

ON FINITE SUM OF G -FRAMES AND NEAR EXACT G -FRAMES

RENU CHUGH, SHASHANK GOEL

ABSTRACT. Finite sum of g -frames in Hilbert spaces has been defined and studied. A necessary and sufficient condition for the finite sum of g -frames to be a g -frame for a Hilbert space has been given. Also, a sufficient condition for the stability of finite sum of g -frames and a sufficient condition for the stability of finite sum of g -Bessel sequences to be a g -frame for a Hilbert space have been given. Further, near exact g -frames in Hilbert space have been defined and studied. Finally, a sufficient condition for a g -frame to be a near exact g -frame has been given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, Duffin and Schaeffer [5] introduced frames for Hilbert spaces while addressing some difficult problems arising from the theory of non-harmonic Fourier series. Today, frames have been widely used in signal processing, data compression, sampling theory and many other fields.

Recently, Sun [13] introduced a g -frame and a g -Riesz bases in a Hilbert space and obtained some results for g -frames and g -Riesz bases. He also observed that frame of subspaces (fusion frames) introduced by Casazza and Kutyniok [2] is a particular case of g -frame in a Hilbert space. Also, a system of bounded quasi-projectors introduced by Fornasier [7] is a particular case of g -frame in a Hilbert space.

In the present paper, we study finite sum of g -frames in Hilbert spaces and observe that a finite sum of g -frames may not be a g -frame for a Hilbert space. A necessary and sufficient condition for the finite sum of g -frames to be a g -frame for a Hilbert space has been given. Also, a sufficient condition for the stability of finite sum of g -frames and a sufficient condition for the stability of finite sum of g -Bessel sequences to be a g -frame for a Hilbert space have been given. Further, near exact g -frame in Hilbert space has been defined and studied. Finally, a sufficient condition for a g -frame to be a near exact g -frame for a Hilbert space has been given.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C15, 42A38.

Key words and phrases. Frame, g -frame, near exact g -frame.

Submitted June 15, 2013.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, H is a Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) and $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of Hilbert spaces over \mathbb{K} . $B(H, H_n)$ is the collection of all bounded linear operators from H into H_n .

Definition 1 A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H$ is called a *frame* for H if there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

The positive constants A and B , respectively, are called lower and upper frame bounds of the frame $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 2 [13] A sequence $\{\Lambda_n \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *generalized frame* or simply a *g-frame* for H with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_n(x)\|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in H. \quad (1)$$

The positive constants A and B , respectively, are called the lower and upper frame bounds of the *g-frame* $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The *g-frame* $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *tight g-frame* if $A = B$ and a *Parseval g-frame* if $A = B = 1$. The sequence $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *g-Bessel sequence* for H with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with bound B if $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the right hand side of the inequality (1).

Definition 3 [10] A sequence $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *g-frame sequence* for H , if it is a *g-frame* for $\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_n^*(H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Notation. For each sequence $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, define $\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n\right)_{\ell_2}$ by

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n\right)_{\ell_2} = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : a_n \in H_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with the inner product defined by $\langle \{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle a_n, b_n \rangle$.

It is clear that $\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n\right)_{\ell_2}$ is a Hilbert space with pointwise operations.

The following results which are referred in this paper are listed in the form of lemmas.

Lemma 1[10] $\{\Lambda_n \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *g-Bessel sequences* for H with respect to $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if and only if

$$T : \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n^*(x_n)$$

is well-defined and bounded mapping from $\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n\right)_{\ell_2}$ to H .

Lemma 2[10] If $\{\Lambda_n \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *g-frame* for H , then $\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_n^*(H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = H$.

3. FINITE SUM OF G-FRAMES

Let $\{\Lambda_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be *g-frames* for H . Consider the sequence $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ may not be a *g-frame* for H . In this direction, we give the following examples:

Example 1 Let $\{\Lambda_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be g -frames for H . If for some $1 \leq p \leq k$,

$$\Lambda_{i,n}(x) = \Lambda_{p,n}(x), \text{ for all } x \in H, i = 1, 2, \dots, k \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x)\} = \{k\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H .

Example 2 Let $\{\Lambda_{1,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\Lambda_{2,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two g -frames for H such that

$$\Lambda_{1,n}(x) = -\Lambda_{2,n}(x), \text{ for all } x \in H \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\{\sum_{i=1}^2 \Lambda_{i,n}(x)\} = \{0\}$, which is not a g -frame for H .

In view of Examples 1 and 2, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the finite sum of g -frames to be a g -frame.

Theorem 1 Let $\{\Lambda_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be g -frames for H . Let $\{\alpha_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be any scalars. Then $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}\}$ is a g -frame for H if and only if there exists $\beta > 0$ and some $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$\beta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq p \leq k$, let A_p and B_p be the bounds of the g -frame $\{\Lambda_{p,n}\}$. Let $\beta > 0$ be a constant satisfying

$$\beta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Then

$$\beta A_p \|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

For any $x \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|\alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \right) \\ &= k \sum_{i=1}^k \left(|\alpha_i|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq k (\max |\alpha_i|^2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k B_i \right) \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H with bounds βA_p and $k(\max |\alpha_i|^2) (\sum_{i=1}^k B_i)$.

Conversely, let $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a g -frame for H with bounds A , B and let for any $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $\{\Lambda_{p,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a g -frame for H with bounds A_p and B_p . Then, for any $x \in H$, $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we have

$$A_p \|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq B_p \|x\|^2.$$

This gives

$$\frac{1}{B_p} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Also, we have

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

So,

$$\|x\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{A} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Hence

$$\frac{A}{B_p} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Write $\frac{A}{B_p} = \beta$. Then

$$\beta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Next, we give a sufficient condition for the stability of finite sum of g -frames.

Theorem 2 For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let $\{\Lambda_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be g -frames for H , $\{\Theta_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence. Let $L : \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n \right)_{\ell_2} \rightarrow \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n \right)_{\ell_2}$ be a bounded linear operator such that $L \left(\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right) = \{\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, for some $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. If there exists a non-negative constant λ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\Lambda_{i,n} - \Theta_{i,n})(x)\|^2 \leq \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2, \quad x \in H \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Then $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H .

Proof. For any $x \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\Theta_{i,n} - \Lambda_{i,n})(x) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 2k \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\Theta_{i,n} - \Lambda_{i,n})(x)\|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2k \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2k(1 + \lambda) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k B_i \right) \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

where, B_i is an upper bound for $\{\Lambda_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Also, for each $x \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| L \left(\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\} \right) \right\|^2 &= \|\{\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$A_p \|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \leq \|L\|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H,$$

where A_p is a lower bound of the g -frame $\{\Lambda_{p,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

This gives

$$\frac{A_p}{\|L\|^2} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Hence $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H .

Now, we give another sufficient condition for the stability of finite sum of g -Bessel sequences to be a g -frame for H .

Theorem 3 For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let $\{\Lambda_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be g -Bessel sequences in

H with coefficient mapping $T_i : H \rightarrow \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \oplus H_n \right)_{\ell_2}$ given by $T_i(x) = \{\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $x \in H$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and let $\{\Theta_{i,n} \in B(H, H_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\Lambda_{i,n} - \Theta_{i,n}(x))\|^2 \leq \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2$, $x \in H$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

If for some $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there exists $A_p > 0$ such

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 \geq A_p \|x\|^2, \quad x \in H$$

and

$$A_p > \left(2(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq p}}^k \|T_i\|^2 + 4\lambda k \sum_{i=1}^k \|T_i\|^2 \right).$$

Then $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H .

Proof. For each $x \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\Theta_{i,n} - \Lambda_{i,n})(x) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{p,n}(x)\|^2 - 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq p}}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2k \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\Theta_{i,n} - \Lambda_{i,n})(x)\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(A_p \|x\|^2 - 2(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq p}}^k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - k\lambda \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(A_p \|x\|^2 - 2(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq p}}^k \|T_i(x)\|^2 \right) - k\lambda \sum_{i=1}^k \|T_i(x)\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(A_p - 2(k-1) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq p}}^k \|T_i\|^2 - 4\lambda k \sum_{i=1}^k \|T_i\|^2 \right) \|x\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, for each $x \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\Theta_{i,n} - \Lambda_{i,n})(x) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (\Theta_{i,n} - \Lambda_{i,n})(x) \right\|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_{i,n}(x) \right\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq 2k\lambda \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 + 2k \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_{i,n}(x)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2k(1 + \lambda) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k B_i \right) \|x\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where B_i is a g -Bessel bound for $\{\Lambda_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence $\{\sum_{i=1}^k \Theta_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H .

4. NEAR EXACT G -FRAMES

We begin this section with the following definition of near exact g -frame:

Definition 4 A g -frame $\{\Lambda_i \in B(H, H_i)\}_{i \in I}$ for H is said to be near-exact if it can be made exact by removing finitely many members from it.

To show the existence of near exact g -frame, we give the following example.

Example 3 Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Define a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$ by

$$\begin{cases} x_i = e_i, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ x_i = e_{i-n}, & i = n+1, n+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Lambda_i : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Lambda_i(x) = \langle x, x_i \rangle, \quad x \in H.$$

Then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H with respect to \mathbb{C} . Indeed, we have

$$\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_i(x)\|^2 \leq 2\|x\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

Further, by removing first n members from $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we obtain $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=n+1}^\infty$ which is an exact g -frame for H .

Example 4 Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Define a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$ by

$$x_{2n-1} = x_{2n} = e_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Lambda_n : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Lambda_n(x) = \langle x, x_n \rangle, \quad x \in H.$$

Then $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g -frame for H with respect to \mathbb{C} . Indeed, we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Lambda_n(x)\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

But, after removing finitely many elements from $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, it is not exact g -frame for H .

Next, we give a sufficient condition for a g -frame to be a near exact g -frame.

Theorem 4 Let H be a separable Hilbert space and $\{\Lambda_i \in B(H, H_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -frame for H . Then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is near exact if every infinite sequences $\{\sigma(k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of positive integers such that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i) : i \in I\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots} \neq H \quad (2)$$

where Λ_i^* is the adjoint operator of Λ_i .

Proof. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is not near exact. Then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is not an exact g -frame. Therefore, there exist a positive integer $\sigma(1)$ such that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}^{i \neq \sigma(1)}$ is a g -frame for H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}^{i \neq \sigma(1)}$. Then, by Lemma 2,

$$\Lambda_{\sigma(1)}^*(x_{\sigma(1)}) \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \in I}^{i \neq \sigma(1)} = H, \quad x_{\sigma(1)} \in H_{\sigma(1)}. \quad (3)$$

Since H is separable, there exists a positive integer $n_1 \geq \sigma(1)$ such that

$$\text{dist}\left(\Lambda_{\sigma(1)}^*(x_{\sigma(1)}), \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i=1}^{n_1} \right) < \frac{1}{2}, \quad x_{\sigma(1)} \in H_{\sigma(1)}.$$

By assumption, $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \neq \sigma(1)}^\infty$ is not exact. Therefore, there exist a positive integer $\sigma(2) \geq n_1 + 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\sigma(2)}^*(x_{\sigma(2)}) &\in \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i=n_1+1}^\infty \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1)}^\infty, x_{\sigma(2)} \in H_{\sigma(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and a positive integer $n_2 \geq \sigma(2)$ such that

$$\text{dist}\left(\Lambda_{\sigma(2)}^*(x_{\sigma(2)}), \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i=1}^{n_2} \right) < \frac{1}{4}, \quad x_{\sigma(2)} \in H_{\sigma(2)}.$$

Further, since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1)}^{n_1} + \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2)}^\infty &\subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2)} \\ \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1)}^{n_1} + \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1)}^\infty &\subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{using(4)})$$

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \in I}^{i \neq \sigma(1)} \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2)}.$$

So, we have

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2)} = H. \quad (\text{using(3)})$$

Continuing in this way, we get a sequence of indices $\{\sigma(k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with $n_{k-1} + 1 \leq \sigma(k) \leq n_k$ and $n_0 = 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}\left(\Lambda_{\sigma(k)}^*(x_{\sigma(k)}), \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i)\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(k)}^{n_k} \right) < \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad x_{\sigma(k)} \in H_{\sigma(k)}$$

and

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i) : i \in I\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(k)} = H.$$

Thus, we get a sequence of indices $\{\sigma(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_i^*(H_i) : i \in I\}_{i \neq \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots} = H.$$

This is a contradiction.

Hence $\{\Lambda_i \in B(H, H_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a near exact g -frame for H .

Remark 1 The condition in Theorem 4 is not necessary. (Example 3).

REFERENCES

- [1] M.S. Asgari and A. Khosravi: Frames and bases of subspaces in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **308**, 541-553 (2005).
- [2] P.G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok: Frames of subspaces, in: *Wavelets, Frames and Operator theory*, *Contemp. Math.*, **345**, Providence, RI, 87-113 (2004)
- [3] O. Christensen: *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhauser (2002).
- [4] I. Daubechies, A. Grossman and Y. Meyer: Painless non-orthogonal expansions, *J. Math. Phys.*, **27**, 1271-1283 (1986).
- [5] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer: A class of non-harmonic Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**, 341-366 (1952).
- [6] P.K. Jain, S.K. Kaushik and Nisha Gupta: On near exact Banach frames in Banach spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **18**, 335-342 (2008)
- [7] M. Fornasier: Quasi-orthogonal decompositions of structured frames, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **289**, 180-199 (2004).
- [8] S.K. Kaushik, Ghanshyam Singh and Virender: A note on frame sequences in Hilbert space, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, **3** (16), 791-799 (2008).
- [9] S.K. Kaushik, Ghanshyam Singh and Virender: A note on block sequences in Hilbert spaces, *Glasnik Matemacki*, **43**(63), 387- 395, (2008).
- [10] A. Najati, M.H. Faroughi and A. Rahimi: G -frames and stability of G -frames in Hilbert spaces, *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology*, **14**(3), 271-286 (2008).
- [11] Renu Chugh, S.K. Sharma and Shashank Goel: A note on g -frame sequences, *Int. J. Pure and Appl. Mathematics*, **70**(6), 797-805, (2011).
- [12] Renu Chugh and Shashank Goel: On sum of g -frames in Hilbert spaces, *Jordanian Journal of Mathematics and Statistics(JJMS)*, **5** (2), 115-124 (2012).
- [13] W. Sun: G -frame and g -Riesz base, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **322**(1), 437-452 (2006).
- [14] W. Sun: Stability of g -frames, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **326**(2), 858-868 (2007).

RENU CHUGH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M. D. UNIVERSITY, ROHTAK, INDIA

E-mail address: chughrenu@yahoo.com

SHASHANK GOEL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMITY INSTITUTE OF APPLIED SCIENCES, AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA, INDIA

E-mail address: goel.shashank25@gmail.com