

## RESULTS ON SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

SHILPA N.

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we deal with the meromorphic solutions of certain  $q$ -difference equations and obtain results which generalize as well as improve the results of A. P. Singh and S. V. Dugane [2], Subhas S. Bhoosnurmath and K. S. L. N Prasad [3].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For a meromorphic function  $f$  in the complex plane we assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory such as,  $T(r, f)$ ,  $N(r, f)$  and  $m(r, f)$  etc., as explained in [1].

**Definition 1:** If  $f$  is a meromorphic function of zero order, then we denote  $\pi(f(qz))$  to be function which are polynomials in  $f(qz)$  where  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with co-efficients  $a(z)$  such that  $T(r, a(z)) = o(T(r, f))$ , on a set of logarithmic density 1, such functions will be called as "q-difference polynomials" in  $f(qz)$ .

$$\pi(f(qz)) = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j f^{n_{0j}} f(q_1 z)^{n_{1j}} f(q_2 z)^{n_{2j}} \dots f(q_\nu z)^{n_{\nu j}},$$

where

$$\bar{d}(\pi) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq s} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} n_{ij}, \quad \underline{d}(\pi) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq s} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} n_{ij}.$$

If  $\bar{d}(\pi) = \underline{d}(\pi) = n$  (say) then the  $q$ -difference polynomial is called Homogeneous otherwise Nonhomogeneous.

In [2] A. P. Singh and S. V. Dukane proved the following result.

**Theorem A.** No transcendental meromorphic function  $f$  with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$  will satisfy an equation of the form

$$a_1(z)[f(z)]^n \pi_k(f) + a_2(z)\pi_k(f) + a_3(z) = 0,$$

where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_1(z) (\neq 0)$  and  $\pi_k(f)$  is a non-zero homogeneous differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $k$  having  $p$  terms where  $p$  and  $k$  satisfy the relation  $(p-1)k < n$ . Later in [3]. Subhas S. Bhoosnurmath and K. S. L. N Prasad improved Theorem

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D35.

*Key words and phrases.* Meromorphic functions, Difference polynomials, Difference equations.

Submitted September 21, 2017. Revised Jan. 23, 2018.

A and obtained the following result.

**Theorem B.** *No transcendental meromorphic function  $f$  with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$  will satisfy an equation of the form*

$$a_1(z)[f(z)]^n \pi(f) + a_2(z)\pi(f) + a_3(z) = 0$$

where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_1(z) (\neq 0)$  and  $\pi(f) = M_i(f) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j(z)M_j(z)$  is a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $n$  and each  $M_i(f)$  is a monomial in  $f$ .

In this section we prove that in Theorem B,  $f^n$  can be replaced by  $P(f)$ , where  $P(f)$  is a linear combination of powers of  $f$  and we also improve the above theorem by considering any  $q$ -difference polynomial in  $f(qz)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *No non-constant zero-order meromorphic function  $f$  with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$  will satisfy an equation of the form*

$$a_1(z)P(f(qz))\pi(f(qz)) + a_2(z)\pi(f(qz)) + a_3(z) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $a_1(z) (\neq 0)$ ,  $a_2(z)$  and  $a_3(z)$  are small functions of  $f$ ,  $P(f) = b_n f^n + b_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 f + b_0$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer,  $b_n (\neq 0)$ ,  $b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0$  are small functions of  $f$  and  $\pi(f) = M_i(f(qz)) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j(z)M_j(f(qz))$  is a  $q$ -difference polynomial in  $f(qz)$  of degree  $n$  and each  $M_i(f(qz))$  is a monomial in  $f(qz)$ .

## 2. LEMMAS

In order to prove our main result, we need to prove the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a non-constant zero-order meromorphic function in the plane and that  $f^n P(qz) = Q(qz)$ , where  $P(qz)$  and  $Q(qz)$  are  $q$ -difference polynomials in  $f(qz)$  and degree of  $Q(qz)$  is at most  $n$ , then  $m(r, P(qz)) = S(r, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi m(r, P(qz)) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |P(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{E_1} \log^+ |P(re^{i\theta})| d\theta + \int_{E_2} \log^+ |P(re^{i\theta})| d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_1$  is the set of  $\theta$  in  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$  for which  $|f(re^{i\theta})| < 1$  and  $E_2$  is the complementary set.

By hypothesis  $P(qz)$  is the sum of finite number of terms of the type

$$F(qz) = a(z) f^{n_{0j}} f(q_1 z)^{n_{1j}} f(q_2 z)^{n_{2j}} \dots f(q_\nu z)^{n_{\nu j}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $n_{0j}, n_{1j}, n_{2j}, \dots, n_{\nu j}$  are non-negative integers.

Hence in  $E_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_1} \log^+ |F(re^{i\theta})| &\leq m(r, a) + o \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\nu} m \left( r, \frac{f(q_t z)}{f(qz)} \right) \right\} \\ &= S(r, f(qz)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T(r, a(z)) = S(r, f(qz))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus by addition

$$\int_E \log^+ |P(re^{i\theta})| \leq \sum_F \int_{E_1} \log^+ |F(re^{i\theta})| d\theta + O(1) = S(r, f(qz)).$$

Next let  $E_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |P(qz)| &= \left| \frac{1}{(f(qz))^n} \sum_{t=0}^{\nu} a(z) f^{n_{0j}} f(q_1 z)^{n_{1j}} f(q_2 z)^{n_{2j}} \dots f(q_{\nu} z)^{n_{\nu j}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum |a(z)| \left| \frac{f(q_1 z)}{f(qz)} \right|^{n_{1j}} \dots \left| \frac{f(q_{\nu} z)}{f(qz)} \right|^{n_{\nu j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus again

$$\int_{E_2} \log^+ |P(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq O \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\nu} m \left( r, \frac{f(t)}{f(qz)} \right) + m(r, a(z)) \right] = S(r, f(qz)).$$

This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a non-constant zero order meromorphic function in the plane and  $g(qz) = [f(qz)]^n + P_{n-1}(f(qz))$  where  $P_{n-1}(f(qz))$  is a  $q$ -difference polynomial of degree atmost  $n - 1$  in  $f(qz)$  and that  $N(r, f(qz)) + N \left( r, \frac{1}{g(qz)} \right) = S(r, f(qz))$ , then  $g(qz) = [h(qz)]^n$ ,  $h(qz)$ ,  $f(qz) + \frac{1}{n}a(z)$  and  $[h(qz)]^{n-1}a(z)$  is obtained by substituting  $h(qz)$  for  $f(qz)$ ,  $h'(qz)$  for  $f'(qz)$  etc., in the terms of degree  $n - 1$  in  $P_{n-1}(f(qz))$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $g(qz)$  of the form  $[f(qz) + \frac{a}{n}]^n$ , where  $a$  is determined by the terms of degree  $n - 1$  in  $P_{n-1}(f(qz))$  and by  $g(qz)$ . We note the following special cases.

If  $P_{n-1}(f(qz)) = a_0(z)(f(qz))^{n-1}$  + terms of degree  $n - 2$  atmost, then  $h^{n-1}a(z) = a_0(z)h^{n-1}$  so that  $a(z) = a_0(z)$  and  $g(qz) = [f(qz) + \frac{a_0(z)}{n}]^n$ .

In this case  $h^{n-1}a(z) = a_0(z)h'h^{n-2}$  or  $a(z) = a_0(z)\frac{h'}{h} = \frac{a_0(z)}{n} \frac{g'(qz)}{g(qz)}$ ,

$$g(qz) = \left[ f(qz) + \frac{a_0(z)}{n^2} \frac{g'(qz)}{g(qz)} \right]^n.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a non-constant zero order meromorphic function and  $\pi(f(qz))$  be a  $q$ -difference polynomial in  $f(qz)$  of degree  $n \geq 1$  with coefficients  $a(z)$  and degree  $\bar{d}(\pi)$  and lower degree  $\underline{d}(\pi)$  then,*

$$m \left( r, \frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}} \right) \leq [\bar{d}(\pi) - \underline{d}(\pi)] m \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f). \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof.** Let  $F(qz)$  be defined as in (2.1) then,

$$\frac{F(qz)}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}} = a(z) \left( \frac{f(qz)}{f(qz)} \right)^{n_{0j}} \left( \frac{f(q_1 z)}{f(qz)} \right)^{n_{1j}} \dots \left( \frac{f(q_k z)}{f(qz)} \right)^{n_{\nu j}}$$

**Case(i).** When  $|f(qz)| \leq 1$

$$\left| \frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}} \right| = \sum_{j=1}^s |a_j| \left| \frac{M_j(f)}{f^{\gamma_{M_j}}} \right| \left| \frac{1}{f} \right|^{\bar{d}(\pi) - \gamma_{M_j}}$$

where  $\gamma_{M_j}$  is the degree of the monomial  $M_j(f)$ .

As  $|f| \leq 1$ ,  $\left|\frac{1}{f}\right| \geq 1$  and  $\left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\gamma_{M_j}} \geq 1$  and we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\gamma_{M_j}} \leq \left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\min_{1 \leq j \leq s} \gamma_{M_j}} = \left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\underline{d}(\pi)}$$

Hence, we get

$$\left|\frac{\pi(f)}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}}\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\underline{d}(\pi)} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^s |a_j| \left|\frac{f(q_1 z)}{f}\right|^{n_{1j}} \dots \left|\frac{f(q_k z)}{f}\right|^{n_{kj}} \right]$$

Using logarithmic derivative lemma we get (2.2).

**Case(ii).** When  $|f(z)| \geq 1$

$$\left|\frac{\pi(f)}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}}\right| = \sum_{j=1}^s |a_j| \left|\frac{M_j(f)}{f^{\gamma_{M_j}}}\right| \left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\gamma_{M_j}},$$

but as  $|f| \geq 1$ ,  $\left|\frac{1}{f}\right| \leq 1$  and  $\left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\gamma_{M_j}} \leq 1$ . So  $\log^+ \left|\frac{1}{f}\right|^{\bar{d}(\pi)-\gamma_{M_j}} = 0$  and

$$\log^+ \left|\frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \log^+ \left|\frac{M_j(f)}{f^{\gamma_{M_j}}}\right| + c$$

i.e.,

$$m \left( r, \frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}(\pi)}} \right) \leq S(r, f).$$

Hence we get (2.2).

### 3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREM.

In this section we present the proof of our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1**

We first consider the case when  $n \geq 2$ .

Suppose there exists a transcendental meromorphic function  $f$  with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$  satisfying (1.1) then

$$a_1 [b_n f^n + b_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + b_0] \pi(f(qz)) + a_2 \pi(f(qz)) + a_3 = 0$$

or

$$a_1 b_n f^n \pi(f(qz)) + P_1(f(qz)) \pi(f(qz)) + a_3 = 0,$$

where  $P_1(f(qz)) = a_1 b_{n-1} f(qz)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 b_0 + a_2$ .

Since from our assumption we have  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , then by applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\pi(f(qz))$ , we get

$$N(r, \pi(f(qz))) = S(r, f). \tag{3.1}$$

Now let,

$$H(z) = [f(z)]^n + \frac{P_1(f(qz))}{a_1 b_n} = -\frac{a_3}{a_1 b_n \pi(f(qz))} \tag{3.2}$$

from (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$N \left( r, \frac{1}{H} \right) = N \left( r, -\frac{a_1 b_n \pi(f(qz))}{a_3} \right) = S(r, f).$$

Also  $\frac{P_1(f(qz))}{a_1 b_n}$  is a  $q$ -difference polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $n - 1$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2  $H(z) = (h(z))^n$ , where  $h(z) = f(z) + \frac{a(z)}{n}$  and  $(h(z))^{n-1}a(z)$  is obtained by substituting  $h(z)$  for  $f(z)$ ,  $h'(z)$  for  $f'(z)$  etc., in the terms of degree  $n - 1$  in  $\frac{P_1(f)}{a_1 b_n}$ . Since  $n \geq 2$  and the term in  $\frac{P_1(f)}{a_1 b_n}$  with degree  $n - 1$  is  $\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n} f^{n-1}$ .

Thus  $(h(z))^{n-1}a(z) = \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n}(h(z))^{n-1}$  or  $a(z) = \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n}$ .

Therefore

$$H(z) = \left( f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right)^n. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\left( f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right)^n \pi(f(qz)) = -\frac{a_3}{a_1 b_n}.$$

Thus

$$T \left( r, \left( f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right)^n \pi(f(qz)) \right) = S(r, f). \tag{3.4}$$

From the first fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, (3.1), (3.4) and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T \left( r, f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \left[ f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right]^n \right) &= T \left( r, \frac{1}{f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \left[ f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right]^n} \right) + O(1), \\ &\leq T \left( r, \frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \right) + T \left( r, \frac{1}{\pi(f(qz)) \left[ f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right]^n} \right) + O(1), \\ &\leq N \left( r, \frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \right) + m \left( r, \frac{\pi(f(qz))}{f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \right) + O(1), \\ &\leq N(r, \pi(f(qz))) + \bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] N \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) \\ &\quad + [\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] - \underline{d}[\pi(f(qz))]] m \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] N \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] m \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} T \left( r, f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \left[ f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right]^n \right) &= T \left( r, f^{\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))]} \right) + T \left( r, \left[ f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right]^n \right) \\ &= \bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] T(r, f) + n T \left( r, f(z) + \frac{b_{n-1}}{nb_n} \right) + S(r, f), \\ &= [\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] + n] T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus from (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$[\bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] + n] T(r, f) = \bar{d}[\pi(f(qz))] T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Which is a contradiction to our assumption.

We shall now consider the case when  $n = 1$ .

If  $n = 1$  then equation (3.1) becomes  $a_1[b_1f + b_0]\pi(f(qz)) + a_2\pi(f(qz)) + a_3 = 0$ , that is

$$\left(f + \frac{(a_1b_0 + a_2)}{a_1b_1}\right)\pi(f(qz)) = -\frac{a_3}{a_1b_1}.$$

Hence from lemma 2.2 and the equation (3.1), we have

$$T(r, \pi(f(qz))) = S(r, f).$$

Also

$$T\left(r, \left(f + \frac{(a_1b_0 + a_2)}{a_1b_1}\right)\right) = T\left(r, -\frac{a_3}{\pi(f(qz))a_1b_1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Thus

$$T(r, f) = S(r, f).$$

Which is again a contradiction to our assumption. Hence the theorem.

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W.K.Hayman, "Meromorphic Functions", Oxford Clarendon Press, London.
- [2] A.P. Singh and V. S. Dukane, *Some notes on differential polynomials*, In. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, **59** of A, 1989.
- [3] Subhas S. Bhoosnurmath and K.S.L.N. Prasad, *The value distribution of differential polynomials, meromorphic matrix valued functions and related topics*, Ph.D Thesis, Karnatak University, Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 2003.

SHILPA N.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOE, PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY, NEAR RAJANUKUNTE, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA, INDIA.

*E-mail address:* shilpajaikumar@gmail.com