ABSTRACT



# Manufacture of Smoked Goat's Yoghurt Fortified with Whole Triticale Flour

# \*<sup>1</sup>Raid, I. El-Metwally, <sup>1</sup>Mohamed, Z. Eid & <sup>2</sup>Sameh, M. Shedeed

<sup>1</sup>Dairy Technology Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology Research, Animal Production Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

#### Original Article

# Article information

Received 23/01/2025 Revised 10/02/2025 Accepted 15/02/2025 Published 20/02/2025 Available online 01/03/2025

**Keywords** goat's milk, yoghurt, liquid smoke, triticale flour, antioxidant activity.

#### 1. Introduction

Yogurt, a fermented milk product produced through lactose fermentation by lactic acid bacteria, has been valued for its health benefits since as early as 6000 BC. It is a rich source of protein, calcium, and essential nutrients, making it particularly beneficial for individuals with lactose intolerance and gastrointestinal disorders (Vahedi et al., 2008 and De Vrese et al., 2015). Goat milk yogurt is distinguished by its unique texture and "goaty" flavor, which is attributed to volatile compounds such as octanoic acid, setting it apart from cow or buffalo milk yogurt (Haenlein, 2004 and Park et al., 2006). The growing consumer demand for natural food additives has increased interest in alternatives such as liquid smoke (LS) and dietary fibers. Liquid smoke, produced through the pyrolysis of wood, serves as a natural preservative and flavoring agent, enhancing the safety and sensory qualities of foods like meats and cheeses (Martin et al., 2010 and

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of adding different levels of liquid smoke (LS) (0.02% and 0.03%) and triticale flour (TF) (1.0% and 2.0%) to goat's milk on the physicochemical, rheological, and sensory properties of yogurt. The results showed a direct relationship between the amount of triticale flour added and the curd tension and syneresis values of the yogurt. The addition of liquid smoke (LS) reduced titratable acidity, to-tal volatile fatty acids, viscosity, water-holding capacity, and the counts of total viable bacteria and lactic acid bacteria. In contrast, it increased pH, total phenolic compounds, and antioxidant activity. On the other hand, the addition of TF to goat's milk significantly increased acidity, total solids, total protein, fat content, total volatile fatty acids, viscosity, water-holding capacity, and lactic acid bacteria, dietary fiber, phenolic content, total antioxidant activity, and carbohydrate concentrations compared to the control. Yogurt treatments containing LS or TF were preferred during the storage period based on sensory evaluation scores. This study demonstrates that liquid smoke and triticale flour effectively enhance the flavor, texture, and radical scavenging activity of goat's milk yogurt, contributing to the development of a functional and healthy yogurt product.

Lingbeck et al., 2014). Its antimicrobial and antioxidant properties, derived from compounds such as aldehydes and phenols, make it a promising addition to dairy products (Huang et al., 2013). Simultaneously, incorporating dietary fibers into dairy products has gained popularity due to their health benefits, including improving gut health and reducing the risks of chronic diseases (Slavin, 2005 and Kim et al., 2016). Triticale, a hybrid grain derived from rye and wheat, is an excellent source of dietary fiber and phenolic compounds, contributing to its notable antioxidant capacity (Hosseinian and Mazza, 2009; Agil et al., 2016). This study aimed to assess the impact of adding varying concentrations of LS (0.02% and 0.03%) and triticale flour (TF) (1.0% and 2.0%) to goat's milk on the physicochemical and sensoryproperties of yogurt during storage.

\*Corresponding Author Email: raidmetwally@gmail.com Understanding these effects could support the development of functional, natural yogurt products with enhanced health benefits.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

Fresh Goat Milk: Fresh goat milk, containing 13.38% total solids, 3.97% fat, 3.45% protein, 0.80% ash, 0.17% titratable acidity, and a pH of 6.59, was sourced from the El-Serw Animal Production Research Station, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt.

Starter Culture: The yogurt starter culture, comprising *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus*, was obtained from DANISCO, Rue de Clémencières - BP 32, Sassenage, Denmark.

**Triticale flour:** Triticale flour was acquired from the Sakha Experimental Station, Animal Production Research Institute, ARC, Egypt.

Liquid smoke: Liquid smoke was supplied by Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt.

 Table 1. Chemical composition of triticale flour (TF) and liquid smoke (LS)

Composition%	Triticale flour	Composition	Liquid smoke
Total Protein	8.77	Total solid %	4.37
Fat	1.71	pH	2.58
Total Carbohydrates	69.12	Titratable acidity (% acetic acid)	1.67
Fiber	11.29	Total phenol (mg GAE kg <sup>-1</sup> )	6579
Ash	1.90	Total carbonyl (mg kg <sup>-</sup> 1)	7869
moisture	7.41	Potassium sorbate (mg/mL)	0.672

#### **Yogurt manufacture**

Yogurt was prepared according to the method described by Hashim et al. (2009). The yogurt was divided into nine treatment batches as follows:

• Treatment A (Control): Yogurt made from goat's milk.

• Treatment B: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 0.02% Liquid Smoke (LS).

- Treatment C: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 0.03% Liquid Smoke (LS).
- Treatment D: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 1% Triticale Flour (TF).
- Treatment E: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 2% Triticale Flour (TF).

 $\bullet$  Treatment F: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 0.02% LS + 1% TF.

- Treatment G: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 0.02% LS + 2% TF.
- $\bullet$  Treatment H: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 0.03% LS + 1% TF.

 $\bullet$  Treatment I: Yogurt made from goat's milk with 0.03% LS + 2% TF.

The yogurt samples were stored at  $5 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 21 days.

# Physicochemical analysis Coagulation time

Coagulation time, used as an indicator of starter culture stability, was recorded as the time (in seconds) required for each milk sample to begin coagulating (El-Metwally, 2015).

#### **Curd tension**

Curd tension was measured following the method described by Ismail et al. (2015).

#### **Curd syneresis**

Curd syneresis of yogurt was determined at room temperature  $(25-30^{\circ}C)$  using the method described by Mehanna and Mehanna (1989).

# Additional physicochemical analysis

Total solids, Fat, Total protein, Titratable acidity and fiber content of resultant yoghurt were determined according to the method of AOAC (2012). pH values were measured using JENWAY Digital pH meter Model 3310. Total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) were determined according to Kosikowiski (1978). Viscosity of yogurt was determined according to Aryana et al. (2006). Carbohydrates content were calculated according to Abd El-Aziz et al. (2004). Total carbonyl was determined according to Endo et al. (2001). Potassium sorbate was determined according to Sohrabvandi et al. (2015).

The total phenol compounds were determined using Zheng and Wang's (2001) method, with Folin Ciocalteu Reagent (FCR) and gallic acid as a reference solution. The antioxidant activity was evaluated in accordance with Lee et al., (1995).

#### **Microbiological analysis**

The counts of total viable bacteria and lactic acid bacteria were determined according to the methods described by the American Public Health Association (APHA, 2004). Coliform bacteria counts were assessed following the method outlined by Marshall (2004).

#### Sensory properties of yogurt

The sensory evaluation of yogurt was conducted by a panel of ten experts from the Dairy Science Department, El-Serw Animal Production Research Station, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center. Panelists evaluated the samples using a score sheet based on the criteria described by Kebary and Hussein (1999).

#### Statistical analysis

The collected data were statistically analyzed using SAS software (SAS, 2004) employing analysis of variance (ANOVA). When the F-test indicated significance, the least significant difference (LSD) was calculated for mean comparisons following Duncan's method (1955). All values presented in the tables represent the mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) of three independent experiments.

#### **3. Results and Discussion**

# Effect of adding liquid smoke (LS) or triticale flour (TF) to goat's milk on starter activity

Data presented in Table 2 illustrate the development of acidity in goat milk inoculated with a yogurt starter, influenced by the addition of TF and LS over a 180-minute incubation period. A gradual increase in titratable acidity was observed in both the control and all treated samples throughout the incubation period. Significant differences in acidity were noted among all treatments during the incubation. These findings are consistent with those of Agil (2012), who reported that the addition of triticale may enhance lactic acid production and bacterial activity, leading to increased yogurt acidity. Samples containing LS exhibited a lower rate of acidity development during the incubation period compared to the control, aligning with the results of Ismail et al. (2015). The reduced rate of acidity development in LS-treated samples can be attributed to the antimicrobial properties of smoke, as noted by Huang et al. (2011). These properties are due to the presence of carbonyl compounds, phenolic compounds, and acidic substances.

Table 2. Effect of adding liquid smoke and triticale flour to goat's milk on starter activity (clarified as acidity percentage)

Traatmanta			Incu	ubation time (r	nin)		
	0	30	60	90	120	150	180
Control	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.32	0.41	0.48	0.64
0.02 %LS	0.23	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.37	0.42	0.56
0.03 %LS	0.21	0.23	0.26	0.29	0.36	0.41	0.53
1 %TF	0.25	0.29	0.31	0.33	0.43	0.50	0.66
2 %TF	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.35	0.44	0.52	0.67
0.02 %LS+1%TF	0.24	0.26	0.28	0.31	0.39	0.45	0.57
0.02 %LS+2%TF	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.40	0.46	0.58
0.03 %LS+1%TF	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.31	0.37	0.43	0.55
0.03 %LS+2%TF	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.33	0.38	0.44	0.57
0.04 %LS+1%TF	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.28	0.36	0.42	0.52
0.04 %LS+2%TF	0.21	0.25	0.26	0.30	0.37	0.44	0.53
LS= Liquid smoke.	TF= T	riticale flour.					

# Effect of adding triticale flour (TF) or liquid smoke (LS) on coagulation time, curd tension, and curd syneresis of goat's milk

Data presented in Table 3 illustrate the effect of adding TF or LS on the coagulation time, curd tension, and curd syneresis of goat's milk. The results clearly show that the addition of TF significantly influenced the starter's coagulation time. Furthermore, as the concentration of TF increased, curd tension also increased. Curd syneresis, defined as the liquid separation from yogurt, is a critical quality indicator for yogurt. The results revealed that syneresis values decreased as the concentration of TF increased. This reduction in syneresis can likely be attributed to the increased total solids in the yogurt resulting from TF addition. These findings are consistent with the results of Nouri et al. (2011). According to Agil et al. (2012), TF is a rich source of proteins, lipids, phenols, dietary fibers, carbohydrates, starch, and ash, which may contribute to its effect on yogurt quality. The addition of LS also significantly affected the starter's coagulation time, resulting in an extended coagulation process. This elongation may be due to the antimicrobial properties of LS, which can influence starter activity (Ismail et al., 2015). Conversely, the addition of LS decreased the curd tension of goat's milk, likely due to its inhibitory effects on the yogurt culture, which weakened the resulting curd structure (El-Metwally, 2015). Interestingly, LS increased curd syneresis when added to the milk. During the incubation period, syneresis values increased across all treatments, with a slightly higher rate observed in the first 30 minutes (El-Metwally et al., 2021). Additionally, it was noted that when LS was added at higher levels (0.04%), the aroma and taste of the yogurt were negatively affected. The reduction in syneresis observed with higher TF concentrations may be attributed to the fiber's ability to absorb whey released by the gel structure, retaining more water (Garcia-Perez et al., 2005). Common factors contributing to syneresis include prolonged incubation times, an unbalanced whey protein-to-casein ratio, low total solid content, and physical mishandling of the product during distribution and storage (Mwizerwa et al., 2017).

Table 3. Effect of adding liquid smoke and triticale flour on coagulation time, curd tension and curd syneresis of goat's milk.

	Starter	<b>C</b> 1/ · · ·	C	urd syneresis (g	gm/15 gm of cui	rd)*
Treatments	Coagulation	Curd tension		Time	e (min)	
	time (hrs)	(g)	10	30	60	120
Control	3.10 <sup>a</sup>	30.21 <sup>d</sup>	3.322 <sup>e</sup>	3.989 <sup>e</sup>	$5.878^{a}$	6.589 <sup>e</sup>
0.02 %LS	3.15 <sup>a</sup>	30.14 <sup>d</sup>	3.341 <sup>d</sup>	3.996 <sup>d</sup>	5.885 <sup>a</sup>	6.599 <sup>d</sup>
0.03 %LS	$3.20^{a}$	30.07 <sup>d</sup>	3.349 <sup>c</sup>	4.011 <sup>c</sup>	5.914 <sup>a</sup>	6.622 <sup>c</sup>
1 %TF	3.00 <sup>b</sup>	32.14 <sup>abc</sup>	$2.984^{h}$	3.812 <sup>h</sup>	5.533 <sup>b</sup>	6.016 <sup>h</sup>
2 %TF	3.00 <sup>b</sup>	32.61 <sup>a</sup>	2.975 <sup>i</sup>	3.801 <sup>i</sup>	5.511 <sup>b</sup>	5.987 <sup>j</sup>
0.02 %LS+1%TF	3.05 <sup>a</sup>	32.09 <sup>abc</sup>	3.358 <sup>b</sup>	4.211 <sup>b</sup>	5.927 <sup>a</sup>	6.643 <sup>b</sup>
0.02 %LS+2%TF	3.05 <sup>a</sup>	32.51 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.993^{\mathrm{fg}}$	$3.817^{h}$	5.542 <sup>b</sup>	6.026 <sup>g</sup>
0.03 %LS+1%TF	3.10 <sup>a</sup>	32.02 <sup>bc</sup>	3.363 <sup>b</sup>	4.217 <sup>ab</sup>	5.936 <sup>a</sup>	6.652 <sup>ab</sup>
0.03 %LS+2%TF	3.10 <sup>a</sup>	32.44 <sup>abc</sup>	2.989 <sup>gh</sup>	3.824 <sup>g</sup>	5.533 <sup>b</sup>	5.998 <sup>i</sup>
0.04 %LS+1%TF	3.25 <sup>c</sup>	31.97°	3.371 <sup>a</sup>	4.223 <sup>a</sup>	5.942 <sup>a</sup>	6.660 <sup>a</sup>
0.04 %LS+2%TF	3.25 <sup>c</sup>	32.29 <sup>abc</sup>	$2.997^{\mathrm{f}}$	$3.838^{\mathrm{f}}$	5.546 <sup>b</sup>	6.103 <sup>f</sup>
LSD	0.393***	0.529***	0.006***	0.006***	0.099***	0.009***

Significant different at  $p > .(0.001^{***}, 0.01^{**}, 0.05^{*})$  For each effect the different letters in the means the multiple comparisons are different from each. Letter a is the highest means followed by b, c .....etc

\*Whey excluded (grams) from 15 gm of curd kept at room temperature after 10, 30, 60 and 120min.

= Triticale flour LS= Liquid smoke.

#### **Physicocheimcal of yoghurt**

The pH and acidity of the experimental yogurt treatments and the control showed significant differences (p < 0.05), as shown in Table 4. Yogurt made from milk containing LS exhibited significantly lower acidity (p < 0.05) and higher pH values (p < 0.05) compared to the control. In fresh samples, the acidity values were 0.81%, 0.75%, 0.72%, 0.84%, and 0.85% for the control, B, C, D, and E treatments, respectively. This reduction in acidity in LS-treated samples can be attributed to the antibacterial properties of liquid smoke, which is effective against spoilage and pathogenic bacteria (Holley and Patel, 2005). The antibacterial action of LS is primarily due to compounds such as phenols, carbonyls, and organic acids (Vitt et al., 2001). In contrast, adding TF to milk significantly increased (p < p0.05) the acidity and lowered the pH values. The increased acidity in yogurt containing TF may result from the enhanced bacterial activity, leading to higher lactic acid production (Agil, 2012). Grains, such as triticale, provide essential nutrients for lactic acid biosynthesis. Nikoofar et al. (2013) similarly observed higher acidity in yogurt containing grains compared to the control. As reported by Attia et al. (2023), titratable acidity increases consistently during storage, while pH decreases in all yogurt treatments. Vasiljevic et al. (2007) also found that the addition of oat  $\beta$ -glucan to yogurt promotes the synthesis of lactic and propionic acids. For formulating healthy food, triticale bran offers natural prebiotics (Agil et al., 2012). During storage, all yogurt treatments exhibited a significant increase in titratable acidity (p < 0.005), with an inverse relationship between acidity and pH. These results align with those of Ismail et al. (2016) and Khairi et al. (2020).

# Total solids (T.S%) and total protein (T.P%) of yogurt

Table 4 shows the T.S. and T.P. % values in fresh samples and during storage were comparable for the control and LS-treated samples (B and C). However, the addition of TF significantly increased (p<0.05) both T.S. and T.P. % values as the concentration of TF increased. This increase can be at-

tributed to the higher T.S. and T.P. levels present in TF (Fras et al., 2016). In fresh yogurt samples, the T.S. and T.P.% values were 13.77% and 3.63% for the control (A), 13.74% and 3.62% for treatment B, 13.73% and 3.61% for treatment C, 13.94% and 3.68% for treatment D, and 14.02% and 3.69% for treatment E. Throughout the storage period, all treatments exhibited a significant increase (p <0.05) in T.S. and T.P. values, likely due to moisture loss during storage. These findings are consistent with those reported by Farag et al. (2007), Arslan and Bayrakci (2016), and El-Metwally et al. (2021). Triticale, like wheat and other cereals, is a rich source of protein and has a high lysine content, which contributes to the increased T.P. levels observed in TF-containing yogurt (Agil and Hosseinian, 2014).

#### Fat and TVFA values of yogurt

As shown in Table 4, the fat (FAT) and total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) values in the control and treatments with liquid smoke (LS) alone (B and C) differed significantly (p < 0.05) at zero time and throughout the storage period. Treatments containing LS exhibited the lowest TVFA values, which can be attributed to the beneficial effects of smoke components on the activity of starter bacteria (Ismail et al., 2015). Furthermore, the FAT and TVFA values increased significantly (p < 0.05) over the storage period. A similar significant increase (p < 0.05) was observed as the concentration of triticale flour (TF) increased, particularly in treatments D, E, F, G, H, and I. These results align with the findings of Ismail et al. (2015) and El-Metwally et al. (2021). At zero time, the TVFA value for the control was 7.89%. This value increased to 8.15%, 8.18%, 8.08%, and 8.11% for treatments D, E, F, and G, respectively. The addition of triticale bran contributed to these changes, as its polysaccharide extracts are known to exhibit in vitro antioxidant activity, likely due to the presence of bound phenolic compounds (Agil and Hosseinian, 2012).

torage
uring st
ghurt, d
s of yog
l value
cheimca
physico
on some
s milk o
to goat'
e flour
tritical
moke or
liquid sı
adding ]
Effect of
Table 4.

			Acidity %					Hq		
Treatments		Sto	rage Periods (day	(S.			Stor	age Periods (da	ys)	
	0	7	14	21	Mean	0	7	14	21	Mean
Α	$0.81\pm0.01^{bd}$	$0.90{\pm}0.09^{ m bc}$	$1.12\pm0.01^{bb}$	$1.29\pm0.01^{ab}$	$1.030^{b}$	$4.56\pm0.01^{\rm ac}$	4.50±0.1 <sup>bc</sup>	$4.46\pm0.01^{cc}$	$4.30\pm0.02^{cd}$	4.45° <sub>.</sub>
В	$0.75\pm0.01^{dd}$	$0.86{\pm}0.01^{cd}$	$1.06\pm0.01^{\rm bd}$	$1.14\pm0.02^{\rm ad}$	$0.954^{d}$	$4.72 \pm 0.02^{aab}$	$4.56\pm0.01^{abb}$	$4.48\pm0.01^{abc}$	$4.32\pm0.01^{abd}$	$4.52^{ab}$
C	$0.72\pm0.06^{\text{de}}$	$0.81{\pm}0.01^{ m cr}$	$1.03\pm0.01^{\rm br}$	$1.09\pm0.01^{\rm ar}$	$0.921^{\circ}$	$4.78\pm0.01^{aa}$	$4.56\pm0.02^{ab}$	$4.47\pm0.01^{ac}$	$4.36\pm0.01^{ad}$	$4.54^{a}$
D	$0.84{\pm}0.01^{ m abd}$	$0.92{\pm}0.01^{ m abc}$	$1.14{\pm}0.03^{\mathrm{abb}}$	$1.33{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{aab}}$	$1.060^{ab}$	$4.61{\pm}0.01^{ m acd}$	$4.49{\pm}0.01^{ m bcd}$	$4.43\pm0.02^{ccd}$	$4.28{\pm}0.01^{ m cdd}$	4.45 <sup>cd</sup>
н	$0.85{\pm}0.01^{ m ad}$	$0.94{\pm}0.03^{ m ac}$	$1.16{\pm}0.01^{\rm ab}$	$1.35{\pm}0.02^{aa}$	$1.075^{a}$	$4.55 \pm 0.01^{ m ad}$	$4.47{\pm}0.01^{ m bd}$	$4.40\pm0.1^{ m cd}$	$4.23{\pm}0.01^{ m dd}$	$4.41^{d}$
Ц	$0.77{\pm}0.02^{ m cdd}$	$0.87\pm0.02^{ m ccd}$	$1.09\pm0.01^{\mathrm{bcd}}$	$1.16\pm0.01^{\rm acd}$	$0.973^{cd}$	$4.66\pm0.01^{\mathrm{aab}}$	$4.57\pm0.01^{abb}$	$4.36{\pm}0.01^{ m abc}$	$4.41{\pm}0.01^{ m abd}$	$4.5^{\mathrm{ab}}$
Ū	$0.79{\pm}0.01^{ m cd}$	$0.88\pm0.01^{ m cc}$	$1.12 \pm 0.01^{\rm bc}$	$1.19\pm0.01^{\rm ac}$	$0.996^{\circ}$	$4.64{\pm}0.02^{ m abc}$	$4.51\pm0.01^{ m bbc}$	$4.45\pm0.01^{ m bcc}$	$4.37{\pm}0.01^{ m bcd}$	$4.49^{bc}$
Н	$0.75\pm0.01^{ m dd}$	$0.84{\pm}0.01^{ m cd}$	$1.06{\pm}0.01^{\rm bd}$	$1.17\pm0.01^{\mathrm{ad}}$	$0.955^{d}$	$4.71{\pm}0.01^{aa}$	$4.60{\pm}0.1^{ab}$	$4.47\pm0.02^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$4.38{\pm}0.01^{ m ad}$	$4.54^{a}$
Ι	$0.77\pm0.01^{ m cdd}$	$0.86\pm0.01$ <sup>ccd</sup>	$1.10 \pm 0.01^{cd}$	$1.18\pm0.01^{acd}$	$0.978^{cd}$	$4.68\pm0.02^{aab}$	$4.58\pm0.01^{abb}$	$4.47\pm0.01^{ m abc}$	$4.37\pm0.01^{abd}$	$4.52^{\rm ab}$
Mean	$0.788 \pm 0.01^{ m d}$	$0.875\pm0.01^{\circ}$	$1.099{\pm}0.01^{ m b}$	$1.212\pm0.01^{a}$		$4.65^{a}$	$4.53^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.44^{\circ}$	$4.33^{d}$	
			TS%					TP%		
A	$13.77 \pm 0.02^{dd}$	$13.95\pm0.01^{cd}$	$14.16\pm0.01^{bd}$	$14.37\pm0.01^{ad}$	$14.06^{d}$	$3.63\pm0.01^{bd}$	$3.68{\pm}0.02^{bc}$	$3.70\pm0.02^{bb}$	$3.73\pm0.02^{ab}$	$3.68^{\mathrm{b}}$
В	$13.74{\pm}0.02^{\rm dd}$	$13.98\pm0.01^{ m cd}$	$14.14{\pm}0.01^{ m bd}$	$14.35\pm0.02^{\rm ad}$	$14.05^{d}$	$3.62{\pm}0.01^{\rm bd}$	$3.67{\pm}0.1^{ m bc}$	$3.70{\pm}0.01^{bb}$	$3.72{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	$3.68^{\mathrm{b}}$
C	$13.73\pm0.01^{\rm dd}$	$13.96\pm0.01^{cd}$	$14.11{\pm}0.01^{ m bd}$	$14.33 \pm 0.02^{ad}$	$14.03^{d}$	$3.61{\pm}0.01^{\rm bd}$	$3.66{\pm}0.01^{ m bc}$	$3.69{\pm}0.01^{\rm bb}$	$3.72{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	$3.67^{\mathrm{b}}$
D	$13.94{\pm}0.02^{ m bd}$	$14.31{\pm}0.1^{ m bc}$	$14.40{\pm}0.01^{ m bb}$	$14.79{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$14.36^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.68{\pm}0.01^{ m ad}$	$3.74{\pm}0.01^{\rm ac}$	$3.78{\pm}0.01^{\rm ab}$	$3.81{\pm}0.01^{ m aa}$	$3.73^{a}$
Ш	$14.02{\pm}0.01^{ m ad}$	$14.36\pm0.01^{ m ac}$	$14.47{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$14.88 {\pm} 0.01^{ m aa}$	$14.43^{a}$	$3.69{\pm}0.01^{\rm ad}$	$3.75\pm0.01^{\rm ac}$	$3.78{\pm}0.01^{\rm ab}$	$3.80{\pm}0.01^{ m aa}$	$3.74^{a}$
Щ	$13.91{\pm}0.01^{\rm bcd}$	$14.30\pm0.02^{\mathrm{bcc}}$	$14.37 \pm 0.02^{bbc}$	$14.77 \pm 0.02^{abc}$	$14.33^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$3.68{\pm}0.01^{\rm ad}$	$3.74{\pm}0.01^{\rm ac}$	$3.79{\pm}0.02^{ab}$	$3.81{\pm}0.01^{aa}$	$3.73^{a}$
IJ	$14.00\pm0.1^{ m ad}$	$14.34\pm0.01^{\rm ac}$	$14.44{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$14.86\pm0.01^{aa}$	$14.41^{a}$	$3.70{\pm}0.1^{\rm ad}$	$3.75{\pm}0.02^{\rm ac}$	$3.79{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	$3.82{\pm}0.01^{aa}$	$3.74^{a}$
Η	$13.89{\pm}0.01^{ m cd}$	$14.270.02\pm^{cc}$	$14.35\pm0.02^{bc}$	$14.76\pm0.01^{\rm ac}$	$14.31^{\circ}$	$3.69{\pm}0.01^{\rm ad}$	$3.75{\pm}0.02^{\rm ac}$	$3.77{\pm}0.02^{ab}$	$3.82{\pm}0.01^{aa}$	$3.74^{a}$
I	$13.97{\pm}0.01^{\rm bcd}$	$14.24\pm0.02^{\mathrm{bcc}}$	$14.41\pm0.01^{ m bbc}$	$14.73 \pm 0.02^{abc}$	$14.33^{\rm bc}$	$3.71{\pm}0.01^{\rm ad}$	$3.76{\pm}0.02^{\rm ac}$	$3.78{\pm}0.02^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$3.83{\pm}0.1$ <sup>aa</sup>	$3.75^{a}$
Mean	$13.88^{d}$	$14.19^{c}$	14.32 <sup>b</sup>	$14.64^{a}$		$3.66^{d}$	$3.71^{\circ}$	$3.74^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.76^{a}$	
			FAT%					TVFA%		
A	$4.22\pm0.01^{ m ad}$	$4.38{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$4.53\pm0.01^{ab}$	$4.67{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{aa}}$	4.45 <sup>a</sup>	$7.89\pm0.01^{cd}$	$8.65{\pm}0.01^{cc}$	$8.98\pm0.01^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$9.51{\pm}0.01^{ m ac}$	8.75°
В	$4.21{\pm}0.01^{\rm abd}$	$4.37\pm0.02^{ m abc}$	$4.51{\pm}0.01^{\rm abb}$	$4.66\pm0.01^{\mathrm{aab}}$	$4.43^{ab}$	$7.83\pm0.01^{cd}$	$8.60{\pm}0.02^{\circ}$	8.93±0.1 <sup>bc</sup>	$9.45{\pm}0.02^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$8.72^{\circ}$
C	$4.21{\pm}0.01^{ m abcd}$	$4.36{\pm}0.01^{ m abcc}$	$4.51\pm0.01^{abbc}$	$4.65{\pm}0.04^{\mathrm{aabc}}$	$4.42^{\rm abc}$	$7.80{\pm}0.02^{cd}$	$8.57{\pm}0.01^{\circ}$	$8.90{\pm}.01^{ m bc}$	$9.41{\pm}0.02^{ m ac}$	$8.69^{\circ}$
D	$4.18\pm0.01^{\mathrm{abcd}}$	$4.34{\pm}0.01^{ m abcc}$	$4.49\pm0.01^{\mathrm{abbc}}$	$4.64{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{aabc}}$	$4.41^{\rm abc}$	$8.15\pm0.01^{abd}$	$8.82{\pm}0.01^{ m abc}$	$9.29{\pm}0.01^{ m ab}$	$9.74{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$8.97^{\mathrm{ab}}$
Е	$4.17\pm0.02^{abcd}$	$4.34\pm0.02^{ m abcc}$	$4.48\pm0.01^{\mathrm{abbc}}$	$4.63\pm0.02^{\mathrm{aabc}}$	$4.4^{\rm abc}$	$8.18\pm0.02^{\mathrm{ad}}$	$8.91{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$9.36{\pm}0.01^{ m ab}$	$9.81{\pm}0.01^{a}$	$9.03^{a}$
Ч	$4.18{\pm}0.01^{ m abcd}$	$4.34{\pm}0.01^{ m abcc}$	$4.50\pm0.02^{abbc}$	$4.64{\pm}0.02^{\mathrm{aabc}}$	$4.41^{\rm abc}$	$8.08{\pm}0.01^{ m bd}$	$8.76{\pm}0.01^{ m bc}$	$9.20{\pm}0.01^{ m b}$	$9.71{\pm}0.02^{ab}$	$8.9^{b}$
Ū	$4.17 \pm 0.02^{cd}$	$4.33\pm0.02^{cc}$	$4.49{\pm}0.01^{ m bc}$	$4.63\pm0.1^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$4.38^{\circ}$	$8.11{\pm}0.01^{abd}$	$8.78{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{abc}}$	$9.24 \pm .001^{ab}$	$9.73{\pm}0.01^{ab}$	$8.96^{\mathrm{ab}}$
Н	$4.17\pm0.01^{abcd}$	$4.34{\pm}0.01^{ m abcc}$	$4.49{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{abbc}}$	$4.64{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{aabc}}$	4.41 <sup>abc</sup>	$8.05{\pm}0.01^{abd}$	$8.71\pm0.01^{\mathrm{abc}}$	$9.17{\pm}0.02^{ab}$	$9.68{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$8.95^{ab}$
Ι	$4.16{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{bcd}}$	$4.33\pm0.01^{\mathrm{bcc}}$	$4.48{\pm}0.01^{ m bbc}$	$4.62\pm0.01^{\mathrm{abc}}$	$4.39^{bc}$	$8.07\pm0.01^{bd}$	$8.75{\pm}0.01^{ m bc}$	$9.20{\pm}0.01^{ m b}$	$9.69{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$8.94^{\mathrm{b}}$
Mean	$4.18^{d}$	4.34°	4.49 <sup>b</sup>	$4.62^{a}$		8.01 <sup>d</sup>	8.72°	9.16 <sup>b</sup>	$9.64^{a}$	
Significant differ b c etc	ent at p > .(0.001*)	** ,0.01** ,0.05*)	For each effect the	different letters in	the means the m	ultiple comparison	s are different fro	m each. Letter a	is the highest mean	ns followed by
*Treatment A· Y	oohiirt made from	ooat's milk (contro	d) Treatment R. Vo	ohint made from c	onat's milk conts	ined 0.02 % LS	Treatment C: Yoo	rhiirt made from c	roat's milk contain	-4 0 03 % LS
Treatment D: Yc	ghurt made from g	oat's milk containe	ad 1 % TF, Treatme	ant E: Yoghurt mae	de from goat's n	nilk contained 2 %	TF.Treatment F:	Yoghurt made fr	om goat's milk cor	tained 0.02 %
LS+1% TF,Treat	ment G: Yoghurt n	nade from goat's n	ilk contained 0.02	% LS+2 % TF, T1	reatment H: Yog	hurt made from go	at's milk contain	ed 0.03 % LS+1	% TF, Treatment I:	Yoghurt made
from goat's milk	contained 0.03 % I	_S+2 % TF.								

Manufacture of Smoked Goat's Yoghurt Fortified with Whole Triticale Flour

# Changes of viscosity (CP) and water holding capacity of yogurt

The data presented in Table 5 revealed that the viscosity and water holding capacity of yogurt were significantly influenced ( $p \le 0.05$ ) by both LS and TF additions. Sodini et al. (2004) clarified that the main factors affecting yogurt's viscosity include hydrocolloids, protein and fat content, heat treatment, the combination of lactic acid bacteria used, the rate of acidification, and the storage duration. According to Viuda-Martos et al. (2010), fiber can be utilized to enhance several functional qualities, including texture, oil and water holding capacity, emulsification, gel formation, and as a bulking agent in reduced-sugar applications, as well as extending the shelf life of processed foods. In the control, the fresh yogurt's viscosity (CP) and water-holding capacity values were 1355 and 88.25, respectively. For treatments D, E, F, G, H, and I, these values increased to 1516, 96.45; 1533, 99.16; 1512, 96.38; 1526, 99.10; 1508, 96.33; and 1503, 98.88%, respectively. These findings align with those of Lim (2018) and Ahmed et al. (2010), who observed that the organic acids produced by the LAB and  $\beta$ glucan in oat slurry significantly improved the viscosity and water-binding ability of synbiotic yogurt.Hashim et al. (2009) found that adding 0.5% oat  $\beta$ -glucan, inulin, and guar gum improved serum retention and viscoelastic properties in yogurt. Fibers can enhance the texture and reduce syneresis of yogurt by increasing water-holding capacity, stabilizing high-fat yogurt, enhancing viscosity, and forming gels (Balthazar et al., 2016; Dello Staffolo et al., 2017). β-glucan, a form of dietary fiber found in triticale grain, may produce high-viscosity solutions in the human gut, which is beneficial to health. For this reason, it is added to foods (El Khoury et al., 2012).

Table 5. Effect of adding liquid smoke and triticale flour to goat's milk on viscosity (CP) and water holding capacity of yoghurt

	V	viscosity (CP)		Water	holding capacity %	, 0
Treatments*			Storage Perio	ods (days)		
	0	21	Mean	0	21	Mean
А	$1355 \pm 2.0^{f}$	$711 \pm 2.2^{f}$	1033 <sup>f</sup>	$88.25 \pm 0.01^{f}$	$90.11 \pm 0.01^{f}$	89.18 <sup>f</sup>
В	$1350 \pm 2.5^{fg}$	$704{\pm}1.7^{fg}$	$1027^{\mathrm{fg}}$	$88.19{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{fg}}$	$90.05{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{fg}}$	89.12 <sup>fg</sup>
С	1347±2.1 <sup>g</sup>	$700{\pm}2.5^{g}$	1023 <sup>g</sup>	$88.13{\pm}0.01^{g}$	$89.97{\pm}0.01^{g}$	89.04 <sup>g</sup>
D	$1516 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$	$882{\pm}2.0^{\circ}$	1199°	$96.45 \pm 0.01^{d}$	$98.23 \pm 0.01^{d}$	97.34 <sup>d</sup>
Е	$1533 \pm 1.5^{a}$	$896 \pm 2.2^{a}$	1215 <sup>a</sup>	99.16±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	$101.34{\pm}0.01^{a}$	100.25 <sup>a</sup>
F	$1512 \pm 1.0^{cd}$	$878 {\pm} 1.9^{cd}$	1195 <sup>cd</sup>	96.38±0.01 <sup>de</sup>	$98.17 \pm 0.01^{de}$	97.27 <sup>de</sup>
G	$1526 \pm 1.5^{b}$	$893 \pm 2.2^{b}$	1209 <sup>b</sup>	99.10±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	$101.29 \pm 0.01^{b}$	100.19 <sup>b</sup>
Н	$1508{\pm}1.0^{de}$	$875 \pm 2.2^{de}$	1192 <sup>de</sup>	96.33±0.01 <sup>e</sup>	$98.14{\pm}0.01^{e}$	97.23 <sup>e</sup>
Ι	1503±2.5 <sup>e</sup>	871±2.1 <sup>e</sup>	1939 <sup>e</sup>	$98.88^{c}\pm0.01$	101.0±0.01°	99.94 <sup>c</sup>
Mean	$1461 \pm 1.3^{b}$	8233±1.6 <sup>a</sup>		$1063 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$9647{\pm}0.01^{a}$	

\*See Table 4.

Significant different at  $p > .(0.001^{***}, 0.01^{**}, 0.05^{*})$  For each effect the different letters in the means the multiple comparisons are different from each. Letter a is the highest means followed by b, c .....etc

# Changes in dietary fibers, phenolic content, total antioxidant activity and carbohydrates of yoghurt at zero time

Table 6 shows that treatments B and C, which only included LS, had no fibers as a control. Additionally, the control and LS-containing treatments (B and C) had comparable carbohydrate contents. Nevertheless, the phenolic content and total antioxidant activity have been significantly (p<0.05) raised by the addition of LS. Dietary fibers, phenolic content, total antioxidant activity, and carbohydrate contents rose significantly (p<0.05) when TF was added at various amounts. These outcomes concur with those published by Atwaa et al. (2020) and Mohamed et al. (2014). According to Alqahtani et al. (2021) there is a correlation between the dairy product's antioxidant properties and phenolic concentration. Staffolo et al., (2004) employed apple, wheat, bamboo, and inulin as sources of dietary fiber to improve the rheological qualities of yoghurt. Hosseinian and Mazza (2009) and Fras et al., (2016), cleared that triticale is high in phenolics and dietary fibers, including both soluble and insoluble fibers.

Variations in amounts of carbohydrates between treatments may be the cause of coagulum variation besides pH lowering caused by the synthesis of organic acids, such as lactic acid (Hashim et al., 2009). Fardet, (2010) showed that whole grain consuming has been linked to a number of health advantages due to its bioactive contents, specifically fiber and phytochemicals. Whole-grain phenolic acids exhibit anticancer, antibacterial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory effects (Shahidi and Yeo, 2018).

Table 6. Effect of adding liquid smoke and triticale flour to goat's milk on dietary fibers, pheno	lic
content, total antioxidant activity and Carbohydrates of yoghurt at zero time	

Treatments*	Dietary fibers%	Phenolic content**	Total antioxidant ac- tivity***	Carbohydrates%
А		$47.21 \pm 0.01^{g}$	$14.12 \pm 0.01^{i}$	$3.54{\pm}0.01^{\circ}$
В		$91.54{\pm}0.02^{d}$	$42.23 \pm 0.01^{f}$	$3.55 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$
С		$98.14{\pm}0.03^{\circ}$	$47.09 \pm 0.01^{e}$	$3.56 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$
D	$0.22{\pm}0.01^{b}$	$71.64{\pm}0.02^{ m f}$	$31.24{\pm}0.03^{h}$	$6.48{\pm}0.01^{b}$
E	$0.30{\pm}0.01^{a}$	79.30±0.1 <sup>e</sup>	$34.31 \pm 0.01^{g}$	$6.60{\pm}0.1^{ab}$
F	$0.22{\pm}0.01^{b}$	$99.65 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$49.52 \pm 0.01^{d}$	$6.50{\pm}0.1^{ab}$
G	$0.29{\pm}0.01^{a}$	$99.71 {\pm} 0.01^{ab}$	$52.44 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$6.61 \pm 0.01^{ab}$
Н	$0.23{\pm}0.01^{b}$	$99.77{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$56.72 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$6.53 {\pm} 0.01^{ab}$
Ι	$0.29{\pm}0.01^{a}$	$99.81{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$60.14{\pm}0.03^{a}$	$6.62{\pm}0.01^{a}$
LSD	0.033***	0.109***	0.057***	0.138***

\*See table 4. \*\*Data expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g dry weight.

\*\*\*Data expressed as µmol Trolox equivalent (TE)/g dry weight.

-Significant different at  $p > .(0.001^{***}, 0.01^{**}, 0.05^{*})$  For each effect the different letters in the means the multiple comparisons are different from each. Letter a is the highest means followed by b, c .....etc

# Changes in microbial counts of yogurt during refrigerated storage for 21 days

Table 7 shows that treatments with LS alone (B and C) had significantly lower (p<0.05) total viable bacterial and lactic acid bacteria counts compared to the control. Adding TF at varied levels significantly enhanced these values. Our findings were consistent with those of Hamdy et al. (2021). Total viable bacterial and lactic acid bacteria counts increased significantly (p<0.05) until the 7th day of storage, then decreased significantly (p<0.05) until the final week of the storage period. This could be due to increased acidity production, which may inhibit bacterial growth during storage. These findings are in agreement with those reported by Dabija et al. (2018) and Saleh et al. (2019). Varlet et al. (2010) reported that phenolic compounds have both antibacterial and antioxidant functions, and they also contribute to the smoky flavor of liquid smoke. According to Aportela-Palacios et al. (2005), the presence of dietary fiber in yogurt samples greatly boosts bacterial growth. No coliform bacteria were present, in all treatments, during the storage period. This could be attributed to the hygienic conditions maintained during processing and storage (El-Metwally et al., 2021). Anggraini and Yuniningsih (2013) discovered that phenols are active ingredients capable of providing antibacterial and antimicrobial effects in liquid smoke, while Milly et al. (2005) confirmed that smoke utilization, in addition to imparting flavor, color, and aroma to foods, has been used for food preservation due to its antimicrobial and antioxidant effects. According to Perez and Saura (2005), soluble dietary fibers in triticale flour may serve dual functions in the gastrointestinal tract: acting as prebiotics, enhancing the survival of gut bacteria, and serving as antioxidants released by the grain matrix, particularly after colonic fermentation.

				Т	reatments	*				
Storage period (days)	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	Ι	
				Total viabl	le bacterial					Mean
0	8.66 <sup>bbc</sup>	$8.40^{bcd}$	$8.27^{abb}$	8.73 <sup>bbc</sup>	$8.77^{ab}$	8.61 <sup>bb</sup>	8.67 <sup>be</sup>	8.51 <sup>bd</sup>	$8.60^{abb}$	8.58 <sup>b</sup>
7	$9.04^{\mathrm{abc}}$	8.81 <sup>acd</sup>	$8.67^{aab}$	9.10 <sup>abc</sup>	$9.14^{aa}$	$8.99^{ab}$	9.01 <sup>ae</sup>	$8.85^{\mathrm{ad}}$	$8.91^{aab}$	8.91 <sup>a</sup>
14	$8.26^{bcc}$	8.09 <sup>ccd</sup>	$7.92^{abc}$	$8.37^{bcc}$	8.41 <sup>ac</sup>	$8.28^{bc}$	8.32 <sup>ce</sup>	8.16 <sup>cd</sup>	8.25 <sup>abc</sup>	8.23°
21	8.11 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.85 <sup>cdd</sup>	7.61 <sup>abd</sup>	8.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.20 <sup>ad</sup>	8.08 <sup>bd</sup>	8.11 <sup>de</sup>	8.01 <sup>dd</sup>	$8.04^{abd}$	8.02 <sup>d</sup>
Mean	8.45 <sup>bc</sup>	8.38 <sup>cd</sup>	8.53 <sup>ab</sup>	8.49 <sup>bc</sup>	8.63 <sup>a</sup>	8.51 <sup>b</sup>	8.12 <sup>e</sup>	8.28 <sup>d</sup>	8.52 <sup>ab</sup>	
				Lactic aci	d bacteria					Mean
0	$8.54^{abb}$	$8.24^{bbc}$	$8.06^{abb}$	$8.06^{abb}$	$8.62^{ab}$	$8.52^{abb}$	8.55 <sup>bd</sup>	$8.44^{bcd}$	8.51 <sup>abb</sup>	8.45 <sup>b</sup>
7	8.93 <sup>aab</sup>	$8.66^{abc}$	$8.61^{aab}$	$8.61^{aab}$	$9.02^{aa}$	$8.88^{aab}$	8.91 <sup>ad</sup>	$8.73^{acd}$	$8.91^{aab}$	8.85 <sup>a</sup>
14	$8.14^{abc}$	7.95 <sup>bcc</sup>	$7.77^{abc}$	$7.77^{abc}$	$8.27^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$8.16^{abc}$	8.19 <sup>cd</sup>	8.03 <sup>ccd</sup>	8.11 <sup>abc</sup>	8.09 <sup>c</sup>
21	7.98 <sup>abd</sup>	7.72 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.44 <sup>abd</sup>	7.44 <sup>abd</sup>	8.07 <sup>ad</sup>	7.92 <sup>abd</sup>	7.96 <sup>dd</sup>	7.89 <sup>cdd</sup>	7.95 <sup>abd</sup>	7.88 <sup>d</sup>
Mean	8.37 <sup>ab</sup>	8.27 <sup>bc</sup>	8.41 <sup>ab</sup>	8.37 <sup>ab</sup>	8.49 <sup>a</sup>	8.47 <sup>ab</sup>	7.97 <sup>d</sup>	8.14 <sup>cd</sup>	8.39 <sup>ab</sup>	
					Coliform					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 7. Effect of adding liquid Smoke and triticale flour to goat's milk on some microbial groups (log cfu/ml) of yoghurt

\*See Table 4.

-Significant different at  $p > .(0.001^{***}, 0.01^{**}, 0.05^{*})$  For each effect the different letters in the means the multiple comparisons are different from each. Letter a is the highest means followed by b, c .....etc

#### **Sensory evaluation**

Table 8 shows that adding LS or TF resulted in the highest total scores for yogurt. The addition of LS had no significant effect on color and appearance or the body and texture, but it significantly improved (P < 0.05) the flavor. Adding TF resulted in a slight reduction in color and appearance but significantly enhanced the body, texture, and flavor of the resultant yogurt (P < 0.05). The highest marks were to treatments B, G, and I. Martín-Diana et al. (2003) found that goat's milk yogurt had the least favorable flavor and appearance due to its liquid texture and unique taste. Over the course of the storage period, the total score for yogurt in all treatments slightly decreased (Walkunde et al., 2008, and Tonguc et al., 2013). The main products of wood pyrolysis are organic acids, carbonyls, and

phenols, which give liquid smoke its distinctive flavor, color, and antibacterial properties (Jody et al., 2014). Yogurt boosted with insoluble dietary fibers from triticale (IDFT) was classified as "excellent" based on the overall sensory quality scores, which is essential for the food market (Miocinovic et al., 2018).

Ď					*Treatments					
period	А	В	C	D	ц	Ц	IJ	Н	Ι	Maan
; ; ; ;				Color	& Appearance	; (15)				INICALI
0	$14^{a}$	$14^{a}$	13 <sup>ab</sup>	$12^{abc}$	12 <sup>ac</sup>	12 <sup>abc</sup>	12 <sup>ac</sup>	12 <sup>ac</sup>	12 <sup>ac</sup>	12.59±1.21 <sup>a</sup>
L	$14^{ab}$	$13^{ab}$	13 <sup>ab</sup>	$12^{abc}$	11 <sup>abc</sup>	$12^{abc}$	$11^{abc}$	11 <sup>abc</sup>	11 <sup>abc</sup>	$12 \pm 1.20^{ab}$
14	$13^{\rm abc}$	$13^{\rm abc}$	$12^{\rm abc}$	11 <sup>bc</sup>	$11^{\rm bc}$	$11^{bc}$	$11^{bc}$	11 <sup>bc</sup>	$10^{\rm bcc}$	$11.44{\pm}1.22^{\rm bc}$
21	$13^{ac}$	12 <sup>ac</sup>	12 <sup>abc</sup>	11 <sup>bc</sup>	$10^{\circ}$	11 <sup>bc</sup>	10 °	$10^{cc}$	$10^{cc}$	$11 \pm 1.21^{c}$
Mean	13.5±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	$13\pm 1.1^{a}$	$12.5 \pm 1.1^{ab}$	$11.58\pm1.^{ m bc}$	$11\pm 1.10^{c}$	$11.5 \pm 1.1^{bc}$	11±1.13°	$11\pm 1.1^{c}$	$10.75\pm1^{\circ}$	
				Boc	ly &Texture(3	35)				Mean
0	$32^{ab}$	$32^{ab}$	$32^{ab}$	$33^{ab}$	$34^{a}$	$33^{aab}$	$34^{a}$	$33^{ab}$	$34^{aa}$	$33{\pm}1.24^{a}$
Γ	$32^{ab}$	$31^{ m b}$	$31^{\rm b}$	$32^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$33^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$32^{\text{bab}}$	$33^{\mathrm{ba}}$	$32^{ab}$	$33^{\mathrm{ba}}$	$32.11\pm1.23^{b}$
14	$31^{ab}$	$31^{\rm b}$	$31^{b}$	$32^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$32^{ab}$	$32^{\mathrm{bab}}$	$32^{ab}$	$31^{ab}$	$32^{\mathrm{ba}}$	31.55±1.22 <sup>b</sup>
21	$31^{\rm abc}$	$30^{cb}$	$30^{\mathrm{bc}}$	31 <sup>abc</sup>	$32^{ac}$	$30^{cab}$	31 <sup>ca</sup>	$30^{ m abc}$	31 <sup>ca</sup>	$30.66{\pm}1.24^{ m bc}$
Mean	31.5±1.14 <sup>ab</sup>	31±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	31±1.21 <sup>b</sup>	32±1.34 <sup>ab</sup>	32.7±1.3ª	31.75±1.4 <sup>ab</sup>	32.5±1.3ª	$31.5\pm1.3^{ab}$	32.5±1.4ª	
					Flavour (50)					Mean
0	41 <sup>ac</sup>	$46^{\rm abc}$	45 <sup>ac</sup>	$43^{\rm ad}$	$44^{\rm ad}$	$46^{aab}$	$47^{aa}$	$46^{\rm abc}$	$46^{\rm abc}$	$44.88 \pm 1.27^{a}$
L	$41^{ac}$	$46^{\rm abc}$	$45^{\rm ac}$	$43^{\rm ad}$	$43^{\rm ad}$	$46^{\rm ab}$	$47^{aa}$	$45^{\rm abc}$	$46^{\rm abc}$	$44.65\pm1.27^{a}$
14	$40^{\mathrm{be}}$	$45^{\rm abc}$	$44^{\rm bc}$	$42^{bd}$	$42^{bd}$	$46^{\rm ab}$	$46^{\rm ab}$	$45^{\rm bc}$	$45^{\rm abc}$	$43.87 \pm 1.21^{b}$
21	$40^{\mathrm{be}}$	$44^{\rm abc}$	$43^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$42^{bd}$	$41^{bd}$	45 <sup>ab</sup>	$46^{ab}$	$44^{bc}$	$45^{\rm abc}$	$43.33 \pm 1.26^{b}$
Mean	40.5±1.52°	$45.2\pm1.5^{abc}$	44.25±1.5°	42.5±1.52 <sup>d</sup>	42.5±1.5 <sup>d</sup>	45.75±1.5 <sup>ab</sup>	46.5±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	45±1.5 <sup>bc</sup>	45.5±1.5 <sup>abc</sup>	
*See Tal -Significa: are differe	ole 4. At different at p > . In from each. Let	.(0.001*** ,0.01 tter a is the highe	** ,0.05*) For ea est means followe	ch effect the diff id by b. cetc	ferent letters in	the means the mu	ıltiple comparis	suos		

Manufacture of Smoked Goat's Yoghurt Fortified with Whole Triticale Flour

Food Technology Research Journal, Vol. 7, issue 1, 29-43, 2025

# 4. Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the potential of liquid smoke and triticale flour as natural additives to enhance the physicochemical and sensory qualities of goat's milk yogurt. Their incorporation improved yogurt texture, flavor, and antioxidant properties, making them promising ingredients for developing functional dairy products. These results can be particularly useful for dairy manufacturers looking to meet the growing consumer demand for natural and health-enhancing food products. Future research could explore optimizing the concentrations of LS and TF for different dairy applications, investigating long-term storage effects, and assessing consumer acceptance on a larger scale. Additionally, exploring the potential probiotic benefits and interactions with different bacterial cultures would further enhance the understanding of their functional properties

# References

- Abd El-Aziz M., Ahmed, N.S., Sayed, A.F., Mahran, G.A. and Hammad, Y.A. (2004). Production of low-fat ice milk using some milk fat Replacers. Proceedings the 4th Scientific Conference of Agricultural Sciences, Assiut, December, (2004) pp 290-301.
- Agil, R and Hosseinian, F. (2012). Dual functionality of triticale as a novel dietary source of prebiotics with antioxidant activity in fermented dairy products. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 67:88– 93.
- Agil, R. and Hosseinian, F. (2014). Determination of water-ex-tractable polysaccharides in triticale bran. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 34: 12–17.
- Agil, R., Patterson Z.R., Mackay, H., Abizaid, A. and Hosseinian, F. (2016). Triticale bran alkylresorcinols enhance resistance to oxidative stress in mice fed a high-fat diet. Foods, 5:5.
- Ahmed, A., Muhammad, A.F., Tahir, Z., Haq, N. and Zaheer, A. (2010). Extraction and characterization of {beta}-d-glucan from oat for industrial utilization. Intr. J. of Biolog. Macromolecules, 46(3):304-309.

Alqahtani, N.K., Darwish, A.A., El-Menawy, R.K.,

Alnemr, T.M. and Aly, E. (2021). Textural and organoleptic attributes and antioxidant activity of goat milk yoghurt with added oat flour. International Journal of Food Properties, 24(1), 433-445.

- American Public Health Association (2004). Standard methods for the examination of dairy products. Amer. Publ. Health Assoc. Inc.12th ed., New York, USA.
- Anggraini, S.P. and Yuniningsih, S. (2013). Liquid Smoke Purification Process forBenzo (A) Pyrene Levels Lowering. J. Agric. Food. Tech., 3 (12)1-4.
- AOAC (2012). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists.17th. Ed. Washington, D.C., USA.
- Aportela-Palacios, A., Sosa-Morales, M. and Vélez-Ruiz, J. (2005). Rheological and physicochemical behavior of fortified yogurt, with fiber and calcium. Journal of Texture Studies, 36 (3), 333-349.
- Arslan, S. and Bayrakci, S. (2016). Physicochemical, functional, and sensory properties of yogurts containing persimmon. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 40: 68-74.
- Aryana K.J., Barnes, H.T., Emmick, T.K., Mcgrew, P. and Moser, B. (2006). Lutein is stable in strawberry yoghurt and does not affect its characteristics. J Food Sci., 71: 467-472.
- Attia, A.M.M., El-Gazzar, F.E. and El-Derwy, Y. M.A. (2023). Impact of Adding Whey Protein Concentrate to Goat's Milk on the Properties of yoghurt. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences 54 (2):63-72.
- Atwaa, E., Sayed-Ahmed, A., Eman, T., and Hassan, M.A.A. (2020). Physicochemical, microbiological and sensory properties of low fat probiotic yoghurt fortified with mango pulp fiber waste as source of dietary fiber. Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences, 11(9), 271-276.
- Balthazar, C.F., Conte Júnior, C.A., Moraes, J., Costa, M.P., Raices, R.S.L., Franco, R.M., and Silva, A.C.O. (2016). Physicochemical evaluation of sheep milk yogurts containing different levels of inulin. Journal of Dairy Science, 99,

4160-4168.

- Dabija, A., Codină, G.G., Gâtlan, A.M., and Rusu, L. (2018). Quality assessment of yogurt enriched with different types of fibers. Cyta-Journal of food, 16(1), 859-867.
- De Vrese, M., Laue, C., Offick, B., Soeth, E., Repenning, F., Thoß, A. and Schrezenmeir, J. (2015). A combination of acid lactase from Aspergillus oryzae and yogurt bacteria improves lactose digestion in lactose maldigesters synergistically: A randomized, controlled, doubleblind cross-over trial. Clinical nutrition, 34(3), 394-399.
- Dello Staffolo, M., Sato, A., and Cunha, R. (2017). Utilization of plant dietary fibers to reinforce low-calorie dairy dessert structure. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 10(5), 914–925.
- Dojnov, B., Vuj<sup>°</sup>ci'c, Z., Margeti'c, A. and Vuj<sup>°</sup>ci'c, M. (2016). Process for the production of insoluble, low-calorie, non-allergenic dietary fiber from triticale. Republic of Serbia Patent 2016/3, 8.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11.1-42.
- El Khoury, D., Cuda, C., Luhovyy, B.L. and Anderson, G.H. (2012). Beta glucan: Health benefits in obesity and metabolic syndrome. Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism: 85:47-62.
- El-Metwally, R.I. (2015). Some studies on smoked soft cheese. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- El-Metwally, R.I., Eid, M.Z. and Basiony, M.M. (2021). Impact of Olive Cake Supplementation on some Properties of Bio-Labneh Made from Goat's Milk. J. of Food and Dairy Sci., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 12 (6): 125 – 131.
- Endo Y., Mo, Li, C., Tagiri-Endo M and Fujimoto K. (2001). A Modified method for the estimation of total carbonyl compounds in heated and frying oils using 2-propanol as a solvent. JAOCS. 78: 10.
- Farag, S.I. EL-Sonbaty, A.H. Hussein, S.A. Farag, A.F. and Shahine, A.M. (2007). Effect of substituting added skim milk powder (SMP) with wheat germ (WG) on the quality of goat's milk

yoghurt and fermented camel's milk drink. 10th Egyptian Conference of Dairy Science and Technology. pp. 315-336.

- Fardet, A. (2010). New hypotheses for the healthprotective mechanisms of whole-grain cereals: what is beyond fibre? Nutrition Research Reviews 23, 65-134.
- Fraś, A., Gołębiewska, K., Gołębiewski, D., Mańkowski, D. R., Boros, D. and Szecowka, P. (2016). Variability in the chemical composition of triticale grain, flour and bread. Journal of Cereal Science, 71, 66-72.
- García-Pérez, F., Lario, Y., Fernández-López, J., Sayas, E., Pérez-Alvarez, J. and Sendra, E. (2005). Effect of orange fiber addition on yogurt color during fermentation and cold storage. Centre Français De La Couleur, 30 (6), 457–463.
- Haenlein G. F. W. (2004). Goat milk in human nutrition. Small Rumin. Res. 51, 155–163.
- Hamdy, M.S., Abdelmontaleb, H.S., Mabrouk, A. M. and Abbas, K.A. (2021). Physicochemical, viability, microstructure, and sensory properties of whole and skimmed buffalo set-yogurts containing different levels of polydextrose during refrigerated storage. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 45(7), e15643.
- Hashim, I.B., Khalil, A.H. and Afifi, H.S. (2009). Quality characteristics and consumer acceptance of yogurt fortified with date fibre. Journal of Dairy Science, 92(11), 5403–5407.
- Hassan, A.M.A. (2017). The effect of using exopolysaccharide producing bacterial cultures on physicochemical properties of some dairy products. Ph. D thesis, Dairy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Egypt.
- Holley, R.A. and Patel, D. 2005. Improvement in shelf-life and safety of perishable foods by plant essential oils and smoke antimicrobials. Food Microbiol. 22(4): 273-292.
- Hosseinian F.S. and Mazza G. (2009).Triticale bran and straw: Potential new sources of phenolic acids, proanthocyanidins, and lignans. J Funct Foods 1:57–64 (2009).
- Huang, M., Chang, L., Sung, W., Vong, W. and Wang, B. (2013). Protective effects of three

smoke flavouring phenols on oxidative damage and nitric oxide production. Food Chemistry, 126, 1655-1661.

- Ismail, M.M., Ammar, E.M.A. and El-Metwally, R.I. (2015). Effect of adding liquid smoke or powder to skim milk on some properties of the resultant Kareish cheese. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci. (Supplement, presented in the 12th Egyptian Conference for Dairy Science & Technology, Cairo, Egypt. 9-11 November , 141-156.
- Ismail, M.M., Mohamed, F.H. and Esraa, M.E. (2016). Rheological, Physicochemical, Microbial and Sensory Properties of Bio-rayeb Milk Fortified with Guava Pulp. International J.Food Science and Biotechnology, 1, 8-18.
- Jody M.L., Cordero, P., Corliss, A., O'Bryan, Michael, G.J., Steven, C.R. and Philip, G.C. (2014). Functionality of liquid smoke as an all-natural antimicrobial in food preservation. Meat Science. 97, 2, P: 197-206.
- Jonnala R.S., Irmak S., Mac Ritchie F. and Bean S.R. (2010). Phenolics in the bran of waxy wheat and triticale lines. Journal of Cereal Science, 52: 509-515.
- Kebary, K.M.K. and Hussein, S.A. (1999). Manufacture of Low Fat Zabady Using Different Fat Substitutes. Acta Alimentaria, 28, 1-14.
- Khairi, S.R.; Lafta, S.S. and Mousa, E.F. (2020). Effect of adding guar gum to yogurt free fat and studying some of its properties. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 23(13B): 1-9.
- Kim, M.H., Ahn, S.I., Lim, C.M., Jhoo, J.W. and Kim, G.Y. (2016). Effects of Germinated Brown Rice Addition on the Flavor and Functionality of Yogurt. Korean J Food Sci An.; 36: 508-515.
- Kosikowski, F.V. (1978). Cheese and Fermented milk foods .A text book 2nd Edition. Edwards Brothers, Ann Arbor.
- Lee, Y., Howard, L.R. and Villalon, B. (1995). Flavonoids and antioxidant activity of fresh pepper (Capsicum annuum) cultivars. J. Food Sci., 60 (3), 473–476.
- Lim, E.S. (2018). Preparation and functional properties of probiotic and oat-based synbiotic yogurts fermented with lactic acid bacteria. Appl. Biol.

Chem. 61(1):25-37.

- Lingbeck, J. M., Cordero, P., O'Bryan, C.A., Johnson, M.G., Ricke, S.C. and Crandall, P.G. (2014). Functionality of liquid smoke as an all-natural antimicrobial in food preservation. Meat Science, 97(2), 197-206.
- Marshall, R.T. (2004). American Public Health Association.Standard methods for the examination of dairy products, 17th Ed Washington, DC., USA.
- Martin, E.M., O'Bryan, C.A., Lary Jr, R.Y., Griffis, C.L., Vaughn, K.L., Marcy, J.A. and Crandall, P. G. (2010). Spray application of liquid smoke to reduce or eliminate Listeria monocytogenes surface inoculated on frankfurters. Meat science, 85 (4), 640-644.
- Martín-Diana A.B., Janer, C., Peláez, C. and Requena, T. (2003). Development of a fermented goat's milk containing probiotic bacteria. Int. Dairy J. 13, 827–833.
- Mazza, G. and Kay, C.D. (2009). Bioactivity, absorption, and metabolism of anthocyanins. In F. Daayf & V. Lattanzio (Eds.), Recent advances in polyphenol research (Vol. 1, pp. 228-262). Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Mehanna, N.M. and Mehanna, A.S. (1989). Studies on the use of stabilizer for improving some properties of cow's milk yoghurt. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 17:289.
- Milly P. J., Toledo, R.T. and Ramakrishnan, S. (2005). Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations of liquid smoke fractions. J. Food Sci; 70: M12-7.
- Miocinovic, J., Tomic, N., Dojnov, B., Tomasevic,
  I., Stojanovic, S., Djekicb, I. and Vujcic. Z. (2018). Application of new insoluble dietary fibers from triticale as supplement in yoghurt effects on physico-chemical, rheological and quality properties. J Sci Food Agric; 98:1291–1299.
- Mohamed, A.G., Zayan, A.F. and Shahein, N.M. (2014). Physiochemical and sensory evaluation of yoghurt fortified with dietary fiber and phenolic compounds. Life Sci. J., 11(9):816-822.

- Morey, A., Bratcher, C.L., Singh, M. and McKee, S. R. (2012). Effect of liquid smoke as an ingredient in frankfurters on Listeria monocytogenes and quality attributes. Poultry Science, 91(9), 2341-2350.
- MwizERwA, H., Abong, G.O., Okoth, M.W., Ongol, M.P., Onyango, C. and Thavarajah, P. (2017). Effect of resistant cassava starch on quality parameters and sensory attributes of yoghurt. Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal, 5(3), 353–367.
- Nikoofar, E., Hojjatoleslamy, M., Shakerian, A., and Shariaty, M.A. (2013). Surveying the effect of oat beta glucan as a fat replacer on rheological and physicochemical characteristics of non-fat set yoghurt. Int J Farming Allied Sci 2:790–796.
- Nouri, M., Ezzatpanah, H. and Abbasi, S. (2011). Application of renneted skim milk as a fat mimetics in nonfat yoghurt. Food and Nutrition Sci., 2:541-548.
- Park Y.W., Juárez, M., Ramos, M. and Haenlein, G. F.W. (2006). Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. Small Rumin. Res. 68, 88–113.
- Perez, J.J, and Saura, C.F (2005). Literature data may underestimate the actual antioxidant capacity of cereals. J Agric Food Chem 53:5036–5040.
- Rakha, A. (2011). Characterization of dietary fiber in cereal grains and products - Emphasis on triticale and 444 rye. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala.
- Saleh, A.E., Moussa, M.A.M. and Elgaml, N.B. (2019). The use of desert truffle (terfezia cla veryi) to improve the functional and antioxidant properties of yoghurt. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci., 47: 27.
- SAS (2004). SAS User's guide. Statistics. SAS Inst, Inc, Cary, NC.
- Shahidi, F. and Yeo, J. (2018). Bioactivities of Phenolics by Focusing on Suppression of Chronic Diseases: A Review. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19, 1573-1589.
- Slavin, J.L. (2005). Dietary fiber and body weight. Nutrition 21:411–418.

- Sodini, L., Remeuf, F., haddad, S. and Corrieu, G. (2004). The relative effect of milk base, starter, and process on yogurt texture: a review. Crit. Rev. Food. Sci. Nutr, 44,113-137.
- Sohrabvandi, S., Vesal H., Mortazavian, A.M and Mohammadi, A.R. (2015). Simultaneous Determination of Potassium Sorbate and Sodium Benzoate in Doogh Using High Performance Liquid Chromatography. Orient J. Chem; 31(3). 1793-1799.
- Staffolo, M.D., Bertola, N., Martino, M. and Bevilacqua, Y.A. (2004). Influence of dietary fiber addition on sensory and rheological properties of yogurt. International Dairy Journal, 14(3), 263-268.
- Tonguc, I.E., Kinik, O., Kesenkas, H. and Acu, M. (2013). Physicochemical, microbiological and sensory characteristics of using different probiotic fermented milk. Pakistan J Nutr; 12: 49-54.
- Vahedi, N., Tehrani, M.M. and Shahidi, F. (2008). Optimizing of fruit yoghurt formulation and evaluating its quality during storage. American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture and Environmental Science, 3:922-927.
- Varlet, V., Serot, T. and Prost, C. (2010). Smoke flavoring technology in seafood. Pp. 233–254 in L. M. L. Nollet and F. Toldra, eds. Handbook of seafood and seafood products analysis. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Vasiljevic, T., Kealy, T. and Mishra, V.K. (2007). Effects of β-glucan addition to a probiotic containing yogurt. J. Food Sci. 72:405-411.
- Vitt, S.M., Himelbloom, B.H. and Crapo, C.A., 2001. Inhibition of *Listeria inocula* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in a laboratory medium and cold -smoked salmon containing liquid smoke. J. Food Safety. 2, 111–125.
- Viuda-Martos, M., Ruiz-Navajas, Y., Fernández-López, J. and Pérez-Álvarez, J.A. (2010). Effect of added citrus fibre and spice essential oils on quality characteristics and shelf-life of mortadella. Meat Science, 85, 3: 568-576.
- Walkunde T.R.; Kamble, D.K. and Pawar, B.K. (2008). Sensory quality of yoghurt from cow milk by utilizing guava fruit. Asian. J. Animal

Sci., 3, 99-102.

Zheng, W. and Wang, S.Y. (2001). Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds in selected herbs.J. Agric. Food Chem., 49:5165-5170.