

## ENTEROBACTERIACEAE IN LOCALLY PRODUCED FISHES

BY

A.M. DARWISH\*, Z. SAAD\*\*, S. SAFWAT\*\* and M. SHERIF\*\*

\* Fac. of Vet. Med. Cairo University

\*\* Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki Cairo

(Received: 23.5.1991)

### INTRODUCTION

Fish play an important role not only in human food diets but also in animal and poultry rations. It is considered a cheap source of protein. Many investigators discussed the fish freshness and the hygienic measures applied during fish catching, transportation, preparation and consumption (Shewan, 1971; Ghittino, 1972 and Shewan, 1972). However, Lotfi et al., (1974), Amin et al., (1977), Morshady (1978), Mosa (1986) and Shaban (1988) studied the sanitary condition and the microbial status of fishes caught fresh water, brackish and marine fishes. In this respect, Khalil (1936) recorded the survival of bacteria in the fish muscles after cooking, pickling and smoking. This is of special importance in Egypt where fishes are not refrigerated until they reach the market. Griffiths (1937) Markoff, (1939) and Brunner (1949) stated that the exposure of fishes to polluted water during catching contaminate them with enterobacteriaceae organisms. The examination of fish for the existence of enterobacteriaceae organisms is an index of the sanitary conditions under which it is produced. Therefore, this study was planned to estimate the total enterobacteriaceae count as well as the isolation and identification of its different members in locally produced fish collected from Cairo fish markets.



*Enterobacteriaceae in locally .....***MATERIAL AND METHODS****Collection of samples**

Four hundred fish samples were collected from Cairo fish markets, including 100 individuals each of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Clarias lazera* as fresh water fishes, *Pagrus spp.* and *Solea spp.* as marine fishes. Every 25 samples of each fish group collected every season all over one year. Samples were packed individually in sterile nylon sacs and transported to the laboratory where examined as soon as possible.

**Preparation of samples:****A) Fish surface:**

Swab samples taken over a prescribed area ( $20 \text{ cm}^2$ ) on fish surface, each swab was then suspended separately in a test tube containing 20 ml of 0.1 % sterile peptone water. Further ten-folds serial dilutions were prepared.

**B) Fish muscles:**

Ten gram of muscles were obtained from each fish sample under aseptic condition and transferred to a sterile nylon sac containing 90 ml of 0.1 % sterile peptone water then homogenized by means of stomacher (Stomacher Lab-Blender 400) to get a dilution of  $10^{-1}$ , then 10 folds serial dilutions were prepared.

**Bacteriological examination:****1) Determination of the total enterobacteriaceae count**

The drop technique applied was that recommended by ICMSF (1978) using violet red bile glucose agar media

**2) Isolation and identification of enterobacteriaceae organisms:**

The original dilutions were pre-enriched at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  for



A.M. Darwish *et al.*

24 hours. A loopfull from pre-enriched dilutions were streaked onto Eosin Methlene blue agar and Mac-conkey's plates then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Suspected colonies were identified biochemically according to Edwards and Ewing (1972) and Cruickshank *et al.* (1975).

### 3) Isolation and identification of salmonellae:

One ml of each pre-enriched culture was transferred aseptically into 10 ml of Rappaport's broth, then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. A loopfull from enriched broth was streaked onto S.S. agar and then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Suspected colonies were identified biochemically according to Edwards and Ewing (1972) and Cruickshank *et al.* (1975) and typed serologically according to Kauffman (1974).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained regarding the total enterobacteriaceae count were given in table (1).

Table (1): Average count of Enterobacteriaceae organisms in the examined fish samples

Season	Tilapia nilotica		Clarias lazera		Pagrus species		Solea species	
	Sur-face/cm <sup>2</sup>	Musc-les/g	Sur-face/cm <sup>2</sup>	Musc-les/g	Sur-face/cm <sup>2</sup>	Musc-les/g	Sur-face/cm <sup>2</sup>	Musc-les/g
Autumn	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	3x10 <sup>3</sup>	7x10 <sup>6</sup>	2x10 <sup>3</sup>	6x10 <sup>3</sup>	>1x10 <sup>2</sup>	2x10 <sup>4</sup>	>1x10 <sup>2</sup>
Winter	2x10 <sup>3</sup>	2x10 <sup>3</sup>	4x10 <sup>4</sup>	1x10 <sup>3</sup>	4x10 <sup>3</sup>	>1x10 <sup>2</sup>	3x10 <sup>3</sup>	>1x10 <sup>2</sup>
Spring	1x10 <sup>6</sup>	8x10 <sup>3</sup>	2x10 <sup>6</sup>	4x10 <sup>3</sup>	2x10 <sup>4</sup>	>1x10 <sup>2</sup>	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	>1x10 <sup>2</sup>
Summer	3x10 <sup>7</sup>	7x10 <sup>3</sup>	1x10 <sup>8</sup>	7x10 <sup>3</sup>	3x10 <sup>5</sup>	8x10 <sup>2</sup>	2x10 <sup>6</sup>	2x10 <sup>2</sup>

It is noticed that during summer the enterobacteriaceae counts were comparatively higher as compared with other



### *Enterobacteriaceae in locally .....*

seasons. Meanwhile *Clarias lazera* and *Tilapia nilotica* gave the highest counts followed by solea species and then pagrus species.

The count of enterobacteriaceae organisms were higher during summer then decreased during spring, outumn and winter due to the change of temperature. This is in agreement with the results obtained by Goda et al. (1980).

The high enterobacteriaceae counts on the surface of *Tilapia nilotica* and *Clarias lazera* when compared with pagrus and solea species could be attributed to the habitat of such fresh water fishes. Fresh water is of high organic loads and farourable for the survival of many genera of bacteria while the salinity of marine habitat inhibits the growth of such bacteria (Robert, 1978).

On the other hand, higher counts of enterobacteriaceae recorded from the surface of *Clarias lazera* then that of *Tilapia nilotica* as fresh water fishes and the higher counts recorded from the surface of solea species then that of pagrus species as marine fishes; can be attributed to the fact that *Clarias lazera* and solea species are naked, as the absence of the scales give a wide chance for surface contamination to penetrate rapidly through fish muscles (Boulenger, 1907).

The presence of enterobacteriaceae in the muscles may be attributed to the fact that bacteria penetrate the gill tissues and proceed along the vascular system into the flesh or through the intestine into the body cavity and belly walls or through the skin into the flesh.

Results recorded in table (2, 3, 4 and 5) showed the incidence of enterobacteriaceae isolated from the surface and muscles of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Clarias lazera*, pagrus and solea species during autumn, winter, spring



Table 2 : Incidence of isolated Enterobacteriaceae organisms from *Tilapia nilotica*.

I s o l a t e s	A u t u m n				W i n t e r				S p r i n g				S u m m e r			
	Surface		Muscle		Surface		Muscle		Surface		Muscle		Surface		Muscle	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	-
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	-
<i>Arizonae</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	-	-
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	5	20	1	4	5	20	1	4	8	32	2	8	9	36	5	20
<i>Citrobacter diversus</i>	2	8	-	-	1	4	-	-	3	12	-	-	3	12	-	-
<i>Citrobacter amalonaticus</i>	1	4	-	-	1	4	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	8	-	-
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	1	4	-	-	1	4	-	-	2	8	-	-	3	12	-	-
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	3	12	-	-
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	10	40	3	12	5	20	5	20	12	48	5	20	18	72	8	32
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	1	4	1	4	1	4	1	4	1	4	1	4	5	20	2	8
<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i>	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	-	2	8	-	-
<i>Enterobacter sakazakii</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	-	-
<i>Enterobacter gergoviae</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	-
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	-	-
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	-	2	8	-	-
<i>Serratia rubidaca</i>	1	4	-	-	1	4	-	-	1	4	-	-	3	12	-	-
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	-	3	12	-	-
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	5	20	1	4	1	4	1	4	9	36	5	20	9	36	7	28
<i>Korganelle morgani</i>	4	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	20	-	-
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	5	20	1	4	3	12	1	4	5	20	2	8	8	32	5	20
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	8	32	1	4	3	12	2	8	9	36	3	12	9	36	3	12
<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	5	20	2	8	2	8	2	8	6	24	3	12	10	40	3	12
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	22	88	5	20	20	80	3	12	23	92	3	12	24	96	5	20



Table 3 : Incidence of isolated Enterobacteriaceae organisms from *Clarias lazaro*.

Isolates	Autumn		Winter		Spring		Summer	
	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle
	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	8 32	1 4	5 20	1 4	10 40	2 8	15 60	3 12
<i>Citrobacter diversus</i>	5 20	- -	5 20	- -	5 20	- -	7 28	- -
<i>Citrobacter amalonaticus</i>	2 8	- -	1 4	- -	3 12	- -	5 20	- -
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	2 8	- -	1 4	- -	2 8	- -	3 12	- -
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	1 4	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -	2 8	- -
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	15 60	2 8	15 60	2 8	18 72	3 12	20 80	5 20
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	1 4	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -
<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i>	1 4	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -
<i>Serratia rubidica</i>	1 4	- -	- -	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	3 12	- -
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	8 32	1 4	5 20	- -	10 40	3 12	15 60	5 20
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	6 24	2 8	5 20	1 4	9 36	2 8	9 36	3 12
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	9 36	1 4	8 32	1 4	9 36	3 12	10 40	5 20
<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	10 40	1 4	8 32	1 4	10 40	3 12	10 40	5 20
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	23 92	2 8	23 92	2 8	24 96	3 12	24 96	5 20

Table 4 : Incidence of isolated Enterobacteriaceae organisms from *Bugatus* sp.

Isolates	Autumn		Winter		Spring		Summer	
	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle
	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	2 8	- -	2 8	- -	6 24	- -	6 24	2 8
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	3 12	- -	2 8	- -	5 20	- -	6 24	5 20
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	1 4
<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i>	- -	- -	1 4	- -	2 8	- -	1 4	- -
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	6 24	- -	5 20	- -	8 32	- -	8 32	2 8
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	3 12	- -	2 8	- -	7 28	- -	9 36	- -
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	5 20	- -	3 12	- -	6 24	- -	8 32	5 20
<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	5 20	- -	1 4	- -	7 28	- -	8 32	5 20
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	15 60	- -	10 40	- -	16 64	- -	20 80	2 8

Table 5 : Incidence of isolated Enterobacteriaceae organisms from *Silurus* sp.

Isolates	Autumn		Winter		Spring		Summer	
	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle	Surface	Muscle
	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %	No. %
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	3 12	- -	1 4	- -	8 32	- -	10 40	1 4
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	5 20	- -	2 8	- -	6 24	- -	9 36	1 4
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 4	- -	1 4	- -
<i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i>	- -	- -	- -	- -	5 20	- -	8 32	- -
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	8 32	- -	5 20	- -	9 36	- -	9 36	1 4
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	5 20	- -	3 12	- -	6 24	- -	9 36	5 20
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	5 20	- -	3 12	- -	9 36	- -	9 36	3 12
<i>Providencia stuartii</i>	7 28	- -	5 20	- -	6 24	- -	9 36	3 12
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	16 64	- -	15 60	- -	20 80	- -	23 92	3 12



A.M. Darwish et al.

and summer seasons. It was clear that *Salmonella typhimurium* and *arizona* organisms could be isolated the surface of *Tilapia nilotica* only during summer. Such findings agree with various investigators (Lotfi et al. 1972; Morshady, 1978 and Mosa, 1986). On the other hand Sedik (1971); Ahmed (1976) and Ez-El-Din (1978) failed to detect *Salmonellae* or *arizona* organisms during their studies.

Isolation of *Salmonella typhimurium* from the surface of *Tilapia nilotica* agrees with the findings of Heuschmann and Brunner (1974) who stated that fish can become carrier or reservoir for *Salmonellae* and can play a role in their epidemiology.

Isolation of *Salmonellae* from fish is of great public health importance. Moreover, fish meal is added to animal and poultry rations.

*Salmonella* food-borne infections are characterized by vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhoea, headache, general body pain and shivering (Sojka and Field, 1970).

*Escherichia coli* is the classical indicator of the possible presence of enteric pathogens in foods. *E.coli* in food has been associated with outbreaks of gastrointestinal disturbances (Delepine, 1903).

*E.coli* may also cause colibacillosis in adults, peritonitis, meningitis, enteritis, cystitis, pyelonephritis, appendicitis, otitis and puerperal sepsis (Pyatkin and Krivoshein, 1980).

*Klebsiella* organisms are responsible for food borne outbreaks of gastroenteritis (Bailey and Scott, 1974).

*K.pneumoniae* is responsible for pneumonia, broncho-pneumonia involves long lobes, producing fused foci and



### *Enterobacteriaceae in locally .....*

lung abscesses and may be responsible for meningitis, appendicitis, pyaemia, cystitis and pyelonephritis (Pyatkin and Krivoshein, 1980).

*Citrobacter freundii* organisms have been found among intestinal, urinary and other pyogenic infections (Krieg and Holt, 1984).

*Proteus* species have been incriminated in cases of gastroenteritis following the ingestion of contaminated food as well as the infections of the urinary tract (Cooper *et al.*, 1941, Cherry *et al.* 1946; Wassef, 1969; and ICMSF, 1978).

*Providencia* organisms are not highly pathogenic but they have been incriminated in sporadic cases of human diarrhoea and urinary tract infections (Bailey and Scott, 1974).

### SUMMARY

Hundred fish samples each of *Tilapia nilotica*, *clarias* *pagrus* and *solea* species; were collected from fish markets, at Cairo, 25 samples from each species were collected during each season of the year.

Samples were subjected to bacteriological examinations for the determination of the total enterobacteriaceae counts per cm<sup>2</sup> surface and per gram muscles and for the isolation and identification of enterobacteriaceae. Obtained counts and identified enterobacteriaceae organisms were recorded.

In conclusion, the counts of enterobacteriaceae were higher in fresh water fishes than in marine fishes and also during summer than other seasons of the year. This could be attributed to the effect of temperature on the bacterial growth.



A.M. Darwish et al.

The public health singificance of the isolated enterobacteriaceae organisms was discussed in details.

## REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, H.Y. (1976): Studies on sanitary improvement of locality manufactured salted fish. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Assiut Univ.
2. Amin, N.E.; Sheinawy, M.M. and El-Danaf, N.A. (1977): Isolation of different E.coli serogroup from Tilapia nilotica fish reared in Manalah fish farm ponds. First Arab Biologists Congress, 1977.
3. Bailey, W.R. and Scott, E.C. (1974): Diagnostic Microbiology, a textbook for the isolation and identification of pathogenic microorganisms. 4th Ed., C.V. Mosby Comp., Saint Louia.
4. Boulenger,, G.A. (1907): Fishes of the Nile. Anderson's Zoology of Egypt. Hugh. Res. Itd., London.
5. Brunner, G. (1949): Fish as carrier of pathogenic bacteria. Vom. Wasser., 17: 9-15.
6. Cherry, W.B.; Lentz, P.L. and Barnes. L.A. (1946): Implication of proteus mirabilis in an outbreak of gastroenteritis. Amer. J. Pub. Hlth., 36: 484.
7. Cooper, K.; Davies, J. and Wiseman, J. (1941): An investigation of an outbreak of food poisoning associated with organisms of the proteus group. J. Pathol. Bacteriol., 52: 91.
8. Cruiskshank, R.; Duguid, J.; Marmion, B. and Swain, R.H. (1975): Medical Microbiology. The practice of Medical Microbiology. 12th Ed., Vol. II, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh.



*Enterobacteriaceae in locally .....*

9. Delepine, S. (1903): Food poisoning and epidemic diarrhoea. J.A.M.A., 40; 657.
10. Edwards, P.R. and Ewing, W.H. (1972): Identification of Enterobacteriaceae. 3rd Ed., Burgess Publishing Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota
11. Ez-El-Din, Z.M. (1978): Studies on the role of some Egyptian fishes (Mugil cephalus, Shrimps and Oyster) in transmitting zoonotic disease. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
12. Ghittino, P. (1972): Aquaculture and associated diseases of fish of public health importance. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 161: 1476.
13. Goda, F.; Shouman, M.T.; Wassef, M. and Farid, A. (1980): A bacteriological study of Tilapia nilotica fishes of Naser lake. Egypt. Vet. Med. J. 28: 28.
14. Griffiths, F.P. (1937): A review at the bacteriology of fresh marine fishary products. Food Res. 2: 121-134.
15. Heuschmann, K. and Brunner, G. (1974): Experiments on the possibilities and course of infections with S. enteritidis and S.typhimurium in fresh water fish. Zbl. Bakt. 1 Abt. Org., 158: 412-431.
16. ICMSF (1978): Microorganisms in food, their significance and methods of enumeration. 2nd Ed., Univ. of Toronto press, Toronto.
17. Kauffmann, F. (1974): Kauffmann white scheme. Microbiol. Scand., 61: 385.
18. Khalil, M. (1936): Heterophyes heterophyes. J. Roy. Egypt. Med. Ass., 19: 183.



*A.M. Darwish et al.*

19. Krieg, N.R. and Holt, J.G. (1984): *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. Vol. II, Williams and Baltimore publ., London.
20. Lotfi, Z.S.; Shebeta, A.M.; Mahmoud, M.S.; Farid, A.F. and Nada, S.M. (1972): Bacterial flora in Nile and sea fishes in Egypt. *Proc. 10th Arab Ann. Vet. Congr.*: 589-600.
21. Lotfi, Z.S.; Shebeta, A.M.; Mahmoud, M.S.; Farid, A.F. and Nada, S.M. (1974): Bacterial flora in Nile and sea fishes in Egypt. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc.*, 34: 589.
22. Markoff, W. (1939): Zum problem fer see fish faun-  
lnis. *Zentr. Bakteriolog. Parasitenk. Abt.*, 11: 101-151.
23. Morshady, A.M.A. (1978): Studies on the sanitary condition of some Nile fishes in Zagazig markets. *Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.*
24. Mosa, M. (1986): Microbiology of some fish and shellfish in local markets and its relation to public health. *Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Alexandria Univ.*
25. Pyatkin, K. and Krivoshein, Y. (1980): *Microbiology with Virology and Immunology*. 2nd Ed., Mir Publisher, Moscow.
26. Roberts, R.J. (1978): *Fish Pathology*. 1st Ed., Bailliere and Tindall, London.
27. Sedik, M.F. (1971): Studies on *Tilapia nilotica* and *Mugil cephalus*. *M.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.*
28. Shaban, A.I. (1988): Studies on some species of locally produced fish. *M.V.Sc. Thesis, Cairo Univ.*



*Enterobacteriaceae in locally .....*

29. Shewan, J.M. (1971): The microbiology of fish and fishery products. A progress Report. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 34 (2): 299.
30. Shewan, J.M. (1972): The bacteriology of fish and fishery products. A progress Report Appl. Bacteriol., 61: 219.
31. Wojka, W.J. and Field, H.I. (1970): Salmonellosis in England and Wales. Vet. Bull., 40: 515.
32. Wassef, N.A. (1969): Studies on surface contaminants of beef carcasses in relation to public health importance and keeping quality of meat. M.D. Thesis Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.