

## ***Probability Permutation Models***

***Fahad S. Altukife\****

*Institute of Public Administration, P. O. Box 205, Riyadh 11141, Saudi Arabia  
King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center / Biostatistics, Epidemiology & Scientific  
Computing Department, P. O. Box 3354, Riyadh 11211, Saudi Arabia*

### ***Abstract***

*The permutation of a set of independent negative binomial random variables, not identically distributed, will be used for ranking players from the best to the worst. A problem of ranking  $k$  players is considered when they score points independently as Bernoulli random variables such that the probability of scoring points by all players are not all the same. Since the players are ranked according to how many trails needed for each player to score the desire number of points, negative binomial distribution function is used to build the model of getting the probability of the permutation of the best order.*

### **1. Introduction**

In applied probability, the probability of such a permutation arises in rank order statistics when considering a point-scoring competition among  $k$  players. It is desirable to calculate the probability of the correct order of scoring specific number of points, say  $r$ , under some specifications. The correct order is that the best player is the winner and ranked first, the second best player is ranked second and so on till the worst player who takes the last position. The consideration of ending the game might be involved the time or the number of trails required for each player to score  $r$  points. The methodology, used to rank players, plays an important role to which distribution function is used in constructing a probability permutation model. Some researchers discussed the problem of calculating the probability of a permutation in different ways. Bradley and Terry (1952), Thurstone 1927, and Mosteller 1951 considered a model of scoring points in

\* Assistance professor at the Institute of Public Administration, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.  
Adjunct scientist at King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

which only two out of  $k$  players can play at one time and ranking them at the end of  $k(k-1)/2$  paired comparison games.

To simplify the problem, let us introduce some notations that will be used throughout. Define  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k)$  to be the correct order and  $P(\pi)$  to be the probability of the permutation  $\pi$  of  $k$  players where  $\pi_i$  represents the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ranked player. Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  are set of independent random variables and let the probability of  $\pi$  be  $P(\pi) = P(X_{\pi_1} < X_{\pi_2} < \dots < X_{\pi_k})$ .

This paper deals with negative binomial random variables and its application to ranking players in a game. The negative binomial distribution function is given by

$$f(r, N) = \binom{N-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{N-r}; \quad \text{for } N = r, r+1, \dots$$

It is not interesting to discuss the case where the random variables are identically distributed due to the equivalences of all permutations.

## 2. GAMMA RANKING MODEL

Stern (1990) proposed the distributions of permutations using the gamma models. Suppose that  $k$  players play in a competition, where each player has to score  $r$  points to finish. Let  $X_i$  stands for the time required for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player to score  $r$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) points, where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $COV(X_i, X_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Suppose the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player scores points as a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda_i$ . For  $X_i$ , the gamma distribution function with parameters  $(r, \lambda_i)$  often arises as the distribution of the amount of time player  $i$  has to wait until a total of  $r$  points has been scored. The mean and variance of  $X_i$  are  $r \lambda_i^{-1}$  and  $r \lambda_i^{-2}$  respectively where  $r$  is assumed to be fixed and known. Note that all the  $\lambda_i$ 's are not the

same and increasing  $\lambda_i$  will lead to the decreasing of  $X_i$ . Thus, the probability of the permutation  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k)$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\pi) &= P(X_{\pi_1} < X_{\pi_2} < \dots < X_{\pi_k}) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{(\lambda_{\pi_1-1}/\lambda_{\pi_2})z_{\pi_2}} \dots \int_0^{(\lambda_{\pi_1}/\lambda_{\pi_3})z_{\pi_3}} \dots \int_0^{(\lambda_{\pi_1}/\lambda_{\pi_k})z_{\pi_k}} [\Gamma(r)]^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^k (z_{\pi_i}^{r-1} \exp(-z_{\pi_i})) dz_{\pi_1} \dots dz_{\pi_k} \\
 &= f\left(\frac{\lambda_{\pi_1}}{\lambda_{\pi_2}}, \frac{\lambda_{\pi_2}}{\lambda_{\pi_3}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}}{\lambda_{\pi_k}}\right), \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $z_i = \lambda_i x_i$ , for  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ .

The ratio of  $\lambda_i/\lambda_{i+1}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$ , plays an important role for the determination of the probability of any permutation.  $\lambda_i$ 's is selected such that  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ . If  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , the probability of any permutation is  $1/k!$ . Models that associate with assigning parameter to each player being ranked were also considered by Tallis and Danise(1983), Plackett (1975), Mallows (1957), Feigin and Cohen (1978), Schulman (1979), and Fligner and Verducci (1986).

The ranking model using gamma process when  $r=1$  was introduced by Luce (1959). Harville(1973) simplified the model derived by Luce(1959) when applying the conditional probability concept. The ranking model when the number of points gets large is called the Thurstone-Mosteller-Daniels model and was discussed by Daniels (1950) and Stern(1987) for paired comparisons. Stern (1987) noted that  $P(X_i < X_j)$  is the probability of at least  $r$  successes in  $2r-1$  binomial trials with probability of success  $\lambda_i/(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)$ .

### 3. Poisson Ranking Processes

Our interest here is to identify the process of scores by the  $k$  players when considering the counting technique discussed by Henery (1983). The combined process is observed until  $r$  points are scored by any player. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  ranked player scores points as

Poisson with probability  $\lambda_i \setminus (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} \lambda_{\pi_i})$ , ( $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, k$ ). Let

$\ell_i = N_i - N_{i-1}$  ( $i=2, 3, \dots, k$ ) be the number of points required for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ranked player to finish scoring the desired points once the  $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$  ranked player has finished where  $N_i$  stands for the total number of points scored by all players when the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ranked player has finished scoring  $r$  points. For example, a total of  $N_1$  points (for all players) are required for the winner to be ranked first where the points are scored by him/her as Poisson with probability  $\lambda_{\pi_1}$ . The remaining players are then combined together. Then, assume that the total (all players) of  $N_2$  points are required for the second best player to finish next. Points in this combined process are scored by the second best with probability

$\lambda_{\pi_2} / (1 - \lambda_{\pi_1})$  where  $\ell_2$  ( $\ell_2 = N_2 - N_1$ ) is the number of points required for the second ranked player to finish once the first ranked player has finished. Other values of all  $\ell_i$ 's and  $N_i$ 's can be obtained in the same manner. As soon as the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player scores  $r$  points, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Poisson process is ignored. This is repeated until the final player scores  $r$  points. Thus, at the end of this procedure each player scored  $r$  points, so  $N_k$  is always equal to  $kr$ . To simplify the notation, let us consider the permutation

$\pi^* = (1, 2, \dots, k)$  i.e; the first player is the winner, the second is ranked second, till the  $k^{\text{th}}$  player who takes the last position. The probability of the permutation  $\pi^*$  is given by:



$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\pi^*) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^r}{((r-1)!)^k} \sum_{\ell_1=1}^r \frac{(r-1)!}{(r-\ell_1)! \lambda_1^{\ell_1}} \sum_{\ell_2=1}^{2r-1-\ell_1} \frac{(2r-1-\ell_1)!}{(2r-\ell_1-\ell_2)! (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^{\ell_2-1}} \\
 &\times \dots \\
 &\times \sum_{\ell_{k-1}=1}^{(k-1)r-1-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i} \frac{((k-1)r-1-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i)! (kr-1-\sum_{i=2}^k \ell_i)!}{((k-1)r-\sum_{i=2}^k \ell_i)! (\sum_{i=2}^k \lambda_i)^{\ell_2} (\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i)^{kr-\sum_{i=2}^k \ell_i}}, \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Number of different ways that the first  $(r-1)$  points are scored by each player plays an important role in the determination of equation (2). Now, for example, for  $r = 2$  and  $k = 4$ , consider the sequence 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, i.e. the first player scores the first point and then the second player scores the second point and then the first player scores the third point etc. For this sequence,  $N_1=3$ ,  $N_2=6$ ,  $N_3=7$ ,  $N_4=8$ ,  $\ell_2=3$ ,  $\ell_3=1$ , and  $\ell_4=1$ . Thus, the probability of the previous sequence is

$$\frac{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4)^3} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4)^3} \cdot \frac{\lambda_3}{(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)} \cdot \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_4}$$

To find the probability that the first player is ranked first, equation (2) is given by

$$\lambda_1^r \sum_{i_2=0}^{r-1} \dots \sum_{i_k=0}^{r-1} \frac{(r + \sum_{j=2}^k i_j - 1)!}{(r-1)! i_2! i_3! \dots i_k!} \lambda_2^{i_2} \lambda_3^{i_3} \dots \lambda_k^{i_k},$$

where  $i_j$  represents the number of points scored by player  $j$  when the  $r^{\text{th}}$  point is scored by the first player. Note that the probability is counted over all permutations such that player  $j$  is ranked first

Although equation (2) seems to be more complicated, it is the appropriate for the situation where the counting process is of interest.

#### 4. Negative Binomial Ranking Models

While we give models for ranking players based on the time and counting techniques in the previous sections, the idea might be extended to include models concerning the number of trials required for each player to accumulate a fixed number of points to end the game. Suppose that  $k$  players score points according to  $k$  independent Bernoulli random variables. If the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player scores points independently with probability  $P_i$  ( $0 < P_i < 1$ ), then the number of trials until the player's  $r^{\text{th}}$  point is scored has a negative binomial distribution with a mean of  $r/P_i$  and a variance of  $r(1-P_i)/P_i^2$ . The players are then ranked according to how many trials are required to achieve their targets when all players start with no credits. The player who reaches his goal with the fewest number of trials is the winner, the player to reach his goal with the next fewest number of trials among the rest of the  $(k-1)$  players is second and so on.

For now,  $r$  is a parameter defining the number of points required of the game; it is assumed to be fixed and known. Suppose  $X_i$  is the number of trials required for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player to score  $r$  points, where  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ . In terms of the number of trials which all have negative binomial distributions with the same value of  $r$  but different probabilities of scoring points, it is assumed that  $\sum P_i=1$ . The probability of the permutation  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k)$  is given by:

$$P(\pi) = P(X_{\pi_1} < X_{\pi_2} < \dots < X_{\pi_k})$$

$$= \sum_{i_{\pi_k} = r+(k-1)}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{\pi_{k-1}} = r+(k-2)}^{i_{\pi_k}-1} \dots \sum_{i_{\pi_2} = r+1}^{i_{\pi_3}-1} \sum_{i_{\pi_1} = r}^{i_{\pi_2}-1} P(X_{\pi_1} = i_{\pi_1}, X_{\pi_2} = i_{\pi_2}, \dots, X_{\pi_k} = i_{\pi_k})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i_{\pi_k}=r+(k-1)}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{\pi_{k-1}}=r+(k-2)}^{i_{\pi_k}-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{\pi_2}=r+1}^{i_{\pi_{k-1}}-1} \sum_{i_{\pi_1}=r}^{i_{\pi_2}-1} \{ P(X_{\pi_1}=i_{\pi_1}) \cdot P(X_{\pi_2}=i_{\pi_2}) \cdots P(X_{\pi_{k-1}}=i_{\pi_{k-1}}) \cdot P(X_{\pi_k}=i_{\pi_k}) \} \\
&= \sum_{i_{\pi_k}=r+(k-1)}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{\pi_{k-1}}=r+(k-2)}^{i_{\pi_k}-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{\pi_2}=r+1}^{i_{\pi_{k-1}}-1} \sum_{i_{\pi_1}=r}^{i_{\pi_2}-1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \binom{i_{\pi_j}-1}{r-1} \cdot P_{\pi_j}^r \cdot (1-p_{\pi_j})^{i_{\pi_j}-r} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i_{\pi_k}=r+(k-1)}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{\pi_{k-1}}=r+(k-2)}^{i_{\pi_k}-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{\pi_2}=r+1}^{i_{\pi_{k-1}}-1} \sum_{i_{\pi_1}=r}^{i_{\pi_2}-1} \left\{ [(r-1)!]^{-k} \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \frac{(i_{\pi_j}-1)!}{(i_{\pi_j}-r)!} \cdot P_{\pi_j}^r (1-p_{\pi_j})^{i_{\pi_j}-r} \right] \right\}, \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

where it depends on how many trials are needed for the last  $(k-1)$  ranked players to finish. Once the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ranked player finished scoring the  $r^{\text{th}}$  point, the  $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$  ranked player needs at least  $r+(i+1)$  trials to score his/her  $r^{\text{th}}$  points to finish the game. If  $P_i = P_j$  for all  $i, j$ , then the  $k$  random variables are independent and identically distributed and it is expected that the probability of any permutation is  $1/k!$ . The  $i^{\text{th}}$  player will have low rank in the permutation among the  $k$  players as long as  $P_i$  gets large. Since  $r$  is a number of points, equation (3) is valid for the integer values of  $r$  ( $r \geq 1$ ).

For example, suppose that player  $i$  scores points as Bernoulli trials with probability  $P_i$ , and player  $j$  scores as Bernoulli trials with probability  $P_j$  such that  $P_i + P_j = 1$ . The two Bernoulli trials are assumed to be independent. We say that player  $i$  defeats player  $j$  if player  $i$  scores a point before player  $j$ . The number of trials for the first point to be obtained for player  $i$  is a geometric random variable  $X_i$  with mean  $p_i^{-1}$ . The same model can also be used for the other random variables. The probability that player  $i$  defeats player  $j$  can be computed as the probability that  $X_i$  is less than  $X_j$  and given by

$$P(X_i < X_j) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{\infty} P(X_i < i_j, X_j = i_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i_j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i_i=1}^{i_j-1} P(X_i = i_i) \cdot P(X_j = i_j) \\
&= \sum_{i_j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i_i=1}^{i_j-1} p_i (1-p_i)^{i_i-1} \cdot p_j (1-p_j)^{i_j-1} \\
&= p_i p_j \sum_{i_j=2}^{\infty} (1-p_j)^{i_j-1} \left[ \frac{1 - (1-p_i)^{i_j-1}}{p_i} \right] \\
&= p_i - \left[ \frac{p_i p_j^2}{1 - p_i p_j} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The probability that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player will be ranked first increases whenever  $P_i$  increases. The probability that the  $j^{\text{th}}$  player will win can be obtained, in the same manner, is

$p_j - p_j p_i^2 / (1 - p_i p_j)$ , where as the two players will be tied with the probability of  $p_j p_i / (1 - p_i p_j)$ . If  $P_i = P_j = 0.5$ ,  $P(X_i > X_j) = P(X_i < X_j) = P(X_i = X_j) = 1/3$ .

Suppose that the required number of points for each player to finish the game is large, then the negative binomial random variable, as the sum of large number of independent geometric random variables, tends to be a Gaussian random variables. From the central limit theorem as  $r$  gets large, the standardized negative binomial random variable tends to the standard Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance one.

Let  $X_i$  be the total number of trails required for player  $i$  to score very large number of points  $r$ . The random variable  $X_i$  has a negative binomial distribution with a mean of  $r/p_i$

and a variance of  $r(1-p_i)/p_i^2$ . For large value of  $r$ ,  $\frac{X_i - (r/p_i)}{\sqrt{r(1-p_i)/p_i^2}}$  is a random variable

with at standard normal distribution function.

Thus, the probability that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  player beats the  $j^{\text{th}}$  player when they are trying to score  $r$  ( $r$  is very large) points is:

$$P(X_i < X_j) \cong P\left(\frac{(X_i - X_j) - r(p_i^{-1} - p_j^{-1})}{\sqrt{r[(1-p_i)p_i^{-2} + (1-p_j)p_j^{-2}]}} < -\frac{r(p_i^{-1} - p_j^{-1})}{\sqrt{r[(1-p_i)p_i^{-2} + (1-p_j)p_j^{-2}]}}\right) \\ = \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{r}(p_i^{-1} - p_j^{-1})}{\sqrt{[(1-p_i)p_i^{-2} + (1-p_j)p_j^{-2}]}}\right), \quad (3)$$

where  $\Phi(-a) = P(Z < -a)$  such that  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable.

In other cases where  $k$  players ( $k > 2$ ) are ranked according to the number of trails required to score  $r$  points where  $r$  is very large, the terminology here will be slightly different from comparing just two players. Since  $X_i \sim N(r/p_i, r(1-p_i)/p_i^2)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the probability of the permutation  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k)$  can be computed as follow

$$P(X_{\pi_1} < X_{\pi_2} < \dots < X_{\pi_k}) \\ = \sum_{l_{\pi_k} = r+(k-1)}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{\pi_{k-1}} = r+(k-2)}^{l_{\pi_k}-1} \dots \sum_{l_{\pi_2} = r+1}^{l_{\pi_3}-1} \sum_{l_{\pi_1} = r}^{l_{\pi_2}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{p_i}{\sqrt{2\pi r(1-p_i)}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{\pi_i} - r p_i^{-1}}{\sqrt{r(1-p_i)/p_i^2}}\right)^2\right] \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where, again, it depends on how many trials are needed for the last  $(k-1)$  ranked players to finish. As long the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ranked player finished scoring his/her  $r^{\text{th}}$  point, the  $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$  ranked player needs at least  $r+(i+1)$  trials to score  $r$  points to finish the game.

## 5. Summary

Probability permutation models of ranking  $k$  players are considered in this paper.

Number of trails needed for each player to achieve the target of scoring  $r$  points was the

criterion used to rank the players from the best to the worst. Each trial would result either on a fail or a success, and that would suggest the use of probability distribution function of the discrete random variable. Specifically, negative binomial distribution function was used to propose the model.

From the probability theory point view, the computation of the probability of the proposed model gets more complicated due to the possibility of  $X_i = X_j$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ . This is not the case when considering the gamma ranking model. A conditional probability concept can be applied to simplify the probability of the best order as it is considered by Harville (1973) when considering the gamma ranking model.

## 6. References

- Bradley, R. A., and Terry, M. E. (1952), "Rank Analysis of Incomplete Block Design, I: The Method of Paired Comparisons," *Biometrika*, 39, 324-345.
- Daniels, H. E. (1950), "Rank Correlation and Population Models," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Ser. B*, 12, 171-181
- David, H. A. (1963), *The Method of Paired Comparisons*, London: Charles W. Griffin.
- Feigin, P. D., and Cohen, A. (1978), "On a Model of Concordance between Judges," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Ser. B*, 40, 203-213.
- Fligner, M. A., and Verducci, J. S. (1986), "Distance- Based Ranking models," *Journal of Royal Statistical Society, Ser. B*, 48, 859-869.
- Harville, D. A. (1973), "Assigning Probabilities to the Outcomes of Multi-entry Competitions," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 68, 312-316.
- Henery, R. J. (1983), "Permutation Probabilities for Gamma Random Variables," *Journal of Applied Probability*, 20, 822-834.
- Luce, R. D. (1959), *Individual Choice Behavior*, New York: John Wiley.
- Mallows, C. L. (1957), "Non-null Ranking Models, I," *Biometrika*, 44, 114-130.
- Mosteller, F. (1951). "Remarks on the Methods of Paired Comparisons, I: The least

**Squares Solution Assuming Equal Standard Deviations and Equal Correlations,** *Psychometrika*, 16, 3-9.

**Plackett, R. L. (1975), "The Analysis of Permutation,"** *Applied Statistics*, 24, 193-202.

**Schulman, R. S. (1979), "Ordinal Data: An Alternative Distribution,"** *Psychometrika*, 44, 3-21.

**Stern, H. S. (1987), "Gamma Processes, Paired Comparisons and Ranking,"** Ph.D. dissertation, Stanford University, Dept. of Statistics.

**Stern, H. S. (1990), "Models for Distributions on Permutations,"** *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 85, 558-564.

**Tallis, G. M., and Dansie, B. R. (1983), "An Alternative Approach to the Analysis of Permutation,"** *Applied Statistics*, 32, 110-114.

**Thurstone, L. L. (1927), "A Law of Comparative Judgment,"** *Psychological Review*, 34, 273-286.

**Gibbons, J. D., Olkin, I., and Sobel, M. (1977),** *Selecting and Ordering Populations: A New Statistical Methodology*, New York: John Wiley.