

---

# Antifungal susceptibility patterns of vulvovaginal *Candida* species among Pregnant and Non Pregnant Women

---

Omar K. Naser\* , Ahmed K.

Abbas\* , Ali A. Bendary\*

\* Department of Obstetrics and  
Gynecology, Benha faculty of  
medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

## **Abstract**

**Objectives:** Determination of different *Candida* species present in vagina of pregnant and non-pregnant women with recurrent vaginal candidiasis, and the susceptibility patterns of vaginal *Candida* to antifungal drugs.

**Patients & Methods:** This study included 93 women with recurrent clear clinical picture of *Candida* vaginitis. Divided equally into 3 groups: 31 pregnant women in the third trimester, 31 non-pregnant women in the period of fertility using combined pills and 31 non-pregnant women not using contraception. Two high vaginal swabs were taken by sterile cotton wool swabs soaked in sterile saline. One swab was examined by Gram stain and the second swab was streaked onto a plate of sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) with chloramphenicol. Identified *Candida* species were tested for susceptibility to antifungal drugs (Fluconazole, Itraconazole, Caspofungin, Voriconazole, Econazole and Nystatin) by disc diffusion method.

**Results:** In this study, *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata* were the most common species isolated from vaginal specimen followed by *Candida krusei*. There was a statistically significant increase in the percentage of *Candida krusei* among non-pregnant not using oral contraception group than other groups. The resistance to Econazole, fluconazol and Itraconazole were significantly increased in *C. non albicans* more than their resistance in *C.albicans*.

**Conclusion:** Although *Candida albicans* was the most prevalent species causing vaginal candidiasis in pregnant and non-pregnant using contraception women, candida non albicans are also incriminated in high percentage, e.g. *C.glabrata*, and *C. krusei*. Both *C. albicans* and *C. non albicans* were highly sensitive to voriconazole and Caspofungin in contrast to other drugs tested.

**Keywords:** *Candida*, antifungal drugs, susceptibility, Vulvovaginal Candidiasis.

---

## **Corresponding author:**

Omar K. Naser

Department of Obstetrics and  
gynecology, Benha faculty of  
medicine, Benha University, Egypt  
Email: omarkhaled@fmed.

bu.edu.eg

Phone: +201093826609

## **INTRODUCTION**

When *Candida* is found in the vagina without immunosuppression or mucosal damage, it is typically not accompanied by any symptoms and is therefore called colonization. Vulvovaginal Candidiasis (VVC), as opposed to asymptomatic colonization, is characterized by inflammatory signs and symptoms when *Candida* species are present but no other pathogenic cause is present. Ten years ago, VVC was divided into simple and complex cases; this division has now been adopted and recognized globally (1).

An antifungal drug is a type of pharmaceutical fungicide that is used to treat and prevent dangerous systemic infections such as cryptococcal meningitis, ringworm, athlete's foot, and candidiasis (thrush). These medications are typically only accessible with a prescription from a doctor, while some are over-the-counter (OTC) (2).

According to studies, the most common species responsible for pregnant women's vaginal candidiasis were *Candida albicans*. Most vaginal *Candida* species isolates showed a high level of azole drug susceptibility. In contrast to azole medications, topical nystatin had a propensity to decrease the sensitivity of certain isolates (3).

It has also been noted that non-*albicans* species, particularly *Candida krusei*, are becoming more resistant to medications. This is the case with the exception of itraconazole. With the exception of topical nystatin, the continued use of antifungal medications for the treatment of vaginal candidiasis in pregnant women is supported by the observed susceptibility of vaginal *Candida* species to azole medicines. To find any new medication resistance, however, ongoing monitoring of antifungal resistance to *Candida* species is necessary (4).

## **AIM OF WORK**

To determine the different *Candida* species

present in the vagina of pregnant and non-pregnant women, and the susceptibility patterns of vaginal isolates of *Candida* to antifungal drugs.

## **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This observational cross-section study was conducted at the Obstetrics and Gynecology department of Benha University Hospitals after receiving approval by the Research Ethical Committee of Benha Faculty of Medicine with the code RC 42-1-2024. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to commencing the study. This study enrolled 93 women divided into 3 groups.

- Group 1: Pregnant women in the 3rd trimester (n=31).
- Group 2: Non-pregnant women in the fertility period using combined pills (n=31).
- Group 3: Non-pregnant women not using contraception (n=31).

### ***Inclusion criteria:***

1. Female patients with recurrent clear clinical picture of *Candida* vaginitis.
2. Age of female patients within the range of 20 to 38 years.

### ***Exclusion criteria:***

1. Women who did not consent and those who reported a history of vaginal bleeding, diabetic, hypertensive and thyroid problems.
2. Women used antifungal treatment, vaginal douches, lubricant or any local vaginal medication for at least 24 hours before sampling.

## **Methods**

### **A- History taking:**

Each patient was subjected to full history taking which involve name, age, last normal

menstrual period, gravidity&parity state, residence, occupation and past history of preterm labor.

### **B-Sampling procedure:**

Women were selected and recruited into the study after a health talk about the study which was given by the principal investigator or the nurse on duty. Two high vaginal swabs were taken one after the other by inserting a sterile vaginal speculum into the vagina, and then a sterile cotton wool swab soaked in sterile saline was inserted into the posterior vaginal fornix and rotated gently before withdrawing. Each swab was inserted back into the tube from which it was taken. The tube containing the swab was labelled with the patient study number and date.

### **C- Microscopic examination:**

One swab was examined by Gram stain to give the preliminary results to the clinician for patient management.

### **D- Cultivation and isolation of yeast**

The second swab was streaked onto a plate of sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) (Difco laboratories, USA) with chloramphenicol and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Pure yeast colonies (pasty, yellow-white) were isolated, and a Gram stained film was prepared.

### **E-Subculture and species identification:**

Subculture of preserved yeasts was done twice on SDA with chloramphenicol medium, and inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours. Identification of Candida was then carried out by the germ tube test and subcultured on chromoagar media.

### **F-Antifungal susceptibility testing:**

Antifungal susceptibility testing was done by disc diffusion method, Antifungal discs ;Fluconazole (FLU)(25µg), Itraconazole (ITC) (10µg), Caspofungin (CAS) (5 mg) and Voriconazole(Vo) (1µg), Econazole(ECN) (10µg) and Nystatin (NY) (100 IU) (LIOFILCHEM s.r.l –Italy) were used. Mueller-Hinton Agar supplemented with

2%w/v glucose and 0.5µg/ml methylene blue dye was used as recommended by CLSI document M44A.

### **Statistical analysis:**

Data were entered checked and analyzed using SPSS version 22

## **RESULTS**

Candida albicans (40, 43 %) and Candida glabrata (39, 41.9 %) were the most common species isolated from vaginal specimen followed by Candida krusei (14, 15.1 %). There was statistical significance increase in the percentage of Candida krusei (32.2 %) among non-pregnant not using contraception methods group than other groups Table (1). There were statistical significant differences in sensitivity of Candida albicans to different antifungal drugs with increased sensitivity to Caspofungin&Voriconazole, increased resistance to Econazole (67.5%), Fluconazole (55%), and increased percentage of dose dependent to Itraconazole (40%) and Nystatin(52.5%) Table (2). That there were statistical significant differences in sensitivity of Candida krusei to different antifungal drugs with increased sensitivity to Caspofungin(100%) & Voriconazole (71.4%), increased resistance to Econazole, Fluconazole (100% each) &Itraconazole (71.4%) and increased percentage of dose dependent to and Nystatin (71.4%) Table (3). There were statistical significance differences in sensitivity of Candida glabrata to different antifungal drugs with increased sensitivity to Caspofungin (76.9%) &Voriconazole(84.6%), increased resistance to Fluconazole, (66.7%) Econazole (84.6%) &Itraconazole (53.8%) and increased percentage of dose dependent to Nystatin (69.2%) Table (4). There was statistical significance increase in Econazole and fluconazol resistance in Candida krusei (100% each) compared to Candida glabrata (84.6% & 66.7% respectively) and Candida albicans (67.5% & 55% respectively). Also,

there was highly statistical significant increase in Itraconazole resistance among *Candida krusei* (71.4%) and *Candida glabrata* (53.8 %) compared to *Candida albicans* (30%). There was statistical significant increase in Voriconazole resistance in *Candida krusei* (28.6%) compared to *Candida glabrata* (15.4%) and *Candida albicans* (7.5%). Finally, there was statistical significant increase in Caspofungin resistance in *Candida glabrata* (23.1 %) compared to *Candida krusei* (0%) and *Candida albicans* (7.5%) Table (5). There was statistical highly significant increase in Econazole resistance in *Candida*

non albican (88.7%) more than *C. albicans* species (67.5%). Also, there was statistical highly significant increase in Itraconazole resistance in *Candida non albicans* species (58.5%) more than *C. albicans* species (30%). There was statistical non-significant increase in Fluconazole resistance in *Candida non albican* (75.5%) more than *C. albicans* species (55%). Finally, there was statistical highly significant increase in voriconazole resistance in *Candid non- albicans* species (18.9%) more than *C. albicans* species (7.5%) Table (6).

**Table (1): Candida species among the studied groups:**

Candida species(n)	Non pregnant using Contraception methods (n=31)		Non pregnant not using Contraception methods (n=31)		Pregnant in the third trimester (n=31)		$\chi^2$	P
	No	%	No	%	No	%		
<i>Candida albicans</i> (40)	15	48.4	9	29	16	51.6	<b>13.5</b>	<b>0.009 **</b>
<i>Candida krusei</i> (14)	4	12.9	10	32.2	0	0		
<i>Candida glabrata</i> (39)	12	38.7	12	38.7	15	48.4		

$\chi^2$ : Chi square test \*\*: Highly Significant (P<0.01) n:number

**Table (2): Susceptibility of Candida albicans to antifungal drugs.**

Variable	Candida albicans (n=40)	
	No	%
<b>Nystatin:</b>		
Dose dependent	21	52.5
Resistant	12	30
Sensitive	7	17.5
<b>Econazole:</b>		
Dose dependent	6	15
Resistant	27	67.5
Sensitive	7	17.5
<b>Itraconazole:</b>		
Dose dependent	16	40
Resistant	12	30
Sensitive	12	30
<b>Fluconazole:</b>		
Dose dependent	14	35
Resistant	22	55
Sensitive	4	10

<b>Voriconazole:</b>		
Dose dependent	6	15
Resistant	3	7.5
Sensitive	31	77.5
<b>Caspofungin:</b>		
Resistant	3	7.5
Sensitive	37	92.5
<b>P</b>	<b>&lt;0.001**</b>	

\*\* : Very highly Significant (P<0.001)

**Table (3): Susceptibility of Candida krusei to different antifungal drugs.**

Variable	Candida Krusei (n=14)	
	No	%
<b>Nystatin:</b>		
Dose dependent	10	71.4
Sensitive	4	28.6
<b>Econazole:</b>		
Resistant	14	100
<b>Itraconazole:</b>		
Resistant	10	71.4
Sensitive	4	28.6
<b>Flucanazole:</b>		
Resistant	14	100
<b>Voriconazole:</b>		
Resistant	4	28.6
Sensitive	10	71.4
<b>Caspofngin:</b>		
Resistant	0	0
Sensitive	14	100
<b>P</b>	<b>&lt;0.001**</b>	

\*\* : Very highly Significant (P<0.001)

**Table (4) : Susceptibility of Candida glabrata to antifungal drugs in all studied groups.**

Variable	Candida glabrata (n=39)	
	No	%
<b>Nystatin:</b>		
Dose dependent	27	69.2
Resistant	6	15.4
Sensitive	6	15.4
<b>Econazole:</b>		
Resistant	33	84.6
Sensitive	6	15.4
<b>Itraconazole:</b>		
Dose dependnat	6	15.4
Resistant	21	53.8
Sensitive	12	30.8

<b>Fluconazole:</b>		
Dose dependnat	10	25.6
Resistant	26	66.7
Sensitive	3	7.7
<b>Voriconazole:</b>		
Resistant	6	15.4
Sensitive	33	84.6
<b>Caspofungin:</b>		
Resistant	9	23.1
Sensitive	30	76.9
<b>P</b>	<b>&lt;0.001**</b>	

\*\* :Very highly Significant (P<0.001)

**Table (5): Difference in susceptibility between three species of Candida to antifungal drugs.**

Variable	Candida albicans (n=40)		Candida krusei (n=14)		Candida glabrata (n=39)		$\chi^2$	P
	No	%	No	%	No	%		
<b>Nystatin:</b>								
Dose dependent	21	52.5	10	71.4	27	69.2	<b>7.48</b>	<b>0.11</b> NS
Resistant	12	30	4	28.6	6	15.4		
Sensitive	7	17.5	0	0	6	15.4		
<b>Econazole:</b>								
Dose dependent	6	15	0	0	0	0	<b>11.90</b>	<b>0.02*</b>
Resistant	27	67.5	14	100	33	84.6		
Sensitive	7	17.5	0	0	6	15.4		
<b>Itraconazole:</b>								
Dose dependnat	16	40	0	0	6	15.4	<b>13.66</b>	<b>0.008</b> **
Resistant	12	30	10	71.4	21	53.8		
Sensitive	12	30	4	28.6	12	30.8		
<b>Fluconazole:</b>								
Dose dependnat	14	35	0	0	10	25.6	<b>9.45</b>	<b>0.05*</b>
Resistant	22	55	14	100	26	66.7		
Sensitive	4	10	0	0	3	7.7		
<b>Voriconazole:</b>								
I	6	15	0	0	0	0	<b>11.60</b>	<b>0.02*</b>
Resistant	3	7.5	4	28.6	6	15.4		
Sensitive	31	77.5	10	71.4	33	84.6		
<b>Caspofungin:</b>								
Resistant	3	7.5	0	0	9	23.1	<b>6.71</b>	<b>0.04*</b>
Sensitive	37	92.5	14	100	30	76.9		

$\chi^2$ : Chi square test \*\* : Highly Significant (P<0.01)

\*:Significant (P<0.05)      NS: Non significant (P>0.05)



**Table (6): Difference in susceptibility between Candida albicans and Candida non albicans to antifungal drugs.**

Variable	Candida albicans (n=40)		Candida non albicans (n=53)		$\chi^2$	P
	No	%	No	%		
<b>Nystatin:</b>						
Dose dependent	21	52.5	37	69.8	5.23	0.07 NS
Resistant	12	30	10	18.9		
Sensitive	7	17.5	6	11.3		
<b>Econazole:</b>						
Dose dependent	6	15	0	0	9.86	0.007 **
Resistant	27	67.5	47	88.7		
Sensitive	7	17.5	6	11.3		
<b>Itraconazole:</b>						
Dose dependnat	16	40	6	11.3	11.93	0.003 **
Resistant	12	30	31	58.5		
Sensitive	12	30	16	30.2		
<b>Fluconazole:</b>						
Dose dependnat	14	35	10	18.8	4.30	0.11 NS
Resistant	22	55	40	75.5		
Sensitive	4	10	3	5.7		
<b>Voriconazole:</b>						
I	6	15	0	0	10.10	0.006 **
Resistant	3	7.5	10	18.9		
Sensitive	31	77.5	43	81.1		
<b>Caspofungin:</b>						
Resistant	3	7.5	9	17	1.82	0.18 NS
Sensitive	37	92.5	44	83		

 $\chi^2$ : Chi square test    \*\*: Highly Significant (P<0.01)

NS: Non significant (P&gt;0.05)

## DISCUSSION

In women who are still in the reproductive age, vulvovaginitis, or inflammation of the vulva and vagina, is typically caused by infectious organisms; approximately one-third of cases are caused by Candida vulvovaginitis (5).

Candida vulvovaginitis is a result of an infection with Candida species, most frequently Candida albicans, which causes inflammatory alterations in the vulval and vaginal epithelium. Many women have Candida as part of their regular flora, and it is frequently asymptomatic. Consequently, in order for Candida vulvovaginitis to occur, there must be Candida in the vagina or vulva in addition to discomfort, itching, dysuria, or inflammation (6).

Candida albicans is an endogenous commensal that is dimorphic and has been identified as the causative cause of most female lower reproductive tract infections. Even though they are less common than Candida albicans, other non-Candida species including Candida glabrata and Candida krusei can nonetheless result in serious opportunistic infections and show increased resistance to some antifungal drugs (7).

Our findings from this investigation indicate that Candida albicans significantly outnumbered Candida species that are not albicans. About 43% were made up of C. albicans, followed by C. glabrata (41.9%) and C. krusei (15.1%). The total prevalence of Candida species other than Candida albicans

(57%) was nearly equal to that *Candida albicans* (43%) and is somewhat comparable to the findings of Do Ngoc et al. (2019), who reported a very high proportion of non-*albicans* *Candida* species (60%) with *Candida glabrata* being almost as frequent as *Candida albicans*. *Candida tropicalis* accounted for 10.84 percent of all isolated cases, with *C. krusei* coming in second (8.43%) (8).

Additionally, our findings align with a study that reported a high prevalence of *Candida albicans* in China, with *Candida glabrata*, *Candida tropicalis*, and *Candida parapsilosis* following closely behind. Comparing isolated *Candida* species in Iran to non-*albicans* species, *C. albicans* was the most common species (9).

Our findings indicate that there was a significant predominance of *Candida albicans* over non-*albicans* *Candida* species in pregnant women. These findings are consistent with other studies that found *Candida albicans* to be the most common species causing vaginal candidiasis in pregnant women (4). Additionally, it was shown that *Candida albicans* predominated species in pregnant women with *Candida* vaginitis, accounting for 69.23 percent, followed by *Candida glabrata* (23.07%) and *Candida andida tropicalis* (7.69%) (10).

Knowing the antifungal susceptibility patterns of pathogenic fungus is essential for selecting the right treatment for mycoses. Testing for antifungal susceptibility can also be used to estimate the efficacy of antifungals, guaranteeing a positive course of therapy, tracking the emergence of drug resistance, and evaluating the therapeutic potential of novel drugs (11).

Treatment options for vulvovaginal candidiasis include polyene antifungals like amphotericin B and nystatin as well as azoles like fluconazole, ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, and clotrimazole. But the best medications for treating vaginal candidiasis in both pregnant and non-pregnant women

are topical nystatin and azole antifungal medications (fluconazole, ketoconazole, itraconazole, and clotrimazole) (12).

Based on our findings, it was shown that *Candida albicans* was much more resistant to fluconazole (55%) and econazole (67.5%) and more susceptible to the antifungal medications casofungin (92.5%) and variconazole (77.5%).

Concerning *Candida* species other than *Candida albicans*, *C. krusei* and *C. Glabrata* showed susceptibility to antifungal drugs such as capsosfungin (100%, 76.9% respectively) and voriconazole (71.4%, 84.6% respectively), but resistance to fluconazole (100% & 66.7% respectively), econazole (100%, 84.6% respectively), and itraconazole (71.4%, 53.8% respectively). All *Candida* species showed increased percentage of dose dependent sensitivity to Nystatin (52.2% for *C. albicans*, 71.4% for *C. krusie* and 69.2% for *C. glabrata*).

Both *Candida albicans* and non-*Albicans* (*C. krusie*, *C. glabrata*) exhibited considerable resistance to fluconazole (55%, 100%, and 66.7%, respectively). Regarding econazole, *C. krusie* (100%) and *C. glabrata* (84.6%) demonstrated greater resistance than *C. albicans* (67.5%). Furthermore, *C. krusie* and *C. glabrata* had higher levels of resistance to itraconazole (71.4% and 53.8%, respectively) than did *C. albicans* (30%). Additionally, resistance to voriconazole began to emerge, and it was more common in *C. non albicans* (28.6% in *C. krusie* and 15.4% in *C. glbrata*) than in *C. albicans* (7.5%).

The findings align with the findings of Satora et al. (2023), who reported that the isolates of *Candida* found in the vagina were extremely resistant to fluconazole. Conversely, another study revealed that *C. albicans* had a very high susceptibility to fluconazole (96%) (12).

A study using in vitro antifungal susceptibility analysis revealed that 41.7% of the *C. krusei* isolates were resistant to fluconazole.



Furthermore, a resistance to fluconazole has been observed in *Candida glabrata*. Furthermore, 100% resistance to itraconazole was demonstrated by *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei*. There was little resistance to nystatin and voriconazole (13).

The majority of the non-*Candida albicans* species, including *Candida krusei* and *Candida albicans*, were shown to be susceptible to itraconazole, which is in contrast to our findings (11).

Consequently, fungal infections are frequently difficult to treat; antifungal medications must be used carefully to prevent further resistance development, and they can only be taken as directed by a physician.

## CONCLUSION

In contrast to *Candida non albicans* species, which showed greater resistance to Itraconazole, the majority of vaginal *Candida* species isolates showed low susceptibility to the azole medications (Fluconazole, Econazole, and Itraconazole). Furthermore, susceptibility to the drug increased with increasing dosage. There was also a trend to find that topical nystatin, particularly at higher doses, was more effective at suppressing both *Candida albicans* and non-*Albicans*. Unlike other medicines examined, voriconazole and capsaicin showed a significant degree of sensitivity for both *Candida albicans* and *Candida non albicans*.

## REFERENCES

1. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Clancy CJ, Marr KA, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Reboli AC, Schuster MG, Vazquez JA, Walsh TJ, Zaoutis TE, Sobel JD. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Candidiasis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(4):e1-e50.
2. Baginski M, Czub B. Amphotericin B and its new derivatives - mode of action. *Curr Drug Metab*. 2009;10(5):459-469.
3. Farr A, Effendy I, Frey Tirri B, Hof H, Mayser P, Petricevic L, Ruhnke M, Schaller M, Schaefer APA, Sustr V, Willinger B, Mendling W. Guideline: Vulvovaginal candidosis (AWMF 015/072, level S2k). *Mycoses*. 2021;64(6):583-602.
4. Nelson M, Wanjiru W, Margaret MW. "Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis and determination of the occurrence of *Candida* species in pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Thika District Hospital, Kenya." *Open Journal of Medical Microbiology* 2013.
5. Buggio L, Somigliana E, Borghi A, Vercellini P. Probiotics and vaginal microecology: fact or fancy?. *BMC Womens Health*. 2019;19(1):25.
6. Farhan MA, Moharram AM, Salah T, Shaaban OM. Types of yeasts that cause vulvovaginal candidiasis in chronic users of corticosteroids. *Med Mycol*. 2019;57(6):681-687.
7. Ito F, Okubo T, Yasuo T, Mori T, Iwasa K, Iwasaku K, Kitawaki J. Premature delivery due to intrauterine *Candida* infection that caused neonatal congenital cutaneous candidiasis: a case report. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2013;39(1):341-343.
8. Do Ngoc Anh, Nguyen Duy B, Le Tran A, Le Bach Q, Nguyen Khac L, Trinh The Son, Hoang Anh Tuan, Do Quyet. Prevalence of *Candida* species isolated from vaginal discharge of women undergoing in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer in Vietnam. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, 2019; 14(5):1-4.
9. Hedayati MT, Taheri Z, Galinimoghdam T, Aghili SR, Yazdani Cherati J, Mosayebi E. Isolation of different species of *Candida* in patients with vulvovaginal candidiasis from Sari, Iran. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 2015;8(4):e15992.

10. Kanagal DV, Vineeth VK, Kundapur R, Shetty H, Rajesh A. New technology and clinical applications. Infect. Dis al. Prevalence of vaginal candidiasis in pregnancy among coastal south Indian women. J Womens Health, Issues Care, 2014;3(6): 2.
11. Pfaller MA, Yu WL. Antifungal susceptibility testing. New technology and clinical applications. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2001;15(4):1227-1261.
12. Satora M, Grunwald A, Zaremba B, Frankowska K, Żak K, Tarkowski R, Kułak K. Treatment of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis—An Overview of Guidelines and the Latest Treatment Methods. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2023; 12(16):5376.
13. Mukasa KJ, Herbert I, Daniel A, Sserunkuma KL, Joel B, Frederick B. Antifungal Susceptibility Patterns of Vulvovaginal Candida species among Women Attending Antenatal Clinic at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, South Western Uganda. Br Microbiol Res J. 2015;5(4):322-331.