

**Biotechnology Research** 

Available online at http://zjar.journals.ekb.eg http:/www.journals.zu.edu.eg/journalDisplay.aspx?Journalld=1&queryType=Master



# ESTIMATION OF GENE EFFECT TYPES FOR EARLINESS, YIELD AND ITS ATTRIBUTES IN SIX BREAD WHEAT POPULATION

Rania M.Y. Heakel, H.A. Wafa and A.S. Eldomiaty<sup>\*</sup>

Genet. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt

#### Received: 22/01/2025; Accepted: 27/01/2025

**ABSTRACT:** Six populations of three wheat crosses were grown during three consecutive seasons, at Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt to estimate the adequacy of genetic model of gene action for the evaluated characters. Scaling tests data indicated that non-allelic interaction controlled most of the studies traits in all crosses, except days to heading in t 3<sup>rd</sup> one. The genetic model recorded that all genetic types were significant for plant height in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross. Dominance (h) gene effect was negative and significant for days to heading and plant height in 2<sup>nd</sup> cross, whereas it was positive and significant for spikes/plant in 2<sup>nd</sup> cross and grains/spike, weight of 1000 grain and grain yield/plant in all crosses. Heritability was high (>50%) for days to heading in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cross for spikes/plant number, weight of 1000 grain and grain yield /plant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> one. Dominance ratio was less than unity in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> crosses for days to heading recorded a moderate genetic advance in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> crosses for plant height and in all crosses for spikes/plant, grains/spike, weight of 1000 grain and grain yield/plant.

Key words: Wheat, grain yield, Six population, Gene action, heritability.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Bread wheat is the main common food of Egyptian people and it constitutes a prominent status in the agricultural system. The Egyption consumption of wheat grains is 18 million tons in a year, whereas the local production is 9.7 million tons (FAOSTAT, 2024). To fix the inability of production, wheat grain yield will be improved due to using the best technics and improvement promising cultivars with high yield as a national goal in Egypt.

Generation mean analysis (GMA) follows the quantitative biometrical methods depending on assessments of phenotypic behaviors of quantitative characters. According to **Kearsey and Pooni (1996),** GMA is a beneficial method in plant improvement for determining dominance and additive gene effects and digenetic interactions controlling the expression of quantitative characters. It supports in comprehension the behavior of parents which are used in crossing and the feasibility of using crosses in heterosis utilization and pedigree selection (**Sharma** *et al.* **2003**). As a polygenic trait, grain yield is conducted from the interrelation between the inheritance and the environment. Adequate information about the genetic effects of quantitative characters and grain yield heritability and its attributes is necessary to obtain a suitable program of breeding.

Many studies revealed the great role of nonallelic interactions in the expression of quantitative characters in wheat (Said, 2014; Hassan and El-Said, 2016; Heena *et al.*, 2021). Hamam (2014), Haridy *et al.* (2021) and Sandhu *et al.* (2023) showed the superiority of dominance gene effects for yield and its components in wheat. Al-Naggar *et al.* (2022), Swelam *et al.* (2022) and Al-Mfarji *et al.* (2023) reported epistatic gene actions for several traits including grain yield. Koubisy (2019) and Amiri *et al.* 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel. :+201220923933 E-mail address: ahmedeldomity279@gmail.com

(2024) showed that additive and dominance effects were important for grain yield, with a more pronounced effect of dominance. Sharshar and Genedy (2020) and Mohamed and Eissa (2022) also showed over-dominance effects for grain yield/plant and plant height. The additive gene action was significant for earliness characters; however, the dominance genetic variances were significant for grain yield (Sheera *et al.*, 2024). Duppala *et al.* (2023) revealed that dominance and additive gene were significant, with a higher magnitude of dominance for all studied characters.

The present study was conducted to estimate the various\_types of gene effects in three wheat crosses using generation mean analysis.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present search was laid out during 2021-2022, 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 seasons at Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ. Four wheat genotypes (Misr 2, Sakha 95, Giza 171 and Gemmeiza 12) were used as parents which were differed in earliness and yield components.

The genotypes were crossed in such a way to produce three crosses *i.e.* cross I (Misr 2 x Sakha 95), cross II (Giza 171 x Gemmeiza 12) and cross III (Misr 2 x Giza 171).

The three  $F_1$ 's grains were cultivated to obtain  $F_1$  plants and then back crossed to its relative parents to obtain backcrosses. The self-pollination was made to  $F_1$  plants to obtain  $F_2$  grains.

The produced grains of  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$ and  $BC_2$  populations of the three crosses were cultivated in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. Recorded data of the studied characters were done on 20, 30 and 40 plants per replicate for parents and  $F_1$ crosses, back crosses and  $F_2$  population, respectively. Days to heading, plant height, spikes/plant number, grains/spike number, weight of 1000- grain and grain yield/plant were recorded.

The collected data were analyzed due to twoway analysis of variance by **Steel and Torrie** (1980). The A, B, C, and D scaling tests were determined as conducted by **Mather** (1949) and Hayman and Mather (1955). In the existence of non-allelic model, the six parameters were computed, whereas in the non-attendance of non-allelic, the genetic three parameters were computed according to Jinks and Jones (1958). Degree of dominance and Heritability in narrow sense " $T_n$ " was estimated according to Mather and Jinks (1982). Genetic advance (GA) was calculated as stated by (IPGRIE, 2001).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### **Mean Performance**

Table 2 shows mean and standard error of the six populations of wheat crosses for days to heading, plant height, number of spikes/plant, number of grains/spike, 1000 grain weight and grain yield/plant are shown in Table 2. The F1's means tended towards the earlier and the shorter parent in all crosses. These results confirm the evidence of partial dominance in the genetic makeup of these characters.

The  $F_1$ 's means were near to the earlier parent for days to heading in  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  crosses, the shorter parent for plant height in  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$ crosses and the heaver parent in 1000 grain weight in the  $3^{rd}$  cross, revealing complete dominance in the inheritance of this character in those cases. On other side, the over-dominance type of inheritance as well as negative heterotic effect were shown in the  $1^{st}$  cross for days to heading, in  $2^{nd}$  cross for 1000 grain weight and in  $3^{rd}$  cross for grans/spike number where the  $F_1$ mean was lower than the best parent. Similar findings were recorded for yield components by **Said, 2014; Hassan and El-Said, 2016**.

The F1's surpassed the high performing parent for grain yield/plant and number of spikes/plant in all crosses, grains/spike in  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  crosses and 1000-grain weight in  $1^{st}$  cross. These results confirm the existence of over dominance, and the raising alleles were more recurrent than decrescent alleles in the parental genotypes.

The  $F_2$  means were higher than the  $F_1$  means for plant height and days to heading in all crosses, proposing the accumulation of increasing alleles for earliness and plant height.

No. Genotypes		Pedigree				
1	Misr 2	Skauz/Bav92.CMSS96M0361S-1M-010SY-010M-010SY-8M-0Y-0S				
2	Sakha 95	CMA01Y00158S-040POY-040M-030ZTM-040SY-26M-0Y-0SY-0S.				
3	Giza 171	SAKHA 93 / GEMMIEZA 9 S.6-1GZ-4GZ-1GZ-2GZ-0S N.S.732/Pim/Vee"S"				
4	Gemmeiza12	OTUS/3/SARA/THB//VEE.CCMSS97Y00227S-5Y-010M-010Y-010M-2Y-1M- 0Y-0GM				

Table 1. Pedigree of the studied genotypes

Table 2. Mean performance of the studied six populations of the three wheat crosses for the studied characters

Donulations	D	ays to headin	g	Plant height (cm)			
Populations	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III	
<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	99.00±0.65	97.67±0.66	97.67±0.66	101.87±0.58	109.47±0.94	101.87±0.5	
$\mathbf{F}_1$	95.83±0.89	95.37± <b>0.66</b>	97.03±0.61	94.37±0.83	105.47± <b>1.06</b>	106.27±1.06	
$\mathbf{F}_2$	99.93±1.12	99.24±1.15	99.73±1.15	107.56±1.51	109.20±1.23	107.98±1.53	
BC <sub>1</sub>	99.67±0.93	96.30±0.78	98.33±0.86	98.53±1.35	$106.50 \pm 1.22$	106.57±1.31	
BC <sub>2</sub>	98.30±0.96	95.60±0.71	99.63±1.09	95.43±1.23	104.87±1.18	98.17±1.44	
P2	98.00±0.44	95.87±0.42	98.13±0.17	93.33±0.91	105.27±0.89	109.47±0.94	
	Number of spikes/plant			Number of grains/spike			
P1	8.60± <b>0.25</b>	10.80±0.37	8.60± <b>0.25</b>	59.67±0.64	53.73±0.69	59.67±0.64	
F1	11.63±0.47	11.07± <b>0.39</b>	11.00±0.43	60.20±0.69	59.37±0.70	56.80±0.63	
F2	11.96±0.64	10.22±0.46	10.090.84	50.11±1.07	50.87±1.10	49.69±0.99	
BC1	11.93±0.59	10.63±0.52	11.200.61	52.97±1.0	52.37±0.92	51.87±0.92	
BC2	13.40±0.55	9.87±0.41	11.670.59	51.63±0.97	53.57±1.04	52.93±0.94	
P2	9.47±0.24	8.40±0.25	10.80±0.37	55.00±0.45	57.60±0.31	53.73±0.31	
	1000	) grain weigh	t (g)	Grain yield /plant			
P1	38.60±0.39	40.93±0.57	38.60±0.57	19.83±0.67	22.31±0.64	20.95±0.60	
F1	39.93± <b>0.65</b>	42.77±0.8	40.90±0.63	26.83± <b>0.75</b>	26.89±1.09	26.75±1.21	
F2	33.20±0.75	37.31±1.01	34.11±0.86	20.21±1.42	15.99±1.27	18.78±1.59	
BC1	35.60±0.65	38.73±0.82	39.30±0.77	22.46±1.18	21.66±1.06	22.75±1.56	
BC2	34.90±0.72	40.97±0.71	36.80±0.75	24.13±1.14	21.35±0.97	25.02±1.29	
P2	37.93±0.64	43.93±0.43	40.93±0.39	19.70±0.53	19.78±0.64	25.52±0.96	

On the other hand, it was lower than the F1 means for spikes/plant number, grains/spike number, 1000-grain weight and grain yield/plant in the all crosses, except 1<sup>st</sup> cross for spikes/ plant number, confirming the existence of inbreeding depression.

The back crosses were diverged from the mid of parents and their relative  $F_1$  for spikes/plant number, grains/spike number and grain yield/plant in all crosses, therefore polygenic and non-mendelain inheritance are more clear.

The means of backcrosses were in the mid between the F1 and the tow parents for plant height, days to heading, and 1000 grain weight, revealing the non-attendance of dominance and genes governing these characters are independently separated.simillar results were found by Hamam (2014), Haridy *et al.* (2021) and Sandhu *et al.* (2023).

#### **Gene Effects**

Scaling test and gene action for days to heading, plant height, spikes/plant number, grains/ spike number, 1000 grain weight and grain yield/ plant in three wheat crosses are shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5. The results show significant non-allelic interactions in all crosses for all characters, except days to heading in 3<sup>rd</sup> one. These results obtained the existence of epistasis and the digenic type was suitable for describing the genetic of these traits in those crosses. **Haridy** *et al.* (2021) and Sandhu *et al.* (2023) explained the genetic variation for days to heading and yield.

Insignificant non-allelic interaction was shown for days to heading in the  $3^{rd}$  cross. This result indicated that the simple genetic type manifests to be acceptable in describing the genetic of this character.

The adequacy of genetic model showed that all gene action types were significant for plant height in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross. Similar results were founded by Al Naggar *et al.* (2022), Swelam *et al.* (2022) and Al-Mfarji *et al.* (2023).

Moreover, the additive (d) gene effect was significant and positive for days to heading in the  $1^{st}$  cross. In this connection, positive and significant additive (d) gene action was reported in the genetic of days to heading **Al-Mfarji** *et al.* (2023).

Significant dominance and dominance x dominance gene actions were recorded for

spikes/plant number in  $2^{nd}$  cross. Also, Al **Naggar** *et al.* (2022) found significant dominance and dominance x dominance gene actions for yield and its components.

Furthermore dominance (h) gene effect was negative and significant for plant height and days to heading in  $2^{nd}$  cross, while it was positive and significant for spikes/plant in  $2^{nd}$  cross and grains/spike, 1000 grain weight as well as grain yield/plant in the all crosses. The large amount of dominance gene action shown by these traits in the identical crosses may propose that breeding these traits could be conducted due to hybrid programe. In this connection, dominance gene effect was detected for yield and its attributes by **Duppala** *et al.* (2023) and Sheera *et al.* (2024).

Meanwhile, the additive x dominance (j) type of interaction was negative and significant for plant height in  $1^{st}$  cross and in  $2^{nd}$  cross for number of spikes/plant. Whereas it was significant and positive for 1000 grain weight in  $3^{rd}$  cross as well as the additive (d) and dominance (h) were significant for 1000 grain weight in  $3^{rd}$  cross.

It is interesting to mention that the dominance and dominance x dominance were significant and have different signs in  $3^{rd}$  cross for plant heigh and in  $2^{nd}$  cross for of spikes/plant, indicating that duplicate type of interaction is predominantly.

The additive x additive (i) type of interaction was significant for days to heading and spikes/ plant in  $2^{nd}$  cross, plant height in the  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  crosses, grains/spike in  $3^{rd}$  cross, 1000 grain weight in the all crosses and grain yield /plant in  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  crosses.

Days to heading in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> crosses, plant height in 1<sup>st</sup> cross and spikes/plant, 1000 grain weight as well as grain yield /plant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> cross recorded high narrow sense heritability "T<sub>n</sub>", indicating great advance from selection. Whereas it was moderate for days to heading and plant height in 3<sup>rd</sup> cross and spikes/plant as well as grain yield/plant in the 1<sup>st</sup> cross. On the other hand, it was low for plant height in the 2<sup>nd</sup> cross, grains/spike in the all crosses, 1000 grain weight in the  $\hat{1}^{st}$  and  $3^{rd}$  crosses and grain yield/ plant in the 3rd cross. In this concern, the moderate to high "T<sub>n</sub>" value have been detected by Duppala et al. (2023) and Sheera et al. (2024) for days to heading and most of yield components.

Crosses	Da	ays to heading	;	Plant height (cm)						
Scaling test	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III				
A	6.90**±2.3	-0.43±1.8	$1.97{\pm}1.9$	$0.83 \pm 2.9$	-1.93±2.8	5.00±2.9				
В	$3.57{\pm}1.8$	-0.03±1.6	$4.10 \pm 2.3$	3.17±2.7	$-1.00\pm2.7$	-19.40**±3.2				
С	$16.40^{**}\pm 5.4$	12.71**±4.8	$9.07{\pm}4.8$	46.29**±6.4	11.13**±5.5	$8.04{\pm}6.6$				
D	$2.97{\pm}2.9$	$6.59 \pm **2.5$	$1.50{\pm}2.7$	21.14**±3.5	7.03**±3.0	11.22**±3.6				
	Six-Parameters Model									
Μ	101.07**±1.3	99.24**±1.5	$100.9 \pm 5.4$	107.56**±1.5	109.20**±1.2	107.98**±1.5				
D	2.57*±1.3	$0.70{\pm}1.1$	$-0.2\pm0.34$	$3.10{\pm}1.8$	$1.63 \pm 1.7$	$8.40^{**}\pm 2.0$				
Н	$-8.20\pm5.8$	$-14.6^{**}\pm 5.1$	-0.8±12.5	-45.52±7.1	-15.97**±6.1	-21.84**±7.4				
Ι	$-5.93\pm5.7$	$-13.2^{**}\pm 5.1$		$-42.29^{**}\pm7.0$	$-14.07^{**}\pm 6.0$	-22.44**±7.3				
J	3.33±2.7	$-0.40\pm2.2$		-2.33**±3.8	-0.93±3.6	$24.40^{**} \pm 4.04$				
L	-4.53±7.5	13.64*±6.4		38.29**±9.7	$17.00 \pm 8.7$	36.84**±10.2				
T <sub>(n)</sub>	61.0	68.41	45.13	50.97	28.99	39.05				
$(H/D)^{1/2}$	0.93	0.73	1.4	1.19	1.67	1.52				
GA	10.15	10.23	6.75	10.6	4.64	7.78				

 Table 3. Scaling test and gene effects for days to heading and plant height using six populations in three wheat crosses

\*, \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

Table 4. Scaling test and gene action for number of spikes/plant and number of grains/spike using six populations in three wheat crosses.

Crosses	Numb	oer of spikes	/plant	Number of grains/spike			
Scaling test	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III	
Α	3.63**±1.3	-0.60±1.2	2.80*±1.3	-13.9**±2.2	-8.37**±2.1	-12.73**±2.1	
B	5.70**±1.2	$2.40^{**\pm1.1}$	$1.53 \pm 1.31$	-11.9**±2.1	-9.83**±2.2	-4.67*±2.0	
С	6.49**±2.7	$-4.44 \pm 2.8$	$-1.04 \pm 3.5$	$-34.6^{**}\pm 4.6$	$-26.60^{**}\pm 4.7$	-28.24**±4.2	
D	$-1.42 \pm 1.5$	-3.1**±1.5	$-2.69 \pm 1.9$	-4.38±2.6	$-4.20\pm2.6$	$-5.42*\pm2.4$	
Six-Paramet	ers Model						
Μ	11.96**±0.64	9.22**±0.7	10.09**±0.84	50.11**±1.1	50.87**±1.1	49.69**±0.99	
D	$-1.47\pm0.8$	$-0.30\pm0.71$	$-0.47 \pm 0.85$	$1.33{\pm}1.4$	$-1.20\pm1.4$	$-1.07 \pm 1.3$	
Η	$5.44 \pm 3.1$	7.71**±3.0	$6.68 \pm 3.8$	11.62**±5.2	$12.10*\pm5.3$	$10.94* \pm 4.8$	
Ι	$2.84{\pm}3.0$	$6.24*\pm2.96$	$5.38 \pm 3.7$	$8.76 \pm 5.1$	$8.40 \pm 5.2$	$10.84* \pm 4.76$	
J	$-2.07 \pm 1.6$	$-3.00*\pm1.5$	$1.27{\pm}1.8$	$-2.00\pm2.9$	$1.47 \pm 2.9$	$-8.07^{**}\pm2.7$	
L	-12.18**±4.2	-8.04*±3.95	-9.71*±4.9	17.11±7.2	$9.80 \pm 7.3$	$6.56{\pm}6.8$	
<b>T</b> <sub>(n)</sub>	40.17	55.89	61.54	36.46	39.93	33.87	
$(H/D)^{1/2}$	1.51	1.04	0.98	1.62	1.51	1.73	
GA	3.33	4.75	6.7	5.08	5.72	4.38	

\*, \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

Crosses	100	0 grain weigł	nt (g)	Grain yield /plant (g)					
Scaling test	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III	Cross I	Cross II	Cross III			
Α	-7.33**±1.5	-6.23**±1.9	-0.90±1.8	-1.74±2.6	-5.88*±2.5	$-2.20\pm3.4$			
В	-8.07**±1.7	-4.77**±1.7	-8.23**±1.7	$1.72 \pm 2.5$	$-3.97 \pm 3.3$	$-2.23\pm3.0$			
С	-23.6**±3.4	-21.2**±4.4	-24.89**±3.7	-12.35*±5.9	-31.92**±5.6	-24.9**±6.9			
D	$-4.10*\pm1.8$	$-5.08 \pm 2.3$	$-7.88^{**}\pm 2.0$	-6.17±3.3	$-11.04^{**}\pm 2.9$	-10.2**±3.8			
Six-Parameter	Six-Parameters Model								
Μ	33.20**±0.8	37.31**±1.0	34.11**±0.9	20.21**±1.4	15.99**±1.3	18.78**±1.6			
D	$0.70{\pm}1.0$	$-2.23*\pm1.1$	$2.50*\pm1.1$	$-1.67 \pm 1.6$	$0.31{\pm}1.4$	$-2.27\pm2.0$			
Н	9.87**±3.7	$10.49* \pm 4.7$	16.89**±4.12	19.40**±6.61	27.92**±6.00	23.94**±7.6			
I	8.20*±3.6	10.16*±4.6	15.76**±4.06	12.33±6.55	22.07**±5.8	20.42**±7.5			
J	$0.73 \pm 2.1$	$-1.47 \pm 2.3$	7.33**±2.3	$-3.47 \pm 3.39$	$-1.92 \pm 3.0$	0.03±4.2			
L	$7.20{\pm}5.1$	$0.84{\pm}6.2$	$-6.62\pm5.7$	-12.32±8.8	$-12.22\pm8.0$	-15.99±10.6			
<b>T</b> <sub>(<b>n</b>)</sub>	37.4	56.5	41.84	49.91	52.20	39.27			
$(H/D)^{1/2}$	1.33	0.94	1.36	1.26	1.02	1.47			
GA	3.66	7.41	4.7	9.22	8.65	8.13			

Table 5. Scaling test and gene action for 1000 grain weight and Grain yield /plant using six populations in three wheat crosses

\*, \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

Over dominance ratio was less than unity in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> crosses for days to heading, 3<sup>rd</sup> cross for spikes/plant number and 2<sup>nd</sup> cross for 1000 grain weight, proposing the efficiency of phenotypic selection for improving the previous traits in the relative crosses. On the other side, it was over than unity in the all crosses for plant height, grains/spike and grain yield/plant; the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> crosses for spikes/plant, 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cross for 1000 grain weight and the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross for days to heading. Sharshar and Genedy (2020), Mohamed and Eissa (2022) also showed over-dominance effects for plant height and grain yield/plant

Heritability alone does not reveal the degree of genetic progress that would produced from the selection of a single genotype, and the efficiency of selection depends on heritability and genetic advance. So, information of heritability with genetic advance is more important. In addition, GA is important in anticipating the expected genetic gain from a selection cycle. In the present study, a moderate genetic advance was detected in  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  crosses for days to heading, whereas it was low for days to heading in  $3^{rd}$  cross, plant height in the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  crosses and all crosses for spikes/ plant, grains/spike, 1000 grain weight and grain yield/plant. these results are consistent with the findings by **Hassan and El-Said (2016) and Heena** *et al.* (2021).

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Mfarji, B.A., M.M. Abed Mahdi and O.A. A. Al-Timimi (2023). Genetic analysis of yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using generation mean analysis. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environ. Sci., 1259 (2023) 012120.
- Al-Naggar, A.M.M., K.F. Al-Azab, A.S.M. Younisc, I.O. Hassan, M.A.E. Basyouny and M. Ayaad (2022). Genetic parameters controlling the inheritance of glaucousness and yield traits in bread wheat. Brazilian J. Biol., 82: e253864.

- Amiri, R., S. Bahraminejad and K. Cheghamirza (2024). Generation mean analysis for some agronomic traits at two bread wheat crosses under two different moisture conditions. Environ. Stresses In Crop Sci., 16 (4): 887-904.
- Attri, H., T. Dey, B. Singh and A. Kour (2021). Genetic estimation of grain yield and its attributes in three wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crosses using six parameter model. J. Genet., 100: 47.
- Duppala, M.K., T. Srinivas, Y. Suneetha and G. Suresh (2023). Generation mean analysis for quantitative traits in the population of AKDRMS 21-54 x YH3 cross of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Environ. Biol., 44: 826-832.
- FAOSTAT (2024). Food and agriculture organization of the united nations. statistical database. Available ahttp://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data:
- Hamam, K.A. (2014). Genetic analysis of agronomic traits in bread wheat using six parameters model under heat stress. Egypt. J. Agron., 36 (1): 1-18.
- Haridy, M.H., I.N. Abd-El Zaher and A.Y. Mahdy (2021). Estimate of genetic parameters using six populations in bread wheat. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 52 (3): 36-47.
- Hassan, M.S. and R.A.R. El-Said (2016). Generation means analysis for some agronomic characters in two crosses of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown under saline soil conditions. World Appl. Sci. J., 30 (11): 1526-1531.
- Hayman, B.I. and K. Mather (1955). The description of genetic interaction in continuous variation. Biomet., 11: 69-82.
- Heena, A., T. Dey, B. Singh and A. Kour (2021). Genetic estimation of grain yield and its attributes in three wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crosses using six parameter model. J. Genet., 100: 47.
- IPGRIE, GRA (2001). Descriptors for Allium (*Allium* spp.), Interna-tional Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- Jinks, J.L. and R.M. Jones (1958). Estimation of the components of heterosis. Genet., 43: 223-224.

- Kearsey, M.J. and H.S. Pooni (1996). The Genetical Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Chapman and Hall, London, 380.
- Koubisy, Y.S.I. (2019). Generation mean analysis of two bread wheat crosses under normal and late sowing date conditions. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 97 (2): 589-608.
- Mather, K. (1949). Biometrical Genetics, 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Methuen, London.
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks (1982). Biometrical Genetics, third ed. Chapman and Hall Ltd., ISBN-10, 0412228904
- Mohamed, M.M. and Sh.T. Eissa (2022). Genetic parameters estimation for yield and its components in two bread wheat crosses by using six populations model. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 13 (8):549 – 553.
- Sharma, S.N., R.S. Sain and R.K. Sharma (2003). Genetics of spike length in durum wheat. Euphytica, 13: 155–161.
- Said, A.A. (2014). Generation mean analysis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought stress conditions. Ann. Agric. Sci., 59 (2): 177–184.
- Sandhu, R., B. Singh, I.R. Delvadiya, M.K. Pandey, S.K. Rai and M. Attri (2023). Genetic analysis of grain yield and its contributing traits in four bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crosses using six parameter model. Elect. J. Plant Breed., 14 (1): 154 – 159.
- Sharshar, A.M. and M.S. Genedy (2020). Generation mean analysis for three bread wheat crosses under normal and water stress treatments. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 11 (7): 617-626.
- Sheera, A., T. Dey, M. K. Pandey, T. Singh, R. Sandhu, L. Dhillon, S.S. Chikkeri, R. Kumawat and R. Kumar (2024). Deciphering combining behaviour and magnitude of heterosis in bread wheat cross combinations under sub-tropical region. Elect. J. Plant Breed., 15 (2): 387-393.
- Steel, R.G.D. and T.H. Torrie (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics. A Biometrical Approach. Mc Graw Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.
- Swelam, D., A. Salem, M. Hassan and M. Ali (2022). Breeding enrichment of genetic variation of grain yield and its attributes in bread wheat under drought stress and well irrigation. Phyton., 91 (12): 2701 – 2717.

Heakel, et al.

### تقييم طرز الفعل الجينى للتبكير والمحصول ومساهماته في ثلاث هجن من قمح الخبز

## رانيه محمد يحيى هيكل – هاني عبدالرعوف وفا – أحمد صلاح الدمياطي قسم الوراثة – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق – مصر

تم زراعة العشائر الستة خلال ثلاثة مواسم زراعية في مزرعة كلية الزراعة ، جامعة الزقازيق ، مصر لتقدير تأثيرات الفعل الجينى للتبكير والمحصول ومساهماته. أظهرت نتائج تحليل المقياس تحكم التفاعل غير الأليلى في معظم الصفات تحت الدراسة في جميع الهجن، ما عدا عدد الأيام حتى الطرد في الهجين الثالث. كانت جميع طرز الفعل الجينى معنوية لصفة ارتفاع النيات في الهجين الثالث. كانت جميع طرز الفعل الجينى معنوية الصفة ارتفاع النيات في الهجين الثالث. كان الفعل الجينى السيادى سالب ومعنوى لصفة عدد الأيام حتى الطرد وارتفاع النيات في الهجين الثالث. كان الفعل الجينى السيادى سالب ومعنوى لصفة عدد الأيام حتى الطرد وارتفاع ون النيات في الهجين الثالث. كان الفعل الجينى السيادى سالب ومعنوى لصفة عدد الأيام حتى الطرد وارتفاع وزن 1000 حبة ومحصول الحبوب/السنبلة، وعند السنابل/النبات في الهجين الثانى، بينما كان موجبا ومعنويا لصفات عدد السنابل/النبات في الهجين الثانى وعدد الحبوب/السنبلة، وزن 1000 حبة ومحصول الحبوب/السنبلة، وارتفاع وزن 1000 حبة ومحصول الحبوب/السنات في الهجين الثانى وعدد المعنويا لصفات عدد السنابل/النبات في الهجين الثانى وعدد الحبوب/السنبلة، وعدد السنابل/النبات في الهجين الثانى وعدد الحبوب/السنبلة، وزن 1000 حبة ومحصول الحبوب/النبات في الهجن الثلاثة. كانت قيم كفاءة التوريث مرتفعة لصفات عدد الأيام حتى الطرد في الهجين الأول و الثانى، ارتفاع النبات في الهجين الأول و عدد السنابل/النبات، وزن 1000 حبة و محصول الحبوب/السنبلة، وزن 1000 حبة ومحصول الحبوب/النبات في الهجين الأول و عدد السنابل/النبات، وزن 1000 حبة و محصول الحبوب/النبات في الهجين الثانى و عدد السنابل/النبات، وزن 1000 حبة و محصول الحبوب/النبات وي المور ال الورد، الهجين الأول و الثانى لصفة عدد الأيام حتى الطرد، الهجين الثانى الثانى و الثانى و الثانى و الهجين الورن و عدد السنابل/النبات، وزن 1000 حبة ألغر من الور ال عمر الور الى المور الي موا من الور الى الغرام حتى الطرد في الهجين الثانى و الثانى، في حين كانت مرفع عالم عدد الأيام حتى الطرد، الهجين الثالث لصفة عدد السنابل/النيات و الهجين الثانى و الثانى، وزن 1000 حبة أطهرت النتانى لموا موا الطرد، الهجين الور في الموا و الثانى، وي حين المور و الأول و الثانى و حين كانت منخفضة الصفات عدد الأيام حتى الطرد في الهجين الأول و الثانى و حين كانت منخ

المحكم\_ون:

<sup>1-</sup> أ.د. عبدالرحيم أحمد عبدالرحيم النجار

<sup>2-</sup> أ.د. السيد إبراهيم محجسوب

أستاذ الوراثة المتفرغ-كلية الزراعة- جامعة قناة السويس. أستاذ الوراثة المتفرغ-كلية الزراعة- جامعة الزقازيق.