Al-Azhar Med. J.( Medicine) DOI: 10.21608/amj.2023.274298

https://amj.journals.ekb.eg/article\_274298.html

# COMPARATIVE STUDY OF INTRAVENOUS VERSUS INTRAPERITONEAL MAGNESIUM SULPHATE IN POST OPERATIVE PAIN MANAGEMENT AFTER LAPROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY

By

## Mohamed Ahmed Saad, Helmey El-Kawaly, El-Sayed El-Feqy and Ahmed El-Garhay

Anesthesia, Intensive Care & Pain Management Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University

Corresponding author: Mohamed Ahmed Saad,

**Phone:** +02 01143797495, **E-mail:** <u>saaddaba3@gmail.com</u>

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Study of effective modality for postoperative pain management has remained a subject of ongoing clinical researches due to its uniqueness and associated complex physiological consequences with somatic, autonomic and behavioral manifestations. Poorly managed postoperative pain can also increase the incidence of persistent postoperative pain conditions.

**Objectives:** To compare between the effect of intravenous and intraperitoneal magnesium sulphate on postoperative pain outcomes, post-operative opioid consumption as well as intraoperative fentanyl consumption in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Patients and Method: This prospective randomized double blind clinical study was carried out on 80 adult patients, Undergoing laparoscopic cholecyctectomy at Al-Azhar University Hospitals. After approval by the Institutional Ethical Committee, and informed written consents were obtained from the patients. We randomly divided the patients into two equal groups: Group A received Magnesium sulphate (magnisol 50 mg/kg in 250 ml of isotonic 0.9% normal saline) intravenously over 30 minutes started with induction and Group B received Magnesium sulphate (50 mg/kg in 30 ml of isotonic 0.9% normal saline intra peritoneally (IP) at the end of surgery.

**Results:** The pain scores of IP group (intra-peritoneal group) were significantly lower than IV group. The total opioid consumption postoperatively in IP group was significantly lower than IV group. Also, there was a significant reduction in opioid-related side effects such as postoperative nausea and vomiting.

**Conclusion:** Instillation of 50 mg of magnesium sulphate intravenously after completion of laparoscopic surgery renders patients of less pain at the first 24 hours after surgery, more pain free period and less consumption of analgesics in the post-operative period.

**Keywords:** Intravenous, Intraperitoneal Magnesium Sulphate, Post-Operative Pain Management, Laproscopic Cholecystectomy.

#### INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopy has changed the surgical symptomatic approach to gallstone disease. The first laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed in Europe in 1987 using a pneumoperitoneum. Many studies have shown the preference of laparoscopic cholecystectomy over open cholecystectomy, and laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the standard procedure for gallstone disease and was first called the 'gold standard' in 1989 (Maharjan and Shrestha, 2012).

However, pain is the most frequent complaint after LC in 17–41% of the patients and it is the main reason for staying overnight in the hospital on the day of the operation (Maharjan and Shrestha, 2012).

Postoperative Consequently, pain should be effectively treated. Effective treatment serves to blunt autonomic. somatic and endocrine reflexes with a resultant potential decrease in perioperative morbidity. most The common treatment practice is a poly pharmacological approach (Fredheim et al., 2011).

Pneumoperitoneum with carbon (CO<sub>2</sub>)insufflation for dioxide laparoscopic surgery induces a cardiovascular response characterized by elevations of arterial pressure systemic vascular resistance with no significant change in heart rate. These vasopressor responses are likely to be due to increased release of catecholamines, vasopressin, or both. On the other hand, magnesium sulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) blocks the release of catecholamines from both adrenergic nerve terminals and the adrenal gland, and intravenous (IV) magnesium sulphate inhibits catecholamine release associated with tracheal intubation. Moreover, magnesium produces vasodilatation by acting directly on blood and high-dose magnesium vessels, vasopressin-stimulated attenuates vasoconstriction and normalizes sensitivity to vasopressin (Kamble et al., 2017).

Noxious stimulation leads to the release of glutamate and aspartate, which bind to various subclasses of excitatory amino acid receptors, including the N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor. Activation of NMDA receptors leads to calcium and sodium influx into the cell, with an efflux of potassium and initiation of central sensitization and windup. Magnesium blocks NMDA channels in a voltage-dependent way, and its addition produces a reduction of NMDA-induced currents (Shah and Dhengle, 2016).

It is well known that the peritoneum is slightly sensitive, free from pain on sharp, cutting and puncture wounds. Moreover, it is highly sensitive to distension, tearing and separation. Preoperatively, stronger pain occurs due to distension of the parietal peritoneum, and after the surgery pain in the right shoulder and the shoulder blade is evident due to irritation of phrenic nerve (*Enes et al.*, 2011).

Studies related to magnesium sulfate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) administration revealed that the anesthetic and analgesia quality may improve. The true site of action of magnesium is probably at the spinal cord NMDA receptors. It has been used as intraoperative and postoperative analgesia. Several recent reports have described the efficacy of magnesium infusions in moderate dosage both during surgery and

in the postoperative period for decreasing postoperative analgesic requirements (Shah and Dhengle, 2016).

The present work aimed to compare intravenous magnesium sulphate with intra peritoneal magnesium sulphate as adjuvant to general anesthesia for pain intraoperative, hemodynamic, postoperative visual analogue scale management, occurrence of complication in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

#### PATIENTS AND METHODS

This prospective randomized double blind clinical study was carried out on 80 adult patients, Undergoing laparoscopic cholecyctectomy in Al-Azhar University Hospitals. After approval bv Institutional Ethical Committee, and informed written consents obtained from the patients, we randomly divided the patients into two equal groups: Group A (IV group) received Magnesium sulphate (magnisol) 50 mg/kg in 250 ml of isotonic 0.9% normal saline (N.S) intravenously over 30 minutes started with induction and, Group B (IP group) received Magnesium sulphate 50 mg/kg in 30 ml of isotonic 0.9% N.S intra peritoneally (IP) at the end of surgery.

Patients were prepared by 8 hours preoperative fasting, receiving tablet Alprazolam 0.25mg and Omeprazole 20 mg at bed time of the day before surgery and morning of surgery.

#### **Inclusion criteria:**

- 1. ASA physical status I–II.
- 2. Both genders.
- 3. Patients age 18 to 60 years.

#### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- 1. Myathenia gravis
- 2. Presence of psychiatric diseases.
- 3. Obese patients with body mass index (BMI)>30 kg m2.
- 4. Heart block.
- 5. Renal impairment.
- 6. Severe chronic disease.
- 7. Those with an allergy to any of the study drugs
- 8. Patients with previous heart surgery, left ventricular ejection fraction of less than 40%, documented myocardial infarction within the previous six weeks, congestive heart failure, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases and liver diseases.
- 9. Patients treated with calcium channel blockers and pregnancy
- 10. Patients with increased risk of regurgitation.

#### **Preoperative assessment:**

Routine preoperative assessment was carried out to fulfill patient's criteria for the study by full history taking, physical examination including chest and heart examination as well as reviewing the patient's investigations (CBC, S. creatinine, blood urea, SGOT, SGPT, PT, PTT, INR, ECG, and chest X-ray).

**Equipments for general anesthesia** (**GA**): IV line, I.V fluids, suction apparatus, airways, laryngoscope with different size blades, endotracheal tubes of variable sizes, electrical cardioversion (DC) and equipments for difficult intubation.

**Drugs used in the study:** Normal saline 0.9%, Magnesium sulphate (magnisol), Propofol, atracurium, Fentanyl, Isoflurane, Atropine and Neostigmine.

the operating room, monitoring was used (ECG (dragger), heart rate (HR), oxygen saturation and noninvasive blood pressure) and end tidal CO2 was started. After sterilization, an intravenous line was secured with an 18gauge cannula and all patients received an infusion of 0.9% saline 5 ml /kg before the start of the study. The intravenous group received Magnesium sulphate 50 mg/kg in 250 ml of isotonic 0.9% normal saline intravenously over 30 minutes started with induction. The intraperitoneal group received Magnesium sulphate 50 mg/kg in 30 ml of isotonic 0.9% normal saline intra peritoneal at the end of surgery.

After intubation tracheal and evaluation of intubation condition, anesthesia was maintained with 1.2 mac Isoflurane in O2 via a closed circuit system, and neuromuscular blockade maintained with atracurium 0.1-0.2mg/kg as on demand. Mechanical ventilation was provided by Dragger **Fabius** GS anesthesia machine, and the respiratory rate and tidal volume were adjusted to maintain the end-tidal CO2 around (30-40) mmHg.

At the end of surgery, inhalational anesthesia was stopped, then the residual neuromuscular block was reversed with Neostigmine (0.05 mg/kg) and Atropine (0.02 mg/kg), When the patient fulfilled extubation criteria the endotracheal tube was withdrawn and patients transferred to the postoprative care unite (PACU).

Arterial pressure and heart rate were measured before induction (baseline), after intubation (T in), before pneumoperitoneum (P 0), every 10 min after pneumoperitoneum for 30 min (P 10, P 20, and P 30), after extubation (T ex), and before discharge from the operating room.

Visual analogue scale (VAS): The patient was simply instructed and asked to correlate the degree of his pain on a scale for pain assessment graded from 0 to 10 (0 as "no pain" to 10 as "worst imaginable pain"). To indicate how much pain they are currently feeling. The far left end (0) indicates 'No pain' and the far right end (10) indicates 'Worst pain ever' (Rania et al., 2015).

The time to first analgesic administration, numbers of analgesic requests were in the first 24 h, and total analgesic requirement during the first postoperative 24 hours.

The occurrence of any adverse events, hypoventilation including (bradypnea respiratory rate (RR) <10 bpm), SpO2 reaching sedation. 92% or less. hypotension (mean arterial pressure (MAP) <55 mmHg), bradycardia (heart rate (HR) <60 bpm), nausea were assessed at the same intervals of VAS using a scoring system (0= none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe). Patients suffering from vomiting or who rated their nausea at level 2 or more received 4 mg intravenous ondansetron and their postoperative antiemetic needs recorded. Sedation was also assessed at the same intervals of VAS using a fourpoint scale (0 = alert, 1 = quietly awake, 2= asleep but easily aroused, and 3 = deep sleep).

#### **Statistical Analysis:**

Data were collected, revised, coded and entered to the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS) version 23. The quantitative data were presented as mean, standard deviations and ranges when parametric and were compared by independent t test, and median with interquartile range (IQR) when non parametric and were compared by Mann Whitney test. Also qualitative variables were presented as number and percentages and were compared by chi square test. The p-value was considered significant when P < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

There was no statistically significant difference found between group I and group II regarding gender, age and ASA

classification with p-value = 0.361, 0.839 and 0.491 respectively (**Table 1**).

Table (1): Comparison between group I and group II regarding demographic and characteristics of the studied patients

Parameter	Groups	(Intra venous)	Group II (Intra peritoneal)	P-value	
ar ameter	3	No. = 40	No. = 40		
Candan	Female	26 (65.0%)	22 (55.0%)	0.261	
Gender	Male	14 (35.0%)	18 (45.0%)	0.361	
A	Mean $\pm$ SD	$38.68 \pm 9.51$	$39.05 \pm 6.73$	0.839	
Age	Range	22 - 54	24 - 54		
ASA	I	26 (65.0%)	23 (57.5%)	0.491	
	II	14 (35.0%)	17 (42.5%)	0.491	

There was a statistically significant increase in visual analogue scale (VAS) at

different time of measurement in group II than group I (Table 2).

Table (1): Comparison between group I and group II regarding visual analogue scale (VAS) at different time of measurement

	Groups	Group I (Intra venous)	Group II (Intra peritoneal)	P-value	
Parameters		No. = 40	No. = 40	1 -value	
VAC1	Median (IQR)	2 (1 – 2)	5 (4 – 6)	د0 001	
VAS1	Range	1 - 4	4 - 6	< 0.001	
VAS2	Median (IQR)	2(2-2)	5 (4 – 6)	< 0.001	
VAS2	Range	1 - 4	4 - 6	<0.001	
VAS3	Median (IQR)	2(2-3)	5 (4 – 6)	< 0.001	
VASS	Range	1 - 4	4 - 7	<0.001	
VAS4	Median (IQR)	2(2-3)	5 (5 – 6)	< 0.001	
VA54	Range	1 - 5	1-5 $4-7$		
VAS5	Median (IQR)	2(2-3)	6(5-6)	< 0.001	
VASS	Range	1 - 5	1-5 $4-7$		
VAS6	Median (IQR)	2(2-4)	6(5-7)	< 0.001	
VASO	Range	2 - 5	4 - 8		
VAS12	Median (IQR)	3(2-4)	6 (6 – 7)	< 0.001	
	Range	2 - 5	5 - 8	<0.001	
VAS18	Median (IQR)	3(2-4)	6(6-7)	< 0.001	
	Range	2 - 6	5 - 8	<0.001	
Vas 24	Median (IQR)	3(2-5)	7 (6 – 7)	< 0.001	
v as 24	Range	2 - 6	5 – 9	\0.001	

There was no statistically significant difference found between group I and group II regarding heart rate at baseline and TIN with p-value = 0.332 and 0.097

respectively. While there was statistically significant increase in heart rate in group II than group I from discharge to 24 hours (**Table 3**).

Groups		Group I	Group II	D 1	
Parameters		(Intra venous)	(Intraperitoneal)	P-value	
	7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7	No. = 40	No. = 40		
HR base	Mean±SD	$64.03 \pm 7.75$	$62.55 \pm 5.60$	0.332	
1111 0 415 0	Range	50 – 79	55 – 78	0.332	
HR TIN	Mean±SD	$68.03 \pm 7.61$	$65.55 \pm 5.39$	0.097	
IIIX IIIX	Range	55 - 80	56 - 80	0.077	
HR TEX	Mean±SD	$66.38 \pm 6.02$	$74.85 \pm 7.57$	< 0.001	
HK IEA	Range	57 - 80	57 - 88	<0.001	
HR DIS	Mean±SD	$67.10 \pm 6.30$	$77.08 \pm 7.19$	< 0.001	
HK DIS	Range	57 - 80	60 - 88	<0.001	
HR 1	Mean±SD	$54.80 \pm 3.95$	$67.10 \pm 5.24$	< 0.001	
IIK I	Range	48 - 67	56 – 83	<0.001	
HR 2	Mean±SD	$58.80 \pm 5.57$	$67.13 \pm 7.19$	<0.001	
IIK Z	Range	50 - 68	53 – 88	< 0.001	
HR 3	Mean±SD	$60.58 \pm 4.84$	$66.45 \pm 6.71$	<0.001	
пкз	Range	54 - 71	53 – 78		
HR 4	Mean±SD	$58.20 \pm 3.67$	$68.13 \pm 7.00$	< 0.001	
11K 4	Range	52 - 67	55 - 80	<0.001	
HR 5	Mean±SD	$59.73 \pm 4.14$	$70.93 \pm 6.97$	< 0.001	
пкз	Range	55 - 66	60 - 90	<0.001	
HR 6	Mean±SD	$58.23 \pm 3.29$	$72.90 \pm 5.87$	<0.001	
HK 0	Range	55 - 66	60 - 88	< 0.001	
HR 12	Mean±SD	$56.73 \pm 3.20$	$73.40 \pm 5.26$	< 0.001	
IIK 12	Range	50 - 66	60 – 79	<0.001	
HR 18	Mean±SD	$56.63 \pm 2.40$	$71.70 \pm 5.85$	< 0.001	
111X 10	Range	50 - 60	60 - 80	<0.001	
HR 24	Mean±SD	$60.75 \pm 2.43$	$73.88 \pm 5.83$	<0.001	
111\ 24	Range	55 - 66	62 - 82		

There was no statistically significant difference found between group I and group II regarding mean artrial pressure at baseline and TIN with p-value = 0.825 and 0.258 respectively. While there was statistically significant increase in mean

artrial pressuer in group II than group I from discharge to 24 hours except at 4 hours there was no statistically significant difference between them with p-value = 0.186 (**Table 4**).

Table (3): Comparison between group I and group II regarding mean arterial pressure at different time of measurement

Groups		Group I	Group II	P-value	
Parameters		(Intra venous)	(Intraperitoneal)	P-value	
		No. = 40	No. = 40		
MAPbase	Mean±SD	$62.30 \pm 5.59$	$62.58 \pm 5.47$	0.825	
1/11 11 0 4150	Range	53 – 75	54 – 77	0.020	
MAP TIN	Mean±SD	$67.63 \pm 5.68$	$69.20 \pm 6.64$	0.258	
1417 11 1111	Range	60 - 77	57 – 87	0.230	
MAP TEX	Mean±SD	$59.70 \pm 4.87$	$64.50 \pm 4.09$	< 0.001	
WIAF ILA	Range	50 - 70	55 - 69	<0.001	
MAP DIS	Mean±SD	$58.20 \pm 4.54$	$61.38 \pm 3.40$	< 0.001	
MAP DIS	Range	50 - 67	53 - 68	<0.001	
MAD 1	Mean±SD	$67.73 \pm 5.75$	$71.60 \pm 6.64$	0.007	
MAP 1	Range	60 - 77	57 – 88	0.007	
MADO	Mean±SD	$63.20 \pm 6.16$	$66.60 \pm 6.92$	0.022	
MAP 2	Range	53 – 75	55 – 78	0.023	
MAP 3	Mean±SD	$60.33 \pm 5.38$	$63.35 \pm 5.60$	0.016	
MAP 3	Range	52 - 70	53 – 74	0.016	
MAP 4	Mean±SD	$60.40 \pm 5.00$	$61.83 \pm 4.53$	0.186	
WIAT 4	Range	53 – 69	54 - 70		
MADS	Mean±SD	$58.13 \pm 4.32$	$62.68 \pm 4.82$	z0.001	
MAP 5	Range	50 – 66	55 - 70	< 0.001	
MADG	Mean±SD	$57.95 \pm 4.44$	$63.45 \pm 4.58$	0.001	
MAP 6	Range	50 - 69	55 – 72	< 0.001	
MAP 12	Mean±SD	$60.88 \pm 3.53$	$65.78 \pm 3.83$	< 0.001	
1 <b>VI/AF</b> 12	Range	55 – 69	55 - 78	<0.001	
MAP 18	Mean±SD	$60.78 \pm 3.90$	$67.50 \pm 6.31$	< 0.001	
1V1/AF 10	Range	55 – 67	55 - 78	<0.001	
MAP 24	Mean±SD	$58.95 \pm 4.05$	$70.43 \pm 8.45$	< 0.001	
1 <b>VIAT</b> 24	Range	55 - 68	55 – 79	<b>\0.001</b>	

There was statistically significant increase in the time to first analgesic administration, number of analgesic requests in the first 24 hours and total

analgesic requirement during the first postoperative 24h in group II than group I with p-value < 0.001 (**Table 5**).

Table (4): Comparison between group I and group II regarding time to first analgesic administration, number of analgesic requests in the first 24 hours and total analgesic requirement during the first 24 hours postoperative

Parameters	Groups	venous)	Group II (Intra peritoneal)	P-value
		No. = 40	No. = 40	
Time to first analyssis administration	Mean $\pm$ SD	$2.28 \pm 2.04$	$5.55 \pm 2.83$	< 0.001
Time to first analgesic administration	Range	0 - 10	1 - 10	<0.001
Number of analgesic	Mean ± SD	$1.40 \pm 1.15$	$2.70 \pm 1.81$	< 0.001
requests in the first 24h	Range	0 - 3	0 - 5	<0.001
Total analgesic requirement during	Mean $\pm$ SD	$1.31 \pm 0.52$	$2.03 \pm 0.73$	< 0.001
the first postoperative 24h	Range	0 - 3	0 - 2.5	<0.001

That there was no incidence of postoperative complications found in the two study groups with no statistically

significant difference between them with p-value = 1.000 (**Table 6**).

**Table (5):** Comparison between group I and group II regarding incidence of postoperative complications

Groups	Group I		Group II		P-value
Complication	No.	%	No.	%	r-value
Negative	40	100%	40	100%	1 000
Positive	0	0%	0	0%	1.000

#### **DISCUSSION**

This study showed that there was no statistically difference between intravenous and intraperitoneal groups as regard age, sex, ASA grading.

Heart rate showed that there was no statistically significant difference found between group I and group II regarding heart rate at baseline and TIN, while there was a statistically significant increase in heart rate in group II than group I from discharge to 24 hours. Mean artrial pressure showed that there was no statistically significant difference found

between group I and group II regarding mean artrial pressure at baseline and TIN, while there was a statistically significant increase in mean artrial pressure in group II than group I from discharge to 24 hours except at 4 hours with no statistically significant difference between them. There was no bradycardia associated with the bolus magnesium given IV group after its administration immediately or later on.

Kamble et al. (2017) administered magnesium sulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) 50 mg/kg intravenously before pneumoperitoneum in patients undergoing laparoscopic

cholecystectomy and found that a close relationship exists between increases in plasma levels of catecholamines and vasopressin and arterial pressure during pneumoperitoneum. Furthermore, the administration of magnesium sulphate before pneumoperitoneum effectively attenuated arterial pressure increases in subjects undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Changes in heart rate and mean blood pressure after single bolus of magnesium sulphate as in IV group in the present study was studied by De Oliveira et al. (2013) who found that there was no differences in hemodynamic variables as regards heart rate and blood pressure before and immediately after magnesium injection in a study done on adult patients undergoing ambulatory ilioinguinal hernia repair or varicose vein operation under• general anesthesia where the magnesium was given as a bolus of 4 grams after induction of anesthesia. Intravenous instillation of magnesium sulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) attenuated the hemodynamic stress response to pneumoperitoneum, as well as reduced postoperative pain, vomiting nausea, and in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy (Rania et al., 2015).

In this study, magnesium sulphate improved the (MgSO<sub>4</sub>)quality analgesia, with fewer requirements for postoperative analgesics, and improved postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Statistically significant increase in visual analogue scale (VAS) at different times of measurement in group II and total group I analgesic requirement during the first 24 hours postoperative in group II than group I.

In agreement with this results Kiran et al. (2011) studied that the administration of intravenous magnesium sulphate 50 preoperatively significantly mg/kg reduces postoperative pain in patients undergoing inguinal surgery. Banihashem et al. (2015) study agreed with our study in that Magnesium sulphate as adjunct, parenterally or intraperitoneally improves analgesic efficacy in postoperative period without any unwanted effects. Heydari et al. (2017) studied that the administration intraperitoneal NMDA antagonists like magnesium significantly reduces postoperative pain in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Magnesium decreases calcium influx to the cell, and also antagonizes NMDA receptors, which have an important role in neuronal signaling and pain processing in the central nervous system. By blocking this receptor, magnesium sulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) decreases postoperative pain due to blockage of both somatic and visceral pain fibers (*Marks et al., 2012*).

Studied the effect of NMDA receptor antagonists in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy improved well being, delayed the need for analgesic, and decreased thermal induced hyperalgesia (Martin et al., 2019).

The noticeable prolongation of the analgesic effect beyond the duration effect of study drugs might be related to the prevention of spinal or peripheral hypersensitivity or inhibition of NMDA receptors (*Zhou et al.*, 2011).

This study agreed with *De Oliveira et al.* (2013) study which found that there was a similar analgesic effect of magnesium in patients undergoing elective abdominal surgery.

Anjum et al. (2015) study showed that Magnesium sulphate 50 mg/kg in 250 ml of isotonic 0.9% sodium chloride solution administered intravenously over 15 to 20 minutes in the preoperative room solution alleviate postoperative pain throughout the first day after laparoscopic cholecystectomy under balanced general anesthesia significantly and reduce opioid consumption as well. Which agreed with our study as regard IV group (group 1).

Saadawy et al. (2010) reported that IV lidocaine and magnesium improved post-operative analgesia and reduced intraoperative and post-operative opioid requirements in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Moharari et al. (2016), studied the analgesic postoperative effects of intraperitoneal **NMDA** receptor antagonist, magnesium sulphate and ketamine patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. They used 30 mg/kg of magnesium sulphate in patients receiving intraperitoneal 0.25% bupivacaine and 1 mg/kg of ketamine along with 0.25% bupivacaine concluded that demand for first analgesia in NMDA receptor antagonist was around 130 minutes after surgery.

As regards the time for first request of analgesia non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (Diclofenic sodium 50 mg), it was found that in IP group it was significantly longer than IV group demonstrating that the intraperitoneal magnesium sulphate prolonged the time for first demand of analgesia and the intraperitoneal magnesium is more effective than the intravenous.

As for total analgesic consumption either NSAID or opioids it was found that

IP group significantly consumed more analgesics than IV group which means that NMDA receptor antagonists decreased the consumption of post-operative analgesics.

Banihashem et al. (2015) showed that the usage of Magnesium sulphate as adjunct, parenterally or intraperitoneally improves analgesic efficacy in postoperative period without any unwanted effects.

Patients in IV group required more time to discharge from the PACU. Also having higher sedation score in the 1st 2 hours postoperative than IP group.

In our study we used magnesium sulphate 50mg/kg which was safe as we did not observe any signs of magnesium toxicity.

Magnesium sulphate had been used in several studies without any side effects in accordance with our results such as *Maharjan and Shrestha (2012)* who reported no evidence of adverse effect owing to magnesium sulphate was reported. Recovery and postoperative analgesia in laparoscopic cholecystectomy as well as in thoracotomies have shown favorable results using magnesium sulphate.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Instillation of 50 mg of magnesium sulphate intravenously after completion of laparoscopic surgery rendered patients less pain in first 24 hours after surgery, more pain free period, and less consumption of analgesics in post-operative period. Magnesium sulphate is considered safe with almost no side effects such as vomiting, pruritis and

respiratory depression that may occurs with narcotics.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Anjum S, Wasim S and Sabeeha G (2015):

  Effect of Magnesium Sulphate on postoperative pain in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. International Journal of Advanced Research, 3(10): 813 818.
- Banihashem N, Hasannasab B, Esmaeili A and Hasannasab B (2015): Addition of intrathecal magnesium sulfate to bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia in cesarean section. Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, 5(3): 456(1).
- 3. De Oliveira GS, Castro-Alves LJ, Khan JH and McCarthy RJ (2013): Perioperative systemic magnesium to minimize postoperative pain: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Anesthesiology, 119(1):178-90.
- 4. Enes H, Semir I, Hasukić Š, Majdančić H and Imamović G (2011): Postoperative pain in open vs. laparoscopic cholecystectomy with and without local application of anaesthetic. Medicinski Glasnik, 8(2):243-248.
- 5. Fredheim OM, Borchgrevink PC and Kvarstein G (2011): Post-operative pain management in hospitals. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen, 131(18): 1772e6.
- 6. Heydari SM, Hashemi SJ and Pourali S (2017): The comparison of preventive analgesic effects of ketamine, paracetamol and magnesium sulfate on postoperative pain control in patients undergoing lower limb surgery: a randomized clinical trial. Advanced Biomedical Research, 6(1): 1-20.
- 7. Kamble SP, Bevinaguddaiah Y, Nagaraja DC, Pujar VS and Anandaswamy TC (2017): Effect of magnesium sulfate and clonidine in attenuating hemodynamic response to pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Anesthesia, Essays and Researches, 11(1):67-71.
- **8. Kiran S, Gupta R and Verma D (2011):** Evaluation of a single-dose of intravenous magnesium sulphate for prevention of

- postoperative pain after inguinal surgery. Indian J Anesthesiology, 55:31-35.
- 9. Maharjan SK and Shrestha S (2012): Intraperitoneal magnesium sulphate plus bupivacaine for pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Journal of Kathmandu Medical College, 1(1):21-5.
- 10. Marks JL, Ata B and Tulandi T (2012): Systematic review and Meta-analysis of intraperitoneal instillation of local anesthetics for reduction of pain after gynecologic laparoscopy. J Minim Invasive Gynecol., 19:545-553.
- 11. Martin E, Narjoz C, Decleves X, Labat L, Lambert C, Loriot MA, Ducheix G, Dualé C, Pereira B and Pickering G (2019): Dextromethorphan analgesia in a human experimental model of hyperalgesia. Anesthesiology, 131(2):356-68.
- 12. Moharari RS, Hadavi M, Pourfakhr P, Najafi A, Etezadi F and Khajavi MR (2016): Evaluation of the postoperative analgesic efficacy of intraperitoneal ketamine compared with bupivacaine in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Archives of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, 2(1):146-9.
- 13. Rania MA, Amal HR, Nirvana AE and Tarek MG (2015): Effect of intraperitoneal magnesium sulfate on hemodynamic changes and its analgesic and antiemetic effect in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ain Shams Journal of Anesthiology, 8(2):153-159.
- 14. Saadawy IM, Kaki AM, Abd El Latif AA, Abd-Elmaksoud AM and Tolba OM (2010): Lidocaine vs. magnesium: effect on analgesia after a laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica., 54(5):549-56.
- **15. Shah PN and Dhengle Y (2016):** Magnesium sulfate for postoperative analgesia after surgery under spinal anesthesia. Acta Anaesthesiologica Taiwanica, 54: 62-64.
- **16. Zhou HY, Chen SR and Pan HL (2011):** Targeting N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors for treatment of neuropathic pain. Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology, 4(3):379-88.

### دراسة مقارنة بين الحقن الوريدى للماغنسيوم سيلفات و الحقن البروتونى لتقليل نسبة الألم بعد عمليات المرارة بالمنظار

محمد أحمد سعد، حلمي الكوالي، السيد الفقي، أحمد الجارحي قسم التخدير والعناية المركزة، كلية الطب، جامعة الأزهر

E-mail: saaddaba3@gmail.com

خلفية البحث: إن دراسة الوسائل الفعالة لعلاج آلام مابعد العمليات الجراحية لاترال قيد البحث بسبب تفرده (كونه استثائياً) وبسبب الآثار الفسيولوجية المعقدة المرتبطة به إما بمظاهر جسدية أو لاإرادية أو سلوكية، وإذا عولج هذا الألم بصورة سيئة من الممكن أن تزيد من احتمالية استمراره.

الهدف من البحث: المقارنه بين تاثير عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم عن طريق الحقن الوريدي وبين تأثيره بالحقن داخل الغشاء البريتوني أثناء التخدير العام لعلاج آلام استئصال المراره بالمنظار وتأثير كل منهما علي سرعة الافاقه والخروج من غرفة العمليات وكذلك غرف الافاقه كما تم تقييم الاحتياج للمسكنات في كلا المجموعتين.

المرضي وطرق البحث: تم اختيار 80 مريضاً خضعوا لجراحة استئصال المرارة بالمنظار، وتقسيمهم عشوائيا إلى مجموعتين متساويتين: المجموعة الأولى المجموعة الأولى مجموعة الحقن الوريدي) تتلقي 50 مجم/كجم من وزن المريض من عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم يتم وضعهم علي 2 50مل من محلول الملح ويمرر ببطء علي مدار من 20 دقيقة الي 30 دقيقة قبل البدء في التخدير الكلي، وتلقت المجموعة الثانية (مجموعة الحقن داخل الغشاء البريتوني) 50 مجم/كجم من وزن المريض من عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم علي أن يتم حقن هذه الكمية داخل الغشاء البريتوني بعد استئصال المرارة بالمنظار.

نتائج البحث: قياسات الألم في المجموعة التي تم إعطاؤها عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم عن طريق الحقن البريتوني اكثر من القياسات في المجموعة التي تم اعطائها عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم عن طريق الحقن الوريدي وذات مدلول

إحصائي، كما اظهرت النتائج أن معدل إستهلاك المسكنات الأفيونية بعد العمليات الجراحية في مجموعة الحقن البرتوني أيضا كانت اكثر بكثير من معدل إستهلاكها في مجموعة الحقن الوريدي، كما أن معدل حدوث الآثار الجانبية المصاحبة لاستخدام المسكنات الأفيونية مثل الشعور بالغثيان وحدوث قيء، لوحظ أنه أكثر بكثير في المرضى الذين تم إعطاؤهم عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم عن طريق الحقن البريتوني. كما لوحظ أيضاً أن معدل مقياس الرضا عند المرضى الذين تم إعطاؤهم عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم عن عن طريق الحقن وعطاؤهم عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم عن طريق الحقن البريتوني أعلى بكثير من والمائن مجموعة الحقن الوريدي وكانت هذه المعدلات كلها ذات مدلول إحصائي. وأسارت النتائج أيضاً إلى أن إستخدام عقار سلفات الماغنسيوم بهذه الجرعة 50 مجم /كجم و لا يؤدي إلى أي مضاعفات.

الاستنتاج: الحقن داخل الغشاء البريتونى لعقار سلفات الماغنسيوم هو طريقة سلمة وقليلة وقليلة التكاليف وآمنة والتي قدمت تسكينا جيدا للألم وخصوصاً في الفترة الأولى بعد الجراحة وبدون أن يكون هناك أي أضرار على المريض.

الكلمات الدالة: كبريتات المغنيسيوم داخل الغشاء البريتوني، إدارة الألم بعد الجراحة، استئصال المرارة بالمنظار.