

Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

Vol. 13(1) Jan. 2025, No.13. ISSN: 2090-729X (online) ISSN: 3009-6731(print) http://ejmaa.journals.ekb.eg/

# A NOTE ON THE DEFINITION OF PSEUDO-REVERSIBLE RING

#### MUHAMMAD SAAD

ABSTRACT. This paper examines the concept of pseudo-reversible rings, introduced by Huang et al., as a generalization of reversible rings. We establish that pseudo-reversible rings are precisely the union of reversible rings and rings with trivial idempotents. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the results presented in the literature on pseudo-reversible rings are not novel but are direct derivations from established results on reversible rings and rings with trivial idempotents.

## 1. Introduction

By "ring," we always mean an associative ring with unity. The ring of n-byn upper triangular (resp. full) matrices over R is denoted by  $\mathbb{T}_n(T)$  (resp.  $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ ) and the set of all idempotents of R is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}(R)$ . It is worth noting that the trivial idempotents encompass zero and one. A ring R is called abelian if all its idempotents are central. Cohn [1] called a ring R reversible if ba = 0 whenever ab = 0 for every  $a, b \in R$ . The next theorem gives equivalent conditions for reversible property of rings with respect to its set of idempotents. This next theorem incorporates certain results form [5, Lemma 1.1. (1)] and [4, Proposition 1.4].

**Theorem 1.1.** For a ring R, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) R is a reversible ring;
- (ii)  $ab \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ , for some  $a, b \in R$ , implies  $ba \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ ;
- (iii)  $ab \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ , for some  $a, b \in R$ , implies  $ba \in abRab$ ;
- (iv)  $ab \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ , for some  $a, b \in R$ , implies ba = ab.

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) is direct form [5, Lemma 1.1. (1)] and [4, Proposition 1.4]. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $ab \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ , for some  $a,b \in R$ . Then ab(1-ab)=0 and b(1-ab)a=0 since R is reversible. Therefore,  $ba=b(ab)a=ab^2a\in abR$  since every reversible ring is

Submitted Dec. 13, 2024.

 $<sup>2020\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 16 U80,\ 16 U10,\ 16 W10.$ 

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Idempotent, pseudo-reversible, reversible, quasi-reversible, i-reversible.

2 M. SAAD EJMAA-2025/13(1)

abelian. Similarly,  $ba \in Rab$  and  $ba \in abR \cap Rab = abRab$ . (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is clear because if  $ab = 0 \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ , for some  $a, b \in R$  gives  $ba \in abRab = 0$  and R is reversible.

However, none of the aforementioned conditions in the previous theorem can be reduced to become " $0 \neq ab \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ " instead of " $ab \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ ," as demonstrated in the following examples.

**Example 1.** According to [5, Theorem 1.8], the ring  $R = \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfies that ba is an idempotent whenever ab is a nonzero idempotent for all  $a, b \in R$ . While R is not reversible since the elements  $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfy  $\alpha\beta = 0$  and  $\beta\alpha \neq 0$ .

**Example 2.** Let R be any ring such that  $\mathcal{I}(R) = \{0,1\}$ . Then the ring

$$S = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{array} \right] \mid a,b,c,d, \in R \right\}$$

has no nontrivial idempotents; that is, 1 is the only nonzero idempotent of R. Therefore, if ab is a nonzero idempotent, then  $ba \in R = abRab$ . However, R is not reversible since

the elements 
$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfy  $\alpha\beta = 0$  while  $\beta\alpha \neq 0$ .

The following proposition demonstrates that conditions (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1.1 remain equivalent even when the condition is reduced to nonzero idempotents.

**Proposition 1.** For a ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) ab is a nonzero idempotent, for some  $a, b \in R$ , implies  $ba \in abRab$ .
- (ii) ab is a nonzero idempotent, for some  $a, b \in R$ , implies ba = ab.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let ab is a nonzero idempotent for some  $a, b \in R$ . So,  $ba \in abRab$  and  $ba = ba^2b = ab^2b$ . Moreover,  $(ba)^4 = b(ab)^3a = (ba)^2$  and  $(ba)^2$  is an idempotent that can not be zero since  $ab \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $ab = (ab)^2 \in (ba)^2R(ba)^2$  from the assumption. Consequently,  $ab = (ba)^2ab = ba(ba^2b) = (ba)^2$  and  $ab^2 = (ba)^2b = b(ab)^2 = bab$ . So,  $ba = ab^2a = (bab)a = (ba)^2 = ab$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose ab is a nonzero idempotent for some  $a,b \in R$ . Then,  $ba = ab = ababab = ab(ba)ab \in abRab$ .

The next example shows that although conditions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1.1 are equivalent, they do not equate to condition (ii) of the theorem when applied only to non-zero idempotents.

**Example 3.** For the ring  $R = \mathbb{T}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the set of nontrivial idempotents if R is

$$\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}.$$

The elements a and b of R with ab being a nonzero idempotent satisfy ba is also an idempotent. However, the elements  $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfy  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\beta\alpha$  are idempotents while  $\alpha\beta \neq \beta\alpha$ .

In[3], Huang et al. call the ring satisfying any of the conditions in Proposition 1 a pseudo-reversible ring. Clearly, every reversible ring is pseudo-reversible by Theorem 1.1. However, the converse is not necessarily true, as demonstrated in Example 2. In this paper, we provide a rigorous analysis showing the equivalence between pseudo-reversible rings and other well-known rings. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the results obtained for pseudo-reversible rings are not new but rather direct restatements of previously established results for well-known rings.

#### 2. Main Results

In this section, we give our main result that the class of pseudo-reversible rings is exactly the union of the classes of reverable rings and the rings with trivial idempotents.

**Theorem 2.2.** A ring R is a pseudo-reversible ring if and only if R is either reversible or has only trivial idempotents.

Proof. The sufficiency: Let R be a pseudo-reversible ring. If R has only trivial idempotents, it is done. If not, then there is a nontrivial idempotent e of R. For arbitrary  $r \in R$ , the element e + er(1 - e) is a nonzero idempotent. So, e + er(1 - e) = e(1 + r(1 - e)) = e(1 + r(1 - e))e = e, by Proposition 1. Hence, eR(1 - e) = 0. Similarly, (1 - e)Re = 0 and e is central. Assume that eR is not reversible, then there exist  $a, b \in eR$  such that ab = 0 while  $ba \neq 0$ . Define the elements c = a + (1 - e) and d = b + (1 - e) in R. We have cd = 1 - e is a nonzero idempotent and therefore cd = dc from the pseudo-reversibility of R. Therefore, 1 - e = cd = dc = ba + (1 - e) and ba = 0, a contradiction. Thus eR is reversible and (1 - e)R is so. Therefore,  $R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R$  is also reversible. The necessity: If R is reversible, then R is pseudo-reversible, form Proposition 1 and Theorem 1.1. If R has only trivial idempotent and ab is nonzero idempotent, then ab = 1. So,  $ba \in R = abRab$  and R is pseudo-reversible form condition (i) of Proposition 1.

In [5], a generalization of the reversible ring is presented; that is, the ring that satisfies condition (ii) in Theorem 1.1 for the nonzero idempotents. A ring R is called *quasi-reversible* if ab is a nonzero idempotent, which implies that ba is also an idempotent for all  $a,b \in R$ . From the definitions, every pseudo-reversible ring is quasi-reversible. The following theorem provides a sufficient and necessary condition to make a quasi-reversible ring pseudo-reversible.

**Theorem 2.3.** A ring R is pseudo-reversible (reversible or having trivial idempotents) if and only if R is quasi-reversible and abelian.

Proof. Let R be a pseudo-reversible ring. Then R is quasi-reversible by Proposition 1. For every nonzero idempotent  $e \in R$  and arbitrary  $r \in R$ , the element e + er(1-e) is a nonzero idempotent. So, e + er(1-e) = e(1+r(1-e)) = e(1+r(1-e))e = e, by Proposition 1. Hence, eR(1-e) = 0. Similarly, (1-e)Re = 0 and e is central. Thus, R is an abelian ring. Conversely, let R be a quasi-reversible and abelian ring. If ab is a nonzero idempotent, for some  $a, b \in R$ , then ba is a nonzero idempotent form quasi-reversibility of R. So,  $ba = (ba)^3 = b(ab)(ab)a = (ab)(ba)(ab) \in aBRab$ , from the abelianity of R. Thus, R is pseudo-reversible.

Now, we show that the results in [3] about pseudo-reversible are trivial and obtained directly from well-known results for reversible rings or rings with trivial idempotents.

**Theorem 2.4** ([3],Theorem 1.5). Let  $R = \prod_{i \in \Lambda} R_i$  be the direct product of rings  $R_i$  for  $i \in \Lambda$  with  $|\Lambda| \geq 2$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) R is pseudo-reversible;
- (ii) R is quasi-reversible;
- (iii)  $R_i$  is reversible for all  $i \in I$ ;
- (iv) R is reversible.

**Remark 1.** It is evident that the result of [3, Theorem 1.5] is equivalent to [7, Lemma 1.9], with no substantive differences in conclusion, since in the case of  $|\Lambda| \geq 2$ , R has nontrivial idempotents and R is reversible.

**Theorem 2.5** ([3], Theorem 1.6). (i) Let R be a semiperfect ring. If R is abelian, then R is either a local ring or a finite direct product of two or more local rings. Especially, Ris pseudo-reversible in the former case.

4 M. SAAD EJMAA-2025/13(1)

(ii) Let R be a semiperfect ring. If R is pseudo-reversible, then R is either a local ring or a finite direct product of two or more reversible local rings. Especially, R is reversible in the latter case.

**Remark 2.** In fact, the two statements of [3, Theorem 1.6] are identical, and they are exactly the result in [2, Proposition 2.6]. Also, the last additional part in each statement of this theorem is obvious with the fact that the pseudo-reversibility of a ring means it is reversible or local.

**Proposition 2** ([3],Proposition 1.11). Let R be a von Neumann regular ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) R is reduced; (2) R is reversible; (3) R is pseudo-reversible; (4) R is Abelian.

**Remark 3.** In the case of R has only trivial idempotents, R is a division ring, and all conditions hold. In case R is reversible, these equivalences and more are in [4, Proposition 2.20].

In [6], a ring satisfying the quasi-reversible property is called *i-reversible*. Part (4) of [6, Proposition 2.1] shows that a quasi-reversible ring with a nontrivial central idempotent is reversible.

**Theorem 2.6** ([3], Theorem 2.6). For a ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $R \times_{dor} \mathbb{Z}$  is pseudo-reversible;
- (ii)  $R \times_{dor} \mathbb{Z}$  is quasi-reversible;
- (iii) R is reversible;
- (iv)  $R \times_{dor} \mathbb{Z}$  is reversible.

**Remark 4.** In [3, Theorem 2.6],  $R \times_{dor} \mathbb{Z}$  has nontrivial central idempotent (-1,1), and so the pseudo-reversible and quasi-reversible properties are already the reversible property. So, this result is a special case of Part (2) in [7, Proposition 1.14].

### References

- [1] P. M. Cohn, Reversible rings, London Mathematical Society, 31, 641–648, 1999.
- [2] J. Han, Y. Lee, and S. Park, Structure of abelian rings, Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 12(1), 117–134, 2016.
- [3] J. Huang, H.-l. Jin, Y. Lee, and Z. Piao, Reversible and pseudo-reversible rings, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 56(5), 1257–1272, 2019.
- [4] D. W. Jung, N. K. Kim, Y. Lee, and S. J. Ryu, On properties related to reversible rings, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 52(1), 247–261, 2015.
- [5] D. W. Jung, C. I. Lee, Y. Lee, S. Park, S. J. Ryu, H. J. Sung, and S. J. Yun, On reversibility related to idempotents, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 56(4), 993–1006, 2019.
- [6] A. Khurana and D. Khurana, I-reversible rings, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, 19(4), Article ID 2050076, 2020.
- [7] N. K. Kim and Y. Lee, Extensions of reversible rings, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 185(1-3), 207–223, 2003.

## Muhammad Saad

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria 2152, Egypt

 $Email\ address: {\tt m.saad@alexu.edu.eg}$