

EVALUATION OF *AZOLLA PINNATA* MEAL AS AN INGREDIENT IN DIETS FOR *TILAPIA ZILLII* FRY

A.M.M. ABDEL-HALIM¹, THANAA SHANAB² AND M.ABDEL-TAWWAB¹

¹ Central Laboratory for Aquaculture, Agricultural Research Centre, Abbassa, Abu-Hammad, Sharkia, Egypt.

² Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

(Manuscript received 30 December 1997)

Abstract

Duplicate groups of *Tilapia zillii* fry reared in ten aquaria were fed one of isonitrogenously five different diets for 90 days. Formulated control diet containing 20% crude protein was used. A whole part of the diet was replaced with either 0, 25, 50, 75 or 100% *Azolla pinnata* meal. Results showed growth retardation of the fish proportional to the increasing *Azolla* level in the diet. Mortality rate reached 23% for fish fed 100% *Azolla* meal. Carcass composition showed increased moisture and ash contents and poorer content of CP and EE. Values of feed conversion ratios were higher; PER, PPV% and EU% were lower with increasing *Azolla* level. *Azolla* is not nutritionally efficient for *T.zillii* fry at high inclusion levels. However, levels below 25% may be promising as an ingredient in fish feed in view of being costless protein source.

INTRODUCTION

Azolla, an aquatic floating fern, is widely distributed throughout tropical and subtropical zones. It rapidly propagates and may double its biomass in 3-10 days reaching a standing crop of 8-10 tons/ha in rice fields (Pullin and Almazan 1983). This huge mass of high protein content (Moore 1969, Becking 1979, Peters *et al.* 1979, Chen and Huang 1987) had encouraged testing its use as fish fodder. Feeding tilapias with fresh or dried *Azolla* has received special interest (Angeles 1984, Antoine *et al.* 1986, Pantastico *et al.* 1986, Antoine *et al.* 1987, Micha *et al.* 1988, Santiago *et al.* 1988 and EL-Sayed 1992). However, results were controversial.

The present study was conducted to assess the nutritive value of *Azolla* meal as an ingredient in *Tilapia zillii* fry diet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fresh *Azolla pinnata* cropped from the draining system of the experimental fish farm of the Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research (CLAR), were sun-

dried and finely ground, pelleted and analysed for chemical composition. A diet (containing approximately the same protein percentage) was formulated to be used as a control diet and as a base for formulating the other experimental diets. Ingredients of the base diet were 20% fish meal, 33% yellow corn, 33% wheat bran, 7% wheat flour, 2% corn oil, 2.5% vitamin premix and 2.5% mineral premix. A whole part of the base diet was replaced with different levels of Azolla meal. Thus, five tested diets were formulated by mixing either 0.0, 25.0, 75.0 or 100.0% Azolla meal (diets 1 to 5, respectively) with preformulated fish feed.

Tilapia zillii fry were collected from CLAR fish ponds, graded for similar sizes (average 2.29 ± 0.08 g) and acclimatized for 15 days to laboratory conditions. Fifty fish were frozen at -20°C for chemical analysis. The fry of mixed sex were distributed randomly at a rate of 15 fish/aquarium (40x50x60cm) with fixed water volume of 50 liters per each. Well aerated water supply was provided from a storage fiberglass tank. Air was supplied from a central aeration system. Settled fish wastes were siphoned daily together with half of the water volume which was replaced from the storage tank. Temperature was approximately kept at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$. Two aquaria were assigned for each diet, and fish were fed frequently to satiation for 90 days. The experiment was terminated by removing the fish and subjecting them to proximate chemical analysis according to A.O.A.C. (1990). Growth performance was determined. Nutrient utilization was calculated after Zein-Eldin and Meyers (1973). Gross energy of the diet and fish carcasses was estimated according to NRC (1993). Statistical analysis was conducted following Snedecor and Cochran (1971) and Duncan's (1955) multiple range test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical analysis of the locally growing *Azolla pinnata* diet 5 (100% Azolla) presented in Table 1 showed low protein content ($19.88 \pm 0.71\%$) within the range of 18.8 and 22.2% reported by Almazan *et al.* (1986) and Angeles (1984), respectively, and lower than that range reported by EL-Sayed (1992). The chemical composition of Azolla can be affected by the population density (De Waha Baillonville *et al.* 1984), and, not only the species and ecotypes, but also, with the ecological conditions and the phase of growth (Van Hove *et al.* 1987). *Tilapia zillii* were chosen as the test fish based on the knowledge of being phagocytolytic omnivore fish (Hickling 1971, Spataru 1978 and Balarin and Hatton 1979). Thus, it may accept a plant-based formulated Azolla diet and efficiently utilize it.

Table 1. Composition and proximate chemical analysis (on DM bases) of the experimental diets containing different *Azolla* meal levels.

Item	Diet				
	1	2	3	4	5
Ingredient (%)					
Fish feed	100.0	75.0	50.0	25.0	0.00
<i>Azolla</i> meal	0.00	25.0	50.0	75.0	100.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Chemical analysis (%)					
DM	86.51±0.39	86.31±0.31	87.19±0.27	87.45±0.47	87.38±0.38
CP	20.33±0.73	20.56±0.09	20.43±0.12	20.26±0.15	19.88±0.71
EE	3.58±0.33	3.43±0.44	3.04±0.18	2.05±0.23	2.61±0.34
Ash	19.02±0.01	21.54±0.03	25.10±0.31	25.34±0.94	26.31±0.17
Fibre	9.81±0.16	12.60±0.80	14.90±0.07	18.01±0.12	20.16±0.90
NFE*	47.26	41.87	36.53	33.34	31.04
GE (Kcal/g)**	3.42	3.20	2.94	2.8	2.64

* NFE : Calculated by difference.

** GE : Calculated after NRC (1993) as 5.64, 9.44 and 4.11 Kcal/g for protein, lipid and nitrogen free extract, respectively.

Growth performance of *T.zillii* fry (Table 2) was generally very poor on all the test diets. This may be attributed to the low protein content of these experimental diets (About 20%), while, protein requirement for tilapia fry is 35% (Mazid *et al.* 1979 and DeSilva *et al.* 1989), and may be as much as 40% (Teshima *et al.* 1978).

Table 2. Growth performance of *Tilapia zillii* fry fed on diets containing different levels of *Azolla* meal.

Diet	Initial weight (g/fish)	Initial weight (g/fish)	Weight gain (g/fish)	SGR (%)	Mortality (%)
1	2.30 ± 0.01	4.72±0.2a*	2.42 ± 0.21 a	0.79a	6.67±0.0b
2	2.22 ± 0.01	4.65±0.2a	2.43 ± 0.22a	0.82a	10.00±3.3b
3	2.32 ± 0.09	3.14±0.1c	0.82± 0.08c	0.33c	10.00±3.3b
4	2.35 ± 0.01	2.72±0.0cd	0.37± 0.03cd	0.16cd	16.67±3.3ab
5	2.27 ± 0.03	2.49±0.0d	0.22 ± 0.01d	0.10d	23.34±3.3a

* Figures in the same column not having the same letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

Response of *T.zillii* to increasing incorporation level of *Azolla* meal in their diets was negative, resulting in proportional decrease in final weight gain, specific growth rate (SGR%) and higher mortality rate. The negative role of *Azolla* on fish growth has been previously reported. Our results are in agreement with Micha *et al.* (1988) who reported a decreased growth of both *Oreochromis niloticus* and *T.rendalli* when *Azolla* was incorporated in their diets. Moreover, fish (*O.niloticus*) suffered weight loss on dried *Azolla* powder or all *Azolla* pellets. Though positive growth was obtained with pellets containing more than 50% *Azolla*, growth was significantly worse than that obtained with *Azolla*-free diet (Almazan *et al.* 1986). Similar results were obtained with both *O.niloticus* fry and adults fed either fresh or dry *Azolla pinnata* (EL-Sayed 1992). The controversy about *Azolla* as an ingredient in tilapia feeds ranged between complete refusal (Almazan *et al.* 1986) and complete acceptance (Santigao *et al.* 1988). In a recent study by El-Sayed (1992), a diet with 30% *Azolla* level (substituting 25% of fishmeal protein) did not support similar growth as *Azolla*-free diet. The present study showed that, replacement of 25% of fish diet with *Azolla* (substituting 35% of the fishmeal protein) did not significantly (P>0.05) reduce growth performance of *T.zillii*. However, fish fed higher levels of *Azolla* meal were exposed to death where mortality rate of the group fed on *Azolla*

only diet was 23% which is four times higher than mortality rate of fish fed on *Azolla*-free diet, while, only 10% mortality rate was reported by Micha *et al.* (1988).

The poor performance of *T. zillii* fed on diets with high levels of *Azolla* meal may be due to its low nutritive value and the deficiency in some essential amino acids especially, methionine, lysine and histidine, as well as, the high neutral detergent fiber of *Azolla*, and possibly, adenine which limits the usefulness of *Azolla* as a food ingredient for simple-stomach animals (Buckingham *et al.* 1978). Generally, the diets containing animal protein has growth promoting factor that enhanced the fish growth more than the diets containing plant protein irrespective to amino acids deficiency in plant protein, where, the addition of methionine, lysine or cystine did not enhance the fish growth (Andrews and Page 1974).

The effect of incorporation level on carcass composition is presented in Table 3. The significant decrease in dry matter (DM%) and ether extract (EE%) strongly correlating with the increase of *Azolla* level in the diet, was in agreement with Micha *et al.* (1988) and El-Sayed (1992). Moreover, a significant reduction in protein content was also observed.

Table 3. Carcass proximate chemical analysis (mean \pm SE) of *Tilapia zillii* fry fed on diets containing different levels of *Azolla* meal.

Diet	Item			
	DM (%)	CP (%)	EE (%)	ASH (%)
Initial	19.08 \pm 0.24	52.09 \pm 0.29	9.01 \pm 0.07	36.74 \pm 0.58
1	29.02 \pm 0.10b*	62.01 \pm 0.80a	13.31 \pm 0.15a	24.41 \pm 0.16e
2	23.99 \pm 0.16a	59.84 \pm 0.56b	11.95 \pm 0.15b	26.91 \pm 0.12d
3	20.11 \pm 0.08c	59.88 \pm 0.09b	11.22 \pm 0.20c	28.86 \pm 0.36c
4	19.62 \pm 0.01c	58.41 \pm 0.62b	9.86 \pm 0.01d	30.47 \pm 0.10b
5	18.43 \pm 0.48d	56.30 \pm 0.50c	9.68 \pm 0.13d	32.68 \pm 0.46a

* Figures in the same column not having the same letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Feed intake was significantly affected by increasing *Azolla* level. This may reflect the selective appetite of *T. zillii*. *Azolla pinnata* var. *imbricata* ranked lower among other *Azolla* species fed to *T. rendalli* (Micha *et al.* 1988), and were nearly always neglected by *O. niloticus* (Antoine *et al.* 1986). The significant ($P < 0.05$) decrease in PER, PPV% and EU% was a direct result of the increase of *Azolla* level.

This finding was in agreement with Micha *et al.* (1988) and El Sayed (1992).

Although fish gain in weight and specific growth rate (SGR%) were statistically equal at 0.0 and 25% *Azolla* level, the higher FCR and significantly lower values of PER, PPV and EU% correlating with 25% replacement of fish feed with *Azolla*, suggest a low nutritive value of *Azolla pinnata* for feeding of *T.zillii* fry, and confirm the conclusion of Buckingham *et al.* (1978) that, *Azolla* is not suitable as a protein source for simple-stomach animals. However, EL-Sayed (1992) suggested that, less than 25% of the dietary fishmeal protein may be replaced with *Azolla*, and recommended that, incorporation of *Azolla* in fish diet should be considered on cost/benefit ratio. Based on the results of the present study, an optimum inclusion level of *Azolla* meal should be incorporated at a level not more than 25% for the feeding of *T.zillii*. Moreover, taking into account the differences among fish species and age groups in their ability to digest plant materials (Caulton 1978, Buddington 1979), addition of *Azolla* to fish culture ponds should not absolutely be rejected, and more studies are needed for the best use of this costless protein source.

Table 4. Feed and nutrient utilized by *Tilapia zillii* fry fed on diets containing different levels of *Azolla* meal.

Diet	Feed intake (g/fish)	FCR	PER	PPV	EU %
1	10.38 ± 0.3	4.31±0.25d*	1.32 ± 0.08a	33.98±1.7a	15.70±0.8a
2	7.17 ± 0.4	4.21±0.22d	1.34 ± 0.06a	24.83± 1.1b	12.24±0.5b
3	4.29 ± 0.4	5.24±0.04c	1.07± 0.00b	18.93± 1.1c	9.74±0.5bc
4	2.28 ± 0.2	6.16±0.05b	0.91± 0.00bc	20.07±0.7bc	9.89±0.5bc
5	1.57 ± 0.16	7.12±0.41a	0.82 ± 0.05c	12.46± 1.2d	6.50±1.8c

* Figures in the same column not having the same letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

REFERENCES

1. Almazan, G.J., R.S.V. Pullin, A.F. Angeles, T.A. Manalo, R.R. Agbayani and M.T.B. Trono. 1986. Assessment of *Azolla pinnata* as a dietary component for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). The first Asian Fisheries Forum. J. L. Maclean, L.B and L.V. Hosillos (eds.), pp. 523-528.
2. Andrews J.W. and J.W. Page. 1974. Growth factors in the fish meal components of catfish diets. *J. Nutrition*, 104:1091-1096.
3. Angeles, M.T. 1984. Utilization of *Azolla* as food for Tilapia reared in aquaria. Thesis, B.S. College of Inland Fisheries, Central Luzon State University, Muoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines.
4. Antoine, T., S. Carror, J. C. Micha and C. VanHove. 1986. Comparative appetency for *Azolla* of *Cichlasoma* and *Oreochromis* (tilapia). *Aquaculture*, 53:95-99.
5. Antoine, T., P. Wery, J.C. Micha and C. Van Hove. 1987. Comparison of the growth and chemical composition of *Oreochromis niloticus* (tilapia) and *Cichleasoma melanurum* (Theraps) fed with *Azolla*. *Aquaculture*, 66: 181-96.
6. A.O.A.C. 1990. Official methods of analysis. 15th edition. K. Helrich (Ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists Inc., Arlington, VA, PP 1298.
7. Balarin, J.D. and J.P. Hatton. 1979. Tilapia, A Guide to Their Biology and Culture in Africa. University of Sterling, Scotland, pp 174.
8. Becking, J.H. 1979. Environmental requirements of *Azolla* for use in tropical rice production. In : Nitrogen and Rice, pp. 345-73. IRRI, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
9. Buckingham, K.W., S.W. Ela, J.G. Morris and C.R. Goldman. 1978. Nutritive value of nitrogen-fixing aquatic fern *Azolla filiculoides*. *J. Agric. Food chem.*, 26 (2).
10. Buddington, R.K. 1979. Digestion of an aquatic macrophyte by Tilapia zillii (Gervais). *J. Fish. Biol.*, 15: 449-455.
11. Caulton, M.S. 1978. Tissue depletion and energy utilization during routine metabolism by sub-adult Tilapia rendalli Boulenger. *J. Fish. Biol.*, 13: 1-6.
12. Chen, D. and C.Huang. 1987. Study of *Azolla* as a fish fodder. In: *Azolla Utilization*. Proceedings of the workshop on *Azolla* use. IRRI, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
13. Desilva, S.S., R.M. Gunasekera and D. Atapattu. 1989. The dietary protein re-

- quirements of young tilapia and an evaluation of the least cost dietary protein levels. *Aquaculture*, 80: 271-284.
14. DeWaha Baillonville, B., T.P. Godard and C. Van Hove. 1984. Chemical composition of *Azolla* populations as affected by aging. *Arch. Int. Physiol. Biochem.*, 92 (1): 30.
 15. Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple (F) test. *Biometrics*, 11:1-42.
 16. El-Sayed, A.F. M. 1992. Effect of substituting fish meal with *Azolla pinnata* in practical diets for fingerling and adult Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management*, 23: 167-173.
 17. Hickling, C.F. 1971. *Fish Culture*. 2nd ed. Faber and Faber Publ. London, pp 155-186.
 18. Mazid, M.A., Y. Tanaka, T. Katayama, M.A. Rahman, K.L. Simpson and C.O. Chichester. 1979. Growth response of *Tilapia zillii* fingerlings fed isocaloric diets with variable protein levels. *Aquaculture*, 18: 115-122.
 19. Micha, J.C., T. Antoine, P. Wery and G. Van Hove. 1988. Growth ingestion capacity, comparative appetency and biochemical composition of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Tilapia rendalli* fed with *Azolla*. In : R.S.V. Pullin, T. Bhukawan, K. Tonguthai and J.L. Maclean (eds.), *The Second International symposium on tilapia in Aquaculture*. (ICLARM) Manila, Philippines.
 20. Moore, A.W. 1969. *Azolla*: Biology and agronomic significance. *Bot. Rev.*, 35: 17-35.
 21. NRC (National Research Council). 1993. *Nutrient requirements of fish*. Committee on Animal Nutrition. Board on Agriculture. National Research Council. National Academy Press. Washington DC., USA. p. 114.
 22. Pantastico, J.B., S.F. Baldia and D. M. JR Reyes. 1986. *Tilapia* (*T.nilotica*) and *Azolla* (*A.pinnata*) cage farming in Laguna Lake. *Fish. Res. J. Philipp.*, 11 (1-2): 21-8.
 23. Peters, G.A., B.C. Mayne, T.B. Ray and R.E. Toia. 1979. Physiology and Biochemistry of the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis. In: *Nitrogen and rice*. pp 325-344, IRRI, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
 24. Pullin, R.S.V. and G. Almazan. 1983. *Azolla* as a fish food. *ICLARM Newsletter*, 6 (1): 6-7.

25. Santiago, C.B., M.B. Aldaba, O.S. Reyes and M.A. Laron. 1988. Response of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry to diets containing *Azolla* meal. In: The Second International Symposium for Tilapia in Aquaculture, (eds.) R.S.V. Pullin, T. Bhukaswan, K. Tonguthai and J.L. Maclean, pp. 377-82 ICLARM Conference Proceedings, Manila, Philippines.
26. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. 1971. Statistical methods. 6th edition. Iowa State Univ. Press., Amer., IA, USA, pp 593.
27. Spataru, P. 1978. Food and feeding habits of *Tilapia zillii* (Gervais) (Cichlidae) in Lake Kenneret (Israel). *Aquaculture*, 14: 327-338.
28. Teshima, S., M. Gabriel, O. Gonzalez and A. Kanazawa. 1978. Nutritional requirements of Tilapia: Utilization of dietary protein by *Tilapia zillii*. *Mem. Fac. Kagoshima Univ.*, 27 (1): 49-57.
29. Van Hove, C., B. DeWaha Baillonville, H.F. Diana, P. Godard, Y. Mai Kodomi and N. Sanginga. 1987. *Azolla* collection and selection. In: *Azolla Utilization. Proceedings of the workshop on Azolla use.* IRRI, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
30. Zein-Eldin, Z.P. and S.P. Meyers. 1973. General consideration in problems in shrimp nutrition. *Proc. World Maricult. Soc.*, 4: 299.

تقييم مسحوق الازولا بياتا كمكوّن في علائق البلطى الذيلى

عبد الرحمن مصطفى محمد عبد الحللم^١ ، ثناء شنب^٢، محسن عبد التواب^١

^١ المعمل المركزى لبحوث الثروة السمكية - العباسة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - جيزة - مصر .

^٢ كلية العلوم - جامعة الأزهر.

ربيت مجموعتان من البلطى الزيللى فى عشرة احواض زجاجية وغذيت على واحده من خمس علائق متساوية فى محتواها من النيتروجين لمدة ٩٠ يوماً. احتوت العليقة القياسية على ٢٠٪ بروتين وقد تم استبدال جزء من العليقة بمسحوق الازولا بمعدل ٠,٢٥ ، ٠,٥٠ ، ٠,٧٥ ، ١,٠٠ ٪.

أظهرت النتائج تأخراً فى نمو الاسماك يزداد مع تزايد مستوى الازولا وكان معدل المنفوق ٢٣٪ للاسماك التى تغذت على ١,٠٠٪ من مسحوق الازولا. أظهر التحليل الكيماوى لجسم الاسماك زياده فى نسبة البرطوبه والرماد ومحتوى اقل من البروتين والدهن. وكانت نسبة التحويل الغذائى عاليه ونسبة كفاءة البروتين والقيمه الانتاجيه للبروتين اقل مع زيادة مستوى الازولا. ويمكن استنتاج ان مسحوق الازولا ليس غذاءً جيداً لزريعة البلطى الزيللى، ومع هذا يمكن استخدامه بمعدل اقل من ٢٥٪ كاحد مكوّنات علائق الاسماك على اعتبار أنه مصدر رخيص للبروتين.