Gamification for Skilled Teachers (Leaders)

Prof. Mohamed Elazab

Artificial Intelligence Arab Forum

www.aiaf-eg.com

Abstract:

Teaching requires a diverse set of soft skills, such as communication, collaboration, conflict resolution, and critical thinking. Many of which come from continuous Learning and Development. However, the traditional methods of learning have not been very effective in engaging learners and retaining information for a long time. Gamification of soft skills and learning has shown itself to be a promising approach to making learning more interactive, engaging, and effective.

1.   Gamification for Teaching development

Gamification involves incorporating game elements, such as levels, badges, points, rewards, and challenges, into nongame contexts to make them more engaging and fun. When leaders participate in a gamified learning environment, they are more likely to be motivated to complete tasks, strive for better performance, and enjoy the learning process. In addition, gamification allows for immediate feedback, such as progress bars and leaderboards, which can create a sense of control over learning outcomes and help individuals stay on track.

1.1. Enhance Retention

One of the challenges of traditional learning programs is that learners often forget what they learned after a while. Also, they may not be able to utilize learning to solve problems in the real-world context. Gamification addresses this issue by providing various opportunities for learners to apply their soft skills to different scenarios in a safe and controlled environment. Gamification can create simulations or challenges that resemble the work environment where learners can use their soft skills.

1,2 Provides of Diverse Learning Capabilities

Learners have diverse needs, learning styles, and preferences. Gamification can accommodate individual differences and provide personalized learning experiences that cater to the individual's needs. For example, a gamified Teaching (leadership) development program can offer different difficulty levels, allowing learners to choose the level that suits their skillset or challenge themselves to reach higher levels. Moreover, gamification can provide multiple pathways to achieve the same learning goals, allowing learners to choose the path that aligns with their interests or needs. Additionally, gamification can offer opportunities for self-reflection and self-assessment, allowing learners to monitor their progress and identify areas for improvement.

1. 3. Fosters collaboration

Teaching (Leadership) often involves working with others and building relationships. Gamification can facilitate collaboration and social learning by creating a sense of community and transparency. A gamified Teaching (Leadership) development program can offer leaderboards or ranking systems that allow Teachers (leaders) from different teams or departments to compete or collaborate. They can

also engage in social learning through discussion forums, simulations, and challenges that require teamwork and communication. Moreover, gamification can promote peer feedback and mentorship, allowing learners to learn from their peers and share their insights and experiences.

1. 4 increases ROI

Implementing a gamified Teaching (Leadership) development program can result in a significant Return On Investment (ROI) and business impact. Gamification can reduce the cost of training and development by reducing travel costs, instructor fees, and equipment expenses. It can also increase efficiency by reducing the time to complete a task or a program. Furthermore, gamification can enhance business results by improving leadership skills critical for achieving strategic goals, such as innovation, agility, collaboration, and customer satisfaction.

2. How tow Personalize Learning With Artificial Intelligence

Personalized learning using AI involves leveraging technology to create tailored and adaptive experiences, thus making learning more effective and engaging. The steps to personalize learning with AI are described below:

1. Define clear learning objectives and goals.
2. Identify individual learning needs.

3. Select AI-powered tools or platforms to support personalized learning.

4. Develop or curate content that can be adjusted based on learners' abilities and progress.

5. Use AI to create assessments that adapt to a learner's performance.

6. Offer AI-enabled instant feedback and recommend additional resources.

7. Track the learner's progress and suggest when to move on to the next topic or when to review.

8. Facilitate collaborative learning through tools like discussion forums.

9. Monitor performance and engagement to identify areas where learners may need intervention.

10. Ensure L&D can review AI-generated data and provide additional guidance or interventions as needed.

11. Seeking feedback from learners and trainers to refine the AI-driven personalized learning approach.

12. Monitor Key Performance Indicators to assess the effectiveness of personalized learning.

5. The Art of Asking Smarter Questions

Strategic questions can be grouped into five domains: *investigative, speculative, productive, interpretive,* and *subjective.*

Investigative: What's Known?

When they are facing a problem or an opportunity, effective decision-makers start by clarifying their purpose, asking themselves what they want to achieve and what they need to learn to do so. The process can be fueled by using successive "Why?" questions, as in the "five whys" sequence devised by managers at Toyota. Successively asking "How?" can also help you transcend generic solutions and develop more-sophisticated alternatives. Investigative questions dig ever deeper to generate nonobvious information.

Speculative: What If?

Whereas investigative questions help you identify and analyze a problem in depth, speculative questions help you consider it more broadly. To reframe the problem or explore more-creative solutions, leaders must ask things like "What if...?" and "What else...?"

Productive: Now What?

Productive questions help you assess the availability of talent, capabilities, time, and other resources. They influence the speed of decision-making, the introduction of initiatives, and the pace of growth.

Interpretive: So, What…?

Interpretive questions—sensemaking questions—enable synthesis. They push you to continually redefine the core issue—to go beneath the surface and ask, "What is this problem really about?" Natural follow-ups to investigative, speculative, and productive questions, interpretive questions draw out the implications of an observation or an idea. After an investigative question, you might ask,

Subject: What's Unsaid?

The final category of questions differs from all the others. Whereas they deal with the substance of a challenge, it deals with the personal reservations, frustrations, tensions, and hidden agendas that can push decision-making off course.

For insight into your questioning preferences and habits, you can take an abridged version of our self-assessment. After you've identified your strong points and weaknesses, three tactics can improve your mix.

You can adjust your repertoire of questions; change your emphasis to reflect evolving needs; and surround yourself with people who compensate for your blind spots.

Conclusion

Teachers (Leaders) must continuously develop their soft skills to stay ahead. Gamification offers an innovative and practical approach to enhancing leadership skills by incorporating game elements into learning contexts. By increasing engagement, improving retention, providing personalized learning experiences, fostering collaboration, and increasing ROI and business impact, gamification can revolutionize leadership development. As leaders, it is essential to embrace gamification as an innovative solution to drive learning outcomes and cultivate continuous learning in our organizations

References:

Stanton, J. (2012). An introduction to data science. Retrieved on September 15, 2016, from http://ischool.syr.edu/media/documents /2012/3/datasciencebook1_1.pdf.

Song, I.-Y., & Zhu, Y. (2016). Big data and data science: What should we teach? Expert Systems, 33(4), 364–373. Storey, V., & Song, I.-Y. (2017). Big data technologies and management: What conceptual model ing can do? Data & Knowledge Engineering, 108, 50–67.

Wing, J.M. (2006). Computational thinking. Communications of the ACM, 49(3), 33–35. Wing, J.M. (2008). Computational thinking and thinking about computing. Philosophical Transac tions of the Royal

Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 366(1881), 3717–3725