (NS) is a major health risk for newborns, particularly those bornpreterm, and is a primary contributor to morbidity. Research has identified Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor (MIF) as a key player in the development of sepsis and other immunerelated diseases.

*Aim of Study:* The current research aimed to quantify MIFconcentrations in neonates with early and late-onset sepsis and correlate its levels with other key clinical and laboratory indicators in comparison to non-septic neonates.

Patients and Methods: Thiscross-sectional research was conducted from March to September 2023 and involved 32 neonates with Early-Onset (EONS, n=16) and Late-Onset (LONS, n=16) neonatal sepsis, confirmed by positive blood cultures, compared to another 32 non-septic neonates (controls), matched for age and gender. All neonates underwent basic laboratory tests, and MIF levels were assessed using ELISA.

*Results:* The mean levels of MIF were significantly higher in both early-onset  $(169.11\pm51.88$ mg/ml) and late-onset  $(150.6\pm57.43$ mg/ml) neonatal sepsis groups, in comparison with the non-septic group  $(41.97\pm17.5$ mg/ml, *p*-value=0.000). The two groups with sepsisexhibited comparable MIF values. A cutoffvalue >66.7mg/ml for MIF levels was determined to discriminate between septic and non-septic neonates with (100% sensitivity; 96.87% specificity; positive predictive value: 97%; negative predictive value: 100%; AUC: 0.997). Furthermore, MIF levels were negatively correlated with absolute lymphocytic count, PH level in early-onset and random blood glucose in late-onset neonatal sepsis groups.

*Conclusion:* MIF could be an excellent and early diagnostic marker for NS even in preterm neonates. Further studies are needed to correlate MIF levels with severity of neonatal sepsis and compare the diagnostic and prognostic utility of Mac-

*Correspondence to:* Dr. Sara M. Abdel Hamed Ali, <u>E-Mail: saraelabnody@gmail.com</u> rophage Migration Inhibitory Factor with other inflammatory biomarkers, like procalcitonin and C-Reactive Protein (CRP).

Key Words: Macrophage migration inhibitory factor – Early-onsetneonatal sepsis – Late-onset neonatal sepsis.

## Introduction

**NEONATAL** sepsis has been described as a systemic inflammatory response syndrome to a suspected or confirmed infection happening within the initial twenty-eight days of life. This condition is often categorized into two groups: Early (during the first three days of life) and late (from the fourth day upto twenty-eight days following delivery) [1]. Newborn sepsis is the leading cause of death among newborns, accounting for thirty-five percent of deaths. The elevated susceptibility to infection in neonates has been related to their restricted ability to mount effective innate immune responses [2].

Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor has been illustrated to activate macrophages and to promote the release of Tumor Necrosis Factor a  $(TNF-\alpha)$ , Interleukins (ILs) 1,8, Nitric Oxide (NO), and Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) through the induction of Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2). In addition, MIFhas been implicated in devolvement of various diseases, such as autoimmune and allergic conditions as well as infections caused by parasites, helminths, bacteria and viruses. In septic adult patients, elevated MIF levels were associated with increased expression of proinflammatory markers, disrupted adrenal and pituitary functions, higher severity scores, and poorer result [2]. Consequently, this research aimed to examine MIF level in neonates with early-onset and late-onset sepsis and to examine the correlations between MIF levels and various vital and laboratory parameters, compared to non-septic neonates.

#### Patients and Methods

*Study type and setting:* A cross-sectional research was used with data collection taking place in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU), Children's Hospital, Ain Shams University, from March 2023 to September 2023.

#### Inclusion criteria:

Full-term (gestational age thirty-seven weeks or more) and preterm (from 32 up to 36 0/6 weeks of gestation) newborns fulfilling the criteria of neonatal sepsis with regard to the report on the expert meeting on pediatric and neonatal sepsis of European Medicines Agency (EMA) [3], as well as non-septic neonates, matched for age and gender.

## Exclusion criteria:

Neonates with severe illness by Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology with Perinatal Extension (SNAPPE IIScore) (>40) [4], hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, inborn errors of metabolism, inborn errors of immunity, congenital anomalies, syndromic features and birth weight  $\leq$ 1500 grams were excluded from the study.

#### Study tools:

- A- Cases and controls were enrolled sequentially after their hospitalization and completed a comprehensive perinatal and family history assessment, clinical examination, and standard newborn care protocols. Monitoring and recording were performed on the clinical condition of each newborn, as well as vital data and the length of their hospital stay.
- B- Gestational age was confirmed by new Ballard score [5].
- C- Birth weight was assessed according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) z-scores [6].
- D- APGAR score at one minute and five minutes [7].
- E- The severity of disease was classified using the SNAPPE II score into three categories: Mild (1-20), moderate (21-40), and severe (>40) [4].
- F- Hematologic Scoring System (HSS) of Rod well for the expectation of neonatal sepsis: A score above two suggests a heightened probability of sepsis, whereas a score of two or fewer indicates a near certainty of the absence of the newborn sepsis [8].

#### Laboratory investigations:

Laboratory analyses were performed on admission and then every 3 days according to NICU protocol of Ain Shams University and including:

A- Complete Blood Count (CBC) was done through urtilizing Cell Dyne-Ruby automated cell counter (Abbott Diagnostics-Santa Clara-Ca-USA) and the manual differential count was done using Leishman's stain.

- B- C-Reactive Protein (CRP) was performed by using Beckman Coulter AU 480-CA-USA for quantitative turbidimetric detection of CRP, Cat No. OSR6147.
- C- Venous Blood Gases (VBG) was analysed by using Radiometer ABL 800 basics, SN: 1902-754R2714N0019 for recording pH, partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO<sub>2</sub>) and bicarbonate level (HCO<sub>3</sub>).
- D- Blood Chemistry including liver and kidney functions tests by using the automated chemistry analyzer pentra e400 (HORIBA ABX SAS, France).
- E- Blood Cultures were collected on admission, or in cases of suspected sepsis, blood samples were collected under complete aseptic conditions to be inoculated on BD BACTECTM Peds PlusTM media, using BD-Bactec FX40, SN: FF6009.
- F- Measurement of serum Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor level: 1mL was withdrawn, centrifuged and serum was separated and kept in the -80°C freezer until the samples have been examined utilizing enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique based on human MIF ELISA kit (Elabscience ELISA kits. Houston, Texas, USA). Catalog No: E-EL-H1530. All steps were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.

#### Ethical consideration:

Written consent was obtained from the parents of all participants following an explanation of the research's objectives and tools. They had the right to withdraw from the research at any time. Permission has been obtained from the Ain Shams University Ethical Committee (FMASU MS 125/2023). All authors contributed, revised the final manuscript, and permitted the publication.

## Sample sizecalculation:

The sample size was determined utilizing the G power program, with a power of eighty percent and an alpha error of five percent, as calculated by *[9]*. The required sample size comprised a minimum of thirty-two newborns in both the non-septic and septic groups, with the septic group further separated into early-onset sepsis (number--sixteen) and late-onset sepsis (number--sixteen).

#### Statistical analysis:

The data were utilized the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS) version 27. Normally distributed numerical variables were reported as mean  $\pm$  SD. Quantitative non-parametric data were determined by median and interquartile ranges.

Qualitative data were described by frequency and percentages. To compare many groups about quantitative and parametric distribution, a One-Way ANOVA test accompanied by post-hoc analysis has been utilized. The Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by post-hoc analysis, has been utilized to compare quantitative and non-parametric variables among the three groups. The comparison of groups with qualitative data has been conducted utilizing the Chi-square test. Spearman correlation coefficients have been utilized to evaluate the association between two quantitative variables within the same group. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis has been utilized for determining the best cutoff point and the diagnostic efficacy of each test. The Area Under the Curve (AUC) has been computed for each plot. A *p*-value less than 0.05 has been deemed as the cutoff value for significance in all analyses.

#### Results

Regarding maternal and neonatal characteristics among the examined groups; both mean gestational age and median birth weight Z scores were comparable between EONS and LONS groups, while both groups had a significantly reduced mean gestational age and birth weight Z scores, compared to non-septic control group (*p*-value=0.000 for all). The septic groups had a higher incidence of preterm birth, compared to the non-septic group. Additionally, both septic groups had longer hospital stays than the non-septic group, with more prolonged hospitalization days in the late-onset group, in comparison with the early-onset group. The frequency of PROM was higher in the septic groups, in comparison with the non-septic group, as illustrated in Table (1).

Table (1): Maternal ar	nd neonatal	characteristics	of the	examined	groups	
------------------------	-------------	-----------------	--------	----------	--------	--

Variables	Control group Number = 32	EONS group Number = 16	LONS group Number = 16	Test value	<i>p</i> -value
GA (weeks): Mean ± SD Range	37.41±1.27 34 - 39	33.63±1.63 32 - 37	34.19±2.07 32 - 38	39.343•	0.000
Birthwight (kg): Median (IQR) Range	0.94 (0.77 – 1.05) 0.34 – 1.38	-0.73 (-0.99 – -0.39) -1.74 – 0.34	) -1.07 (-1.36( -1.74 - 0.34	).73) 47.322#	0.000
<i>Term, n (%):</i> Preterm (<37 weeks) Full term (≥37 weeks)	9 (28.1%) 23 (71.9%)	15 (93.8%) 1 (6.2%)	14 (87.5%) 2 (12.5%)	26.040*	0.000
Sex, n (%): Male DM, n (%) PROM, n (%) Chorioamnionitis, n (%) UTI, n (%)	15 (46.9%) 5 (15.6%) 3 (9.4%) 2 (6.3%) 2 (6.3%)	7 (43.8%) 4 (25.0%) 7 (43.8%) 4 (25.0%) 5 (31.3%)	12 (75.0%) 4 (25.0%) 6 (37.5%) 3 (18.8%) 4 (25.0%)	4.141* 0.869* 8.500* 3.491* 5.599*	0.126 0.648 0.014 0.175 0.061
Mode of delivery, n (%): Cesarean	15 (46.9%)	10 (62.5%)	8 (50.0%)	1.064*	0.588
Apgar at 1 (min): Median (IQR) Range	8 (7–9) 5 – 10	8 (7– 9) 5 – 10	8 (7– 9) 5 – 10	0.198#	0.906
Apgar at 5 (mins): Median (IQR) Range	8 (8 – 9) 7 – 10	9 (8 – 9) 6 – 10	9 (7 – 9) 6 – 10	0.384#	0.825
Days of hospitalization: Median (IQR) Range	6 (5 – 8) 3 – 10	11 (9-13) 5 – 15	10 (9 – 13) 8 – 15	31.946#	0.000
Post hoc analysis: Parameters GA (weeks) Term, n (%) Days of hospitalization Weight (kg) PROM, n (%)	Control vs 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	EONS Contr 0 0 0 0 0 9 9	ol vs LONS 0.000 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.018	EONS vs LONS 0.320 0.548 0.005 0.125 0.365	

*p*-value less than 0.05: Significant.

*p*-value less than 0.01: Highly significant.

\*: Chi-square test.

•: One Way ANOVA test.

#: Kruskal-Wallis test.

GA: Gestestional Age.

UTI: Urinary Tract Infection.

DM: Diabetes Mellitus.

PROM: Premature Rupture of Membrane.

LONS: Late-Onset Neonatal Sepsis.

EONS: Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis. IQR: Interquartile Range. SD: Standard Deviation.

Kg: Kilogram.

In the view of laboratory investigations, Table (2) shows a statistically significant difference, where platelet counts and PH levels were lower in septic groups, compared to the non-septic one (p-value= 0.010, 0.003 respectively), CRP titers were significantly higher among EONS and LONS groups than the control group (p-value=0.000).

Compared to the control group, significantly higher mean MIF levels were observed in both EONS (169.11 $\pm$ 51.88ng/ml) and LONS group (150.6 $\pm$ 57.43ng/ml) with *p*-value=0.000. Notably, an insignificant variance in MIF levels was detected between the EONS and LONS groups, as shown in Table (3).

Variables	Control group Number = 32	EONS group Number = 16	LONS group Number = 16	5 Test 5 value	<i>p</i> -value
Hb (g/l): Mean ± SD Range	$15.71 \pm 2.6$ 11 - 20	$14.19 \pm 3.18 \\ 10 - 19$	$14.59 \pm 3.22$ 10 - 19	1.722•	0.187
$\frac{WBCs (10^{9}/L):}{Mean \pm SD}$ Range	$14.25 \pm 3.75$ 8 - 20	$\begin{array}{c} 12.53 \pm 6.49 \\ 3.5 - 22 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.36 \pm 6.85 \\ 3.1 - 23 \end{array}$	0.566•	0.571
ANC $(10^{9}/L)$ : Mean ± SD Range	$\begin{array}{c} 5.59 \pm 0.85 \\ 4.29 - 6.95 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.36 \pm 1.02 \\ 4.06 - 6.93 \end{array}$	$5.2 \pm 0.83 \\ 4.2 - 6.82$	1.096•	0.341
ALC $(10^{9}/L)$ : Mean ± SD Range	$\begin{array}{c} 2.42 \pm 0.84 \\ 1.03 - 3.89 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.44 \pm 0.96 \\ 1.03 - 3.68 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.47 \pm 0.83 \\ 1.15 - 3.94 \end{array}$	0.019•	0.981
PLTs $(10^{9}/L)$ : Mean ± SD Range	$235.09 \pm 76.8$ 110 - 365	$175.44 \pm 80.95$ 80 - 332	$5    173.25 \pm 74. \\ 90 - 350   $	11 4.957•	0.010
<i>CRP (mg/l):</i> Median (IQR) Range	1(1-4) 0-6	78 (54 – 85) 45 – 96	82 (58 – 96) 45 – 120	109.709	0.000
PH: Mean ± SD Range	$\begin{array}{c} 7.4 \pm 0.04 \\ 7.35 - 7.45 \end{array}$	$7.29 \pm 0.15$ 7 - 7.5	$7.32 \pm 0.15$ 7 - 7.5	6.444•	0.003
PCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg): Mean ± SD Range	$39.69 \pm 3.35$ 35 - 45	$\begin{array}{c} 40.5\pm6.76\\ 30-50\end{array}$	$40.06 \pm 5.74$ 30 - 48	0.143•	0.867
HCO3 (mmol/l): Mean ± SD Range	$23.88 \pm 1.41$ 22 - 26	$23.94 \pm 1.39$ 22 - 26	$\begin{array}{c} 24\pm1.32\\ 22-26\end{array}$	0.045•	0.956
Blood Cultures	0 (0.0%)	16 (100.0%)	16 (100.0%)	64.000*	0.000
Causative Organisms: Klepseilla pneumonae Staphylococcus aureus		13 (81.25%) 3 (18.75%)	15 (93.75%) 1 (6.25%)	1.143*	0.285
Post hoc analysis					
Parameters PLTs (10 /L) CRP PH	Control vs 0.01 0.00 0.00	EONS ( 4 0 1	Control vs LONS 0.011 0.000 0.025	EONS vs LONS 0.936 0.073 0.361	

Table (2): Laboratory parameters of the studied groups on admission.

Hb : Hemoglobin.

ANC : Absolute Neutrophil Count. WBCs : White Blood Cells.

ALC : Absolute Lymphocytic count.

CRP : C-Reactive Protein.

PLTs : Platelets.

PCO<sub>2</sub>: Partial Pressure of Carbon Dioxide.

HCO3: Bicarbonate.

LONS: Late-OnsetNeonatal Sepsis.

EONS: Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis.

IQR: Interquartile Range.

SD : Standard Deviation.

	Control group Number = 32	EONS group Number = 16	LONS group Number = 16	Test value	<i>p</i> - value
MIF (ng/ml): Mean ± SD Range	41.97±17.5 11 - 82.2	169.11±51.88 81.3 – 247.8	150.6±57.43 70.5 – 264.6	69.113 <b>•</b>	0.000
		Post	hoc analysis		
Parameters MIF (ng/ml)	Control vs 0.000	EONS Co	ntrol vs LONS 0.000	EONS v 0.1	rs LONS 99

Table (3): MIF levels in (ng/ml) among the studied groups.

•: One Way ANOVA test

MIF : Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor.

LONS: Late-Onset Neonatal Sepsis.

EONS: Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis.

SD : Standard Deviation.

As illustrated in Fig. (1), MIF demonstrates the ability to distinguish between septic and non-septic neonates, with a cutoff value >66.7 (ng/ml) yield-ing 100% sensitivity, 96.9% specificity and an AUC 0.997 using ROC curve.



Fig. (1): Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) for MIF (ng/ml) level to differentiate between septic and non-septic neonates.

Both Tables (4,5) show a significant negative correlation between absolute lymphocytic count, PH level and MIF level in the EONS group (*p*-value=0.039, 0.031 correspondingly). While neonates withLONS illustrated a notable inverse correlation among MIF level and random blood glucose with *p*-value=0.015.

Table (4): Correlat	ion between MI	F level (ng/m	l) and the	others
studied	parameters in E	ONSgroups.		

EONS group	MIF (ng/ml)		
EONS group	r	<i>p</i> -value	
GA (weeks)	-0.003	0.991	
Apgar at 1 (min)	-0.11	0.686	
Apgar at 5 (mins)	-0.052	0.848	
Birth Weight (kg)	-0.18	0.504	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	0.155	0.566	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	0.043	0.875	
Pulse (beat/min)	-0.349	0.186	
Respiratory rate (rate/min)	-0.043	0.874	
Random blood glucose (mg/dl)	-0.131	0.629	
Urine output (ml/kg/hr)	-0.216	0.421	
Hb (g/l)	0.43	0.096	
WBCs	-0.029	0.914	
ANC $(10^{9}/L)$	0.065	0.812	
ALC $(10^{9}/L)$	521*	0.039	
PLTs $(10^9/L)$	-0.018	0.948	
РН	541*	0.031	
PCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	0.126	0.643	
HCO <sub>3</sub> (mmol/l)	0.307	0.248	
Days of hospitalization	-0.108	0.691	

GA : Gestational Age.

Hb : Hemoglobin.

ANC : Absolute Neutrophil Count.

WBCs : White Blood Cells.

ALC : Absolute Lymphocytic Count.

PLTs : Platelets.

CRP : C-Reactive Protein.

BP : Blood Pressure.

PCO2 : Partial Pressure of Carbon Dioxide.

HCO3 : Bicarbonate.

EONS : Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis.

EONS group	MIF (ng/ml)		
EOINS group	r	<i>p</i> -value	
GA (weeks)	-0.233	0.386	
Apgar at 1 (min)	0.072	0.792	
Apgar at 5 (mins)	0.142	0.601	
Birth Weight (kg)	-0.142	0.6	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	0.343	0.194	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	-0.328	0.215	
Pulse (beat/min)	0.221	0.411	
Respiratory rate (rate/min)	-0.105	0.698	
Random blood glucose (mg/dl)	596*	0.015	
Urine output (ml/kg/hr)	0.262	0.328	
Hb (g/l)	0.164	0.543	
WBCs	0.037	0.892	
ANC $(10^{9}/L)$	0.021	0.94	
ALC $(10^9/L)$	-0.091	0.737	
PLTs $(10^9/L)$	-0.087	0.747	
PH	0.145	0.592	
PCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	0.467	0.068	
HCO <sub>3</sub> (mmol/l)	0.201	0.455	
Days of hospitalization	0.294	0.269	

Table (5): Correlation between MIF (ng/ml) and the others studied parameters in LONS group.

GA : Gestational Age.

Hb : Hemoglobin.

ANC : Absolute Neutrophil Count.

WBCs : White Blood Cells.

ALC : Absolute Lymphocytic Count.

PLTs : Platelets.

BP : Blood Pressure.

PCO2 : Partial Pressure of Carbon Dioxide.

HCO3 : Bicarbonate. LONS : Late-Onset Neonatal Sepsis.

Kg : Kilogram.

#### Discussion

Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor is a proinflammatory cytokine that has a crucial role in regulating immune responses. Despite multiple research studies on MIF's role in neonatal health, its clinical implications are not yet fully understood [10]. The current study aimed to assess MIF levels in newborns late with and early-onset sepsis and correlate its levels with other vital and laboratory parameters in comparison to non-septic neonates.

The results illustrated a significant reduction in mean platelet counts among septic cases in comparison with controls. Microbial products can trigger platelets clumping and activation, resulting in increased platelets clearance and destructions [11].

C-Reactive Protein is an acute-phase reactant that elevates throughout sepsis. The delayed synthesis throughout the inflammatory response contributes to its reduced sensitivity in the early stages of the illness [12]. In the current study, positive blood cultures were instrumental in confirming the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis, reaffirming their status as the gold standard diagnostic tool, similar to the findings reported by [13].

The outcomes of current research indicated that MIF concentration was a significantly higher among neonates with EONS and LONS than non-septic ones (*p*-value=0.000). The study observed similar MIF levels in neonates with EONS and LONS, supporting the previous findings by Chen, et al. [14] that endorse the critical role of MIF levels in immune regulation during neonatal sepsis.

Also, the exact mechanisms by which MIF modulates neonatal immune responses to sepsis require further elucidation. During sepsis, MIF levels are elevated and were found to correlate with increased loads of proinflammatory cytokines, dysregulated adrenal and pituitary functions, severity scores of sepsis and prognosis [15].

The MIF cutoff level for distinguishing between septic and non-septic neonates was >66.7ng/ml with 100 % sensitivity, 96.87% specificity and an AUC 0.997. MIF level served as a reliable discriminator between septic and non-septic neonates, consistent with the findings reported by Toldi, et al. [16].

The current research demonstrates that in the LONS group, a significant negative association was observed between MIF and random blood glucose. MIF negatively impact insulin action by triggering on inflammatory cascade and disrupting the responsiveness of target cells to insulin [17].

*In conclusion:* Being comparable in EONS and LONS, and considering the most septic neonates were premature, MIF level could be an excellent and early diagnostic marker for neonatal sepsis. Further research is warranted to directly compare the diagnostic and prognostic utility of MIF with other inflammatory biomarkers, like C-reactive protein and procalcitonin.

## References

- 1- RAFI M.A., MIAH M.M.Z., WADOOD M.A. and HOS-SAIN M.G.: Risk factors and etiology of neonatal sepsis after hospital delivery: A case-control study in a tertiary care hospital of Rajshahi, Bangladesh. PLoS One, 15 (11): e0242275, 2020.
- 2- ROGER T., DING X., CHANSON A.L., RENNER P. and CALANDRA T.: Regulation of constitutive and microbial pathogen-induced human macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) gene expression. Eur. J. Immunol., 37 (12): 3509-21, 2016.
- 3- TUZUN F., OZKAN H., CETINKAYA M., YUCESOY E., KURUM O., CEBECI B., et al.: Is European Medicines

Agency (EMA) sepsis criteria accurate for neonatal sepsis diagnosis or do we need new criteria? PLoS One, 14 (6): e0218002, 2019.

- 4- RICHARDSON D.K., CORCORAN J.D., ESCOBAR G.J. and LEE S.K.: SNAP-II and SNAPPE-II: Simplified newborn illness severity and mortality risk scores. J. Pediatr., 138 (1): 92-100, 2001.
- 5- BALLARD J., KHOURY J., WEDIG K., WANG L., EIL-ERS-WALSMAN B. and LIPP R.: New Ballard Score, expanded to include extremely premature infants. J. Pediatr., 119 (3): 417-23, 1991.
- 6- WEI R., OGDEN C.L., PARSONS V.L., FREEDMAN D.S. and HALES C.M.: A method for calculating BMI z-scores and percentiles above the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the CDC growth charts. Ann. Hum. Biol., 47 (6): 514-21, 2020.
- 7- APGAR V.: A proposal for a new method of evaluation of the newborn infant. Anesth. Analg., 120 (5): 1056-9, 2015.
- 8- RODWELL R.L., LESLIE A.L. and TUDEHOPE D.I.: Early diagnosis of neonatal sepsis using a hematologic scoring system. J. Pediatr., 112 (5): 761-7, 1988.
- ERDFELDER E., FAUL F. and BUCHNER A.: GPOWER: A general power analysis program. Behav Res. Methods Instrum Comput., 28: 1-11, 1996.
- 10- PARK J.S., JUN J.S. and SEO J.H.: Factors associated with macrophage migration inhibitory factor in neonates: A pilot study. PREPRINT (Version 1). Research Square, 2021. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-551197/v1

- 11- GARG A., AGRAWAL C., MOGRA N., KANWAT P. and PATNI A.: Haematological parameters in neonatal sepsis. JMSCR, 3 (10): 8102-8, 2015.
- 12- HOFER N., ZACHARIAS E., MÜLLER W. and RESCH B.: An update on the use of C-reactive protein in early-onset neonatal sepsis: Current insights and new tasks. Neonatology, 102 (1): 25-36, 2012.
- 13- CELIK I.H., HANNA M., CANPOLAT F.E. and MOHAN PAMMI: Diagnosis of neonatal sepsis: The past, present and future. Pediatr Res., 91 (2): 337-50, 2022.
- 14- CHEN S., KUANG M. and QU Y.: Expression of serum cytokines profile in neonatal sepsis. Infect Drug Resist., 15: 3437-45, 2022.
- 15- EMONTS M., SWEEP F.C., GREBENCHTCHIKOV N., GEURTS-MOESPOT A., KNAUP M., CHANSON A.L., et al.: Association between high levels of blood macrophage migration inhibitory factor, inappropriate adrenal response and early death in patients with severe sepsis. Clin. Infect Dis., 44 (10): 1321-8, 2017.
- 16- TOLDI J., NEMETH D. and HEGYI P.: Macrophage migration inhibitory factor as a diagnostic and predictive biomarker in sepsis: Meta-analysis of clinical trials. Sci. Rep., 11 (1): 8051, 2021.
- 17- STOŠIĆ-GRUJIČIĆ S., SAKSIDA T., MILJKOVIĆ Đ. and STOJANOVIĆ I.: MIF and insulin: Lifetime companions from common genesis to common pathogenesis. Cytokine, 125: 154792, 2020.

# عامل تثبيط هجرة الخلايا البلعمية لدى حديثى الولادة المصابين بالإنتان المبكر والمتأخر

يعد الإنتان لدى حديثى الولادة مشكلة صحية كبرى ومسبب أساسى للمراضة وخاصة لدى المبتسرين منهم. أثبتت الأبحاث أن عامل هجرة الخلايا البلعمية يلعب دوراً محورياً فى حدوث الإنتان والأمراض المتعلقة بالمناعة.

هُدفت هذه الدراسة الي قياس نسبة عامل تثبيط هجرة الخلايا البلعمية فى حالات الإنتان المبكر والمتأخر لدى حديثى الولادة مع ربط مستوياته بالعلامات السريرية و الفحوصات المعملية مقارنةً بالأصحاء منهم . كانت هذه الدراسة مقطعية أُجريت من مارس ٢٠٢٣ وحتى سبتمبر ٢٠٢٣ وإشتملت على ٣٢ من حديثى الولادة تم تقسيمهم إلى ١٦ حالة حديثى ولادة مصابة بالإنتان المبكر و١٦ حالة أخرى مصابة بالإنتان المتأخر وتم تأكيد تشخيص الإصابة بالإنتان بتحليل مزرعة الدم مع مقارنةي من حديثى الولادة غير المصابين بالإنتان ومتوافقين بالعمر الرحمى والجنس مع عمل الفحوصات المعملية الأولية وعامل تثبيط هجرة الخلايا البلعمية لجميع المساركين في الدراسة.

أُظهرت الدراسة إرتفاع نسبة عامل تثبيط هجرة الخلايا البلعمية فى جميع حديثى الولادة المصابين بالإنتان المبكر والمتأخر عن أقرانهم الأصحاء بينما كانت النسب متقاربة بدون إختلافات إحصائية فى مجموعتى الإنتان المبكر والمتأخر وكان الحد الفاصل التمييزى لحديثى الولادة المصابين بالإنتان عن غير المصابين بينهم هو أعلى من ٦٦,٧ نانوغرام لكل مل وقد وجُدت علاقة عكسية بين عدد الخلايا الليمفاوية المطلق ودرجة حموضة الدم وعامل تثبيط هجرة الخلايا البلعمية فى مجموعتى عن مين مي ميثر عكسية أخرى بين نفس العامل ونسبة السكر فى الدم فى مجموعة الإنتان المتكر مع وجود علاقة

نستخلص من هذه الدراسة إلى حساسية عامل تثبيط هجرة الخلايا البلعمية كمؤشر تشخيصى ممتاز ومبكر للإنتان لدى حديثى الولادة والمبتسرين منهم مع الحاجة الى إيجاد علاقة بين نسبة هذا العامل مع درجة خطورة الإنتان ومقارنته أيضا مع عوامل تشخيص الإنتان الشائعة الأخرى كبروتين سى التفاعلى والبروكالسيتونين فى دراسات مستقبيلية.