

Fayoum Journal of Agricultural Research and Development ISSN:1110-7790 ONLINE ISSN:2805-2528



FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12-29 (2025)

Effect of foliar application by growth bio-stimulants on the growth and productivity of lettuce plants under salinity stress

Tarek A. A. M. El-Masry, Nevein A. El-Sawah, Ashraf S. Osman, Noha A. A. Abo-Arab*

Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Fayoum 63514, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Two greenhouse experiments were conducted during 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 at the Agriculture Test Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt, to study effect of plant growth promoters on morphological characteristics, membrane permeability, leaf photosynthetic pigments content and productivity and quality of lettuce plants (Lactuca sativa L.) to elucidate their potential to reduce the harmful effect of soil salinity (ECe = 7.00 ± 0.20 dS m⁻¹). Treatments comprised with twelve of plant growth promoters; palm pollen grains (100 and 200 mg L^{-1}), corn grain extract (100 and 200 mg L^{-1}), soybean seed extract (100 and 200 mg L^{-1}), mannitol (1500 and 3000 mg L^{-1}), sorbitol (1500 and 3000 mg L^{-1}) and calcium phosphate (800 and 1000 Ca₃(PO₄)₂ mg L⁻¹), as well as, tap water. Three foliar applications of the thirteen treatments were made to run-off.; 25, 40 and 55 days after transplanting. The experimental layout was a randomized complete blocks design with five replications. All the foliar application of the twelve treatments (plant extracts, mannitol, sorbitol and calcium phosphate) were more effectively, with substantially higher mean values reported of morphological characteristics, membrane permeability and leaf photosynthetic pigments content comparing to control. Enhancement of the plant physio-biochemical components resulted from this., which reflected on improve productivity and quality of lettuce plants (cv. Big Bell) under the salinized soil stress of the Fayoum Governorate and other comparable areas. In general, foliar application of soybean seed extract at concentration of 200 mg L⁻¹ or palm pollen grains extract at concentration of 100 mg L⁻¹ were superior and particularly increased mean values were recorded for data recorded comparing to other treatments.

Keywords: *Lactuca sativa* L., Mannitol, Sorbitol, Leaf photosynthetic pigments, Yield and Quality.

*Correspoinding author's e-mail address: naa07@fayoum.edu.eg Submit date: 08-10-2024 Revise date: 15-12-2024 Accept date: 03-02-2025

1. INTRODUCTION

Lettuce, a yearly leafy vegetable (*Lactuca sativa* L.) in the Astaraceae family, is the most widely grown salad crop worldwide (**Funk** *et al.* 2005). Regarding nutritious value, lettuce comes in at number 26^{th} among fruits and vegetables, and 4^{th} when it comes to intake. The outer leaves, which are a darker green color, have the most nutrients (**FAO**, 2009).

One of the main vegetable crops grown in Egypt is lettuce. It is widely grown throughout the majority of Egypt's various environments (Lindqvist, 1960).

n Egypt, lettuce is frequently grown on recently reclaimed land. However, salinity affects the majority of recently recovered soils. One of the main issues with agriculture, especially in arid and semiarid areas, is saline soil, which inhibits plant development and productivity (Seday et al., 2014; Bargaz et al., 2016; Rady et al., 2016; Hemida et al., 2017). Plant extracts are rich sources of bioactive stimuli and using them is one of the most important strategies according to several studies (Desoky et al., 2018 and Rehman et al., 2018). Plant extracts are an amazing environmentally friendly creation that enhances tolerance to a variety of abiotic stresses and improves blooming, plant growth, fruit development, crop output, and nutrient utilization efficiency because of the biostimulants they contain (Desoky et al., 2021 and Yaseen and Takacs-Hajos, 2022). Maize grain embryos extract (MEE) is a biostimulant due to its abundance in cytokinins (CKs), particularly zeatin-type

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

cytokinin (Z-CK), auxins, notably indole-3acetic acid, gibberellins, antioxidants, and vital nutrients to improve morpho-physiobiochemical traits for promote plant tolerance regarding unfavorable conditions (**Rehman** *et al.*, **2018**).

Because salinized soil is thought to pose a risk to agricultural output. The primary aim of this study was how to lessen the negative impacts of salt stress on the quality, productivity, and growth of lettuce plants produced in two locations with varying salinity levels (ECe = 7.00 ± 0.20 dS m-1) using foliar application of plant extracts (date palm pollen grains, maize and soybean seeds) and mannitol, sorbitol and calcium phosphate to elucidate their potential to modulate plant responses to saline soil stress.

2. MATRIALS AND METHODS

two greenhouse experiments were conducted during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 at the Agriculture Test Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt (29° 17'N; 30° 53'E).

2.1. Sources of search materials used

2.1.1. Preparation of maize, soybean date palm pollen grains extracts

The extracts was prepared from maize, soybean date palm pollen grains using the procedure reported by **Rady** *et al.* (2019) Chemical characteristics of maize, soybean date palm pollen grains extracts, which were ascertained and recognized by GC/MS in a dedicated laboratory at the National Research Center, are shown in **Table 1**.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

Table 1. Chemical chracteristics of the tested maize, soybean and date palm grains extract on a dry weight basis identified by GC/MS during the seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021

Properties	Maize	Soybean	Date palm pollens
Osmoprotectants (mg g ⁻¹ dry weight)			
Soluble sugars	70.4	9.05	60.50
Proline	4.89	2.37	2.80
Mineral nutrients (mg g ⁻¹ dry weight)			
Nitrogen	25.5	56.0	54.1
Phosphorus	3.16	7.04	6.60
Potassium	27.1	17.97	17.5
Magnesium	2.52	2.80	3.18
Calcium	3.27	2.70	5.60
Iron	1.22	0.15	2.41
Manganese	0.76	0.25	2.84
Zinc	0.48	0.48	2.81
Copper	0.24	0.16	3.19
Antioxidants and vitamins (mM g ⁻¹ dry weight)			
Total B-group vitamins	128	53.0	119
Ascorbic acid; vitamin C	1.57	2.93	1.97
Glutathione	0.84	0.92	0.23
Phytohormones (μg g ⁻¹ dry weight)			
Total indoles	3.20	3.20	9.00
Indole-3-acetic acid	1.73	3.40	4.92
Gibberellic acid	1.89	2.29	6.74
Trans-Zeatin and trans-Zeatin riboside	2.81	1.80	2.33

2.1.2. Mannitol, sorbitol and calcium phosphate monobasic

Mannitol and sorbitol $C_6H_{14}O_6$ produced by Techno Pharmchem, Bahadurarh, Haryana (India) AN ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Company. Hopkin & Williams Ltd., chemical producers (St Davids Court, Union Street, Wolverhampton, West Midlands, United Kingdom, WV1 3JE), produces calcium phosphate monobasic Ca(H₂PO₄)₂.

2.2. Physical and chemical properties of soil

Soil samples were taken down to a depth of 25 cm in order to determine certain physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental location before each season's treatment got underway. Following established published techniques (**Wilde** *et al.*, **1985**) soil samples were examined at the Soil Testing Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, and the findings were reported in **Table 2**.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

Table 2. Some physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental site during the seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021

Properties	2019/2020	2020/2021
Physical Properties		
Clay (%)	12.59	12.21
Silt (%)	12.01	12.53
Coars Sand (%)	49.79	50.33
Fine Sand	25.61	24.93
Soil Texture	Sand loamy	Sand loamy
Chemical Properties		
PH	7.70	7.59
ECe (dS m ⁻¹)	7.12	7.08
Organic matter (%)	0.84	0.92
CaCo ₃ (%)	14.01	13.97
N (%)	0.09	.11
Availbe elements (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)		
P	65.3	66.7
K	168.5	171.3
Exchangeable Cations (meqL ⁻¹)		
Ca ⁺²	9.87	9.97
Na ⁺	27.5	26.57
Mg^{+2}	7.11	7.21
2.3 Experimental work	(100 and 3	200 mg I ⁻¹) Corn grain extract

2.3. Experimental work

2.3.1. Greenhouse experiments

Imported lettuce hybrid seeds cv. Big Bell (Agent MECCA Trade; Produced by EC-System St. Naktuinbouw 21, China) were hand sown on October 25th, 2019 and October 20th, 2020. Every year, thirty days after the seeds were sown, the seedlings were moved onto the field in rows on both sides of the ridges, with a 25-cm gap between each row. Transplanting date was November 28 and 23 in both seasons 2019 and 2020, respectively. Each experimental unit had five rows that would each be 2.4 meters long and 1.5 meters broad, for a total area of 18 meters. Every two neighboring experimental units were separated by a 0.5 m alley to guard against border effects.

Treatments comprised with twelve of plant growth promoters; Palm pollen grains

(100 and 200 mg L⁻¹), Corn grain extract (100 and 200 mg L^{-1}), Soybean seed extract (100 and 200 mg L⁻¹), Mannitol (1500 and 3000 mg L^{-1}), Sorbitol (1500 and 3000 mg L⁻¹) and Calcium phosphate (800 and 1000 $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ mg L⁻¹), as well as, tap water. Following transplanting, the thirteen treatments were applied foliarly to run-off three times, at 25, 40, and 55 days. To the spraying solution, a small amount of salient film was added as a wetting agent. Both conducted experiments were a randomized complete blocks design. with five replications, each replicate included 13 treatments. Every experimental unit received recommended doses according to MLAR (Market- Led Agrarian Reform) shown in **Table 3**

Table 3. Chemical fertilization	program as a ratio	among N: P ₂ O ₅ : K ₂ O
---------------------------------	--------------------	---

Fertilization period	% From total amount	Ν	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O
Frist month	25	3.0	1.0	2.0
Second month	35	3.0	1.5	3.0
Thread month	25	3.0	1.5	3.0

2.3.2. Plant sampling

For morphological traits, membrane permeability measures (RWC and MSI), and leaf photosynthetic pigments, plants in the first row were assigned to each experimental unit. But in order to compute yield and its components, the second row was selected.

2.4. Data Recorded

2.4.1. Morphological characteristics

Sixty- five days following transplanting date of lettuce, five plants were haphazardly selected, in every test unit. The following morphological characteristics were measured:

2.3.1.1. Plant height (cm); measured starting from the ground level to the highest peak of the canopy.

2.3.1.2. Stem diameter (cm); measured by using Sealy So707-Digital Electronic Vernier Caliper 0-150 mm/0-6" at ground level.

2.4.1.3. Stem length (**cm**); evaluated from the level of the growth medium to the main stem's apical meristem.

2.4.1.4. Leaves and stems dry weights plant⁻¹ (g); gained by drying at 70° C in a forced-air oven till the weight became constant.

2.4.1.5. Number of leaves plant⁻¹

2.4.1.6. Leaf area plant⁻¹ (**cm**); measured using leaf-blades weight relationship as illustrated by **Taha and Osman (2018);** and was calculated using the following formula:

Leaf area plant⁻¹ = $\frac{(LDW)}{(DDW)} \times DA$

where LDW is the total leaf dry weight (g), DDW is the discs dry weight and DA is the discs area.

2.41.7. Leaf area leaf⁻¹ (cm); calculated using the following formula:

Leaf area leaf $^{-1}$ = Leaf area plant $^{-1}$ /Number of leaves plant $^{-1}$

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

2.4.2. Plant water status

In order to determine membrane permeability, four randomly chosen plants from each experimental unit provided leaf samples. Following the transplant date by 65 days, rinsed three times with distilled water and cleaned with tape water. The morphological traits listed below were assessed:

2.4.2.1.Relative water content (RWC%); The percentage of RWC was calculated using the formula introduced by Hayat *et al.* (2007) and modified by Osman and Rady (2014) as follows:

RWC (%) = $[(Fw - Dw)/(Tw - Dw)] \times 100$ 2.4.2.2. Membrane stability index (MSI %); The Premchandra *et al.* (1990) methodology was used to assess the leaf membrane stability index. Membrane stability index was calculated according to the following formula that mentioned by Sairam (1994)

MSI (%) = $[1 - (EC_1/EC_2)] \times 100$

2.4.3. Leaf photosynthetic pigments contents

2.4.3.1. Chlorophyll a, b, and carotenoid (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight)

Sixty- five days from transplanting date of lettuce, 10 plants were haphazardly selected, in every test unit. Chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid contents were determined using the dimethyl formamide (DMF) method (Moran and Porath, 1980; Wellburn, 1994). Chlorophyll a and b contents were measured by the absorption at wave lengths 647 nm and 664 nm, orderly using a spectrophotometer (UV-Visible Spectroscopy System, Hewlett Packard 95-98). The concentration of chlorophyll *a* and *b* was calculated according to the following formulas (Wellburn, 1994):

Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) = 11.65 A664 - 2.69 A647 (x)

Chlorophyll *b* (Chl *b*) = 20.81 A647 - 4.53 A664 (xi)

Total chlorophyll = Chl a + Chl b

Total carotenoids content was measured by the absorption at wavelength 480 nm via a spectrophotometer (UV-Visible Spectroscopy System, Hewlett Packard 95-98). Total carotenoids concentration was calculated according to the following formula:

Total carotenoids = [1000A480 - 0.89 (Chl *a*) - 52.02 (Chl *b*)]/245 (xii)

2.4.3.2.Measurements of photosynthetic Efficiency

Seventy- five days from transplanting date of lettuce, 10 plants were haphazardly selected, in every test unit. The maximum quantum yield of PSII in a dark-adapted state (variable fluorescence by maximum fluorescence; Fv/Fm and photosynthetic performance index; PPI) was measured fluorimeter using a (Handy PEA, Hansatech Instruments Ltd., Kings Lynn, UK) as defined by Maxwell and Johnson (2000) and Clark et al. (2000), respectively.

2.4.4. Yield

The lettuce yields were harvested and measured 90 days after transplanting from second row in each experimental unit. The following data were recorded:

2.4.4.1. Head weight plant⁻¹ (**kg**); average weight of heads.

2.4.4.2. Heads yield per square meter (m² kg⁻¹) theoretically calculated 16 plants (every square meter has 4 rows, and inside each row there are 4 plants).

2.5 Statistical analysis

The homogeneity of error variances was tested using **InfoStat software**

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

estadistico (2016) in accordance with the methodology described by Gomez and Gomez (1984) before all data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a randomized complete blocks design. Use of Duncan's multiple range test revealed significant differences across treatments at $p \le 0.05$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The gained results of two greenhouse experiments were conducted during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 seasons to identify effects of foliar applications of plant extracts (date palm pollen, maize and soybean seeds) and mannitol, sorbitol and calcium phosphate as well as control (tap water) are presented in following topics; morphological the characteristics, membrane permeability, leaf photosynthetic pigments content, leaf N, P, K, Ca, and Na contents, enzymatic antioxidants assays, and yield of lettuce plants grown on saline soil.

3.1. Morphological characteristics

Generally, all the foliar application of the twelve treatments (plant extracts, mannitol, sorbitol and calcium phosphate) were superior and significantly recorded higher mean values of plant height, stem length and diameter, dry weight plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and leaf area plant⁻¹ of lettuce plants comparing to control (tap water), and the trend was parallel in both years. In another direction, foliar application of tap water (control) gave the highest mean value of leaf area leaf⁻¹ of lettuce plants, in two seasons shown in **Tables 4-6**.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

Table 4. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on plant height, stem length and stem diameter of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 (1st) and 2020/2021 (2nd)

Treatment	(ma I - 1)	Plant hei	Plant height (cm)		Stem length (cm)		ameter (mm)
Treatment	(ing L)	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
Control (tap water)		14.9 ^j	17.8 ^j	4.9 ^g	5.8 ^g	14.9 ^f	15.5 ^f
_	100	24.7 ^a	29.5ª	9.2 ^{bc}	11.0 ^{bc}	25.9ª	27.0ª
Palm pollen grains extract	200	21.9 ^{cd}	26.2 ^{cd}	9.0 ^{bcd}	11.8 ^{bcd}	26.8 ^a	27.9ª
Commentation of	100	20.2 ^{ef}	24.2 ^{de}	10.2ª	12.2ª	25.7ª	26.8ª
Corn grain extract	200	22.3 ^{bcd}	26.7 ^{bcd}	10.0 ^{ab}	11.8 ^{ab}	20.5 ^{bc}	21.3 ^{bc}
Comboon and ontro of	100	22.9 ^{bc}	27.3 ^{bc}	9.5 ^{ab}	11.3 ^{ab}	26.3 ^a	27.3 ^a
Soybean seed extract	200	23.7 ^{ab}	28.3 ^{ab}	7.9 ^e	9.3 ^e	22.3 ^b	23.2 ^b
Mannital	1500	16.9 ^{hi}	20.2 ^{hi}	7.5 ^{ef}	9.0 ^{ef}	19.6 ^{cd}	20.4 ^{cd}
Mannitol	3000	21.1 de	25.2^{de}	6.7 ^f	8.0^{f}	15.7 ^{ef}	16.4 ^{ef}
Carb ⁴ 4al	1500	19.1 ^{fg}	22.8^{fg}	8.1 ^e	9.7 ^e	17.8 ^{de}	18.5 ^{de}
Sorbitol	3000	17.8 ^{gh}	21.3 ^{gh}	8.2 ^{de}	9.8 ^{de}	20.3 ^{bc}	21.1 ^{bc}
	800	15.8 ^{ij}	18.8^{ij}	9.2 ^{bc}	11.0 ^{bc}	20.3 ^{bc}	21.1 ^{bc}
Ca(H ₂ PO ₄) ₂	1000	18.0 ^{gh}	21.5 ^{gh}	8.4^{cde}	10.0 ^{cde}	20.4 ^{bc}	21.2 ^{bc}

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

The highest values recorded in morphological characteristics measurements via foliar application of plant growth promoters treatments were as follows:

Palm pollen grains extract; at 100 mg L^{-1} in plant height, whilst, at 200 mg L^{-1} in stem dry weight plant⁻¹. While, the concentration at 100 and 200 mg L^{-1} in stem diameter and number of leaves plant⁻¹ of lettuce plants, in both experimental seasons.

Corn grain extract; at 100 mg L^{-1} in plant height stem length and stem diameter, in two seasons

Soybean seed extract; at 100 mg L^{-1} in stem diameter and total dry weight plant⁻¹, whilst, concentration at 100 and 200 mg L^{-1} in leaf area plant⁻¹. While, the concentration at 100 and 200 mg L^{-1} in leaves dry weight plant⁻¹ of lettuce plants, in both year.

On other side, the foliar application of tap water (control) gave the highest mean value of leaf area leaf⁻¹ of lettuce plants, in two seasons.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

Table 5. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on dry weight plant⁻¹ of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 (1st) and 2020/2021 (2nd)

		Dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)					
		Leaves		Stem		Total pla	nt
Treatment	(mg L ⁻¹)1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
Control (tap water)		3.8 ^f	4.5 ^f	0.40^{f}	0.47^{f}	4.16 ^f	4.97 ^f
	100	8.6 ^d	10.3 ^d	1.40 ^b	1.67 ^b	10.0 ^d	11.98 ^d
Palm pollen grains extract	200	10.1 ^{bc}	12.1 ^{bc}	1.57^{a}	1.87^{a}	11.7°	14.01°
Come and in orthogot	100	8.3 ^d	9.9 ^d	1.21 ^{cd}	1.44 ^{cd}	9.51 ^d	11.38 ^d
Corn grain extract	200	8.2 ^d	9.8 ^d	1.10 ^d	1.32 ^d	9.32 ^d	11.14 ^d
	100	11.7 ^a	14.0 ^a	1.47^{ab}	1.75 ^{ab}	13.15 ^a	15.72 ^a
Soybean seed extract	200	11.5 ^a	13.7ª	1.46 ^{ab}	1.75 ^{ab}	12.95 ^{ab}	15.49 ^{ab}
N / 14 - 1	1500	6.5 ^e	7.8 ^e	0.80 ^e	0.96 ^e	7.35 ^e	8.79 ^e
Mannitol	3000	5.6 ^e	6.7 ^e	0.67 ^e	0.80 ^e	6.31 ^e	7.53°
a 1.4 1	1500	10.6 ^{ab}	12.7 ^{ab}	1.30 ^{bc}	1.56 ^{bc}	11.90b ^c	14.22 ^{bc}
Sorbitol	3000	10.2 ^{bc}	12.1 ^{bc}	1.43 ^{ab}	1.71 ^{ab}	11.58°	13.84 ^c
	800	9.2 ^{cd}	11.0 ^{cd}	0.79 ^e	0.94 ^e	10.00 ^d	11.92 ^d
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$	1000	6.3 ^e	7.6 ^e	1.11 ^d	1.32 ^d	7.44 ^e	8.89 ^e

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

Table 6. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on Number of leaves, leaf area and its segments of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 (1st) and 2020/2021 (2nd)

Treatment	(mg L ⁻¹)	Number of leaves plant ⁻¹		Leaf area plant ⁻¹ (dm ²)		Leaf area	leaf ⁻¹ (dm ²)	
1 I catilicit	(ing L)	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	
Control (tap water)		9.6 ^e	11.5e	35.5 ^h	36.6 ^h	3.63 ^a	3.15 ^a	
Palm pollen grains extrac	t $\frac{100}{200}$	29.0 ^a 25.2 ^{abc}	34.7 ^a 30.0 ^{abc}	58.8 ^{abc} 60.3 ^{ab}	$60.6^{ m abc}$ $62.2^{ m ab}$	1.91 ^g 2.00 ^{fg}	1.66 ^g 1.73 ^g	
Corn grain extract	100 200	23.1° 22.3°	27.7° 26.7°	47.1 ^{ef} 49.1 ^e	48.4 ^{ef} 50.6 ^e	2.03 ^{fg} 2.22 ^{defg}	$\frac{1.75^{\text{fg}}}{1.92^{\text{defg}}}$	
oybean seed extract	100 200	25.4 ^{abc} 28.1 ^{ab}	30.3 ^{abc} 33.7 ^{ab}	57.2 ^{bc} 61.1 ^a	59.0 ^{bc} 62.9 ^a	2.22^{defg} 2.09^{efg}	1.92 ^{defg} 1.81 ^{efg}	
Jannitol	1500 3000	14.2 ^d 16.9 ^d	17.9 ^d 20.2 ^d	43.6 ^g 45.2 ^{fg}	45.0 ^g 46.6 ^{fg}	2.94 ^b 2.57 ^{cd}	2.55 ^b 2.22 ^{cd}	
orbitol	1500 3000	23.2 ^c 24.3 ^{bc}	28.3 ^c 29.0 ^{bc}	56.3 ^{cd} 60.5 ^{ab}	58.0 ^{cd} 62.4 ^{ab}	2.34^{cdef} 2.41^{cde}	2.03 ^{cdef} 2.09 ^{cde}	
Ca(H2PO4)2	800 1000	21.8° 21.7°	26.0 ^c 25.4 ^c	53.6 ^d 56.3 ^{cd}	55.3 ^d 58.0 ^{cd}	2.52 ^{cd} 2.59 ^{bc}	2.18 ^{cd} 2.24 ^c	

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

3.2. Membrane permeability

In both seasons, foilar application of corn grain extract at 200 mg L^{-1} , mannitol at 3000 mg L^{-1} and calcium phosphate at 1000 mg L^{-1} significantly, attained higher values of MSI %, and the trend was parallel in both experimental seasons.

Foilar application of palm pollen grains extract at 200 mg L⁻¹ and calcium phosphate at 1000 mg L⁻¹ significantly, attained higher values of RWC % than the other treatments, in the two years according to **Table 7**.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

Table 7. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on membrane permeability of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 (1st) and 2020/2021 (2nd)

Transformer	(τ =1)	MSI (%)		RWC (%)	
Treatment	$(mg L^{-1})$	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
Control (tap water)		60.6 ^e	62.5 ^{ef}	61.5 ^e	63.4 ^e
Dalm nollon grains artract	100	62.6cd ^e	64.6 ^{def}	67.2 ^d	69.3 ^d
Palm pollen grains extract	200	69.0b	71.1b	84.9a	87.6a
Corn grain extract	100	62.1d ^e	63.8 ^{def}	68.3 ^d	70.1 ^d
	200	73.7 ^a	75.9ª	80.6 ^b	83.1 ^b
Covincen cood over ot	100	61.1 ^e	63.0 ^{ef}	67.1 ^d	69.2 ^d
Soybean seed extract	200	65.7°	67.8 ^c	77.8 ^{bc}	80.2 ^{bc}
Mannitol	1500	63.5 ^{cde}	65.4 ^{cde}	65.8 ^d	67.8 ^d
Mainintoi	3000	71.1 ^{ab}	73.3 ^{ab}	76.0 ^c	78.3°
Sorbital	1500	62.0 ^e	63.9 ^{ef}	65.7 ^d	67.7 ^d
Sorbitol	3000	65.2 ^{cd}	67.2 ^{cd}	76.3c	78.7°
$C_{0}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{O})$	800	60.4 ^e	62.2^{f}	67.9 ^d	70.0 ^d
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$	1000	73.0 ^a	75.2ª	84.5 ^a	87.1ª

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

3.3. Leaf photosynthetic pigments

As usual, spraying via any twelve treatments of plant growth promoters applications with any level led to a significant increase in leaf chlorophyll a, a+b, carotenoids and SPAD chlorophyll contents of lettuce plants comparing to control, and the trend was parallel in the two years. Generally, foliar application of palm pollen extract at any levels (100 or 200 mg L^{-1}) were superior and significantly recorded higher mean values of the photosynthetic response of PPI of lettuce plants compared to the other plant growth promoters. Also, foliar application of palm pollen, corn and soybean seed extracts at concentrations 200 mg L⁻¹ gave the highest significant values on leaf SPAD chlorophyll, in both years shown on **Tables 8 and 9**.

Table 8. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on Chlorophyll *a*, *b* and carotenoid of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of $2019/2020 (1^{st})$ and $2020/2021 (2^{nd})$

Treatment	(772.07	Chlorophyll (mg/mm ² fresh weight)						Caroten	oid (mg/mm ² fresh
L^{-1})	(mg	а		b		a + b			weight)
L)		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
Control (tap water)		0.378^{f}	0.390 ^f	0.257 ^h	0.265 ^h	0.635 ⁱ	0.655 ⁱ	0.244 ^g	0.252 ^g
Palm pollen grains	100	0.505 ^{cd}	0.521 ^{cd}	0.534 ^{de}	0.551 ^{de}	1.039 ^{def}	1.071^{def}	0.494 ^e	0.510 ^e
extract	200	0.671ª	0.691ª	0.693ª	0.714 ^a	1.364a	1.406a	0.655a	0.675a
Corn grain extract	100	0.483 ^{cde}	0.496 ^{cde}	0.502 ^{ef}	0.516 ^{ef}	0.985^{fg}	1.012 ^{fg}	0.477 ^e	0.490 ^e
Corn grain extract	200	0.456 ^{de}	0.470 ^{de}	0.489 ^f	0.504^{f}	0.945 ^g	0.974 ^g	0.468 ^e	0.482 ^e
Soybean seed extract	100	0.432 ^{ef}	0.445 ^{ef}	0.433 ^g	0.447 ^g	0.865 ^h	0.892 ^h	0.411^{f}	0.424^{f}
Soybean seed extract	200	0.501 ^{cd}	0.517 ^{cd}	0.504 ^{ef}	0.520 ^{ef}	1.006 ^{efg}	1.037 ^{efg}	0.486 ^e	0.501 ^e
Mannitol	1500	0.486 ^{cde}	0.501 ^{cde}	0.594°	0.613°	1.080 ^{cde}	1.114 ^{cde}	0.565 ^{bcd}	0.582 ^{bcd}
	3000	0.502 ^{cd}	0.517 ^{cd}	0.616 ^{bc}	0.635 ^{bc}	1.118 ^c	1.152 ^c	0.585 ^b	0.603 ^b
Sorbitol		0				1.078 ^{cde}	1.111 ^{cde}	0.542^{d}	0.559^{d}
	3000	0.521 ^{bc}	0.537 ^{bc}	0.574 ^{cd}	0.592 ^{cd}	1.095 ^{cd}	1.129 ^{cd}	0.549 ^{cd}	0.566^{cd}
Ca(H ₂ PO ₄) ₂	800		0.000		0.623°		1.176 ^c	0.577 ^{bc}	0.595 ^{bc}
	1000	0.572 ^b	0.590 ^b	0.658 ^{ab}	0.678 ^{ab}	1.230 ^b	1.268 ^b	0.628 ^a	0.647 ^a

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

Table 9. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on leaf SPAD chlorophyll and photosynthetic responses (Fv/Fm and PPI) of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 (1st) and 2020/2021 (2nd)

T	(SPAD	<i>.</i>	Fv∖Fm		PPI	
Treatment	(mg L ⁻¹)	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
Control (tap water)		33.9 ^f	35.0 ^f	0.826ª	0.852 ^{ab}	4.16 ^{ab}	4.29 ^{ab}
_	100	38.0 ^{abc}	39.2 ^{abc}	0.829 ^a	0.855^{ab}	4.43 ^a	4.57 ^a
Palm pollen grains exti	^{ract} 200	40.0 ^a	41.3 ^a	0.832 ^a	0.857^{ab}	4.54 ^a	4.68 ^a
a · · · ·	100	38.3 ^{abc}	39.4 ^{abc}	0.833ª	0.855^{ab}	3.42 ^{cd}	3.52 ^{cd}
Corn grain extract	200	39.6ª	40.8 ^a	0.831ª	0.857^{ab}	2.81 ^e	2.89 ^e
Southoon good outpoot	100	36.9 ^{bcd}	38.0 ^{bcd}	0.827^{a}	0.853 ^{ab}	3.74 ^{bc}	3.86 ^{bc}
Soybean seed extract	200	39.0 ^{ab}	40.2 ^{ab}	0.829 ^a	0.855^{ab}	3.00 ^{de}	3.09 ^{de}
Manuital	1500	35.4 ^{def}	36.5^{def}	0.823ª	0.849 ^b	3.60°	3.72°
Mannitol	3000	36.9 ^{bcd}	38.0 ^{cde}	0.832ª	0.858ª	3.59°	3.70°
Sorbitol	1500	36.4 ^{cde}	37.5 ^{cde}	0.805 ^b	0.830°	3.78 ^{bc}	3.90 ^{bc}
Sorbitol	3000	38.3 ^{abc}	39.5 ^{abc}	0.825ª	0.850^{ab}	3.84 ^{bc}	3.96 ^{bc}
Ca(H ₂ PO ₄) ₂	800	34.6 ^{ef}	35.7 ^{ef}	0.827^{a}	0.853 ^{ab}	3.79 ^{bc}	3.91 ^{bc}
	1000	36.6 ^{cde}	37.7 ^{cde}	0.827^{a}	0.852^{ab}	3.89 ^{bc}	4.01 ^{bc}

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

3.4. Yield

Generally, foliar application of plant growth promoters was superior and significantly recorded higher mean values of head weight and heads yield per 1 m^2 of lettuce plants comparing to control, in both experimental seasons.

Foliar spraying with soybean seed extract at concentration of 200 mg L^{-1} gave higher values in head weight and heads yield per 1 m², however, there was no discernible significant increase between it and the foliar application with palm pollen grains extract at concentration of 100 mg L^{-1} , in both seasons shown in **Table 10**.

Table 10. Effect of some plant growth promoters (PGP) applications on Head weight and Total heads yield of lettuce plants grown on saline soil during two winter seasons of 2019/2020 (1st) and 2020/2021 (2nd)

Treatments	(mg L ⁻¹)	Head weigh	nt (kg)	Heads yiel	$\mathbf{d} \; (\mathbf{m}^2 \; \mathbf{kg}^{-1})$
		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
Control (tap water)		0.442 ^h	0.511 ^h	7.1 ^g	8.2 ^g
	100	0.835 ^{ab}	0.964^{ab}	13.4 ^{ab}	15.4 ^{ab}
Palm pollen grains extra	^a 200	0.705°	0.815 ^c	11.3°	13.0 ^c
a • • • •	100	0.784 ^b	0.905 ^b	12.5 ^b	14.5 ^b
Corn grain extract	200	0.553^{fg}	0.639^{fg}	8.9 ^{ef}	10.2 ^{ef}
Southoon good outure of	100	0.621 ^{ef}	0.718 ^{ef}	9.9 ^{de}	11.5 ^{de}
Soybean seed extract	200	0.864a	0.999a	13.8ª	16.0 ^a
Mounital	1500	0.626 ^{def}	0.723 ^{def}	10.0 ^{de}	11.6 ^{de}
Mannitol	3000	0.527 ^g	0.609 ^g	8.4^{f}	9.7 ^f
Combital	1500	0.695 ^{cd}	0.803 ^{cd}	11.1 ^{cd}	12.8 ^{cd}
Sorbitol	3000	0.666 ^{cde}	0.770 ^{cde}	10.7 ^{cd}	12.3 ^{cd}
	800	0.517 ^{gh}	0.597 ^g	8.3 ^f	9.6 ^f
Ca(H ₂ PO ₄) ₂	1000	0.536 ^g	0.619 ^g	8.6 ^f	9.9 ^f

Values marked with the same letter(s) within the effects are statistically similar using Duncan's multiple range test at $p \le 0.05$.

Plant extracts can be associated with the amelioration of salinity stress as they are the sources of prominent phytochemicals like vitamins, carotenoids, amino acids, phytohormones, mineral nutrients, phenolics, and antioxidants (Latif and

Mohamed, 2016). There are several reports where these compounds, used either individually or in mixtures, were found to be effective against salinity stress (Calvo et al., 2014; Drobek et al., 2019; Iqbal et al., 2014; Bulgari et al., 2015; Shukla et al., 2019; Zulfigar et al., 2020). The enhancing effect plant growth promoters of applications on morphological characteristics of lettuce plants (Tables 4-6) might be due to the plant extracts containing a lot of osmoprotectants, mineral nutrients, antioxidants and vitamins (antioxidants and vitamins, ascorbic acid and glutathione) and phytohormones as shown in Table 1. Perhaps because the best plant growth promoters are more easily accessible and can be applied in larger doses, plants may absorb them better and convert them into metabolic products, which in turn lead to increased plant development.

Spraying plant growth promoters also contributed to an increase MSI, RWC, chlorophyll a+b, DPPH and decrease Na under any levels of plant growth promoters applications (Tables 7-9). Moreover, rising levels of leaf carotenoids (Table 8) allow deal with free radicals. tissues to particularly peroxyl radicals and singlet thanks to their antioxidant oxygen, and properties (Sies Stahl. 1995). Türkmen and Su (2019) confirmed that the extracts promoted photosynthesis, activated the respiratory cycle, and delaying the aging of plants. Plant extracts also contain cytokinins, which stimulate carbohydrate metabolism, promote cell division, elongation, and chlorophyll biosynthesis; auxins, which improve cell elongation, stem growth, and lateral root formation; mineral nutrients, such as Ca, Mg, P, K, Fe, Mn, Zn, I, and Cu; osmoprotectants, such as soluble sugars and proline; and vitamins thus, extracts have the potential to stimulate plant growth externally (Rady et al., 2019). Many other reports support on lettuce our obtained results such as Abdulkadhim

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

(2019), Byan (2020), Taha et al. (2020), Nessem et al. (2023), and EL-Sayed and Darwish (2023) with extract of date palm pollen grains, Semida and Rady (2014), ur Rehman et al. (2018), Rady et al. (2019), El-Yazal et al. (2021), and Alharby et al. (2021) with extract of corn seed extract.

Bielski (2005) explained that due to the chemical composition, alcoholic sugars carbohydrates that are crucial to photosynthesis move freely and readily inside plants. Mannitol and sorbitol facilitate the transport of microelements inside the phloem tubes in a complex forms (Jyolsna, 2005). Therefore, mannitol may move both major and minor nutrients from the leaves to the active parts of the growing tops, flowers, and fruits, this property has a good effect on the yield and vegetative growth of plants (Brown and Hu, 1996), as shown in Table 10. Sugar alcohols (mannitol, sorbitol, and inositol) have been shown in numerous investigations to play an osmoprotective effect during salt and drought stress tolerance (Williamson et al., 2002). Many other reports support on lettuce our obtained results such as **Mosleh** and Rasool (2019), Habiba et al. (2019), Issa et al. (2020), Al-Abtan et al. (2021), Saleh and Hamzah, (2021), Rashid and Mosleh (2022), and Samy (2022) with foliar spraying of sorbitol and mannitol.

P fertilizer application is required to provide ideal vegetative growth and quality of plants (Zapata and Zaharah, 2002), as well as for obtaining, storing, and utilizing energy (Epstein and Bloom, 2004). Thus, P foliar application and plant vegetative development were shown to be positively correlated in the current study, which is consistent with earlier research showing that application increases all Ρ morphological features when compared to control (Tables 4–6). It is well known that high P concentrations in the tissue are necessary for leaf development since P is

essential for photosynthesis, which produces starch and sucrose and raises the dry weight of plants. (Cakmak et al., 1994). Through a rise in root and shoot photosynthetic product, enough P tries to improve dry matter accumulation (Rady et al., 2018a). According to the information above, it's possible that the foliar spray of calcium phosphate offset the drop in phosphorous absorption through the roots (Table 10), which is caused by low temperatures below 13°C and promotes the growth of lettuce plants. Our results are supported by numerous additional reports, including Rab and Haq (2012),Chondraki et al. (2012), Rady et al. (2018a), Sajid et al. (2020), and El-Masry et al. (2021).

When plant growth stimulants were administered to lettuce plants, higher RWC and MSI values were seen compared to control (Table 7), this indicates that the plant growth promoters reflected positive effect on increasing water uptake or reduced water loss, which causes increase in leaf water potential. Plant extracts can be associated with the amelioration of salinity stress as they are the sources of prominent phytochemicals like amino acids, mineral and phenolics (Latif nutrients and Mohamed, 2016), which may lead to maintaining the water content of the cells. This led to an improvement in enzymatic antioxidants assays especially; total soluble free in leaves. proline, flavonoids. ascorbate, glutathione, DPPH and phynolics as an osmoticum, helps to maintain the turgor of plant cells (Osman and Rady, 2012; Osman and El-Shatoury, 2014). All that were reflected in an enhancing the permeability of leaves cell membranes of lettuce plants favoring those physiological processes that enable plants to overcome the adverse conditions in saline soils. Similar findings were documented by Hammad and Ali (2014), Abd El-Mageed et al. (2017), ur Rehman et al. (2018), Taha et

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

al. (2020), and Alharby *et al.* (2021). This was also supported by Stoop and Pharr (1994) reported that the accumulation of mannitol and sorbitol in celery cells grown in saline soil increased relative water content and membrane stability index.

A higher RWC and MSI suggest that the foliar application of calcium phosphate (Table 7) likely had a beneficial effect on water intake or decreased water loss, which in turn raised the leaf water potential. Thus, it is possible to draw the conclusion that calcium phosphate has a positive impact on the growth characteristics of lettuce plants (Tables 4-6). This is because phosphorus has an impact on a number of essential plant processes, such as the regulation of certain enzymes, the conversion of sugars and starches, the movement of nutrients within the plant, and the transport of carbohydrates (Taiz et al., 2015). Furthermore, to preserve the integrity and selectivity of the cell membrane, an appropriate concentration of Ca⁺² must be present in the external medium. (Upadhyaya, 2017). El-Masry et al. (2021) documented similar findings.

Using plant extracts (date palm pollem, maize and soybean seeds) to enhance growth boost vegetative and plant production because these extracts include essential nutrients and growth regulators, such as vitamins and organic acids (Table 1) because may be led to significantly increases the levels of chlorophyll and SPAD in leaves. As a result, those extracts promoted photosynthesis, activated the respiratory cycle, and delayed the aging of plants Türkmen and Su (2019). On the other side, the mannitol and sorbitol the chemical composition, which are crucial to photosynthesis, move freely and readily inside plants Bielski (2005). When lettuce plants were exposed to salt stress, foliar spraying with an aqueous of growth stimulant (plant extracts, mannitol, sorbitol and calcium phosphate) leaves enhanced which cytokinin, encourages the

manufacturing of chlorophyll and inhibited the absorption of Na⁺, potentially mitigating the negative impact of this toxic ion on chlorophyll synthesis (Rady et al., 2019). This promoting membrane permeability; RWC and MSI (Table 7) this may be led to an increase in chlorophyll a, b, a+b, carotenoids and leaf SPAD chlorophyll contents in Tables 8 and 9 which, reflected in the increased performance and yield of lettuce plants under saline soils conditions (Table 10). Similar findings were documented by ur Rehman et al. (2018), Abdulkadhim (2019), Taha et al. (2020), ALharby et al. (2021), and Nessem et al. (2023).

Mineral nutrition determines the amounts of in photosynthetic pigments leaves. including chlorophyll *b*, а, a+b, carotenoids, and leaf SPAD chlorophyll (Daughtry et al., 2000). Phosphorus content affects the pigments used in leaf photosynthetic processes because it helps plants stay stable in adverse environments (Bojovic and Stojanovic, 2006). However, the intake of ideal phosphorus levels is necessary for the facilitation of metabolic features and the production of leaf pigment molecules (Waraich et al., 2015; Shubhra et al., 2004). It has been demonstrated that optimal phosphorus conditions in apricot seedlings boost growth plant and chlorophyll a+b levels (Dutt et al., 2013). Additionally, prior research has shown that adding phosphorus to a blue-green alga Spirulina platensis boosts its biomass and total carotenoid synthesis. (Celekli et al., 2009), Conversely, low levels of phosphorus result in lower levels of protein and total chlorophyll (Liang et al., 2005). Kazemi (2014) discovered that after applying 15 mM Ca and 30 ppm humic acid topically, tomato plants' leaf chlorophyll content rose from 13.12 in the control group to 22.41 and 21.14 (SPAD). Furthermore, he stated that when compared to the control plants, the interaction between HA and Ca

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

(30 ppm humic acid + 15 mM Ca) produced the highest value (25.14) in the respect. **Ilias** *et al.* (2007) noticed that adding calcium increased the amount of chlorophyll. **El-Masry** *et al.* (2021) documented similar findings.

Typically, foliar administration of soybean seed extract at concentration of 200 mg L^{-1} or palm pollen grains extract at concentration of 100 mg L⁻¹ act to plant morphological enhancing the characteristics, membrane permeability, leaf photosynthetic pigments content and leaf photosynthetic efficiency (Fv/Fm andPI) which reflected on improve productivity and quality of lettuce plants (Lactuca sativa cv. Big Bell) under saline soil stress in conditions of Fayoum Governorate and other similar regions.

5. REFRENCES

- Abd El-Mageed, T. A., Semida, W. M. and Rady, M. M. 2017. Moringa leaf extract as biostimulant improves water use efficiency, physio-biochemical attributes of squash plants under deficit irrigation. Agric. Water Manag., 193: 46-54.
- **Abdulkadhim, S. J. 2019**. Influence of biofertilizer and spraying with palm pollen grains extracts on some growth indicators and leaves content of nutrient in pomegranate cv. salimi. Plant Arch.,19(1): 1458-1464.
- AL-Abtan, A. I. T., Dawood, M. W. and Obaid, A. A. 2021. Effect of spraying with sorbitol and boron on growth characteristics and oil percentage in rapeseed *Brassica napus* L. Al-Qadisiyah J. agric. sci.,11(2): 17-20.
- Alharby, H. F., Al-Zahrani, H. S., Hakeem, K. R., Alsamadany, H., Desoky, E. S. M. and Rady, M. M.
 2021. Silymarin-enriched biostimulant foliar application minimizes the toxicity of cadmium in maize by suppressing oxidative stress and elevating

antioxidant gene expression. BIOMHC., 11(3): 465.

- Bargaz, A. R., Nassar, M. A., Rady, M. M., Gaballah, M. S., Thompson, S. M., Brestic, M., Schmidhalter, U. and Abdelhamid, M. T. 2016. Improved salinity tolerance by phosphorus fertilizer in two *Phaseolus vulgaris* recombinant inbred lines contrasting in their P-efficiency. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 202(6): 497-507.
- **Bielski, R. 2005**. Taxonomic patterns in the distribution of polyols within the proteaceae. Aust. J. Bot., 53(3): 205-217.
- **Bojovic, B. and Stojanovic, J. 2006.** Some wheat leaf characteristics in dependence of fertilization. Kragujevac J. Sci., 28: 139-146.
- Bulgari, R., Cocetta, G., Trivellini, A. Vernieri, P. and Ferrante, A. 2015. Biostimulants and crop responses: a review. Biol. Agric. Hortic., 31(1): 1-17.
- **Byan, U. A. 2020**. Effect of foliar spray with aqueous extract of date palm pollen grains and Lithovit on common bean plants under different irrigation levels. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 47(3): 677-691.
- Hengeler, Cakmak. I.. С. and Marschner, H. 1994. Partitioning of and root dry matter shoot and carbohydrates in bean plants suffering phosphorus, potassium from and magnesium deficiency. J. Exp. Bot., 45(9): 1245-1250.
- Calvo, P., Nelson, L. and Kloepper, J. W. 2014. Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant Soil., 383: 3-41.
- Celekli, A., Yavuzatmaca, M. and Bozkurt, H. 2009. Modeling of biomass production by *Spirulina platensis* as function of phosphate concentrations and pH regimes. ioresour. Technol., 100(14): 3625-3629.
- Chondraki, S., Tzerakis, C. and Tzortzakis, N. 2012. Influence of NaCl and calcium foliar spray on hydroponically grown parsley in NFT

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12-29 (2025)

- system. J. Plant Nutr., 35(10):1457-1467.
- DOI: 10.1080/01904167.2012.689906.
- Clark, A. J., Landolt, W., Bucher, J. B. and Strasser, R. J. 2000. Beech (Fagus sylvatica) response to ozone exposure assessed with a chlorophyll a fluorescence performance index. Environ. Pollut., 109(3): 501–507.
- Daughtry, C. S. T., Walthall, C. L., Kim, M. S., Brown de Colstoun, E. and McMurtrey, J. E. 2000. Estimating corn leaf chlorophyll
- concentration from leaf and canopy reflectance. RSE., 74(2): 229-239.
- Desoky, E. M., Elrys, A. S., Mansour, E., Eid, R. S. M., Selem, E., Rady, M. M., Ali, E. F., Mersal, G. A. M. and Semida, W. M. 2021. Application of biostimulants promotes growth and productivity by fortifying the antioxidant machinery and suppressing oxidative stress in faba bean under various abiotic stresses. Sci. Hortic., 288: 110340.
- Desoky, E. M., Merwad, A. M. and Rady, M. M. 2018. Natural biostimulants improve saline soil characteristics and salt stressed-sorghum performance. *Commun.* Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 49(8): 967–983.
- DOI:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2006.06.002.
- **Drobek, M., Frąc, M. and Cybulska, J. 2019**. Plant biostimulants: Importance of the quality and yield of horticultural crops and the improvement of plant tolerance to abiotic stress A review. Agron., 9(6): 335.
- Dutt, S., Sharma, S. D. and P. Kumar 2013. Inoculation of apricot seedlings with indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in optimum phosphorus fertilization for quality growth attributes. J. Plant Nutr., 36(1): 15-31.
- El-Masry, T., El-Sawah, N., Osman, A.,El-Ghany, A. and Abed El-Hamed, G.2021. Influence of potassium humate and calcium phosphate on production of

pepper seedlings. Fayoum j. Agr. res. dev., 35(2): 363-379.

- **EL-Sayed, S. S. and Darwish, O. S. 2023**. Application of biostimulants promotes growth and productivity of heat-stressed tomato (*Solanum lycoperosicum*) plants. *Fayoum* j. Agr. res. dev., 37(3): 582-598.
- El-Yazal, M. A. S., Salama, M. M. and Rady, M. M. 2021. Impact of presoaking and foliar spray application by maize grain extract in alleviates salinity stress in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants grown under salt stress. J. Agric. Res. Pestic. Biofertil., 2(4): 1-10.
- **Epstein, E. and A. J. Bloom 2004.** Mineral nutrition of plants: Principles and perspectives 2nded. Sunderland Incorporated, MA., USA.
- **FAO. (2009).** Production Year Book. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy.
- Funk, V. A., Bayer, R. J., Keeley, S., Chan, R., Watson, L., Gemeinholzer, B., Schilling, E., Panero, J. L., Baldwin, B. G., Garcia-Jacas, N., Susanna, A. and Jansen, R. K. 2005. Everywhere but Antaractica: using a supertree to understand the diversity and distribution of the Compositae. Biol. Skr., 55:343–374.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. Statistical analysis procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY. pp. 25-30.
- Habiba, U., Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Ibrahim, M., Hussain, A., Shahid, M. R. and Ahmad, P. 2019. Alleviative role of exogenously applied mannitol in maize cultivars differing in chromium stress tolerance. Environ. Sci. Pollut., 26: 5111-5121.
- Hammad, S. A. and Ali, O. A. 2014. Physiological and biochemical studies on drought tolerance of wheat plants by application of amino acids and yeast extract. Ann. Agric. Sci., 59(1): 133-145.

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

- Hayat, S.; Ali, B., Hasan, S. A. and Ahmad, A. 2007. Brassinosteroid enhanced the level of antioxidants under cadmium stress in *Brassica juncea*. Environ. Exp. Bot., 60(1): 33-41.
- Hemida, K. A., Eloufey, A. Z. A., Seif El-Yazal, M. A. and Rady, M. M. 2017. Integrated effect of potassium humate and α-tocopherol applications on soil characteristics and performance of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants grown on a saline soil. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci., 63(11): 1556-1571.
- Ilias, I., Ouzounidou, G., Giannakoula, A. and Papadopoulou, P. 2007. Effects of gibberellic acid and prohexadionecalcium on growth, chlorophyll fluorescence and quality of Okra plant. Biol. Plant., 51(3): 575-578.
- InfoStat 2016. InfoStat software estadistico User's Guide. Version 26/01/2016 InfoStat Institute. https://www.infostat.com.ar/index.php.
- Iqbal, N., Umar, S., Khan, N. A. and Khan, M. I. R. 2014. A new perspective of phytohormones in salinity tolerance: regulation of proline metabolism. Environ. Exp. Bot., 100: 34-42.
- Issa, D., Alturki, S., Sajyan, T. and Sassine, Y. 2020. Sorbitol and lithovitguano25 mitigates the adverse effects of salinity on eggplant grown in pot experiment. Agron. Res., 18(1): 113– 126.
- **Kazemi, M. 2014**. Effect of foliar application of humic acid and calcium chloride on tomato growth. bulletin of environment. *Bull. Env.* Pharmacol. Life Sci., 3(3): 41-46.
- Latif, H. and Mohamed, H. 2016. Exogenous applications of moringa leaf extract effect on retrotransposon, ultrastructural and biochemical contents of common bean plants under environmental stresses. S. Afr. J. Bot., 106: 221-231.

- Liang, X. L., Lin, Y. C., Nian, H. and Xie, L. X. 2005. The effect of low phosphorus stress on main physiological traits of different maize genotypes. Acta Agron. Sin., 31(5): 667-669.
- Lindqvist, K. (1960). On the origin of cultivated lettuce. Hereditas, 46(3-4): 319-350.
- Maxwell, K. and Johnson, G. N. 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence-a practical guide. J. Exp. Bot., 51(345): 659–668.
- Moran, R. and Porath, D. 1980. Chlorophyll determination in intact tissues using N,Ndimethylformamide. Plant Physiol., 65: 478-479.
- Mosleh, M. and Rasool, I. (2019). Role of spraying boron and sugar alcohols on growth, yield and seeds production of pepper. Iraqi J. Agric. Sci., 50(2): 646-652.
- Nessem, A. A., Ebrahim, M. K., Saad-Allah, K. M. and Gad, D. 2023.
 Morpho-physiological and biochemical effects of palm pollen extract in alleviation of cadmium and cobalt toxicity in beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.).
 J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 23(4): 5279-5299.
- **Osman, A. Sh. and El-Shatoury, R. S. 2014**. Stimulation growth and productivity of *Cucurbita moschata* under reclaimed saline soil condition by using sulphur soil application and ascorbic acid foliar spray. J. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 5 (10), 1703-1715.
- Osman, A. SH. and Rady, M. M. 2012. Ameliorative effects of sulphur and humic acid on the growth, antioxidant levels and yields of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) plants grown in reclaimed saline soil. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol., 87(6): 626– 632.
- Osman, A. Sh. and Rady, M. M. 2014. Effect of humic acid as an

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

additive to growing media to enhance the production of eggplant and tomato transplants. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol., 89(3): 237-244.

- Premachandra, G. S., Saneoko, H. and Gata, S. O. 1990. Cell osmotic membrane stability, an indication of drought tolerance, as affected by applied nitrogen in soil. J. Agric. Sci., 115(1): 63-66.
- Rab, A. and Haq, I. 2012. Foliar application of calcium chloride and borax influences plant growth, yield, and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) fruit. Turk. J. Agric. For., 36(6): 695-701.
- Rady, M. M., Abd El-Mageed, T. A., Abdurrahman, H. A. and Mahdi, A. H. 2016. Humic acid application improves field performance of cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.) under saline conditions. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 26(2): 487-493.
- Rady, M. M., El-Shewy, A. A.; Seif El-Yazal, M. A. and Kariman E. S. A.
 2018a. Response of salt-stressed common bean plant performances to foliar application of phosphorus (MAP). Int. Lett. Nat. Sci., 72:7-20.
- Rady, M. M., Talaat, N. B., Abdelhamid, M. T., Shawky, B. T. and Desoky, E. S.
 M. 2019. Maize (*Zea mays* L.) grains extract mitigates the deleterious effects of salt stress on common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) growth and physiology. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol., 94(6): 777-789.
- Rashid, N. S. and Mosleh, M. F. 2022. Effect of foliar spraying with boron and sugar alcohol (mannitol) on vegetative growth traits of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Euphrates* J. Agric. Sci., 14(4): 241-252.
- Rehman, H., Alharby, H. F., Alzahrani, Y. and Rady, M. M. 2018. Magnesium and organic biostimulant integrative application induces physiological and biochemical changes in sunflower plants

and its harvested progeny on sandy soil, Plant Physiol. Biochem., 126: 97–105.

- Sairam, R. K. 1994. Effect of moisture stress on physiological activities of two contrasting wheat genotypes. Indian J. Exp. Biol., 32: 584-592.
- Sajid, M., Ullah, I.; Rab, A., Shah, S. T., Wahid, F., Ahmad, N., Ahmad, I.; Ali, A., Bibi, A. F. and Ahmad, M. 2020.
 Foliar application of calcium improves growth, yield and quality of tomato cultivars. Pure appl. biol., 9(1):10-19.
- Saleh, F. F. and Hamzah, I. A. 2021. Effect of mannitol and phenylalanine spray on the growth, yield and production of some active compounds of (*Brassica napus* L.). J. Educ. Sci., 3(17).
- Samy, M. M. 2022. improving the growth and yield of potato plants in the winter season. J. Plant Prod., 13(3): 99-107.
- Seday, U., Gulsen, O., Uzun, A. and Toprak, G. 2014. Response of citrus rootstocks to different salinity levels for morphological and antioxidative enzyme activities. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 24(2): 512–520.
- Shubhra, J., Dayal, C., Goswami, L. and Munjal, R. 2004. Influence of phosphorus application on water relations, biochemical parameters and gum content in cluster bean under water deficit. Biol. Plant., 48(3): 445-448.
- Shukla, P. S., Mantin, E. G., Adil, M., Bajpai, S., Critchley, A. T. and Prithiviraj, B. 2019. Ascophyllum nodosum-based biostimulants: Sustainable applications in agriculture for the stimulation of plant growth, stress tolerance and disease management. Front. Plant Sci., 10: 655.
- Sies, H. and Stahl, W. 1995. Vitamins E and C, beta-carotene, and other carotenoids as antioxidants. Am. J. Clin., 62(6): 1315-1321.
- Stoop, J. M. and Pharr, D. M. 1994. Mannitol metabolism in celery stressed

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

by excess macronutrients. Plant Physiol., 106(2): 503-511.

- Taha, R. S., Alharby, H. F., Bamagoos, A.
 A., Medani, R. A. and Rady, M. M.
 2020. Elevating tolerance of drought stress in *Ocimum basilicum* using pollen grains extract; a natural biostimulant by regulation of plant performance and antioxidant defense system. S. Afr. J. Bot., 128: 42-53.
- Taha, S. S. and Osman, A. Sh. 2018. Influence of potassium humate on biochemical and agronomic attributes of bean plants grown on saline soil. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol., 93(5): 545 -554.
- Taiz, L., Zeiger, E., Møller, I. M. and Murphy, A. 2015. Plant physiology and development. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland Incorporated, MA, USA.
- Türkmen, M. and Su, A. 2019. The effect of sea lettuce (*Ulva lactuca*) liquid fertilizer and zeolite combinations on the development of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). *Turk.* J. Agric. Food Sci. *Technol.*, 7(7): 1021-1027.
- ur Rehman, H., Alharby, H. F., Alzahrani, Y. and Rady, M. M. 2018. Magnesium and organic biostimulant integrative application induces physiological and biochemical changes in sunflower plants and its harvested progeny on sandy soil. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 126: 97-105.
- Waraich, E. A., Ahmad, Z., Ahmad, R. and Ashraf, S. M. Y. 2015. Foliar applied phosphorous enhanced growth, chlorophyll contents, gas exchange attributes and PUE in wheat (*TriSticum aestivum* L.). J. Plant Nutr. 38(12): 1929-1943.
- Wellburn, A. R. 1994. The spectral determination of chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b, as well as total carotinoids, using various solvents with spectrophotometers of different

resolution. J. Plant Physiol., 144: 307–313.

- Wilde, S. A., Corey, R. B., Lyer, J.G. and Voight, G. K. 1985. Soil and plant analysis for tree culture. Oxford and IBM Publishers. New Delhi. India., 3rd ed. pp 93 -106.
- Williamson, J. D., Jennings, D. B., Guo,
 W. W., Pharr, D. M. and Ehrenshaft,
 M. 2002. Sugar alcohols, salt stress, and
 fungal resistance: polyols—
 multifunctional plant protection?. J. Am.
 Soc. Hortic. Sci., 127(4):467-473.
- Yaseen, A.A. and Takacs-Hajos, M. 2022. The effect of plant biostimulants on the macronutrient content and ion ratio of

FJARD VOL. 39, NO. 1. PP. 12–29 (2025)

- several lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) cultivars grown in a plastic house. S. Afr. J. Bot., 147: 223- 230.
- Zapata, F. and Zaharah, A. R. 2002. Phosphate availability from phosphate rock and sewage sludge as influenced by addition of water soluble phosphate fertilizers. Nutr. Cycling Agroecosyst., 63: 43-48.
- Zulfiqar, F., Casadesús, A., Brockman, H. and Munné-Bosch, S. 2020. An overview of plant-based natural biostimulants for sustainable horticulture with a particular focus on moringa leaf extracts. Plant Sci., 295: 110194.