



https://doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2025.356738.3821

Volume 31, Issue 4, April . 2025

Manuscript ID ZUMJ-2501-3821 DOI: 10.21608/zumj.2025.356738.3821

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Relationship Between Acne Vulgaris and Prostate Cancer: A Comprehensive Survey Study

Fadime Eda Gökalp Satıcı¹, Taofic Makaya Diambu², Murat Bozlu³, Ümit Türsen^{4*}, Yasemin Yuyucu Karabulut⁵

¹Department of Pathology, Tarsus State Hospital, Tarsus, Mersin, Turkey.

² Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, Turkey.

³ Department of Urology, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, Turkey.

⁴ Department of Dermatology, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, Turkey.

⁵ Department of Pathology, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, Turkey

*Corresponding author:	ABSTRACT
Ümit Türsen	Background: Prostate cancer and acne vulgaris (AV) are distinct conditions that
Fmail.	share common developmental mechanisms. A potential relationship between them
	has been frequently cited in the literature. In light of this, our study aims to
utursen@mersin.edu.tr	investigate the presence of acne vulgaris during adolescence in patients diagnosed
	with prostate cancer, along with other potentially related parameters, and to explore
Submit Date: 03-02-2025	its correlation with clinicopathological
Accept Date: 02-03-2025	Methods: A retrospective study was done on 432 cases presenting with symptoms
	of prostate cancer at Mersin University Hospital over the past five years. Mini-
	surveys were administered to assess the presence and severity of AV during
	adolescence, along with additional factors such as sleep disorder, physical activities,
	occupational exposures, and androgenetic alopesia. The confected data were
	analyzed by comparing them with various parameters including prostate cancer
	subtypes, permetrial invasion, prostate-specific antigen levels, prognostic grades,
	and Oleason scores. Desults: The analysis revealed no significant relationship between AV and prostate
	carging Howayar sayaral other factors avhibited statistically significant
	correlations with different histologic subtypes of prostate carcinoma. Although the
	relationship between AV and prostate carcinoma remains inconclusive, our results
	highlight the need for further in-denth prospective research
	Conclusions: Despite the absence of a strong link between AV and prostate cancer
	our study identified significant correlations with other investigated parameters. This
	emphasizes the importance of conducting more comprehensive prospective studies
	to clarify the potential relationship between AV yulgaris and prostate cancer risk
	Keywords: Acne vulgaris: Prostate cancer: Mini-survey: Risk factors: Prognostic
	factors

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer and acne vulgaris are two distinct medical conditions that have interested significant attention in the scientific community. Prostate carcinoma is a malignant tumor that develops in the prostate gland, while AV is a chronic inflammatory cutaneous disorder that mainly involves the sebaceous glands of the skin. At first glance, these two conditions may appear unrelated, recent research has suggested a possible link between these two conditions [1-3].

Prostate carcinoma is a World health concern, with an almost 1 400 000 new patients and satici, E., et al 375,000 deaths all around the world in 2020 [4]. The incidence of prostate carcinoma changes mostly across geographic areas, with higher prevalances observed in developped countries compared to developping ones [4]. In Türkiye, prostate carcinoma is the second most common carcinoma among men, with an age-standardized prevalence ratio of 35 per 100,000 men [5].

Acne vulgaris, on the other hand, is a frequent cutaneous condition that affects up to 80% of adolescents and young adults [6].The global prevalence of AV is estimated to be around 9.38%, with significant variations across different populations [7]. In Türkiye, the incidence of AV among adolescents is reported to be around 60%, which is higher than the global average [8, 9].

The relationship between AV and prostate carcinoma is a topic of interest due to the potential shared mechanisms involving dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and 5-alpha reductase (5AR). A published research has shown that the existence of Cutibacterium acnes (C. acnes) in prostate gland is more frequent in males with prostate carcinoma than in control patients, suggesting a potential role in prostate carcinogenesis [1]. The bacteria may start inflammatory reactions, partially by induction of toll-like receptors, and production of proinflammatory mediators including IL-6 and IL-8, which are involved in the etiopathogenesis of AV and prostate carcinoma [2].

In a previous study it is found that, the men with acne had higher sedimentation rates, a marker of systemic inflammation, but this was not an independent determinant of prostate carcinoma risk [2]. However, the study did not establish a direct causal link between AV and prostate carcinoma, and suggested that further analyses is needed to explain the role of C. acnes in prostate carcinoma development.

Subsequently, studies were conducted over time showing the relationship between these two pathological conditions and some claims were made. Such as intracellular C. acne infection in non-cancerous prostate tissues and inflammation caused by the C.acnes can involve to the development of prostate carcinoma [3]. The frequency of C. acnespositive glands and nuclear NF-kB-positive glands and the number of C. acnes-positive stromal macrophages were significantly common in carcinoma tissues than control tissues, suggesting a possible relationship between C. acnes infection and prostate gland carcinogenesis [3].

Our main hypothesis in this report was to search the presence of AV during adolescence in cases with prostate carcinoma, given that they share similar pathogenetic pathways, and to examine whether there is a important relationship between AV and prognostic parameters. In addition to AV, the questionnaire administered to the patients included questions about physical activity, alopecia, sleep patterns, and occupation, and explored the relationship between these parameters and prognostic factors.

METHODS

This retrospective analyses was performed at the Pathology Department of Mersin University Hospital. The hospital serves as a tertiary care hospital and provides healthcare services to a diverse population from the Mersin region and surrounding areas. The study population comprised 819 cases who were diagnosed with prostate carcinoma within the past five years.

Patient data were obtained from the department's electronic medical data system, ensuring a comprehensive representation of individuals with prostate cancer during the specified timeframe. The inclusion criteria for the analyse were a confirmed diagnosis of prostate carcinoma based on histopathological analyses of prostate biopsy or surgical specimens. Patients with a history of other malignancies or incomplete medical records were excluded from the study to supply the and reliability of the data. accuracv Additionally, cases with missing information on key variables of interest, such as acne vulgaris severity, sleep patterns, physical activities. occupational exposures, and alopecia, were excluded from the final analysis to minimize bias.

A comprehensive survey was administered to 413 eligible patients to collect demographic and clinical information, with a primary focus on acne vulgaris history. Before the survey, patients were informed about the study and consent was received from the patients for the use of their information in the study. The survey included questions regarding the during severitv of acne adolescence. categorized as no, moderate, or severe based on the presence of inflammatory lesions requiring medical treatment or resulting in permanent scarring. The presence of AV limited to the facial area, which does not require medical treatment and does not result in scarring, was categorized as moderate; the presence of acne affecting not only the face but also the neck, back, chest and requires medical treatment and leads to scarring was categorized as severe. [10]. For the adolescent period, the age range of 10-19 years has been considered, as defined by the World Health Organization [11]. Additionally, the survey assessed secondary variables such as sleep patterns, physical activities, occupational exposures, and androgenetic alopecia. In addition to the survey, the hospital automation system was also used and the patients' cancer histological subtype, Gleason score (GS), prognostic grade, perineural invasion (PNI), age, survival status, and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels were obtained. Patient data were anonymized and stored securely to ensure confidentiality.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were obtained using STATISTICA 13.0 software. Continuous variables were assessed for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Determination of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Bonferroni tests were employed to evaluate age distribution across diagnostic and prognostic grade groups. Differences in PSA levels among diagnostic groups and AV severity categories were evaluated using the Kruskal Wallis test. relationships between The categorical variables were assessed using the chi-square test and likelihood ratio test. The association between GS and survival, as well as PNI, was evaluated using independent t-tests. Pearson correlation analysis was utilized to evaluate the relationship between age and GS. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

This study was performed in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was received from the Institutional Review Board of Mersin University Hospital (Approval No: 2024/1050). Informed consent was achieved from all patients included in the study.

RESULTS

1. Demographic characteristics

A total of 413 cases were involved in this research. The average age was found to be 67.6. It was informed that 35 patients had passed away.

2. Survey data

The survey assessed AV existence during the adolescence, sleep patterns, physical activities, occupational exposures, and

androgenetic alopecia. Although 413 patients were involved in the study, data were obtained from 411 patients for AV, 385 patients for sleep patterns, 352 patients for physical activity, 406 patients for alopecia, and 412 patients for occupation. Acne vulgaris was reported in 171 cases during adolescence, 150 cases being mild and 21 cases being severe. Sleep disturbance was present in 276 patients. 89 cases had a sedentary lifestyle, while 263 cases had varying degrees of physical activity. Alopecia was not present in the majority of patients (67.48%). When the cases were questioned in terms of occupational exposure, it was determined that 141 cases worked in occupations that were risky in terms of various chemicals (farmer, construction driver, painter, carpenter). worker, The distribution of the data is shown in detail in Table 2.

No significant relationship was found between survey data and histologic subtypes. A significant relationship was found between prognostic grade and alopecia (p=0.028), but not with other survey data. Similarly, a significant relationship was found between Gleason score and alopecia (p=0.028). The detailed data are shown in Table 3 & 4.

3. Clinicopathologic data

PNI showed a significant relationship with histologic subtype, with higher PNI rates observed in certain cancer types (p<0.0001). PNI was observed in all of our 6 (100.00%) cases of neuroendocrine prostate cancer and in all of our 15 (100.00%) cases of mixed type prostate cancer. Furthermore patients with PNI-positive cancers exhibited higher GS (p<0.001). It was observed that increasing age correlating with higher prognostic grade (p<0.0001). Similarly, a weak but positive relationship was found between age and GS (r=0.223, p<0.001). Moreover, the relationship between age and GS varied depending on histologic subtype, with a correlation observed in ductal strong adenocarcinoma subtype cases (r = 0.80, p =0.009). As expected, prognostic grade was positively correlated with both PSA levels and PNI rates (p=0.0106, p<0.0001 respectively). The detailed data are shown in Table 3 & 4.

4. Comparison of acne vulgaris and other data

No statistically significant difference was observed in the AV between the histologic subtype, prognostic grade groups, PNI and GS (p=0.4872, P=0.7080, p=0.850, and **Table 1:** Demographic and clinicopathologic data

p=0.647 respectively). Similarly no statistically significant difference was observed in the AV existence between the survival and PSA levels (p=0.290, and p=0.9973 respectively).

Demographic and clinicopathologic data					
Age (Mean±SD)	67,65±8.49				
Survival (n-%)					
Ex	35 (8,47%)				
In life	378-(91,53%)				
PSA (Mean±SD)	50,23±244,32				
Histologic types (n-%)					
Aciner Adenocarcinoma	381 (92,25%)				
Ductal Adenocarcinoma	9 (2,17%)				
Mixed Adenocarcinoma	15 (3,63%)				
Small Cell Neuroendocrine Carcinoma	2 (0,48%)				
Others Neuroendocrine Neoplasms	6 (1,45%)				
PNI (n-%)					
No	202 (49,02%)				
Yes	210 (50,98%)				
Gleason score (n-%)					
Score 6	138 (33,49%)				
Score 7	102 (24,75%)				
Score 8	46 (11,16%)				
Score 9	83 (20,14%)				
Score 10	43 (10,43%)				
Prognostic grade (n-%)					
Grade 1	140 (34,06%)				
Grade 2	48 (11,67%)				
Grade 3	53 (12,89%)				
Grade 4	45 (10,94%)				
Grade 5	125 (30,41%)				

PNI: Perineural invasion, PSA: Prostate-specific antigen

Table 2: The distrubition of survey data

Survey data					
Items	Groups N (%				
Acne vulgaris	No	240 (58.39%)			
	Moderate	150 (36.49%)			
	Severe	21 (86.31%)			
Sleep pattern	No history of sleep disorders	109 (28.32%)			
	History of sleep disorders	276 (71.68%)			
Physical activity	Sedentary lifestyle	89 (25.28%)			
	Low activity	162 (46.02%)			
	Moderate activity	65 (18.47%)			
	Highly active atlete lifestyle	36 (10.23%)			
Alopecia	No	274 (67.49%)			
	Mild	92 (22.66%)			
	Moderate	39 (9.61%)			
	Severe	1 (0.25%)			
Occupation	Unemployed	166 (40.29%)			

satıcı, E., et al

Survey data				
Items	Groups	N (%)		
	Farmer	76 (18.45%)		
	Construction worker	44 (10.68%)		
	Driver	12 (2.91%)		
	Painter	5 (1.21%)		
	Carpenter	4 (0.97%)		
	Other	105 (25.49%)		

Table 3: Distribution of clinical, histopathological and survey data according to histological types

		Aciner	Ductal	Mixed	Small Cell	Others	P
		Adenocarcin	Adenocarcin	Adenocarcin	Neuroendoc	Neuroendocr	value
		oma	oma	oma	rine	ine	
					Carcinoma	Neoplasms	
Age	Mean±SD	67.42±8.23	66.33±12.57	71.93±8.11	80.50±6.36	69.16±14.40	0.0586
PNI	No	197(51.71%)	4(44.44%)	0(0.00%)	2(100.00%)	0(0.00%)	< 0.000
	Yes	184(48.24%)	5(55.56%)	15(100.00%)	0(0.00%)	6(100.00%)	1
PSA	Median	3.61	10.25	0.58	5.06	1.13	0.6799
	(Min-	(0.007-2563)	(0.007-1205)	(0.007-2050)	(2.280-	(0.01-105.5)	
	Max)				7.850)		
Survival	Ex	32(8.40%)	2(22.22%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	1(16.67%)	0.2866
	in life	349(91.60%)	7(77.78%)	16(100.00%)	2(100.00%)	5(83.33%)	
Acne	No	224(58.79)	4(44.44%)	10(66.67%)	2(100.00%)	2(33.33%)	0.4872
vulgaris		%		5(22,222)	0(0,000())		-
	Moderate	13/(35.96%)	4(44.44%)	5(33.33%)	0(0.00%)	4(66.67%)	-
C1	Severe	20(5.25%)	1(11.11%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0.5660
Sleep	No history	100(26.25%)	2(22.22%)	6(40.00%)	0(0.00%)	1(16.67%)	0.5669
pattern	of sleep						
	History of	291(72,750/)	7(77,780/)	0(60,000%)	2(100,00%)	5(92,220/)	-
	sloop	201(75.75%)	/(//./8%)	9(00.00%)	2(100.00%)	5(85.55%)	
	disorders						
Physical	Sedentary	81(21.54%)	4(44,44%)	2(13.33%)	1(50.00%)	2(33,33%)	0.338
activity	lifestyle	01(21.01/0)		2(10:0070)	1(00:0070)	2(00.0070)	0.000
	Low	197(52.39%)	3(33,33%)	12(80.00%)	1(50.00%)	3(50.00%)	
	activity	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,		
	Moderate	62(16.49%)	2(22.22%)	1(6.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(16.67%)	
	activity						
	Highly	36(9.57%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	
	active						
	atlete						
	lifestyle						
Alopecia	No	256(67.90%)	5(55.56%)	10(71.43%)	2(100.00%)	3(50.00%)	0.557
	Mild	83(22.02%)	4(44.44%)	4(28.57%)	0(0.00%)	1(16.67%)	
	Moderate	37(9.81%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	2(33.33%)	-
	Severe	1(0.27%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0.455
Occupati	Unemploy	150(39.47%)	4(44.44%)	/(46.6/%)	1(50.00%)	4(66.67%)	0.455
on	ed	70(10,400())		4(06 (70))	1(50,000())	1(16(70))	
	Farmer	70(18.42%)	0(00.00%)	4(20.0%)	1(50.00%)	1(10.0/%)	-
	Constructi	39(10.26%)	3(33.33%)	1(0.0/%)	0(00.00%)	1(10.0/%)	
	Driver	12(3 16%)	0(00,0004)	0(00,0004)	0(00,000%)	0(00,00%)	4
	Dilver	5(1,3204)	0(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	-
	Carportor	3(1.32%) 3(0.70%)	$1(11\ 1104)$	0(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	1
	Other	101(26 58%)	1(11.11%) 1(11.11%)	3(20,00%)	0(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	-
	Unici	101(20.30%)	1(11.11%)	3(20.00%)	10(00.00%)	0(00.00%)	

satıcı, E., et al

1547 | Page

PNI: Perineural invasion, PSA: Prostate-specific antigen

Table 4: Distribution of clinical, histopathological and survey data according to prognostic grade

		Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	P value
Age	Mean±	65.52±7.28	65.85±8.24	66.15±8.36	68.53±6.68	70.82±9.36	< 0.0001
8-	SD						
PNI	No	120(86.33%)	31(64.58%)	14(28.89%)	13(28.89%)	22(17.60%)	< 0.0001
	Yes	19(13.67%)	17(35.42%)	39(73.58%)	32(71.11%)	103(82.40%)	
PSA	Median	4.70	0.84	1.51	0.63	3.06	0.0106
	(Min-	(0.007-138.2)	(0.007-2563)	(0.007-	(0.007-134.3)	(0.007-2563)	
	Max)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		657.0)	· · · · ·		
Survival	Ex	6(4.29%)	2(4.17%)	3(5.66%)	4(8.89%)	20(16.00%)	0.102
	in life	139(95.71%)	46(95.83%)	50(94.34%)	41(91.11%)	105(84.00%)	
Acne	No	84(60.00%)	28(58.33%)	32(60.38%)	24(53.33%)	72(57.60%)	0.7080
vulgaris	Modera	50(44.71%)	19(39.58%)	18(33.96%)	20(44.44%)	43(34.40%)	
0	te						
	Severe	6(44.29%)	1(2.08%)	3(5.66%)	1(2.22%)	10(8.00%)	
Sleep	No	38(27.14%)	16(33.33%)	13(24.53%)	10(22.22%)	32(25.60%)	0.7862
pattern	history	~ /	~ /	· · · · ·	× ,	· · · · · ·	
•	of sleep						
	disorde						
	rs						
	History	102(72.86%)	32(66.67%)	40(75.47%)	19(77.78%)	93(74.40%)	
	of sleep						
	disorde						
	rs						
Physical	Sedenta	34(24.46%)	11(23.91%)	11(20.75%)	9(20.45%)	24(19.35%)	0.291
activity	ry						
	Low	19(51.80%)	28(60.87%)	23(43.40%)	26(59.09%)	66(53.23%)	
	activity						
	Modare	22(16.55%)	6(13.04%)	9(16.98%)	4(9.09%)	24(19.35%)	
	te						
	activity	10(7,100/)	1/2 170/)	10/10 070/)	5(11.0(0))	10(0.000)	
	Highly	10(7.19%)	1(2.17%)	10(18.8/%)	5(11.36%)	10(8.06%)	
	active						
	lifectul						
	mestyr						
Alonecia	No	9/(67.63%)	25(53.19%)	30(58,60%)	30(68 18%)	95(77, 24%)	0.028
мореска	Mild	25(17.99%)	$18(38\ 30\%)$	15(28,30%)	12(27,27%)	22(17.89%)	0.020
	Modera	19(13.67%)	4(8 51%)	8(15,09%)	2(455%)	6(4 88%)	
	te	1)(15.0770)	1(0.0170)	0(15:0570)	2(1.3370)	0(1.0070)	
	Severe	1(0.72%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	
Occupatio	Unemp	56(40.29%)	19(39.58%)	19(35.85%)	17(37.78%)	54(43.20%)	0.275
n	loyed						
	Farmer	20(14.39%)	5(10.42%)	9(16.98)	10(22.22)	31(24.80)	
	Constru	18(12.95%)	7(14.58%)	6(11.32%)	6(13.33%)	7(5.60%)	
	ction		、 <i>,</i>				
	worker						
	Driver	6(4.32%)	1(2.08%)	0(0.00%)	1(2.22%)	4(3.20%)	1
	Painter	2(1.44%)	0(0.00%)	2(3.77%)	1(2.22%)	1(0.00%)	1
	Carpent	0(0.00%)	1(2.08%)	2(3.77%)	0(0.00%)	1(0.80%)	1
	er						
	Other	37(26.62%)	15(31.25%)	15(28.30%)	10(22.22%)	28(22.40%)]

PNI: Perineural invasion, PSA: Prostate-specific antigen

DISCUSSION

The association between AV and prostate cancer has been a topic of interest in recent research due to the potential role of inflammation in prostate carcinogenesis. The exact mechanism by which acne may influence prostate carcinoma risk is not fully understood, but it has been suggested that the inflammatory response induced by C. acnes may play a role. C. acnes is a bacterium closely associated with acne and has been found to be associated with prostate gland inflammation and carcinogenesis [12]. The induction of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and IL-8, partly through activation of toll-like receptors (TLRs), is thought to be involved in the etiopathogenesis of AV and prostate cancer.

In our study, AV was reported in 171 cases from 413 patients, 150 cases being mild and 21 cases being severe. Some studies have suggested that AV in late adolescence may increase the risk of prostate carcinoma, while others have reported an inverse association or no association [2, 3, 12, 13]. According to the study by Ugge et al., a diagnosis of AV was associated with a statistically significant increased risk for prostate carcinoma (adjusted HR: 1.43 95%; CI: 1.06-1.92). Some studies claimed that, men who used tetracycline for four or more years, as a marker for severe AV, had a significantly higher risk of prostate carcinoma than men who did not use tetracycline. A recent metaanalysis evaluating the relationship between AV in adolescence and prostate carcinoma risk included 5 case-control reports and 3 cohort reports. The results indicated that AV was not significantly associated with the risk of prostate carcinoma (OR = 1.08, 95% CI 0.93–1.25) [3]. However, the heterogeneity of the existing studies has been highlighted, emphasizing the need for large prospective studies.

Several studies have found that men who work night shifts, which can disrupt circadian rhythms, have a higher risk of developing prostate carcinoma compared to daytime workers [14-16]. Actigraphy studies, which objectively measure sleep characteristics, have found that higher levels of wakefulness after sleep onset, indicating poorer sleep quality, are associated with a greater risk of prostate carcinoma [17]. Disruption of the body's circadian clock, which regulates sleepwake cycles, appears to impair DNA repair mechanisms and promote tumor growth in prostate cancer [18]. Alterations in "clock genes" that control circadian rhythms have also been observed in prostate cancer patients. In our case group, 71.68% of the cases were found to have sleep disturbance. When the occupational distribution of the

cases included in our study was examined, it was noted that individuals working in professions such as farming, construction work, carpentry and driving constituted the dominant group. Particularly striking was the disproportionate number of farmers and construction workers among the surveyed surpassed population. which other professional groups by a considerable margin. In our study, the high prevalence of farmers and construction workers raised questions about whether these work environments provide exposure to various chemicals or pollutants that may cause prostate cancer. When the literature is reviewed in this respect occupational exposure, particularly in farming, has been linked to an increased prevalance of prostate carcinoma. Studies have shown that farmers and agricultural workers face various compunds including organic and inorganic dust, pesticides, fungi, microbes, and more, which contribute to this risk [19-22].

A link between prostate cancer and alopecia has been hypothesized because they share common risk factors such as age and androgens, but the general consensus in the literature is that they are not related [23]. According to some sources, prostate cancer has been associated with various patterns of baldness. [24]. Similarly, whether alopecia seen at a young age can be an indicator for prostate carcinoma is another controversial area in the literature [25-27]. Moreover, in the literature, alopecia and aggressiveness-related parameters such as prognostic grade and Gleason score were found to be unrelated [25, 28]. In our study, approximately one third of the cases (%32.52) had alopecia and alopecia was associated with prognostic grade and Gleason score (p=0.028, p=0.0287 respectively).

One of the survey parameters questioned in our study was physical activity. Although definitive evidence linking regular physical exercise to a reduced risk of prostate carcinoma is lacking, the majority of studies in the literature suggest that regular physical activity may influence the development and progression of prostate carcinoma. According to our results, physical activity levels were not associated with GS and prognostic grade (p=0.852, and p=0.291 respectively)[29].

Considering the limitations of our report, it should be noted that the survey was administered via telephone, and the exclusion of patients whose contact information was unavailable in the automation system resulted in a narrowing of the initially determined patient population. Additionally, asking about the presence of acne vulgaris during adolescence posed challenges for some patients in recalling distant past events. As a result, it was not possible to obtain responses from all 413 patients included in the study.

CONCLUSIONS

While some analyses have reported a positive association, others have identified an inverse relationship or no association at all. Similarly, the relationship between other survey data and prostate cancer remains controversial. Further research is required to confirm these associations and to elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

Availability of Data and Materials: The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study were available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding: This research was supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) under the Grant Number 2209-A 1919B012320555. The authors thank to TUBITAK for their supports. **Conflict of Interest**: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] Davidsson S, Mölling P, Rider JR, Unemo M, Karlsson MG, Carlsson J, *et al.* Frequency and typing of Propionibacterium acnes in prostate tissue obtained from men with and without prostate cancer. Infectious agents and cancer. 2016; 11: 1-10.

[2] Ugge H, Udumyan R, Carlsson J, Andrén O, Montgomery S, Davidsson S, *et al.* Acne in late adolescence and risk of prostate cancer. International Journal of Cancer. 2018; 142: 1580-85.

[3] Zhang X, Lin Y, Xie X, Shen M, Huang G, Yang Y. Is acne in adolescence associated with prostate cancer risk? Evidence from a meta-analysis. PloS one. 2018; 13: e0206249.

[4] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2018; 68: 394-424.

[5] Zorlu F, Divrik RT, Eser S, Yorukoglu K. Prostate cancer incidence in Turkey: an epidemiological study. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention. 2014; 15: 9125-30.

[6] Zaenglein AL, Pathy AL, Schlosser BJ, Alikhan A, Baldwin HE, Berson DS, *et al.* Guidelines of care for the management of acne vulgaris. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2016; 74: 945-73. e933.

[7] Vasam M, Korutla S, Bohara RA. Acne vulgaris: A review of the pathophysiology, treatment, and recent nanotechnology based advances. Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports. 2023; 36: 101578.

[8] Uslu G, Şendur N, Uslu M, Şavk E, Karaman G, Eskin M. Acne: prevalence, perceptions and effects on psychological health among adolescents in Aydin, Turkey. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. 2008; 22: 462-9.

[9] Koku Aksu A, Metintas S, Saracoglu Z, Gurel G, Sabuncu I, Arikan I, *et al.* Acne: prevalence and relationship with dietary habits in Eskisehir, Turkey. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. 2012; 26: 1503-09.

[10] Aksoy UDH, Önder M. Aknede Şiddet Değerlendirmesi. TURKDERM-Turkish Archives of Dermatology and Venereology. 2020; 54: 16-19.

[11] Organization WH. Technical consultation on indicators of adolescent health, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 30 September-1 October 2014: global reference list of health indicators for adolescents (aged 10-19 years). World Health Organization.2016.

[12] Sutcliffe S, Giovannucci E, Isaacs WB, Willett WC, Platz EA. Acne and risk of prostate cancer. International Journal of Cancer. 2007; 121: 2688-92.

[13] Rahman A, Lophatananon A, Brown S, Parker T, Easton D, Kote-Jarai *Z, et al.* Acne and Prostate Cancer Risk. International journal of epidemiology. 2015; 44: i189-i189.

[14] Mancio J, Leal C, Ferreira M, Norton P, Lunet N. Does the association of prostate cancer with night-shift

work differ according to rotating vs. fixed schedule? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Prostate cancer and prostatic diseases. 2018; 21: 337-44.

[15] Gan Y, Li L, Zhang L, Yan S, Gao C, Hu S, *et al.* Association between shift work and risk of prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Carcinogenesis. 2018; 39: 87-97. [16] Du H-B, Bin K-Y, Liu W-H, Yang F-S. Shift work, night work, and the risk of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis based on 9 cohort studies. Medicine. 2017; 96: e8537.

[17] Freeman JR, Saint-Maurice PF, Watts EL, Moore SC, Shams-White MM, Wolff-Hughes DL, *et al.* Actigraphy-derived measures of sleep and risk of prostate cancer in the UK Biobank. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2024; 116: 434-44.

[18] Zhu W-Z, He Q-Y, Feng D-C, Wei Q, Yang L. Circadian rhythm in prostate cancer: time to take notice of the clock. Asian Journal of Andrology. 2023; 25: 184-91.

[19] Krstev S, Knutsson A. Occupational risk factors for prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2019; 24: 91.

[20] Parent M-É, Désy M, Siemiatycki J. Does exposure to agricultural chemicals increase the risk of prostate cancer among farmers? McGill Journal of Medicine: MJM. 2009; 12: 70.

[21] Pedroso TMA, Benvindo-Souza M, de Araújo Nascimento F, Woch J, Dos Reis FG, de Melo e Silva D. Cancer and occupational exposure to pesticides: a bibliometric study of the past 10 years. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2022; : 1-12.

[22] Ragin C, Davis-Reyes B, Tadesse H, Daniels D, Bunker CH, Jackson M, et al. Farming, reported

pesticide use, and prostate cancer. American journal of men's health. 2013; 7: 102-9.

[23] Giles GG, Severi G, Sinclair R, English DR, McCredie MR, Johnson W, *et al.* Androgenetic alopecia and prostate cancer: findings from an Australian case-control study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2002; 11: 549-53.

[24] Amoretti A, Laydner H, Bergfeld W. Androgenetic alopecia and risk of prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2013; 68: 937-43.

[25] Yassa M, Saliou M, De Rycke Y, Hemery C, Henni M, Bachaud J, *et al.* Male pattern baldness and the risk of prostate cancer. Annals of Oncology. 2011; 22: 1824-27.

[26] Cremers RG, Aben KK, Vermeulen SH, Den Heijer M, Van Oort IM, Kiemeney LA. Androgenic alopecia is not useful as an indicator of men at high risk of prostate cancer. European journal of cancer. 2010; 46: 3294-99.

[27] Demark-Wahnefried W, Schildkraut JM, Thompson D, Lesko SM, McIntyre L, Schwingl P, *et al.* Early onset baldness and prostate cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2000; 9: 325-28.

[28] Zhou CK, Pfeiffer RM, Cleary SD, Hoffman HJ, Levine PH, Chu LW, *et al.* Relationship between male pattern baldness and the risk of aggressive prostate cancer: an analysis of the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2015; 33: 419-25.

[29] Shephard RJ. Physical activity and prostate cancer: an updated review. Sports Medicine. 2017; 47: 1055-73.

Citation

satici, E., Diambu, T., bozlu, M., Tursen, U., karabulut, Y. The relationship between acne vulgaris and prostate cancer: A comprehensive survey study. *Zagazig University Medical Journal*, 2025; (1543-1551): -. doi: 10.21608/zumj.2025.356738.3821