

**Cryptosporidiosis in Calves: Clinical Implications, Virulence Factors, and Future Prospectives with Special Reference to Egypt Situation**

Gehad Ramzy<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Nayel<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Zaghawa<sup>1</sup>, Akram Salama<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Elsify<sup>1</sup>, Walid Mousa<sup>1\*</sup>

(1) *Medicine and Infectious Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sadat City, 32897, Egypt.*

\*Corresponding author: [walid.saad@vet.usc.edu.eg](mailto:walid.saad@vet.usc.edu.eg) Received: 5/7/2023 Accepted: 10/9/2023

**ABSTRACT**

Cryptosporidiosis, an enteric disease of cattle particularly in young calves is becoming increasingly important. It is a common and significant zoonotic gastrointestinal parasite and a highly infectious disease and infection can occur by few numbers of oocysts. Signs are typically characterized by copious watery diarrhea with weight loss as well as abdominal discomfort, dehydration is very dangerous sign may cause mortalities, fatigue, cramp, vomiting. In addition, asymptomatic infection can occur according to immune state and age of animals. As an emergent pathogen, it developed many virulence factors to escape from the host immune response and resist many antiprotozoal compounds, which in turn is responsible for huge economic losses in the form of calf mortalities, decrease milk productions, meat production. In the current study, we discussed animals and humans' clinical implications, virulence factors, host pathogen interactions, recent trends in prevention and control and recent developed drugs and treatment. Finally, this comprehensive review has presented an updated view of the status and future perspectives in the field of cryptosporidiosis, which will help in controlling it worldwide.

**Keywords:** Cattle; Cryptosporidia; Economic; Infectious.

**INTRODUCTION**

Cryptosporidiosis as an important zoonotic disease infecting humans and animals is becoming increasingly popular in recent years (Pumipuntu and Piratae, 2018). *Cryptosporidium* is an internal protozoon that is a fore most reason for worldwide diarrhea in both animals and people and infection in animals may result in higher agricultural costs and output losses (Gerace et al., 2019). *Cryptosporidium* species including both the serovar *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* are a chief cause of diarrhea that could affect young children

all over the world. While humans infected only by the serovar *C. hominis* as well as *C. parvum* contribute to zoonosis (Leitch and He, 2011). *Cryptosporidium* is transmitted through the fecal-oral route by the consumption of oocysts from polluted materials such as food or water and through connections between animals. Infectious oocysts that have thick walls resist disinfection such as chlorination, so it is making difficulty in the elimination process from swimming pools, animal housing services, and even the environment (Pinto and Vinayak, 2021).

*Cryptosporidium* species are protozoa with apicomplexa that involved sexual and asexual life cycle reproduction that can occur in one host. Although the presence of many *Cryptosporidium* species in cattle but only *C. parvum* is linked with clinical illness in newborn calves. Transmission by oral-fecal route (Thomson et al., 2017). The investigation of the complete genome sequencing analysis of *Cryptosporidium* may help in understanding and development of the actual drug, however, the in vitro and in vivo studies are not completely consistent (Rahman et al., 2022). In our review study, we put spotlight on cryptosporidiosis importance as protozoal disease cause enteric disturbance. Whenever, calf diarrhea due to cryptosporidiosis is important as leads to severe economic loss due to delayed body gain in calves and mortalities. Therefore, clinical diagnosis, laboratory diagnosis as serology and PCR were mentioned in this study. The treatment and control of such diseases were also declared, and our conclusion and recommendations were included.

### **1- History of the *Cryptosporidium* agent**

After two years, he detected another species, *C. parvum* when checked house mice varies from *C. muris* in the morphology (oocysts were smaller) beside its fondness site in the small intestine particularly in epithelial layer.

In 1955 a discover other species, *C. meleagridis*, definitely causing diarrhea and deaths in turkey chicks (Akiyoshi et al., 2003), only in 1980s *Cryptosporidia* really entered veterinary medicine with reports associated with *Cryptosporidium* diarrhea in calves (Robertson et al., 2014). in the 1990s inaugurated that *Cryptosporidium* is the chief enteric-protozoa causing diarrhea in neonatal calves. the first two case informed for cryptosporidiosis in human were

announced In 1976 (Hunter and Nichols, 2002). In 1982, Ernest Edward Tyzzer (1875-1965) identified the genus *Cryptosporidium* (C.). Tyzzer discovered the protozoan from the domestic mice stomach glands and defined its different developmental phases including (sporozoites, schizonts, macrogamont, microgametes, macrogamont, and oocyst) as well as its fastidiousness as an "attachment organ" in 1907. Tyzzer then discussed the likelihood of a monoxious development phase and the faecal-oral cycle. He predicted the creation of a genus *Cryptosporidium* (C.) that mean (crypticus, latin for hidden; here concealed sporocyst) with the specific species *C. muris*, as well as probable auto-infection and extracellular growth stages, which were validated electron microscopically (Leitch and He, 2011). After two years, he detected another species, *C. parvum* when checked house mice varies from *C. muris* in the morphology (oocysts were smaller) beside its fondness site in the small intestine particularly in epithelial layer.

In 1955 a discover other species, *C. meleagridis*, definitely causing diarrhea and deaths in turkey chicks (Akiyoshi et al., 2003). In 1982, *Cryptosporidium* was reported by "Center for Disease Control" in US on *Cryptosporidium* related diarrhea associated with immune deficient persons, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA were disturbed by *C. hominis* a result of contaminated drinking water consumption, to be a waterborne outbreak (Zahedi et al., 2016).

### **2-*Cryptosporidiosis* in Egypt**

Because of the sampling plan, form of populations considered, management, location, season, and hygienic conditions as shown in table (1) (Ghenghesh et al., 2018) there is a significant variation in *Cryptosporidium* species prevalence among different countries and in many geographical

locations within a country. In Egypt, for example, unreliable if not inconsistent search results for prevalence several Egyptian organizations initiated investigation in livestock animals and also humans aiming *Cryptosporidium* spp. (Mahfouz et al., 2014), (Amer et al., 2010), (Amer et al., 2013), (Naguib et al., 2018), (El-Khodery and Osman, 2008), (Abdelaziz et al., 2022), (Elmahallawy et al.,

2022), (Ahmed Helmy Abdelsamad Mohamed Tierärztin aus Alexandria and Berlin, 2014), (Helmy et al., 2014), (Bessat M N et al., 2019), (Abd-El-Wahed, 1999), (Aboelsoued et al., 2020), (Ibrahim et al., 2016), (Shaapan et al., 2011). By the usage of various methods of diagnosis built on microscopical, serological and molecular techniques or mixtures of these methods.

**Table (1):** Cryptosporidiosis in Egypt.

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Prevalence</b>	<b>Diagnosis</b>	<b>Reference</b>
Kafr El Sheikh	Buffaloes:1.29% Young Claves: 4.17%: Adults: 0.48% Cattle : 7.07% Heifers 10.20% Sheep 2.50% : Lambs: 4.40%:	Microscopy examination DNA subtyping sequencing and phylogenetic analysis Analysis, RFLP-PCR	(Mahfouz et al., 2014)
Kafr El Sheikh	30,2%in calves	Microscopically molecular analysis , sequence analysis	(Amer et al., 2010)
Dakahlia, El-Gharbia, and Damietta	9.7% in calves	PCR-RFLP analysis , PCR-sequence analysis	(Naguib et al., 2018)
Behera Menoufiya Qalyoubiya Assiut Sohag	7.59%in calves 6.9% 11.8% 7% 5.8%	Microscopic examination, Conventional PCR, Gene Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis	(Abdelaziz et al., 2022)
Assiut governorate, upper Egypt	38.27% among cattle and 28.16% among buffalo	Parasitological Examination for the Fecal Samples, Nested PCR Procedure	(Elmahallawy et al., 2022)
Ismailia	Buffaloes: 23.7% Cows: 22.5% Sheep: 20.9% goats :25.9% Dogs: 2.6% Wild rats: 6.3% in	Parasitological Serological Molecular	(Ahmed Helmy Abdelsamad Mohamed Tierärztin aus Alexandria and Berlin,

			2014)
Ismailia	In herds : 73.3% Individual cases in the herd: 32.2%. prevalence not affected in between cattle and buffaloes	Parasitological diagnosis Serological diagnosis, Molecular diagnosis	(Helmy et al., 2014)
Behera	Calves: 43.2%, Human : 16.1% Chicken : 6%	Microscopical examination	(Bessat M N et al., 2019)
Dakahlia and Kafr El Sheikh	14.2%% in buffalo calves	macroscopically	(El-Khodery and Osman, 2008)
Qalubia	Modified Zeihl-Neelsen stain : 68.3% Safranin-methylene blue: 48.3% Giemsa stain; 30% in lambs	microscopical examination	(Abd-El-Wahed, 1999)
Qalyoubiya	40% in buffalo-calves	Microscopic examination ELISA Cytokines analysis	(Aboelsoued et al., 2020)
Nile River Delta provinces	13.6% in dairy cattle	Microscopy, PCR-RFLP analysis and DNA sequencing .	(Amer et al., 2013)
Beni-Suef	Cattle: 10.2% Buffaloes:12.3% Human s: 19 %	Microscopic examination molecular RFLP analysis for COWP Sequence analysis	(Ibrahim et al., 2016)
Giza	Native Quails : 31.9%	Fecal examinations Serological assay Modified Agglutination Test Latex Agglutination Test	(Shaapan et al., 2011)
Menoufiya Sadat City area	64.1% in newly born calves till 2 months	Microscopic examination, Conventional PCR, Gene Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis	To be published

### **3-Clinical Implications**

#### **3-1 Disease in calves**

Diarrhea, yellowish mucous membranes, anemia, dehydration, and emaciation are the most observed clinical symptoms in animals infected by *Cryptosporidium* (Elmahallawy et al., 2022). *C. parvum* is an important cause of diarrhea and also the enteric disease in the newly born calves, which can have notable strong influence on the animal health and economics reverberation for agriculturalists (Shaw et al., 2020). According to (Åberg et al., 2019) there are main four species of *Cryptosporidium* are found in cattle and responsible for diarrhea including *C. bovis*, *C. andersoni*, *C. parvum*, and *C. ryanae*. Additionally, *C. parvum* is the only species that infects the intestines in cattle and humans. Unlike *C. parvum*, *C. bovis* has not been attendant with post-weaned calves' diarrhea. Meanwhile, *C. andersoni* infects the juvenile abomasum, and adult animals (Åberg et al., 2019). Cryptosporidiosis could cause watery non-bloody diarrhea it may be profusely and prolonged occur. Other signs including nausea and vomiting. Occasionally, malaise, anorexia, anorexia and weakness (Bouzid et al., 2013) Cryptosporidiosis characteristic diarrhea and abdominal pain could be usually the symptoms of attention, so that a laboratory diagnosis to cryptosporidiosis done. The severity and persistence of disease are characteristically depend on the variability of protozoal characters and the host factors such as the immune status and exposure to the infected one. The severity of the disease caused by *Cryptosporidium* can ranged from an asymptomatic signs with dissemination of oocysts to aviolent disease although self-limiting illness can occur in case of immunocompetency (Sponseller et al., 2014).

#### **3-2. Human Infection**

*Cryptosporidium* is known as zoonotic protozoan of public concern for human and animals and is considered the second identified etiology of diarrhea and high deaths in young childrens (Khan et al., 2018). There are many *Cryptosporidium* species identified such as *C. meleagridis*, *C. cuniculus*, *C. felis*, and *C. canis* in humans nonetheless, both *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* responsible for almost more 90% of human cases (Ryan et al., 2021). The most human's cases reported with immunocompetent individuals. If the host is an efficient antiparasite immune response, recovery from transit diarrhea occur after 2 weeks without treatment. While in immunocompromised individuals intense diarrhea usually continue (U. Ryan et al., 2016), which can be fatal. Certad et al. have isolated *C. parvum* II2A15G2R1 subtype from the patient's stools. Then, they inoculated it into SCID mice, which induced invasive gastrointestinal and biliary adenocarcinoma. Immune competent individuals' occurrence a short-term self-limiting disease can occur in case of age up to 2 to 3 weeks. In immune compromised patients, illness can occur with continual symptoms result by dehydration and worsening and may cause mortalities.

Additionally, immunocompromised patients can demonstrate unusual signs, such as biliary, respiratory tract disease, uncharacteristic gastrointestinal symptoms, and pancreatitis.

In case of oocysts inhalation in immunocompromised patients, which was supported experimentally by intranasal infections of piglets and laryngotracheitis with mild diarrhea were main observed signs. Although, the almost knowledge about *Cryptosporidium* transmission suggested the waterborne transmission but it is constitute only a small percentage of

cases as well as the pattern of the transmission routes for many endemic diseases may not be identical (Bouzid et al., 2013). Up till now, about 31 *Cryptosporidium* species have been known, and nearly about 7–12 Human cryptosporidial species are isolated including *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* that manifested clinically by symptoms such as abdominal discomfort, fever, vomiting, malabsorption, and profuse diarrhea. The host immune response has a key influence on the disease severity and prognosis. Thus, means that the immunocompetent individuals exhibited self-limiting diarrhea with transitory gastroenteritis and rapid recovery usually occur without treatment within 2 weeks. In the other side, in immunocompromised patients, as HIV/AIDS patients frequently may suffer from inflexible fatal diarrhea. In three years a survey carried out on 22000 children's at 7 sites in Africa and Asia performed by Global Enteric Multicenter Study to detect the causes of diarrhea and they concluded that *Cryptosporidium* was the second cause of severe diarrhea after rotavirus infection (U Ryan et al., 2016).

#### **4-Virulence Factors**

It is thought that about 25 supposed virulence elements documented in *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* genome that reflect the host-pathogen interactions which mainly related to the adhesion, locomotion, invasion and amplification of host factors that share in the infection possibilities (Bouzid et al., 2013). Specific virulence factors for *Cryptosporidium* not discriminated for clearing their way to cause harm to the host or showing that their deactivation consequences in a reduction to the disease solemnity. In vitro cultivation persists difficult to employ contrasting other related parasites species such as *Toxoplasma* and *Plasmodium*, and

the genetic techniques could be changed in the association with these parasites. *Cryptosporidium* virulence factors include genes that involved in the processes of host cells parasite interaction, involving connection, attack, excystation, motility, maintenance inside the host, and damage host cells. The virulence factors are markedly differ within interspecies and inter isolates virulence have been documented (Bouzid et al., 2013). The identified factors associated with parasite until the day important in studying the host-parasite relationship. These involve the thrombospondin associated adhesion proteins (TRAP-C1) P23, CP47, Cpa135, CPS-500, circum sporozoite like protein (CSL), GP900, GP60 (proteolytic ally cleaved into GP40/15 mature glycopeptides, mucins (CpMuc4, CpMuc5) and mucin like glycoproteins and C-type lectin (CpClec). Also during gliding locomotion of sporozoites the majority of them are shed according to their attachment within the host cell, localization or reticence of infection by antibodies, these proteins elements seem to play a significant effect in the host invasion and attachment. Up until now, no complete recognition about the actual role of these secreted proteins and their interaction role during the attachment and invasion process, of the parasite as well as the molecular mechanism associated with these interactions. The existence of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genetic affair of thought to be permit the anticipation of genes encoding for TK and dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylatesynthase (DHFR-TS) and revealed that the role of TK through their contrasting route to pyrimidine nucleotide production in the nonappearance of DHFR-TS. Also, many enzymes in the single purine nucleotide uniting step may be genetically wasted with no influence on protozoal growth like

IMPDH, GMPS, adenosine kinase, and the adenosine transporter thus telling that the protozoa acquire the purine nucleotides coming from host cell (Pinto and Vinayak, 2021) .

### **5- Host-Pathogen Interaction**

Derek J. Pinto et al. have discussed their present acquaintance of host-parasite interactions. It is too important to comprehend these interactions to recognize the key biological mechanisms for the discovery of the effective vaccines could be used and drugs that can help to red out of cryptosporidium. It is very important to understand the relationship between *Cryptosporidium* and host to discover new drugs and vaccines. There are many advanced technology such as the molecular genetics development to operate the protozoal genome to discover new parasite structures and confirmation of drug targets, fortunate in vitro for protozoa reproduction (Pinto and Vinayak, 2021).

### **5-1-Immune Response**

In experimental infection of mice by *C. parvum* it was proved that immunologically mediated eradication needs CD4+ T cells and IFN-. Nevertheless, in adult and newborn mice native immune responses are important category for protective function. This mean that both NK cells and IFN- have been proven key components of immunity in T and B cell-deficient animals, on the other hand, IFN-dependent resistance has also been established immunological role. For example, once mice infected, epithelial cells exhibit enhanced release of inflammatory chemokines and cytokines as well as antimicrobial mechanisms such as NO generation and antimicrobial peptides. Toll-like receptors have also role though aiding in the establishing of immunity and development of inflammatory responses in infected

epithelial cells as well as dendritic cells as shown in table (2) (Crawford and Kol, 2021a).

### **5-1-1 Innate immune response**

The initial line of defense against *C. parvum* infection is the intestine's native immunity, which includes its gut epithelium and distinct innate immune cells. The adaptive immune response begins by innate immunity, which hinders protozoa replication. So, in order establish health attenuation approaches that minimize *Cryptosporidium*'s implications on our health, agriculture, and surroundings, we need to have knowledge of the local host's innate immunity response to *C. parvum* infection (Crawford and Kol, 2021a) .

The intestinal lining epithelial cells establish a natural barrier between the lumine content and internal tissues. Because *C. parvum* only infects the intestinal epithelium which is the most significant line in the immune response to *C. parvum*. Intestinal infection with *C. parvum* led to activation of the inflammatory transcription factor NF-kB and increased expression of the long noncoding RNA NR\_045064 and transcription of inflammatory mediators. Furthermore, Toll-like receptor-2 (TLR2) and TLR4 mediate the response of CXCL8 (aka IL-8) and TNFa .The activation of TLR2 and TLR4 by *C. parvum* infection and NF-kB nuclear translocation leads to the release of LL-37 and b-defensin-2 as antimicrobial peptides (Kumar et al., 2018). *C. parvum* infection through the NOD-like receptors (NLR) activate the inflammasome complex as a result to that IL-18 produced and elevated in human epithelium and that is considered an significant innate response to infection (Crawford and Kol, 2021a) . IL-1b, another inflammatory product that activated, was not augmented post-

infection, and has no influence on infection defenselessness in IL-1b mice. Protozoal shed was markedly enhanced in mice deficient NLRP6, that promotes IL-18 production, however, not in mice missing other NLRs that create inflammatory substances. In contrast to other pathogens, the tiny positive-charged polypeptides exhibit antibacterial characteristics. Phospholipases and antimicrobial peptides such as b defensin 1, b-defensin-2, and LL-37 may kill *C. parvum*. As a result, chemokine and cytokine production from infected epithelium provides a crucial pathway for specific immune cells to clear parasites (Crawford and Kol, 2021a). Mice become more susceptible to infection in case of chemokine receptors deficiency. Trophozoite that formed after infection inhibits apoptosis, so facilitate the growth of the protozoa in the host cell, through inducing the anti-apoptotic factors production as BCL-2, survivin, and osteoprotegerin. As both sporozoite and merozoite phases of protozon are emerged,

the inhibition is lost and apoptosis undergoes by host cell (Mead, 2023).

**5-1-2 Adaptive immune responses**

The infection of *Cryptosporidium* is restricted to CD4+ T cells; the function and relevance of CD8+ T cells is less understood. Previous research showed that CD8+ T cell tracks increased following *Cryptosporidium* infection and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells gathered from individuals who were earlier infected with *Cryptosporidium* may produce IFN- in response to infection with *C. hominis* antigens. Likewise, in vitro experiments revealed that CD8+ T lymphocytes donated by donors who had previously been exposed to *Cryptosporidium* were capable of lysing *C. parvum*-infected intestinal epithelial cells via the release of cytotoxic granules. The role of humoral immunity during *Cryptosporidium* infection is not actually unknown. The passive vaccination studies in animal models have revealed a link between anti-*Cryptosporidium* antibody treatment and decreased oocyst shedding and infection (Ludington and Ward, 2015).

**Table (2)** Immune Response to *Cryptosporidium*.

	Immune Response		Functions	References
<b>Innate immune response</b>	Intestinal epithelium		Physical barrier between internal tissue and luminal content	(Crawford and Kol, 2021b) (Olsen et al., 2015) (Bedi et al., 2014; Crawford and Kol, 2021c; Gullicksrud et al., 2022; Mcdonald et al., 2013; Perez-Cordon et al., 2014)
	IFNs		IFN-Y mediate gut epithelium defense against non-viral pathogens.	
	Spealized immune cells	NK cells	IFN-Y production & cytolysis of infected cell	
		Dendritic cells	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secrete cytokines IL-6, IL-1B, IL-12, IL-18, TNFα, type 1 INFs</li> <li>• Imprisonment <i>C. parvum</i></li> </ul>	

			antigen in the mucosa of gut then travel to drain in the lymph nodes	
		Macrophage cells	2nd source of INF- $\gamma$ , phagocytosis	
		Neutrophils	Infiltrate intestinal mucosa during infection	
Adaptive immune response	cellular immune response		Cytotoxic T-cells ex: CD+ T-cells, TRAIL	(Pantenburg et al., 2008) (Chattopadhyay and Mahapatra, 2019a)
	Humoral immune response		Serum or fecal B-cells Decrease level of IgA, IgE, IgG Normal or increase level of IgM	

## **6-Diagnosis**

### **6--1 Macroscopic Examination of the Fecal Samples**

The fecal samples were inspected macroscopically to detect irregularities in consistency and color, the presence or absence of blood, the state of digestion, and the presence of mucus or other unusual ingredients (Elmahallawy et al., 2022).

### **6-2 Antigen detection**

#### **6-2-1 Microscopic examination**

Microscopic Detection of *Cryptosporidium* in laboratories is via stains and/or fluorescent antibodies (IFA). Although microscopy is relatively simple instruments and cheap edible, but it need labour rigorous, requires operator experts and lacks sensitivity and specificity. Due to oocysts similarity in the size and also the shape of yeasts fecal parts and other things debruised, differential staining techniques are usually required, modified Ziehl–Neelsen staining is used as a differential staining technique (U. Ryan et al., 2016).

#### **6-2-2 PCR analysis**

PCR for identifying *Cryptosporidium* is more sensitive compared to standard microscopy and serological approaches,

while help in species and sub-species identification of these organisms. The introduction of real-time PCR provides a viable alternate to traditional approaches. Multiplex PCR has become an accepted method for determining the presence of numerous intestinal parasites in a single reaction. In preliminary experiments, multiplex qPCR approach were found to be 100% sensitive and specific when compared to unioplex qPCR method. Yang et al. used dPCR quantitative technique for *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. The advent and rising ubiquity of next generation sequencing (NGS) technology is prospective to impact the biology of protozoan criteria during the next decade. Nested PCR was also used (O’Leary et al., 2021).

#### **6-3 Serological examination**

The detection of *Cryptosporidium* species by immunofluorescence required the presence of a fluorescent microscope, which has limited the application of this technique, the quantitative enzyme immunoassays (EIA) and enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) overcome these disadvantages. The diagnostic usage of EIA and ELISA kits allowed more sensitivity and specificity with nearly (94 and 100%) respectively in compared with acid-fast staining methods.

However, enzyme-based immunological detection of *Cryptosporidium* may be low sensitivity in case of few oocysts in the tested samples (O'Leary et al., 2021).

### **7-Recent Trends in the Prevention and Control of Cryptosporidiosis**

#### **7-1 Treatment**

There are many aims have been accepted for chemotherapy and advances has been made on drugs for these goals that cover the parasite vital processes such as dynamics , nucleic acid production, proteases, and lipid metabolism. Other groups also have carried out to identify prospective drugs. Drugs advanced for treatment of cryptosporidiosis has been hurt by a limited of success. Also, the included many obstacles between in vitro and in vitro efficacy of the applicate drugs activists. To maintain a assorted development pipeline, the research should be continue to realize the success for effective drugs for *Cryptosporidium* infection(Wang et al., 2020). Nitazoxanide is used in the adult, immunocompetent patients, but is not effect in the other susceptible populations as infants (Crawford and Kol, 2021b). Nitazoxanide is the main available and approved drug by FDA in malnourished children and immunocompromised patients with questionable efficacy. Although, Triacsin C as a drug candidate, which aims the parasite's long-chain fatty acyl coenzyme A synthetase enzyme (LC-FACS), a serious component of the fatty acid metabolism pathway that may be used (Chattopadhyay and Mahapatra, 2019b). New researches target the molecule R134 proposed on its capability to hits the *C. parvum* LC-FACS enzyme isoforms as well as some chemical properties such as its high binding affinity, stability and reasonable absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity properties comparable to those of the

Triacsin C (Chattopadhyay and Mahapatra, 2019b). A parasite cysteine protease inhibitor was also effective in vitro and in an animal model (U. Ryan et al., 2016). Moreover, clofazimine drug is used in treatment of *Cryptosporidium* infection although, its concentration wa found to be lower that the effective dose in clinical studies. Therefore, theclofazimine will provide a therapy for cryptosporidiosis patients currently without safety and effective treatment with possibility of improvement of oral absorption are developed in the future (Zhang et al., 2022) .

#### **7-2 Vaccination**

concerning the mode of action of the tested vaccine, difference was observed according to the postulate used, with 30% hold back parasite entry into cells, 10% break up the biological cycle of the parasite, in 30% the arousal of protective immunity in the host and in 10% the performance verified the immunogen in the process related to parasitic adhesion and invasion to host cells. In the other artefacts, the style of action of the tested vaccines was not mentioned. In the selected studies there were no affairs related to the costs of vaccines in tests. In all data banks, the same search countenance was inserted, which refine the results, so the application of riddle makes the methodology more sensible, they are: *Cryptosporidium* spp. AND vaccine; *Cryptosporidium* spp. AND protein vaccine; *Cryptosporidium* spp. AND animal vaccine; *Cryptosporidium* spp. AND DNA vaccine; *Cryptosporidium* spp. AND vector vaccine. To bring out the data that refute the question of this RSL, fields were created that organized the information that should be noticed, such as get going the study population; principle, modes of action of the tested vaccine, as

well as its efficacy and cost benefit (Silva et al., 2021).

### **8- Conclusion**

*Cryptosporidium* infection is a major problem, especially in calves. *C. parvum* is the major strain found in recent parturited calves. *C. parvum* causes neonatal diarrhea syndrome in calves. Economic losses are mortality, morbidity, decreased in productivity, increased veterinary costs for drugs and increased labour. Diagnosis of such disease depends mainly on oocyst detection in feces as a gold standard test, for accurate diagnosis PCR application is recommended. Prospective studies on genome of cryptosporidiosis is needed. As, no efficient effective drug available for cryptosporidiosis treatment, application of effective hygienic measures should apply, sanitation and of the premises is needed to prevent spread of such disease.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Abd-El-Wahed, M.M., 1999. *Cryptosporidium* infection among sheep in Qalubia Governorate, Egypt. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 29, 113–118.
- Abdelaziz, A.R., Tahoun, A., El-Sharkawy, H., Abd El-Salam, M.M., Alorabi, M., El-Shehawi, A.M., El Meghanawy, R.A., Toukhy, E.E., Abd El-Salam, A.M., Sorour, S.S.G., 2022. Overview on *Cryptosporidium bovis* and Its Effect on Calves in Some Governorates in Egypt. J. Trop. Med. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4271063>
- Åberg, M., Emanuelson, U., Troell, K., Björkman, C., 2019. Infection dynamics of *Cryptosporidium bovis* and *Cryptosporidium ryanae* in a Swedish dairy herd. Vet. Parasitol. 276, 100010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VPOA.2019.100010>
- Aboelsoued, D., Hendawy, S.H.M., Abo-Aziza, F.A.M., Abdel Megeed, K.N., 2020. Copro-microscopical and immunological diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis in Egyptian buffalo-calves with special reference to their cytokine profiles. J. Parasit. Dis. Off. Organ Indian Soc. Parasitol. 44, 654. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S12639-020-01244-2>
- Ahmed Helmy Abdelsamad Mohamed Tierärztin aus Alexandria, Y., Berlin, Ä., 2014. Epidemiological investigations on the public health significance of *Cryptosporidium* parasites in livestock and people in the Ismailia Canal Zone of Egypt.
- Akiyoshi, D.E., Dilo, J., Pearson, C., Chapman, S., Tumwine, J., Tzipori, S., 2003. Characterization of *Cryptosporidium meleagridis* of Human Origin Passaged through Different Host Species. Infect. Immun. 71, 1828. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.4.1828-1832.2003>
- Amer, S., Honma, H., Ikarashi, M., Tada, C., Fukuda, Y., Suyama, Y., Nakai, Y., 2010. *Cryptosporidium* genotypes and subtypes in dairy calves in Egypt. Vet. Parasitol. 169, 382–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VETPAR.2010.01.017>
- Amer, S., Zidan, S., Adamu, H., Ye, J., Roellig, D., Xiao, L., Feng, Y., 2013. Prevalence and characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy

- cattle in Nile River delta provinces, Egypt. *Exp. Parasitol.* 135, 518–523.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EXPPAR.A.2013.09.002>
- Bedi, B., McNair, N.N., Mead, J.R., 2014. Dendritic cells play a role in host susceptibility to *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection. *Immunol. Lett.* 158, 42–51.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IMLET.2013.11.015>
- Bessat M N, Elaadli H F, Labn, N.E., 2019. Parasitological Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in calves, chickens and human in Behera province. *Egypt. Vet. Med. Soc. Parasitol. J.* 15, 29–40.  
<https://doi.org/10.21608/EVMSPJ.2019.71059>
- Bouزيد, M., Hunter, P.R., Chalmers, R.M., Tyler, K.M., 2013. *Cryptosporidium* pathogenicity and virulence. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 26, 115–134.  
<https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00076-12/ASSET/9B9CF07D-3C33-4245-B26F-D3A8768BF722/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZCM9990924090004.JPEG>
- Chattopadhyay, S., Mahapatra, R.K., 2019a. Identification of adaptive inhibitors of *Cryptosporidium parvum* fatty acyl-coenzyme A synthetase isoforms by virtual screening. *Parasitol. Res.* 118, 3159–3171.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/S00436-019-06445-0/FIGURES/5>
- Chattopadhyay, S., Mahapatra, R.K., 2019b. Identification of adaptive inhibitors of *Cryptosporidium parvum* fatty acyl-coenzyme A synthetase isoforms by virtual screening. *Parasitol. Res.* 118, 3159–3171.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/S00436-019-06445-0/FIGURES/5>
- Crawford, C.K., Kol, A., 2021a. The Mucosal Innate Immune Response to *Cryptosporidium parvum*, a Global One Health Issue. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 11.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/FCIMB.2021.689401>
- Crawford, C.K., Kol, A., 2021b. The Mucosal Innate Immune Response to *Cryptosporidium parvum*, a Global One Health Issue. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 11, 451.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/FCIMB.2021.689401/XML/NLM>
- Crawford, C.K., Kol, A., 2021c. The Mucosal Innate Immune Response to *Cryptosporidium parvum*, a Global One Health Issue. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 11.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/FCIMB.2021.689401>
- El-Khodery, S.A., Osman, S.A., 2008. *Cryptosporidiosis* in buffalo calves (*Bubalus bubalis*): Prevalence and potential risk factors. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 40, 419.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/S11250-007-9113-2>
- Elmahallawy, E.K., Sadek, H.A., Aboelsoued, D., Aloraini, M.A., Alkhalidi, A.A.M., Abdel-Rahman, S.M., Bakir, H.Y., Arafa, M.I., Hassan, E.A., Elbaz, E., Hassanen, E.A.A., El-Gohary, F.A., Gareh, A., 2022. Parasitological, Molecular, and Epidemiological Investigation of *Cryptosporidium* Infection Among Cattle and Buffalo Calves From Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt: Current Status and Zoonotic Implications.

- Front. Vet. Sci. 9.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/FVETS.2022.899854>
- Gerace, E., Presti, V.D.M. Lo, Biondo, C., 2019. Cryptosporidium Infection: Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, and Differential Diagnosis. *Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol. (Bp)*. 9, 119. <https://doi.org/10.1556/1886.2019.00019>
- Ghenghesh, P., Croxford, L., Nagaty, K., 2018. Students and Teachers Attitudes and Satisfaction toward E-Learning: A Case Study in Egypt. *J. Middle East North Africa Sci.* 4, 15–31. <https://doi.org/10.12816/0044059>
- Gullicksrud, J.A., Sateriale, A., Engiles, J.B., Gibson, A.R., Shaw, S., Hutchins, Z.A., Martin, L., Christian, D.A., Taylor, G.A., Yamamoto, M., Beiting, D.P., Striepen, B., Hunter, C.A., 2022. Enterocyte–innate lymphoid cell crosstalk drives early IFN- $\gamma$ -mediated control of Cryptosporidium. *Mucosal Immunol.* 15, 362–372. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-021-00468-6>
- Helmy, Y.A., Krücken, J., Nöckler, K., von Samson-Himmelstjerna, G., Zessin, K.H., 2014. Epidemiological investigations on the public health significance of Cryptosporidium parasites in livestock and people in the Ismailia Canal Zone of Egypt. *Vet. Parasitol.* 193, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VETPAR.2012.12.015>
- Hunter, P.R., Nichols, G., 2002. Epidemiology and Clinical Features of Cryptosporidium Infection in Immunocompromised Patients. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 15, 145. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.1.145-154.2002>
- Ibrahim, M.A., Abdel-Ghany, A.E., Abdel-Latef, G.K., Abdel-Aziz, S.A., Aboelhadid, S.M., 2016. Epidemiology and public health significance of Cryptosporidium isolated from cattle, buffaloes, and humans in Egypt. *Parasitol. Res.* 115, 2439–2448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00436-016-4996-3/FIGURES/1>
- Khan, A., Shaik, J.S., Grigg, M.E., 2018. Genomics and molecular epidemiology of Cryptosporidium species. *Acta Trop.* 184, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.10.023>
- Kumar, A., Chatterjee, I., Anbazhagan, A.N., Jayawardena, D., Priyamvada, S., Alrefai, W.A., Sun, J., Borthakur, A., Dudeja, P.K., Alip Borthakur, C., 2018. Cryptosporidium parvum disrupts intestinal epithelial barrier function via altering expression of key tight junction and adherens junction proteins. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12830>
- Leitch, G.J., He, Q., 2011. Cryptosporidiosis-an overview. *J. Biomed. Res.* 25, 1. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1674-8301\(11\)60001-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1674-8301(11)60001-8)
- Ludington, J.G., Ward, H.D., 2015. Systemic and Mucosal Immune Responses to Cryptosporidium—Vaccine Development. *Curr. Trop. Med. reports* 2, 171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40475-015-0054-Y>
- Mahfouz, M.E. Isaye., Mira, N., Amer, S.,

2014. Prevalence and Genotyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Farm Animals in Egypt. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 76, 1569. <https://doi.org/10.1292/JVMS.14-0272>
- Mcdonald, V., Korbek, D.S., Barakat, F.M., Choudhry, N., Petry, F., 2013. Innate immune responses against *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection. *Parasite Immunol.* 35, 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12020>
- Mead, J.R., 2023. Early immune and host cell responses to *Cryptosporidium* infection. *Front. Parasitol.* 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPARA.2023.1113950>
- Naguib, D., El-Gohary, A.H., Mohamed, A.A., Roellig, D.M., Arafat, N., Xiao, L., 2018. Age patterns of *Cryptosporidium* species and *Giardia duodenalis* in dairy calves in Egypt. *Parasitol. Int.* 67, 736–741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PARINT.2018.07.012>
- O’Leary, J.K., Sleator, R.D., Lucey, B., 2021. *Cryptosporidium* spp. diagnosis and research in the 21st century. *Food Waterborne Parasitol.* 24, e00131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FAWPAR.2021.E00131>
- Olsen, L., Åkesson, C.P., Storset, A.K., Lacroix-Lamandé, S., Boysen, P., Metton, C., Connelley, T., Espenes, A., Laurent, F., Drouet, F., 2015. The early intestinal immune response in experimental neonatal ovine cryptosporidiosis is characterized by an increased frequency of perforin expressing NCR1+ NK cells and by NCR1-CD8+ cell recruitment. *Vet. Res.* 46. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-014-0136-1>
- Pantenburg, B., Dann, S.M., Wang, H.C., Robinson, P., Castellanos-Gonzalez, A., Lewis, D.E., White, A.C., 2008. Intestinal Immune Response to Human *Cryptosporidium* sp. Infection. *Infect. Immun.* 76, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00960-07>
- Perez-Cordon, G., Yang, G., Zhou, B., Nie, W., Li, S., Shi, L., Tzipori, S., Feng, H., 2014. Interaction of *Cryptosporidium parvum* with mouse dendritic cells leads to their activation and parasite transportation to mesenteric lymph nodes. *Pathog. Dis.* 70, 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2049-632X.12078>
- Pinto, D.J., Vinayak, S., 2021. *Cryptosporidium*: Host-Parasite Interactions and Pathogenesis. *Curr. Clin. Microbiol. Reports* 8, 62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40588-021-00159-7>
- Pumipuntu, N., Piratae, S., 2018. Cryptosporidiosis: A zoonotic disease concern. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.681-686>
- Rahman, S.U., Mi, R., Zhou, S., Gong, H., Ullah, M., Huang, Y., Han, X., Chen, Z., 2022. Advances in therapeutic and vaccine targets for *Cryptosporidium*: Challenges and possible mitigation strategies. *Acta Trop.* 226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTATROPICA.2021.106273>
- Robertson, L.J., Björkman, C., Axén, C., Fayer, R., 2014. Cryptosporidiosis

- in Farmed Animals. *Cryptosporidium* parasite Dis. 149. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-1562-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-1562-6_4)
- Ryan, U, Zahedi, | A, Paparini, | A, 2016. *Cryptosporidium* in humans and animals—a one health approach to prophylaxis. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12350>
- Ryan, U., Zahedi, A., Feng, Y., Xiao, L., 2021. An Update on Zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* Species and Genotypes in Humans. *Anim. an Open Access J. from MDPI* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ANI11113307>
- Ryan, U., Zahedi, A., Paparini, A., 2016. *Cryptosporidium* in humans and animals—a one health approach to prophylaxis. *Parasite Immunol.* 38, 535–547. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12350>
- Shaapan, R.M., Khalil, F.A.M., Abu El Ezz, N.M.T., 2011. *Cryptosporidiosis* and *Toxoplasmosis* in Native Quails of Egypt. *Res. J. Vet. Sci.* 4, 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.3923/RJVS.2011.30.36>
- Shaw, H.J., Innes, E.A., Morrison, L.J., Katzer, F., Wells, B., 2020. Long-term production effects of clinical *cryptosporidiosis* in neonatal calves. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 50, 371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJP.2020.03.002>
- Silva, D.R.R. da, Oliveira, T.C.B. de, Marta, B.B.F., Baptista, C.B., Bottaro, M.C.Z., Bresciani, K.D.S., 2021. Vaccine development for *cryptosporidiosis*: Systematic review. *Res. Soc. Dev.* 10, e18910615540–e18910615540. <https://doi.org/10.33448/RSD-V10I6.15540>
- Sponseller, J.K., Griffiths, J.K., Tzipori, S., 2014. The Evolution of Respiratory *Cryptosporidiosis*: Evidence for Transmission by Inhalation. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 575. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00115-13>
- Thomson, S., Hamilton, C.A., Hope, J.C., Katzer, F., Mabbott, N.A., Morrison, L.J., Innes, E.A., 2017. Bovine *cryptosporidiosis*: impact, host-parasite interaction and control strategies. *Vet. Res.* 48, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13567-017-0447-0>
- Wang, B., Castellanos-Gonzalez, A., White, A.C., 2020. Novel drug targets for treatment of *cryptosporidiosis*. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 915–922. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14728222.2020.1785432>
- Zahedi, A., Paparini, A., Jian, F., Robertson, I., Ryan, U., 2016. Public health significance of zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* species in wildlife: Critical insights into better drinking water management. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* 5, 88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPPAW.2015.12.001>
- Zhang, C.X., Love, M.S., McNamara, C.W., Chi, V., Woods, A.K., Joseph, S., Schaefer, D.A., Betzer, D.P., Riggs, M.W., Tam, P.Y.I., Van Voorhis, W.C., Arnold, S.L.M., 2022. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Clofazimine for Treatment of *Cryptosporidiosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 66. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01560-21>

