



Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Generalized sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli



Sunil K. Sharma *

Department of Mathematics, Model Institute of Engineering & Technology, Kot Bhalwal 181122, J&K, India

Received 4 October 2013; revised 16 December 2013; accepted 21 January 2014

Available online 17 March 2014

KEYWORDS

Paranorm space;
Modulus function;
 λ -Convergent;
Statistical convergent

Abstract In the present paper we introduce the sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus function $F = (f_k)$. We study some topological properties and inclusion relations between these spaces.

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 40A05; 40C05; 46A45

© 2014 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Mursaleen and Noman [1] introduced the notion of λ -convergent and λ -bounded sequences as follows :

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity i.e.

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \text{ and } \lambda_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and said that a sequence $x = (x_k) \in w$ is λ -convergent to the number L , called the λ -limit of x if $A_m(x) \rightarrow L$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\lambda_m(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=1}^m (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) x_k.$$

The sequence $x = (x_k) \in w$ is λ -bounded if $\sup_m |\lambda_m(x)| < \infty$. It is well known [1] that if $\lim_m \lambda_m(x) = a$ in the ordinary sense of convergence, then

$$\lim_m \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_m} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) |x_k - a| \right) \right) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_m |\lambda_m(x) - a| = \lim_m \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=1}^m (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) (x_k - a) \right| = 0$$

which yields that $\lim_m \lambda_m(x) = a$ and hence $x = (x_k) \in w$ is λ -convergent to a .

Let w be the set of all sequences, real or complex numbers and l_{∞} , c and c_0 be respectively the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$, normed by $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers.

A modulus function is a function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- (1) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (2) $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$,

* Tel.: +91 9469004890.

E-mail addresses: sunilksharma42@gmail.com, sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in.

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

- (3) f is increasing
 (4) f is continuous from right at 0.

It follows that f must be continuous everywhere on $[0, \infty)$. The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$, then $f(x)$ is bounded. If $f(x) = x^p$, $0 < p < 1$, then the modulus $f(x)$ is unbounded. Subsequently, modulus function has been discussed in ([2–15]) and many others.

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm, if

- (1) $p(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in X$,
 (2) $p(-x) = p(x)$, for all $x \in X$,
 (3) $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
 (4) if (λ_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) is a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [16], Theorem 10.4.2, P-183).

Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus function, X be a locally convex Hausdorff topological linear spaces whose topology is determined by a set $\mathcal{Q}$ of continuous seminorm q , $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. By $w(X)$ be denotes the spaces of all sequences defined over X . Now, we define the following sequence spaces in the present paper:

$$w(A, F, p, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } L \right\},$$

$$w_0(A, F, p, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x)))]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

and

$$w_\infty(A, F, p, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x)))]^{p_k} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $F(x) = x$, we have

$$w(A, p, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (q(A_k(x) - L))^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } L \right\},$$

$$w_0(A, p, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (q(A_k(x)))^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

and

$$w_\infty(A, p, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (q(A_k(x)))^{p_k} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $p = (p_k) = 1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write above spaces as

$$w(A, F, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(q(A_k(x) - L)) \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } L \right\},$$

$$w_0(A, F, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(q(A_k(x))) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

and

$$w_\infty(A, F, q) = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(q(A_k(x))) < \infty \right\}.$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 < h = \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H$, $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}) \quad (1.1)$$

for all k and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus function $F = (f_k)$. We study some topological properties and prove some inclusion relations between these spaces.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus function, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then $w(A, F, p, q)$, $w_0(A, F, p, q)$ and $w_\infty(A, F, p, q)$ are linear spaces over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in w_0(A, F, p, q)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists M_α and N_β integers such that $|\alpha| \leq M_\alpha$ and $|\beta| \leq N_\beta$. Since F is subadditive and q is a seminorm. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(\alpha x + \beta y)))]^{p_k} &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [(f_k(|\alpha| q(A_k(x)) + f_k(|\beta| q(A_k(y))))]^{p_k} \\ &\leq D(M_\alpha)^H \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x)))]^{p_k} \\ &\quad + D(N_\beta)^H \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(y)))]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $w_0(A, F, p, q)$ is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove that $w(A, F, p, q)$ and $w_\infty(A, F, p, q)$ are linear spaces. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus function, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then $w_0(A, F, p, q)$ is a paranormed space with paranorm

$$g(x) = \sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x)))]^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{H}},$$

where $H = \sup p_k < \infty$ and $M = \max(1, H)$.

Proof. Clearly, $g(x) = g(-x)$, $x = \theta$ implies $A_k(x) = \theta$ and such that $q(\theta) = 0$ and $f_k(0) = 0$, where θ is the zero sequence. Therefore $g(\theta) = 0$. Since $p_k/M \leq 1$ and $M \geq 1$, using the Minkowski's inequality and definition of $F = (f_k)$ for each n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(x) + A_k(y))) \right]^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(x)) + (A_k(y))) \right]^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(x))) \right]^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(y))) \right]^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now it follows that g is subadditive. Finally, to check the continuity of multiplication, let us take any complex number μ . By definition of $F = (f_k)$, we have

$$g(\mu x) = \sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(\mu x))) \right]^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq K_\mu^{H/M} g(x),$$

where K_μ is an integer such that $|\mu| < K_\mu$. Now, let $\mu \rightarrow 0$ for any fixed x with $g(x) \neq 0$. By definition of f for $|\mu| < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(\mu x))) \right]^{p_k} < \epsilon \quad (2.1)$$

Also, for $1 \leq n \leq N$, taking λ small enough, since $F = (f_k)$ is continuous, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[f_k(q(A_k(x))) \right]^{p_k} < \epsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) together imply that $g(\mu x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. Let $F = (f_k)$, $F' = (f'_k)$, $F'' = (f''_k)$ are modulus functions and $0 < h = \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$. Then

- (i) $w_0(A, F', p, q) \subseteq w_0(A, F \circ F', p, q)$,
- (ii) $w_0(A, F', p, q) \cap w_0(A, F'', p, q) \subseteq w_0(A, F' + F'', p, q)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in w_0(A, F', p, q)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f_k(t) < \epsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Write $y = f'_k(q(A_k(x)))$ and consider

$$\sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(y)]^{p_k} = \sum_1 [f_k(y)]^{p_k} + \sum_2 [f_k(y)]^{p_k}$$

where the first summation is over $y \leq \delta$ and the second over $y > \delta$. Since $F = (f_k)$ is continuous, we have

$$\sum_1 [f_k(y)]^{p_k} < n \max(\epsilon^h, \epsilon^H) \quad (2.3)$$

and for $y > \delta$ we use the fact that

$$y < \frac{y}{\delta} < 1 + \frac{y}{\delta}.$$

By the definition of $F = (f_k)$, we have for $y > \delta$,

$$f_k(y) \leq f_k(1) \left[1 + \left(\frac{y}{\delta} \right) \right] \leq 2f_k(1) \frac{y}{\delta}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_2 [f_k(y)]^{p_k} \leq \max \left(1, \left(\frac{2f_k(1)}{\delta} \right)^H \right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [y]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.3) and (2.4), we have $w_0(A, F', p, q) \subseteq w_0(A, F \circ F', p, q)$.

(ii) Let $x \in w_0(A, F', p, q) \cap w_0(A, F'', p, q)$. Then using inequality (1.1) it can be shown that $x \in w_0(A, F' + F'', p, q)$. Hence $w_0(A, F', p, q) \cap w_0(A, F'', p, q) \subseteq w_0(A, F' + F'', p, q)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. Let $F = (f_k)$, $F' = (f'_k)$, $F'' = (f''_k)$ are modulus functions. Then

- (i) $w(A, F', p, q) \subseteq w(A, F \circ F', p, q)$;
- (ii) $w(A, F', p, q) \cap w(A, F'', p, q) \subseteq w(A, F' + F'', p, q)$;
- (iii) $w_\infty(A, F', p, q) \subseteq w_\infty(A, F \circ F', p, q)$;
- (iv) $w_\infty(A, F', p, q) \cap w_\infty(A, F'', p, q) \subseteq w_\infty(A, F' + F'', p, q)$.

Proof. It is easy to prove by using Theorem 2.3, so we omit the details. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions. For any two sequences $p = (p_k)$ and $t = (t_k)$ of positive real numbers and q_1, q_2 be any two seminorms. Then, we have

- (i) $w_0(A, F, p, q_1) \cap w_0(A, F, t, q_2) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $w(A, F, p, q_1) \cap w(A, F, t, q_2) \neq \emptyset$;
- (iii) $w_\infty(A, F, p, q_1) \cap w_\infty(A, F, t, q_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) Since the zero element belongs to $w_0(A, F, p, q_1)$ and $w_0(A, F, t, q_2)$, thus the intersection is non-empty. Similarly, we can prove (ii) and (iii) in view of (i). $\square$

Proposition 2.6. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions. Then

- (i) $w_0(A, p, q) \subseteq w_0(A, F, p, q)$;
- (ii) $w(A, p, q) \subseteq w(A, F, p, q)$;
- (iii) $w_\infty(A, p, q) \subseteq w_\infty(A, F, p, q)$.

Proof. It is easy to prove, so we omit it. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. Let $0 < p_k \leq r_k$ and $\left(\frac{r_k}{p_k} \right)$ be bounded, then $w(A, F, r, q) \subseteq w(A, F, p, q)$.

Proof. Let $x \in w(A, F, r, q)$. Let $t_k = [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{r_k}$ and $\mu_k = \left(\frac{p_k}{r_k} \right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $0 < \mu_k \leq \mu_k \leq 1$. Define the sequence (u_k) and (v_k) as follows:

For $t_k \geq 1$, let $u_k = t_k$ and $v_k = 0$ and for $t_k < 1$, let $u_k = 0$ and $v_k = t_k$.

Then clearly for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $t_k = u_k + v_k$, $t_k^{\mu_k} = u_k^{\mu_k} + v_k^{\mu_k}$, $u_k^{\mu_k} \leq u_k \leq t_k$ and $v_k^{\mu_k} \leq v_k$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^{\mu_k} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k + \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \right]^{\mu_k}.$$

Hence $x \in w(A, F, p, q)$. Thus $w(A, F, r, q) \subseteq w(A, F, p, q)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3. Statistical convergence

The notion of statistical convergence of sequences was introduced by Fast [17], Buck [18], and Schoenberg [19] independently. It is also found in Zygmund [20], later on it was studied from sequence space point of view and linked with summability theory by Fridy [21], Connor [22], Salat [23], Maddox [24], Kolk [25], Rath and Tripathy [26], Tripathy [27] and many others. The notion depends on the density of subsets of the set N of natural numbers. A subset E of N is said to have density $\delta(E)$ if $\delta(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_E(k)$ exists, where χ_E is the characteristic function of E .

A sequence x is said to be statistically convergent to L (i.e. $x \in \mathbb{C}$) if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta(\{k \in N : |x| \geq \epsilon\}) = 0$. We write $x \xrightarrow{\text{stat}} L$ or $\text{stat-lim } x = L$.

A sequence x is said to be A -statistically convergent to $L \in X$ if for all $q \in Q$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : q(A_k(x) - L) \leq \epsilon\}| = 0$, where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the closed set. In this case we write $x \rightarrow L(S(A))$. The set of A -statistical convergent sequences will be denoted by $S(A)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus function and $\sup_k p_k = H < \infty$. Then $w(A, F, p, q) \subset S(A)$.

Proof. Let $x \in w(A, F, p, q)$. Take $q \in Q$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\sum_1$ denote the sum over $k \leq n$ with $q(A_k(x) - L) \geq \epsilon$ and $\sum_2$ denote the sum over $k \leq n$ with $q(A_k(x) - L) < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_1 [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} + \sum_2 [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_1 [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_1 [f_k(\epsilon)]^{p_k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_1 \min([f_k(\epsilon)]^h, [f_k(\epsilon)]^H) = \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : q(A_k(x) - L) \geq \epsilon\}| \min([f_k(\epsilon)]^h, [f_k(\epsilon)]^H) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in S(A)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Let $F = (f_k)$ be bounded and $0 < h = \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H < \infty$. Then $S(A) \subset w(A, F, p, q)$.

Proof. Suppose that $F = (f_k)$ be bounded. Let $q \in Q$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\sum_1$ and $\sum_2$ was denoted in Theorem 3.1. Since $F = (f_k)$ is bounded there exists an integer K such that $f_k(x) < K$ for all $x \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_1 [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} + \sum_2 [f_k(q(A_k(x) - L))]^{p_k} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_1 \max(K^h, K^H) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_2 [f_k(\epsilon)]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \max(K^h, K^H) \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : q(A_k(x) - L) \geq \epsilon\}| + \max([f_k(\epsilon)]^h, [f_k(\epsilon)]^H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in w(A, F, p, q)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. $S(A) = w(A, F, q)$ if and only if $F = (f_k)$ is bounded.

Proof. Let $F = (f_k)$ be bounded. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have $S(A) = w(A, F, q)$.

Conversely, suppose that $S(A) = w(A, F, q)$ and $F = (f_k)$ is unbounded, then there exists a positive sequence (t_n) with $f(t_n) = n^2$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If we choose

$$A_k(x) = \begin{cases} t_n, & k = n^2, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : q(A_k(x)) \geq \epsilon\}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $x \rightarrow 0(S(A))$, but $x \notin w(A, F, q)$ for $q = |x|$ and $X = \mathbb{C}$. Indeed let $q = |x|$ and $X = \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(x) &= (A_k(x_1), A_k(x_2), A_k(x_3), A_k(x_4), \dots, \\ &\quad A_k(x_8), A_k(x_9), A_k(x_{10}), \dots, A_k(x_{16}), \dots) \\ &= (t_1, 0, 0, t_2, 0, \dots, 0, t_3, 0, \dots, 0, t_4, 0, \dots, 0, t_5, 0, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$s_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(|A_k(x)|).$$

Then

$$s_{n^2} = \frac{1}{n^2} (1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2) = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6n^2}.$$

Now the subsequence (s_{n^2}) of (s_n) is unbounded. Therefore $(s_n) \notin w_\infty(A, F, q)$ and hence $(s_n) \notin w(A, F, q)$. This contradicts $S(A) = w(A, F, q)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to the referee for his/her valuable comments.

References

- [1] M. Mursaleen, A.K. Noman, On some new sequence spaces of non absolute type related to the spaces l_p and l_∞ I, *Filomat* 25 (2011) 33–51.
- [2] Y. Altun, Properties of some sequence spaces defined by a modulus function, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* 29 (2009) 427–434.
- [3] Y. Altun, M. Et, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a modulus function in a locally convex space, *Soochow J. Math.* 31 (2005) 233–243.
- [4] H. Altınok, Y. Altun, M. Isik, The sequence space $Bv_\sigma(M, P, Q, S)$ nn seminormed spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 39 (2008) 49–58.
- [5] T. Bilgen, On statistical convergence, *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Math. Inf.* 32 (1994) 3–7.
- [6] M. Isik, Y. Altun, M. Et, Some properties of sequence space $BV_\theta(M, p, q, s)$, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2013) 1–8.
- [7] M. Isik, On statistical convergence of generalized difference sequences, *Soochow J. Math.* 30 (2004) 197–205.
- [8] M. Isik, Strongly almost (w, λ, q) -summable sequences, *Math. Slovaca* 61 (2011) 779–788.

- [9] I.J. Maddox, Elements of Functional Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
- [10] E. Malkowsky, E. Savaş, Some λ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Archivum Math. 36 (2000) 219–228.
- [11] M. Mursaleen, λ -statistical convergence, Math. Slovaca 50 (2000) 111–115.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, A.K. Noman, On some new sequence spaces of non absolute type related to the spaces l_p and l_∞ II, Math. Commun. 16 (2011) 383–398.
- [13] K. Raj, S.K. Sharma, Difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of modulus function, Proyecciones J. Math. 30 (2011) 189–199.
- [14] E. Savaş, On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30 (1999) 459–464.
- [15] S.K. Sharma, A. Esi, Some $\mathcal{J}$ convergent sequence spaces defined by using sequence of moduli and n -normed space, J. Ezyptian Math. Soc. 21 (2013) 29–33.
- [16] A. Wilansky, Summability through functional analysis, North-Holland Math. Stud. (1984).
- [17] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951) 241–244.
- [18] R.C. Buck, Generalized asymptotic density, Am. J. Math. 75 (1953) 335–346.
- [19] I.J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Am. Math. Monthly 66 (1959) 361–375.
- [20] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, vol. II, Cambridge Math. Library, Cambridge, 1993.
- [21] J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis 5 (1985) 301–313.
- [22] J. Connor, The statistical and strong p -Cesaro convergence of sequence, Analysis 8 (1998) 47–63.
- [23] T. Salat, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980) 139–150.
- [24] I.J. Maddox, Statistical convergence in locally convex spaces, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 104 (1988) 141–145.
- [25] E. Kolk, The statistical convergence in Banach spaces, Tartu Ulike Toim. 929 (1991) 41–52.
- [26] D. Rath, B.C. Tripathy, On statistically convergent and statistically Cauchy sequences, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1994) 381–386.
- [27] B.C. Tripathy, Matrix transformation between some classes of sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2 (1997) 448–450.