



AUCES

## **EFFECT OF NITROGEN, ORGANIC AND BIOFERTILIZATION ON PRODUCTIVITY OF LETTUCE (CV. ROMAINE) IN SANDY SOIL UNDER ASSIUT CONDITIONS**

M. H. Hossey\* and M.M.M; Ahmed\*\*

\*Horticulture Department of Sohag University, Egypt

\*\* Plant and Soil Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt

---

### **ABSTRACT:**

The present investigation was conducted during the two seasons of 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 at Arab El-Awammer Research Station (A.R.C.); Assiut, Egypt to study the effect of N application, compost and bio-fertilization on soil and nitrate concentration of leaves of Romaine cv. grown in sandy soil. The results indicated the effectiveness of N application 120 N level and 6 ton of organic fertilizer in all studied characters. There are significant differences between uses of bio-fertilization in all studied characters. The interactions results showed that in there was no significant difference between 120 N when mixed with 3 or 6 ton organic fertilizer while treated plant with bio-fertilization lead to decrease N level to 90 N with organic fertilization levels in plant height, leaf height, leaf diameter, head diameter and also total plant weight characters. On the other hand in yield character there was no significant differences between N level 60, 90 and 120 with mixed with bio and organic fertilizer. Nitrate content in lettuce leaves decreased with added organic and bio-fertilizer.

---

### **INTRODUCTION:**

Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) is the most popular among the salad crops. It is rich in vitamins and minerals Choudhury (1967). Also, lettuce grown in Egypt for local consumption and export. The total area in Egypt was 13.567 fed. and the total yield was 136.008 ton/fed. (Ministry of Agriculture & Land reclamation, Agricultural statistics, second part, 2005). It is well known that nitrogen fertilizers are important factors for higher yield and average head weight of lettuce. Nitrogen is one of essential elements for growth and development of plants. Plants absorb nitrogen from soil in the

form of nitrates, which are then converted into proteins and other nitrogen-containing substances (Cash *et al.*, 2002). Nitrate content in plant represents a dynamic balance between rate of absorption, assimilation and translocation (Maynard *et al.*, 1976). Many investigations present the effect of nitrogen on lettuce plants such as increasing nitrogen rate increased plant height and number of leaves/plant (Awny and Moursy, 1992), fresh weight/plant and total yield (Shafshank and Abo-Sedera, 1990; Awny and Moursy, 1992; Moussa *et al.*, 1993; Gawish, 1997; Cameria *et al.*, 2000), nitrate contents in leaves (El-Hassan 1990; Shafshank and Abo-Sedera, 1990; Awny

and Moursy, 1992; Bakr and Gawish 1997). Also, there were many researches showed the effect of nitrogen on grown the lettuce plants such as Abdel- Razik and Barakat 1990, Soundy and Smith 1992, Moussa *et al.*, 1993, Abdel-Razik 1996.

Test of nitrate accumulation in Egyptian vegetables showed considerable high values as compared to those found in vegetables grown in several European countries (Blom-Zandstra, 1989 and Kheir *et al.*, 1991). Also, increase in N fertilizer led to increase in nitrate content of the crop tissues without significant increase in yield (Custic *et al.*, 1994). Also, increasing the use of chemical fertilizer led to high cost in vegetable production and creates pollution of their agricultural environment as well as affects the soil fertility, therefore it has become essential to use untraditional fertilizers as supplements or substitutes for chemical nitrogen fertilizer. Both bio-fertilization and organic fertilizer may be the solution of decreased pollution and high cost of chemical fertilizer to increases in our exporter. Many investigations presented the effect of untraditional fertilizers on grown lettuce such as Bakr and Gawish (1997) who showed that Farmyard manure at high rate of nitrogen was associated with low nitrate concentration in lettuce. Ahmed *et al.*, (2000) found that lettuce plant treating with nitroben as a biofertilizer resulted in significant increases in shoot height number of leaves and fresh weight. While there were significant decreases when treated with microben. Significant decrease in nitrate accumulation when the plant treated with all studied biofertilizers, specially those plants treated with nitroben, biogen and rizobactrein. Also, the effect of organic fertilizer presented in workers (Smith and Hadley 1989, El-Shinawy *et al.*, 1999, Valšíková and Viteková, 2006 and Georgios *et al.*, 2007). The benefit of bio-fertilizer on grown lettuce were

presented by many searches such as (Ruiz Lazano *et al.*, 1995, Azcon *et al.*, 1996, Chabot *et al.*, 1996 and Noel *et al.*, 1996).

The present study was undertaken to investigate the effect of bio-fertilizer and organic fertilizer in single or combined applications to reduce the application of mineral N fertilization on lettuce plant in reclaimed land.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present work was carried out at Arab El-Awammer Research Station (A.R.C.); Assiut, Egypt, during two seasons i.e. 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 to study the effect of bio-fertilizers and organic fertilizer under different nitrogen levels on growth and yield of lettuce cv. Romaine in sandy soil to minimize the use of inorganic N fertilizer. Five levels of N fertilizer i.e., 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 Kg/fed. were applied in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) in 6 equal doses during both seasons of study, Biogen (*Azotobacter sp.*) as a bio-fertilizer and three levels of organic N (Composted Farm Wastes) i.e., 0, 3 ton and 6 ton/Fadden. The compost used in this work had the following chemical composition: Organic matter (%)= 48.58, Organic carbon (%)=28.18, Total N (%)= 1.011, Total P(%) =0.403, Total K (%)=1.643, Na (%)=1.070, Fe (ppm) 6474, Mn (ppm)=164, Zn (ppm)=54 and Cu (ppm)=11. Compost was applied at soil preparation before cultivation. Roots of lettuce transplanted were dipped into the bio-fertilizer solution immediately before transplanting. In addition 2 Kg/fed. of bio-fertilizer were mixed with 25 Kg soil and added at two week after transplanting. These treatments were randomized in a split-split-design with three replicates where N levels contributed as the main plots, while organic fertilizer and bio-fertilizer were distributed in the sub-and sub-sub plots, respectively. Physical

and chemical analysis of the experimental soil is shown in Table (1). Lettuce seeds were sown on 10 Oct. in both seasons. The seedlings were transplanted to the field 40 days after sowing and spacing was 25 cm between plants within rows. The plot size was 3x3.5 m. (1/400/fed.) with four rows per plot. All common agricultural practices were followed as recommended.

Ten lettuce plants were randomly taken from each sub-plot and the following measurements were recorded:

- 1-Plant height (cm).      2-Leaf length (cm).
- 3-Leaf width (cm).      4-Head diameter (cm).

5-Total plant weight (g).

6-Total yield/plot (Kg).

7-Nitrate contents (NO<sub>3</sub>) concentration according to the procedure described by Keeney and Nelson (1982).

Soil samples were taken from surface layers (0-30 cm) at harvesting each plot and prepared to analysis according to the procedures described by Jackson (1958). All obtained data were statistically analyzed; and treatment means were compared using revised L.S.D. test according to the procedure outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1972).

Table (1): Some physical and chemical properties of a representative soil sample used in the experimental site

Season	pH 1:1 suspension	EC (1:1 extract) dsm <sup>-1</sup>	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)	Soluble cations meq/100 g soil				Soluble anions meq/100g soil	
				Ca <sup>+2</sup>	Mg <sup>+2</sup>	Na <sup>+1</sup>	K <sup>+1</sup>	CO <sub>3</sub> + HCO <sub>3</sub>	Cl
2006/2007	8.21	0.59	27.33	0.30	0.24	0.11	0.01	0.32	0.28
2007/2008	8.43	0.77	32.15	0.33	0.28	0.15	0.03	0.38	0.22
Season	available nutrients			Mechanical analysis %			Soil texture		
	N %	P ppm	K meq/100 g soil	Sand	Silt	Clay			
2006/2007	0.06	3.14	0.14	85.4	8.7	5.9	Sandy		
2007/2008	0.04	2.88	0.12	87.2	7.2	5.6			

## RESULTS AND DISSCSION:

### Plant height (cm):

The effect of chemical, bio-fertilizer and organic fertilizer on plant height of lettuce plants in sandy soil is presented in Tables (2& 3) for without or with using Biogen as a Bio-fertilization respectively. The results indicated that there were significant differences due to using the five levels of nitrogen fertilizer in both seasons. The increase in plant height corresponded the increased N levels. The plants when received 120 N units were the tallest. Also, the effect of organic fertilizer obvious when plant received the 6 ton of organic fertilizer diving plants significantly taller than these received 3 ton of organic in both seasons even

plant were grown with or without bio-fertilization. The interactions in between N application and three levels of organic fertilizer are presented in Tables (2&3). There were significantly differences among the interactions without or with used bio-fertilization. The results indicated that the interaction between level 120 N with 3 or 6 ton organic were significantly higher than other interactions and gave the tallest lettuce plants in both season for without using bio-fertilizer (Tables 2&3). While, when bio-fertilizer was applied to the lettuce plants the application of 120 N with both 3 and 6 ton organic gave the tallest plants but in the second season the application of 120 N with three levels of organic and also 90 N with both 3 and 6 ton organic did not significant differ from

each other in giving the tallest plant. The results are in harmony with those reported by Shafshak and Abo-Sedera (1990) on lettuce with respect to plant height. The increase in plant growth may be attributed to the beneficial effects of N on stimulating the meristematic activity for producing more tissues and organs and N play major roles in structural proteins and other several macromolecules related with growth plants (Marschner, 1986). The improvement when using used bio-fertilization with lettuce plant led to increase in plant growth was presented by many workers such as; Brown (1974); Agwah and Shahaby (1993); Carletti *et al.*, (1996) and Lazaroving and Nowak (1997). Also, the improving in plant growth associated with decrease in N level. The decrease of N application with used organic or bio-fertilizer was reported in many investigation such as Agwash and Shahaby (1993) and El-Gmal (1996).

#### **Leaf length (cm):**

Data in Tables 2 and 3 showed significant differences in leaf length as affected by N applications in both studied seasons. Leaf length in lettuce plant significantly increased with increasing nitrogen fertilizer. Also, the same effect of increasing leaf height was previous with added more levels of organic fertilization in both studied seasons. The interactions between N fertilizer and organic without or with bio-fertilizer are presented also in Tables (2&3). Data indicated that there were significantly differences among the interactions in both studied seasons. When plants did not receive Biogen the highest values were obtained from application of (120 N x 6 ton organic) in first season and from application of 120 N with 3 and 6 ton organic in second season. When lettuce plants were treated with Biogen as a bio-

#### **Head diameter (cm):**

fertilizer the results indicated that the combinations of (120 N x 6 ton organic), (120 N x 3 ton organic) and (90 N x 6 ton organic) gave the highest values for leaf height in first season. In the second season the interactions (120 N x 3 ton organic); (120 N x 6 ton organic); (120 N x 0 organic), (90 N x 6 ton organic) and (90 N x 3 ton organic) were significantly higher than other interactions and gave the highest value of leaf length. The results are agree with Gardener and Pew 1974 who presented that leaves formation depending on N supply. Ahmed *et al.*, (2000) found that lettuce plant when treated with nitroben as a bio-fertilizer there are significant increases in shoot height, number of leaves and fresh weight. While there are decreases significant when treated with microben.

#### **Leaf width (cm):**

The same trend in this character agrees with that found for leaf length character for N application and organic fertilizer (Tables 2&3). When plants were not treated with Biogen the interactions between N application and organic fertilizer presented that the highest values were obtained from interactions (120 N x 6 ton organic) in first season while both interactions 120 N with 3 and 6 ton organic and (90 N x 6 ton organic) in second season. On the other hand when Biogen was applied, the interactions (120 N x 3 ton organic); (120 N x 6 ton organic) and (90 N x 6 ton organic) gave the highest value of leaf width in first season. While, in the second season four interactions were significantly higher than other interactions in giving the highest value of leaf width i.e; (120 N x 3 ton organic); (120 N x 6 ton organic); (90 N x 6 ton organic) and (60 N x 6 ton organic).

There were significant differences for the five levels of nitrogen and three levels of organic

fertilizer for head diameter character in both studied seasons (Tables 4 & 5). Head diameter in lettuce plant increased with increasing the N application or increasing organic fertilizer levels. The highest in this respect was applying 120 Kg N and 6 ton of organic fertilizer whether Biogen was applied or not. Also, there were significant interaction between N application and organic fertilizer in both studied seasons for without or with treated plant by Biogen as a bio-fertilizer. Three interactions gave the largest heads in lettuce plant in first and second season i.e, 120 N with both 0, 3 and 6 ton organic fertilizer for plant without bio-fertilizer treatment. On the other hand, for plants treated with Biogen the same later interactions with (90 N x 6 ton organic) in both seasons. The present results, in general are in agreement with those obtained by Pew *et al.*, (1983), Abdel-Razik and Barakat (1990), Walworth *et al.*, (1992) and Moussa *et al.*, (1993).

#### **Total plant weight (g):**

Data in Tables (4 & 5) indicated that there were significant differences among the five level of N application and the highest level 120 N gave the highest value of total plant weight. Also, the total plant weight increase by increasing the added of organic fertilizer and 6 ton organic gave the highest value for this trait. This was true in both seasons whether Biogen was applied or not. There are significant between using and don't using the Biogen as a bio-fertilizer. The interactions between chemical and organic fertilizer on total plant weight presented in Table (3) without Biogen and when plant treated with Biogen. The results demonstrated that the interaction (120 N x 6 ton organic) was significantly higher than other interaction in both seasons. When plant were treated with Biogen there were no significant between both interactions (120 N x 6 ton

organic) and (90 N x 6 ton organic) in giving the highest plants. That main adding the bio-fertilizer decrease using the N level. Obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Zhong *et al.*, (1989) and Shashak and Abo-Sedera (1990). Also, the effect of bio-fertilizer on increase the plant weight and decrease the N application are in harmony with Carletti *et al.*, (1996) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2000).

#### **Total yield (Kg/plot.):**

The effect of chemical, bio-fertilizer and organic fertilizer on total yield of lettuce plants in sandy soil are presented in Tables 4 and 5 for without treated or with treated the plant by Biogen as a bio-fertilization respectively. The results presented that there was significantly differences among each of N application levels and organic fertilizer treatments in this trait. The highest total yield was obtained when plant received 120 N for N application as an average of treated organic fertilizer treatments or 6 ton organic fertilizer as an average of all tested N levels in both seasons of study (Table 3). The interactions between chemical and organic fertilizers indicated that the best interactions for total yield were obtained when plant received 120 N with 6 ton organic without Biogen treatment. When lettuce plant were treated with Biogen there were no significant differences between level 120 N and 90 N with all organic level in both seasons. Similar results also, were reported by many investigations with respect to the reduction of N fertilization through the use of bio-fertilizer inoculation such as Kumaraswamy and Madalaggeri (1990) and El-Gamal (1996). There are many investigation presented the increases in lettuce yield due to N application (Richard *et al.*, 1985; Sanchez *et al.*, 1989 and Custic *et al.*, 1994). Pandey and Kumar (1989) reported that the increases in yield in lettuce plants related to bio-fertilizer due to the

beneficial effects of the bacterial not only due to their N fixation capacity, but also because of their ability to produce antibacterial and antifungal compounds, growth hormones and siderophores. Also, there are many workers presented the increases in yield obtained as a results of different biofertilizers inoculation such as Azcon *et al.*, (1996) on lettuce; El-Gamal (1996) on potatoes and Wange (1996) on carrot.

### Nitrate contents in leaves (mg/Kg):

Data in Table (6) indicated that there were significant differences among the five level of N application and the highest value of NO<sub>3</sub> was obtained when plant received the 120 N level in both seasons for lettuce plant which treated or no treated by Biogen. Also, in the three levels of organic fertilizer the results showed that there are decreased in NO<sub>3</sub> by increasing organic level in both seasons. The interaction between N application and organic fertilizer are presented in Table (4) showed that the increase in NO<sub>3</sub> was obtained when plant received 120 N without organic fertilizer and with increasing the organic fertilizer the NO<sub>3</sub> content decreased in lettuce plant. Ahmed *et al.*, (2000) found that significant decreases in nitrate accumulation when the lettuce plant treated with all studied bio-fertilizers, specially those plants treated with nitroben, biogen and rizobactrein. Williams (2002) reported lower nitrate content in organically fertilized crops, particularly leafy vegetables and Vogtmann *et al.*, (1993) showed lower nitrate concentration in cabbage with organic fertilization compared with mineral fertilized crops. Hajslova *et al.*, (2005) and Malmauret *et al.*, (2002) reported lower nitrate concentration in organic potato and tomato,

compared with mineral fertilized crops. Maynard *et al.*, (1976) showed that low nitrate content in edible part of the plants is very important for human health, due to its potential transformation to nitrites which have the highest possibility to interact with hemoglobin and affect blood oxygen transportation (Causeret 1984). The same results were confirmed by Ahmed *et al.*, (1997) who reported that biofertilizer treatments can lower nitrate concentration in Jew's mallow and radish plants while sugars, amino acids and several lower nutrient concentrations were higher.

### Effect of treating soil fertility:

Tables (7&8) show the effect of treatments on total N and available P in the soil after harvesting lettuce plants.

### Total-N:

Total-N in the soil significantly increased with increasing mineral-N fertilizer rate. Moreover, the most increase in soil N content was observed with compost and bio-compost. The highest N-content in the soil was obtained with the high rate of N-fertilizer and bio-compost. Compost and bio-compost as organic and bio fertilizer plays an important role in maintaining soil fertility with releasing nutrients in the soil. Several investigators reported about the positive effect of applying organic fertilizer on the soil. El Etr (2004). Maftoun *et al* (2004) Mohamed and Hussein (2005), Elsharawy *et al* (2003), and El bordiny *et al.* (2003). They ascribed to the mineralization of N from compost during its composition and might be the biological fixation of atmospheric N and its reflection on soil fertility.

### Phosphorous:

Available P in the soil followed the same trend as N content. Available-P significantly increased with increasing either N fertilizer rate or compost either without or with Biogen. The highest value of available P was obtained with the application of 120 kg N/fed and bio-compost.

Increasing P soil content due to the application of organic fertilizers might be a result of its decomposition and producing organic acids, which increases the nutrients availability in the soil. It might also, be due to the additions of these nutrients after the composition of the organic fertilizers

(Mahmoud, 2000) and preventing fixation of P and probably other nutrients (Ahmed and Osman, 2003). This is in agreement with what have been reported by several researchers (Ahmed, 1997; Ahmed, 2001 and Ahmed & Ali, 2005).

It can be concluded that use of both organic and bio-fertilizer gave a good results for yielding of lettuce in sandy soil and also, reduce the N fertilizer without reduce the yielding. In addition, the use of organic and bio-fertilizer reduce the N accumulation in leaves which a good results for human health and reduce the soil pollution.

Table (2): Vegetative characters for lettuce plants without treated by Biogenas effected by different nitrogen levels and organic treatments and their interactions in seasons 2006/2007 and 2007/2008

Treatments		Plant height (cm) without Biogen		Leaf length (cm)		Leaf width (cm)	
N level (Kg/fed.)	Organic	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season
0		20.18 e	20.77 e	15.64 e	20.11 e	7.67 e	7.40 e
30		30.22 d	30.79 d	23.81 d	27.34 d	9.80 d	9.15 d
60		37.26 c	37.80 c	28.37 c	31.60 c	12.51 c	11.98 c
90		43.53 b	44.30 b	32.32 b	33.86 b	13.22 b	12.98 b
120		46.44 a	47.00 a	34.07 a	34.39 a	13.71 a	13.50 a
	0	31.47 c	32.11c	23.54 c	26.52 c	10.27 c	9.85 c
	3	35.84 b	36.42 b	26.85 b	29.69 b	11.47 b	11.24 b
	6	39.27 a	39.87 a	30.13 a	32.17 a	12.41 a	12.13 a
0	0	16.55 m	17.00 m	12.52 m	15.88 m	6.25 i	6.00 k
	3	19.55 l	20.00 l	14.65 l	18.70 l	7.65 h	7.25 j
	6	24.45 j	25.33 j	19.75 j	2575 j	9.12 g	8.95 h
30	0	23.55 k	24.20 k	18.00 k	21.90 k	7.85 h	7.57 i
	3	30.55 i	31.02i	23.75 h	27.75 h	9.82 f	9.67 g
	6	36.55 h	37.15 g	29.67 f	32.38 g	11.75 d	11.30 e
60	0	31.08 i	31.65 h	23.38 i	27.23 i	11.27 e	10.25 f
	3	37.95 g	38.45 f	28.88 g	33.60 e	12.68 c	12.45 c
	6	42.75 e	43.30 d	32.85 d	33.97 d	13.57 b	13.25 b
90	0	40.25 f	41.25 e	29.97 e	33.38 f	12.45 c	12.15 d
	3	44.70 d	45.60 c	32.92 d	34.00 cd	13.55 b	13.27 b
	6	45.65 c	46.05bc	34.05 b	34.20 bc	13.65 b	13.50 a
120	0	45.92 bc	46.45 b	33.83 c	34.25 b	13.52 b	13.30 b
	3	46.45 ab	47.05 a	34.08 b	34.40 ab	13.65 b	13.55 a
	6	46.95 a	47.50 a	34.30 a	34.53 a	13.95 a	13.65 a

Values marked with same alphabetical letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level.

**Table (3): Vegetative characters for lettuce plants with treated by Biogenas effected by different nitrogen levels and organic treatments and their interactions in seasons 2006/2007 and 2007/2008**

Treatments		Plant height (cm)		Leaf length (cm)		Leaf width (cm)	
N level (Kg/fed.)	Organic	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season
0		26.12 d	26.62 d	20.79 e	15.49 e	9.10 e	9.50 e
30		36.47 c	37.27 c	28.11 d	24.44 d	11.32 d	11.56 d
60		42.13 b	42.78 b	31.90 c	28.95 c	12.57 c	12.76 c
90		46.27 a	46.46 a	33.97 b	32.78 b	13.38 b	13.57 b
120		46.57 a	46.87 a	34.47 a	34.56 a	13.58 a	13.82 a
	0	35.23 c	35.97 c	26.81 c	23.97 c	10.90 c	11.18 c
	3	39.83 b	40.55 b	30.10 b	27.47 b	12.21 b	12.44 b
	6	43.48 a	43.47 a	32.63 a	30.30 a	12.86 a	13.12 a
	0	21.00 j	21.48 j	16.30 l	12.63 f	7.97 j	7.50 i
	3	24.67 i	25.25 i	19.42 k	15.38 e	9.55 i	9.15 h
	6	32.67 g	33.13 g	26.65 i	18.48 d	11.00 h	10.65
	0	28.45 h	29.05 h	22.42 j	18.67 d	9.67 i	9.25 h
	3	37.30 f	38.00 e	28.67 g	24.50 c	12.00 f	11.75 e
	6	43.67 e	44.75 d	33.22 f	30.15 b	13.00 e	12.95 d
	0	36.03 f	36.70 f	27.52 h	23.73 c	11.38 g	11.15 f
	3	44.72 cde	45.63 cd	33.95 d	29.58 b	13.25 d	13.02 cd
	6	45.65 bcd	46.03 bc	34.22 c	33.55 a	13.65 bc	13.55 ab
	0	44.53 de	46.00 bc	33.50 e	30.50 b	13.20 de	13.15 c
	3	46.00 bcd	46.72 ab	33.90 d	33.30 a	13.57 c	13.45 b
	6	48.28 a	46.65 ab	34.50 ab	34.53 a	13.95 a	13.52 ab
	0	46.15 bc	46.65 ab	34.30 bc	34.33 a	13.65 bc	13.45 b
	3	46.89 ab	47.15 a	34.55 a	34.58 a	13.80 ab	13.65 a
	6	47.13 ab	46.80 ab	34.58 a	34.78 a	14.00 a	13.65 a

**Table (4): Yield characters for lettuce plant treated without by Biogen as effected by different nitrogen levels and organic treatments and their interactions in seasons 2006/2007 and 2007/2008**

Treatments		Head diameter (cm) without Biogen		Total plant weight (g) without Biogen		Total yield/plot (kg) without Biogen	
N level (Kg/fed.)	Organic	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season
0		7.64 e	7.62 e	138.3 e	144.9 e	7.63 e	13.66 e
30		11.07 d	11.00 d	263.5 d	272.8 d	14.38 d	25.67 d
60		15.07 c	15.00 c	398.3 c	410.5 c	22.16 c	32.36 c
90		19.12 b	19.10 b	553.3 b	569.9 b	31.02 b	37.41 b
120		21.56 a	21.53 a	681.9 a	687.8 a	38.13 a	39.26 a
	0	13.09 c	13.00 c	316.1 c	328.6 c	17.75 c	25.46 c
	3	14.39 b	14.35 b	404.0 b	412.0 b	22.34 b	28.56 b
	6	17.19 a	17.13 a	501.0 a	511.0 a	27.91 a	34.99 a
	0	5.40 g	5.42 g	59.75 o	65.25 n	3.18 o	9.78 m
	3	6.65 g	6.69 g	121.5 n	127.5 m	6.43 n	8.01 n
	6	10.88 e	10.90 e	233.5 l	242.0 k	13.30 l	23.20 k
	0	8.92 f	9.00 f	156.3 m	167.5 l	8.55 m	17.25 l
	3	10.73 e	10.69 e	262.0 k	268.5 j	14.23 k	24.42 j
	6	13.55 d	13.50 d	372.3 i	382.3 h	20.35 i	35.35 f
	0	13.23 d	13.21 d	276.3 j	286.5 i	15.25 j	26.92 i
	3	13.68 d	13.69 d	394.3 h	407.5 g	22.08 h	32.72 h
	6	18.30 b	18.28 b	524.3 f	537.5 e	29.15 f	37.42 e
	0	16.63 c	16.61 c	422.8 g	451.5 f i	24.60 g	34.97 g
	3	19.35 b	19.36 b	562.0 e	569.5 d	30.73 e	38.13 d
	6	21.38 a	21.39 a	675.0 c	688.8 b	37.75 c	39.13 c
	0	21.29 a	21.30 a	665.5 d	672.5 c	37.17 d	38.38 d
	3	21.52 a	21.55 a	680.5 b	686.8 b	38.22 b	39.52 b
	6	21.88 a	21.85 a	699.8 a	704.3 a	39.00 a	39.88 a

Values marked with same alphabetical letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level.

**Table (5): Yield characters for lettuce plant treated with by Biogen as effected by different nitrogen levels and organic treatments and their interactions in seasons 2006/2007 and 2007/2008**

Treatments		Head diameter (cm)		Total plant weight (g)		Total yield/plot (kg)	
N level (Kg/fed.)	Organic	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
		Season	season	Season	season	Season	season
0		12.04 e	12.10 e	286.8 e	294.0 e	9.02 d	15.07 c
30		15.38 d	15.40 d	463.3 d	474.8 d	15.32 c	26.66 b
60		19.23 c	20.1 c	583.7 c	591.7 c	23.43 b	33.87 a
90		21.22 b	21.30 b	667.9 b	679.5 b	32.33 a	38.32 a
120		22.07 a	22.10 a	692.5 a	700.3 a	34.12 a	33.83 a
	0	16.07 c	16.10 c	456.6 c	466.5 c	21.27 b	27.75 c
	3	18.02 b	18.10 b	529.8 b	538.5 b	21.28 b	30.66 b
	6	19.88 a	20.00 a	630.1 a	639.0 a	25.97 a	32.63 a
	0	8.8 h	9.0 h	181.0 l	187.0 m	8.98 g	13.88 d
0	3	12.23 g	12.30 g	264.3 k	270.0 l	9.92 g	14.57 d
	6	15.09 f	15.20 f	415.0 i	425.0 j	12.16 fg	21.77 bcd
	0	12.41 g	12.55 g	301.3 j	316.5 k	13.27 efg	23.67 bcd
30	3	14.93 f	15.00 f	441.3 h	449.3 i	12.83 efg	23.58 bcd
	6	18.80 d	18.90 d	647.5 d	658.5 e	19.85 def	32.72 ab
	0	17.26 e	17.33 e	481.8 g	488.5 h	20.31c def	32.35 ab
60	3	19.00 d	19.10 d	581.3 f	590.5 g	21.63 cde	32.20 ab
	6	21.42 b	21.50 b	688.0 b	696.0 bc	28.35 bcd	37.05 a
	0	19.85 c	20.00 c	631.5 e	647.3 f	29.23 abc	37.38 a
90	3	21.85 a	21.90 a	672.3 c	683.3 d	31.20 ab	37.80 a
	6	21.96 a	22.00 a	700.0 a	708.0 a	36.55 ab	39.78 a
	0	22.00 a	22.00 a	687.5 b	693.5 c	38.03 a	39.03 a
120	3	22.10 a	22.15 a	690.0 b	699.5 b	31.40 ab	30.63 abc
	6	22.11a	22.20 a	700.0 a	707.8 a	32.92 ab	31.82 abc

**Table (6): Nitrate contents in lettuce leaves effected by different nitrogen levels, organic and bio-fertilizer treatments and their interactions in seasons 2006/2007 and 2007/2008**

Treatments		NO <sub>3</sub> Contents (mg/Kg) without Biogen		NO <sub>3</sub> Contents (mg/Kg) with Biogen	
N level (Kg/fed.)	Organic	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
		Season	season	Season	Season
0		132.6 e	131.2 e	136.3 e	139.3 e
30		163.3 d	162.1d	179.9 d	186.7 d
60		238.8 c	237.2 c	244.3 c	250.3 c
90		354.9 b	350.5 b	351.1 b	359.3 b
120		469.1 a	460.0 a	435.7 a	446.3 a
	0	286.8 a	280.2 a	292.4 c	299.3 c
	3	269.9 b	267.1 b	267.0 b	273.2 b
	6	258.6 c	256.9 c	249.0 a	256.7 a
	0	133.0 n	132.1 n	138.0 n	141.0 n
0	3	132.0 m	131.0 m	136.0 m	139.0 m
	6	131.8 o	130.8 o	135.0 o	138.0 o
	0	178.8 j	182.0 j	200.0 j	210.0 j
30	3	161.2 k	159.1k	179.0 k	184.0 k
	6	152.0 l	145.1 l	160.8 l	166.0 l
	0	252.6 g	249.1 g	275.8 g	281.0 g
60	3	240.0 h	236.4 h	237.8 h	245.3 h
	6	224.6 i	226.5 i	219.3 i	224.8 i
	0	369.8 d	364.2 d	380.0 d	388.0 d
90	3	354.2 c	351.1 c	345.0 e	353.8 e
	6	341.8 f	340.8 f	328.3 f	336.3 f
	0	499.2 a	482.5 a	468.3 a	476.3 a
120	3	462.5 b	458.2 b	437.0 b	444.0 b
	6	446.8 c	441.8 c	401.8 c	418.5 c

Values marked with same alphabetical letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level.

Table (7): Main effect of mineral N, compost and bio-fertilizer and their interaction on total N content in the soil after harvesting in 2008 season.

N rates	Organic and bio fertilizer							
	2008 with Biogen				2008 without Biogen			
	Cont.	Comp. 3 ton	Comp. 6 ton	Mean	Cont.	Comp. 3 ton	Comp. 6 ton	Mean
Cont.	0.027	0.037	0.041	0.035	0.034	0.043	0.055	0.044
30 kg N	0.035	0.043	0.056	0.045	0.038	0.049	0.060	0.049
60 kg N	0.041	0.061	0.068	0.057	0.045	0.067	0.069	0.060
90 kg N	0.044	0.062	0.065	0.057	0.041	0.068	0.068	0.059
120 kg N	0.041	0.071	0.072	0.061	0.043	0.069	0.071	0.061
Mean	0.038	0.055	0.060		0.040	0.059	0.065	
LSD 0.05 for N	0.003				0.003			
LSD 0.05 O.M	0.003				0.003			
LSD0.05NX O.M	0.006				0.006			

Table (8): Main effect of mineral N, compost and bio-compost and their interaction on soil available P in the soil after harvesting in 2008 season

N rates	Organic and bio fertilizer							
	2008 with Biogen				2008 without Biogen			
	Cont.	Comp. 3 ton	Comp. 6 ton	Mean	Cont.	Comp. 3 ton	Comp. 6 ton	Mean
Cont.	8.13	8.61	8.97	8.57	9.10	10.79	11.40	10.43
30 kg N	8.50	9.58	10.37	9.48	9.42	11.28	12.09	10.93
60 kg N	8.39	10.45	11.28	10.04	9.10	11.81	12.87	11.26
90 kg N	8.64	11.55	12.10	10.76	8.98	12.44	13.78	11.73
120 kg N	10.77	12.16	12.66	11.86	9.89	13.35	14.01	12.42
Mean	8.88	10.47	11.07		9.30	11.94	12.83	
LSD 0.05 for N	0.4				0.2			
LSD 0.05 O.M	0.3				0.3			
LSD0.05NX O.M	0.7				0.6			

## REFERANCES:

- Abd El-Fattah, M.A. and Mervat E Sorial (1998): Efficiency of biofertilization on response of the productivity and chemical composition of lettuce plants grown under different nitrogen levels. Menofiya J. Agric. Res., Vol. 23(5): 1185-1207.
- Abdel-Razik, A.H. (1996): Response of lettuce to N-rates and Wuxal foliar fertilizer in sandy soil. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 41(2): 359-368.
- Abdel-Razik, A.H. and M. A. S. Barakat (1990): Effect of nitrogen and GA3 on vegetative growth, head weight and seed production of lettuce. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 35(2): 77-87.

- Agwah, E. M. R. and A. F., Shahaby (1993): Associative effect of *Azospirillum* on vitamin C, chlorophyll content and growth of lettuce under field conditions. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 38(2): 423-434.
- Ahmed, A.H H (1996): Physiological studies on tipburn and nitrate accumulation in lettuce plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 21(11):3971-3991.
- Ahmed, A. H. H. (1997): Effect of foliar application of some chemicals on sex expression of squash plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 22(3):697-717.
- Ahmed, A.H H.; J.F. Mishriky and M.K. Khalil (2000): Reducing nitrate accumulation in

- lettuce (*Lactuca Sativa* L.) plants by using different biofertilizers. Cairo University, Egypt, September, 2000, page 509-517.
- Ahmed, M. M. M and E. B. A. Osman (2003): Response of peanut plants grown a sandy calcareous soil to fertilization with farmyard manure and organo-mineral fertilizer prepared from some sugar cane wastes. Assiut. J. Agric. Sci., Vol.34 (6): 337-347.
- Ahmed, M. M. M. (1997): Utilization of certain sugar industry wastes for fertilization of some crops. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Ahmed, M. M. M. (2001): Response of some fruit trees grown on the desert soil of Wadi El-Assiti to fertilization using certain sugar industry by-products. Ph. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Ahmed, M. M. M. and Ali, E. A. 2005. Effect of different sources of organic fertilizers on the accumulation and movement of NPK in sandy calcareous soils and the productivity of wheat and grain sorghum. Assiut. J. Agric. Sci., Vol.36 (3): 147-159.
- Awany, S.A. and M.M., Moursy (1992). Lettuce and endive plant foliage chemical constituents and nitrate residues as affected by different levels of ammonium nitrate fertilizer at sandy soils. Bull of Suez Canal Univ. Appl. Sci., 1 :515-528.
- Azcon, R.; M. Gomez and R. tobar (1996): Physiological and nutritional responses by *Lactuca sativa*, L. to nitrogen sources and mycorrhizal fungi under drought conditions. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 22 (1/2):156-161.
- Bakr, A. A. and R.A. Gawish (1997): Trials to reduce nitrate and oxalate content in some leafy vegetables. 2. Interactive effects of the manipulating of the soil nutrient supply, different blanching media and preservation methods followed by cooking process. J. sci. Food Agric. 73, 169-178.
- Bardisi, A. and E. A. Abdel-Bary (2000): Growth and yield of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) and nitrate and nitrite accumulation as affected by nitrogen sources and rates. Zagazieg J. Agric. Res. Vol. 27(4): 1053-1067.
- Blom-Zandstra, M. (1989): Nitrate accumulation in vegetables and its relationship to quality. Ann. Appl. Bio., 155:553-561.
- Brown, M. E., (1974): Seed and root bacterization. Annual Rev., Phtopathol., 12: 181-197.
- Camera, C.; E. Cainavarro; B. Saltao; J. Moreira; A.A. Monteriro and E.P. Vasconce; os (2000): Yield and leaf nitrate content of lettuce on response to nitrogen fertilization. X<sup>th</sup> International colloquium for the optimization of plant nutrition. Cairo, Egypt.
- Carletti, S.; C.E., Rodriguez and B. Liorente (1996): Effect of biofertilizer application on Jojoba cultivation. Association for the Advan. of Indust. Crop. (1996): 53-55 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 1997,67(2):1599).
- Cash ,D., R. Funston, M. King and D. Wichman (2002): Nitrate toxicity of Montana forages. Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717.
- Causeret, J. (1984): Nitrates, nitrites, nitrosamines: Apports alimentaires et sante. Ann. Fals. Exp. Chim. 77:131-151.
- Chabot, R.; H. Anttoun and M.P. Cescas (1996): Growth promotion of maize and lettuce by phosphate-solubilizing *Rhizobium*. Plant & Soil, 184 (2): 311-321.
- Choudhury, B. (1967): Vegetables New Delhi: National Book Trust. Pp. 176-179.

- Custic, M.; M. Poliak and T. Cosic (1994): Nitrate content in leaf vegetables as related to nitrogen fertilization in Croatia. *Acta Horticultrae*, 371:407-412.
- Custic, M.; M. Poljak; L. Coga; T., Cosic; N. Toth and M., Pecina (2003): The influence of organic and mineral fertilization on nutrient status, nitrate accumulation and yield of head chicory. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 49 (5) :218-222.
- Elbordiny, M. M.; T. A. Taha and A. S. El-Sebaay. (2003): Evaluating nitrogen fertilizer sources and scheduling for cotton. *Egypt. J. Soil. Sci.* 43 (3): 435-445
- El-Etr, Wafaa, T.; Laila, K. M and Elham, I. El-Khatib. (2004): Comparative effects of bio-compost and compost on growth, yield and nutrients content of pea and wheat plants grown on sandy soils. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 82 (2):73-94.
- El-Gamal, A. M. (1996): Response of potato in the newly reclaimed area to mineral nitrogen levels and nitrogen fixing bio-fertilizer Halex 2. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.*, 27(2): 89-99.
- El-Hassan, E. (1990): Yield and nitrate content of lettuce in relation to some culture practices. *Bull. Fac. Agric., Univ. of Cairo* 41 (2): 407-417.
- Elsharawy, M. A. O.; M. A. Aziz and Laila K. M. Ali. (2003): Effect of the application of plant residues composts on some soil properties and yield of wheat and corn plants. *Egypt. J. Soil. Sci.* 43 (3): 421-434.
- El-Shinawy, M. Z., E.M. Abd-Elmoniem, A.F. Abou-Hadid. (1999): The use of organic manure for lettuce plants grown under NFT conditions. *International Society for Horticultural Science* 491 No. 6 Vol. 1. 1999.
- Gardener, B.R. and W.D. Pew (1974). Response of spring grown head lettuce to nitrogen fertilizer. *Tech. Bull. Arizona Agric. Exp. St. No. 210 (C.F. Hort. Abst., (9):1975).*
- Gawish, R.A. (1997): Trials to reduce nitrate and oxalate contents in some leafy vegetables. 1. Interactive effect of different nitrogen fertilization regimes and nitrification inhibitor (Nitrapyrin) on growth and yield of both spinach and lettuce. *Zagazig J. Agric.* 24(1):83-100.
- Georgios C. Pavlou, Constantinos D. Ehaliotis and Victor A. Kavvadias (2007): Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers applied during successive crop seasons on growth and nitrate accumulation in lettuce. *Scientia Horticulturae* Vol. 111: 319-325.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984): Statistical procedure for Agric. Res. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Johnwiley and Sons Inc. New York, 680 pages.
- Hajislova, J.' V. Schulzova; PSlanina; K. Janne; K.E. Hellenas and C., Andersson (2005): Quality of organically and conventionally grown potatoes: Four year study of micronutrients, metals secondary metabolites, enzymic browning and organoleptic properties. *Food Addit. Contan.* 19:524-532.
- Herencia, J.F.; J.C., Ruiz-Porras; S. Melero; P.A. Garcia-Galavis; E. Morillo and C. Maqueda (2007): Comparison between organic and mineral fertilization for soil fertility levels, crop macronutrient concentrations and yield. *Agron. J.* 99: 973-983.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958): Soil chemical analysis. Printic-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Keeney, D R. and D. W. Nelson (1982): Nitrogen inorganic forms. In A. L. Page, (ed). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Agronomy.

- Khier, N.F.; A.H.H Ahmed; E.A. Abou El-Hassan and E. M. Z. Harb (1991): Physiological studies on the hazardous nitrate accumulation in some vegetables. *Bull Fac. Agric Univ. of Cairo*. 42 (2): 557-576.
- Kumaraswamy, D. and B.B. Madalageri (1990): Effect of *Azotobacter* inoculation on tomato. *South Indian Horticulture*, 38 (2): 188-192.
- Lazarovits, G. and J. Nowak (1997): Rhizobacteria for improvement of plant growth and establishment. *HortScience*, 32 (2): 188-192.
- Maftoun, M.; F. Moshiri; N. Karimian and A. M. Ronaghi. (2004): Effects of two organic wastes in combination with phosphorus on growth and chemical composition of Spinach and soil properties. *J. Plant Nut.*, 27(9): 1635-1651.
- Maftoun, M.; F. Moshiri; N. Karimian and A.M. Ronaghi (2004): Effects of two organic wastes in combination with phosphorus on growth and chemical composition of Spinach and soil properties. *J. Plant Nut.*, 27 (9): 1635-1651.
- Mahmoud, M. R. (2000): Improvement of soil fertility and sorghum production as a result of composts and phosphorus fertilizers application. *Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.*, Vol. 20 (3): 553-572.
- Malmauret, L; D. Parent-Massin; J. I. Hardy and P. Verger (2002): Contaminants in organic and conventional foodstuffs in France. *Food Addit. Contam.* 19:524-532.
- Maynard, D. N.; A.V. Barer; P.L. Minotti and N.H. Peck (1976): Nitrate accumulation in vegetables. *Adv. Agron.* 28:71-118.
- Maynard, D. N.; A.V. Barker; P.L. Minotti and N.H., Peck (1976): Nitrate accumulation in vegetables. *Adv. Agron.*, 28:71-118.
- Mohamed, W. H. and M.A. Hussein. (2005): Effect of some organic fertilizers and sulphur application on root yield and nutrient uptake of sugar beet in relation to some soil tests. *J. Adv. Agric. Res. (Fac. Agric. Saba Basha)*., Vol. 25 (6): 3541-3558.
- Monica L. Porto; Jailson do Calves; Adalison P. de Souza; Rannira da C Araujo and Jandeilson A de Arruda (2008): Nitrate production and accumulation in lettuce as affected by mineral Nitrogen supply and organic fertilization. *Hortic. Bras.*, vol. 26(2):227-230.
- Moussa, A. G., M. A .El-Shal; A.H. Abdel-Razik AND h., Abdel-Razik (1993): Efficiency of organic and inorganic fertilization on yielding of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*, L.). *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 20(5):1583-1592.
- Noel, T. C.; C. Sheng; C.K. Yost; R.P. Pharis and M. E. Hynes (1996): *Rhizobium leguminosarum* as a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium: direct growth promotion of canola and lettuce. *Can. Jour. of Microbiol.*, 42(3):279-283.
- Pandy, A. and S. Kumar (1989): Potential of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirilla* as biofertilizers for upland agriculture: a review. *Jour. of Scientific & Industrial Research*, 48(3): 134-144 (C.F. Hort., Abst., 60:796).
- Pew, W.D., B.R. Gardner and PM Bessey (1984): A comparison of controlled-release and certain soluble nitrogen fertilizers on yield and maturity in spring-grown head lettuce. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 109(4): 531-535.
- Richard, J. G.; F. J. Sundstrom; J. A. Grimas; J.P. Geaghan and W.W. Etzel (1985): Predicated effect of temperature and N fertilizer on crop response of four

- cultivars of head lettuce. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Analysis*, 16(6):583-613.
- Ruiz-Lozano, J.M.; R. Azcon and M., Gomez (1995): Effect of arbuscular-mycorrhizal *Glomus* species on drought tolerance: Physiological and nutritional plant response. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 61(2):456-460.
- Sanchez, C.A.; H.W. Burdine; V. L. Guzman and C.B. Hall (1989): Yield, quality and leaf nutrient composition of crisphead lettuce as affected by N, P and K on Histosols. *Proc. of the Ann. Meeting of the Florida Stat Hort. Soc.*, 101:346-350 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 61:6839).
- Shafshak, N. and F.A. Abo-Sedera (1990): Effect of different nitrogen sources and levels on growth, yield and nitrate accumulation in some lettuce varieties. *Ann Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 28(1): 619-631.
- Smith, S.R. and P. Hadley (1989): A comparison of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers: Their nitrate-N and ammonium-N release characteristics and effects on the growth response of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. cv. Fortune). *Plant and Soil*, Volume 115: 135-144.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G., Cochran (1972): *Statistical methods*. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, U.S.A., pp. 120-245.
- Soundy, P. and I.E. Smith (1992): Response of lettuce to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. *Jour. South African Soc. For Hort. Sci.*, 2 (2): 82-85 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 1996, 66 (7): 5845).
- Valšíková, M. and A. Viteková (2006): The effect of Lignofert organic fertilizer on formation and quality of head lettuce yield. *Hort. Sci. (Prague)*, 33, 2006 (3): 114-118.
- Vogtmann, H., K., Matthies; B. Kehres and A. Meier-Ploeger (1993): Enhanced food quality: Effects of compost on the quality of plant foods. *Compost Sci. Util.* 1:82-100.
- Walworth, J.L., D.E. Clarting and G. J. Michaelson (1992): Nitrogen sources and rates for direct-seeded and transplanted head lettuce. *Hortscience*, 27:228-230.
- Wange, S.S. (1996). Effect of biofertilizer under graded nitrogen levels on carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Annals of Plant Physiology*, 10 (1): 96-98.
- Williams, C. M. (2002): Nutritional quality of organic food: shades of grey or shade of green. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 61:19-24.
- Zhong, L.F., T. Kato, X P Xu and Y. Fukumoto (1989): Comparative studies on the physiological characteristics in solanaceous fruit vegetables, 4. Effect of nitrogen form on hormone level in shoot apices, chemical constituents and photosynthetic function. *Research Reports of the Kochi Univ., Agricultural Science*, 38: 35-40 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 61:3619).

## تأثير التسميد النيتروجيني والعضوى والحيوى على إنتاجية الخس (صنف الرومين) فى الأراضى الرملية تحت ظروف أسبوط

ماهر حسن حسنى عبد المحيد\*، محمد محمود محمد أحمد\*\*

\*قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة سوهاج

\*\*معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجرى هذا البحث خلال موسمى الزراعة ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٧، ٢٠٠٧/٢٠٠٨ بمحطة مركز البحوث الزراعية بعرب  
العوامر، لدراسة تأثير إضافة النتروجين وكلا من التسميد العضوى والحيوى ونسبة تركيز النيتريت فى أوراق الخس  
للصنف الرومين، والذى ينمو فى الأراضى الرملية. وقد تم استخدام خمسة مستويات من التسميد النتروجينى هى  
(صفر، ٣٠، ٦٠، ٩٠، ١٢٠ كجم) على صورة نترات الامونيوم ٣٣.٥%. كما استخدم أيضاً ثلاثة مستويات من  
التسميد العضوى بمعدلات (صفر، ٣، ٦ طن/فدان) بالإضافة إلى المعاملة البيوجين كمصدر للتسميد الحيوى.  
وقد أظهرت نتائج الدراسة:

- ١- فاعلية التركيز ١٢٠ كيلوجرام نتروجين على معظم الصفات المدروسة. وكذلك فاعلية التركيز ٦ طن سماد  
للفدان فى حالة التسميد بالسماد العضوى.
  - ٢- وجود اختلافات واضحة فى حالة التسميد الحيوى فى كل الصفات المدروسة.
  - ٣- التفاعل بين التسميد الكيمايى (النيتروجينى) والعضوى، وتبين عدم وجود اختلافات معنوية بين التركيز ١٢٠  
كجم وإضافة ٣ أو ٦ طن فى معظم الصفات المدروسة.
  - ٤- أن معاملة النباتات بالتسميد الحيوى أدت إلى إكثافه خفض الحاجة إلى التسميد الكيمايى (النيتروجينى)  
لمستوى ٩٠ كجم دون حدوث اختلاف بينها وبين المستوى ١٢٠ كجم فى صفات طول النبات وطول الورقة  
وعرض الورقة، وأيضاً فى صفة الوزن الكلى للنبات.
  - ٥- لا توجد فروق معنوية فى حالة استخدام مستويات من السماد النتروجينى ٦٠، ٩٠، ١٢٠ كجم فى صفة  
المحصول فى حالة المعاملة للنباتات بالسماد الحيوى (البيوجين). كما قلت نسبة النترات فى أوراق نبات الخس  
بإضافة التسميد العضوى أو الحيوى.
- ومن ذلك ينصح باستخدام الأسمدة العضوية والحيوية رخيصة الثمن، والتي تكون أيضاً غير ضارة بالصحة  
لتقليل استخدام الأسمدة الكيمايية فى إنتاج محاصيل الخضر.