

ON ASYMPTOTICALLY GENERALIZED STATISTICAL EQUIVALENT DOUBLE SEQUENCES VIA IDEALS

U. YAMANCI, M. GÜRDAL

ABSTRACT. In the present paper following the line of recent work of Savaş et al. [25], we introduce the concepts of \mathcal{I} -statistically equivalent, \mathcal{I} - $[V, \lambda, \mu]$ -equivalent and \mathcal{I} - (λ, μ) -statistically equivalent for double sequences. Moreover, we give some relations among these new notations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fast [2] presented a generalization of the usual concept of sequential limit which they called statistical convergence. Schoenberg [23] and Salat [20] gave some basic properties of statistical convergence. There has been an effort to introduce several generalizations and variants of statistical convergence in different spaces [4, 5, 15, 21]. One such very important generalization of this notion was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [7] by using an ideal \mathcal{I} of subsets of the set of natural numbers, which they called \mathcal{I} -convergence. More recent applications of ideals can be seen from [1, 6, 9, 24] where more references can be found. Recently, in [25], Savaş et al. introduced the notions of \mathcal{I} -statistical convergence, \mathcal{I} - λ -statistical convergence and obtained some results. On the other hand, Pobyvanets [19] introduced the concept of asymptotically regular matrix A , which preserve the asymptotic equivalence of two non-negative sequences, that is $x \sim y$ implies $Ax \sim Ay$. Subsequently, Marouf [12] and Li [11] studied the relationships between the asymptotic equivalence of two sequences in summability theory and presented some variations of asymptotic equivalence. Patterson [17] extended these concepts by presenting an asymptotically statistically equivalent analog of these definitions and natural regularity conditions for non-negative summability matrices. Later, these ideas were extended to lacunary sequences by Patterson and Savaş in [18]. More investigations in this direction and more applications can be found in [22]. In present work, we introduce some new notions \mathcal{I} -statistical equivalent, \mathcal{I} - $[V, \lambda, \mu]$ -equivalent, \mathcal{I} - (λ, μ) -statistically equivalent for double sequences and obtain some results.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05; 40G05.

Key words and phrases. Ideal, Asymptotically equivalent sequences, \mathcal{I} -statistically equivalent, \mathcal{I} - (λ, μ) -statistically equivalent, Double sequence.

Submitted November 22, 2013. Accepted July 16, 2014 .

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

First we recall some of the basic concepts, which will be used in this paper.

The notion of a statistically convergent sequence can be defined using the asymptotic density of subsets of the set of positive integers $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. For any $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote $K(n) := \text{card}K \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and we define lower and upper asymptotic density of the set K by the formulas

$$\underline{\delta}(K) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(n)}{n}; \quad \bar{\delta}(K) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(n)}{n}.$$

If $\underline{\delta}(K) = \bar{\delta}(K) =: \delta(K)$, then the common value $\delta(K)$ is called the asymptotic density of the set K and

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(n)}{n}.$$

Obviously all three densities $\underline{\delta}(K)$, $\bar{\delta}(K)$ and $\delta(K)$ (if they exist) lie in the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

$$\delta(K) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} |K_n| = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_K(k),$$

if it exists, where χ_K is the characteristic function of the set K [3]. We say that a number sequence $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ statistically converges to a point L if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\delta(K(\varepsilon)) = 0$, where $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ and in such situation we will write $L = st\text{-}\lim x_k$.

In [14], Mursaleen introduced the idea of λ -statistical convergence for single sequences as follows:

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$. The collection of such a sequence λ will be denoted by Δ .

The generalized de la Valée-Pousin mean is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$.

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number L if $\lim_n t_n(x) = L$ (see [10]).

The number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be λ -statistically convergent to the number L if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $st_\lambda\text{-}\lim_k x_k = L$ and we denote the set of all λ -statistically convergent sequences by S_λ .

In [16], the concepts of generalized double de la Valée-Pousin mean and λ -statistical convergence of a single sequence were defined for a double sequence as follows:

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_m) \in \Delta$ and $\mu = (\mu_n) \in \Delta$. The generalized double de la Valée-Pousin mean is defined by

$$t_{m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \sum_{(j,k) \in J_m \times I_n} x_{jk},$$

where $J_m = [m - \lambda_m + 1, m]$ and $I_n = [n - \mu_n + 1, n]$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{jk})$ is said to be (V, λ, μ) -summable to a number L provided that $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} t_{m,n}(x) = L$. If $\lambda_m = m$ for all m , and $\mu_n = n$ for all n , then the space (V, λ, μ) is reduced to $(C, 1, 1)$ (see [13, 15]).

The notion of statistical convergence was further generalized in the paper [7, 8] using the notion of an ideal of subsets of the set \mathbb{N} . We say that a non-empty family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is an ideal on \mathbb{N} if \mathcal{I} is hereditary (i.e. $B \subseteq A \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{I}$) and additive (i.e. $A, B \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$). An ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} for which $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is called a proper ideal. A proper ideal \mathcal{I} is called admissible if \mathcal{I} contains all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . If not otherwise stated in the sequel \mathcal{I} will denote an admissible ideal. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be a non-trivial ideal. A class $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \exists A \in \mathcal{I} : M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A\}$, called the filter associated with the ideal \mathcal{I} , is a filter on \mathbb{N} .

Recall the generalization of statistical convergence from [7, 8].

Let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal on \mathbb{N} and $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in a metric space (X, ρ) . We say that the sequence x is \mathcal{I} -convergent (or \mathcal{I} -converges) to a point $\xi \in X$, and we denote it by $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim x = \xi$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_k, \xi) \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

This generalizes the notion of usual convergence, which can be obtained when we take for \mathcal{I} the ideal \mathcal{I}_f of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . A sequence is statistically convergent if and only if it is \mathcal{I}_δ -convergent, where $\mathcal{I}_\delta := \{K \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta(K) = 0\}$ is the admissible ideal of the sets of zero asymptotic density.

We also recall that the concepts of \mathcal{I} -statistically convergent and \mathcal{I} - λ -statistically convergent are studied in [25]:

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be \mathcal{I} -statistically convergent to $L \in X$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

In this case we write $\mathcal{I}\text{-}st\text{-}\lim_k x_k = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}\text{-}st)$.

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be \mathcal{I} - λ -statistically convergent or $\mathcal{I}\text{-}st_\lambda$ convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

In this case we write $\mathcal{I}\text{-}st_\lambda\text{-}\lim x = L$ or $x_k \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}\text{-}st_\lambda)$.

We can define the asymptotically equivalent of single sequences as follows (see [12]):

Definition 1 Two non-negative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{y_k} = L$$

(denoted by $x \sim y$).

Patterson [17] presented a natural combination of the concepts of statistical convergence and asymptotically equivalent to introduce the concept of asymptotically statistically equivalent as follows.

Definition 2 Two non-negative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be

asymptotically statistically equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_k}{y_k} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,$$

(denoted by $x \sim^{S^L} y$) and simply asymptotically statistical equivalent if $L = 1$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we study the concepts of \mathcal{I} -statistically equivalent, \mathcal{I} - $[V, \lambda, \mu]$ -equivalent and \mathcal{I} - (λ, μ) -statistically equivalent for double sequences. Moreover, we give some relations among these new notations. The results are analogues to those given by Patterson [17]. These notions generalize the notions of asymptotically statistically equivalent.

We define the following:

Definition 3 Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ are said to be asymptotically statistically equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m, k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,$$

(denoted by $x \sim^{S^L} y$) and simply asymptotically statistical equivalent if $L = 1$.

Following the line of Savaş et al. [25] we now introduce the following definitions for double sequences using ideals.

Definition 4 The two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ is said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I} -statistically equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m, k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

(denoted by $x \sim^{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y$) and simply asymptotically \mathcal{I} -statistically equivalent if $L = 1$.

Definition 5 The two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ is said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I} - $[V, \lambda, \mu]$ -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \delta \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

(denoted by $x \sim^{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y$) and simply asymptotically \mathcal{I} - $[V, \lambda, \mu]$ -equivalent if $L = 1$.

Definition 6 The two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ is said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I} - (λ, μ) -statistically equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

(denoted by $x \sim^{S^L_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$) and simply asymptotically \mathcal{I} - (λ, μ) -statistically equivalent if $L = 1$.

Theorem 1 Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an admissible ideal and $\lambda = (\lambda_m) \in \Delta$, $\mu = (\mu_n) \in \Delta$. Then

(i) $x \sim^{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y \Rightarrow x \sim^{S^L_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$.

(ii) If $x = (x_{jk})$, $y = (y_{jk}) \in \ell_\infty$ such that $x \sim^{S^L_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$, then $x \sim^{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y$.

(iii) $(x \sim_{S_{\lambda, \mu}^L} y) \cap \ell_\infty = (x \sim_{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y) \cap \ell_\infty$, where ℓ_∞ denotes the class of bounded sequences.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ be two sequences such that $x \sim_{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n \text{ \& } \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\geq \varepsilon \cdot \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

So for a given $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| &\geq \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \cdot \delta \right\} \right| &\geq \varepsilon \cdot \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \cdot \delta \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \sim_{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y$, so the set on the right-hand side belongs to \mathcal{I} and so it follows that $x \sim_{S_{\lambda, \mu}^L} y$.

(ii) If $x = (x_{jk}), y = (y_{jk}) \in \ell_\infty$ such that $x \sim_{S_{\lambda, \mu}^L} y$. Let $\left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \leq M \forall j, k$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| &= \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n \text{ \& } \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n \text{ \& } \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| < \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \right\} = A(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$. If $(m, n) \in (A(\varepsilon))^c$ then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| < 2\varepsilon \right\} \right| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq 2\varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subset A(\varepsilon)$$

and so belongs to \mathcal{I} . This shows that $x \sim_{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y$.

(iii) This readily follows from (i) and (ii).

Theorem 2 Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an admissible ideal and $\lambda = (\lambda_m) \in \Delta, \mu = (\mu_n) \in \Delta$. Then

(i) $x \sim^{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y \subset x \sim^{S^{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$ if $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} > 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} > 0$.

(ii) If $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = 0$, then $x \sim^{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y \not\subset x \sim^{S^{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ be two sequences such that $x \sim^{S^{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m, k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & = \frac{\lambda_m \mu_n}{mn} \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = a$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = b$ then the set $\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{\lambda_m \mu_n}{mn} < \frac{ab}{2} \right\}$ is finite. Hence for $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ \subset & \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m, k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \frac{ab}{2} \cdot \delta \right\} \\ \cup & \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{\lambda_m \mu_n}{mn} < \frac{ab}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{I} is admissible, the set on the right-hand side belongs to \mathcal{I} and this completed the proof of (i).

(ii) Conversely, suppose that $x \sim^{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y$ and $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} = 0$. Then we can choose subsequences $(m(p))_{p=1}^{\infty}$ and $(n(q))_{q=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\frac{\lambda_{m(p)}}{m(p)} < \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{\mu_{n(q)}}{n(q)} < \frac{1}{q}$. Define a sequence $x = (x_{jk})$ by

$$x_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j \in J_{m(p)} \text{ and } k \in I_{n(q)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and $y_{jk} = 1$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \sim^{S^L} y$ and so by the admissibility of the ideal $x \sim^{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y$. But $x \sim^{[V, \lambda, \mu]^L(\mathcal{I})} y$ is not satisfied and therefore by Theorem 2 (ii) $x \sim^{S^{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$ is not satisfied.

Theorem 3 Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be an admissible ideal. If $\lambda, \mu \in \Delta$ be such that $\lim_m \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = 1$ and $\lim_n \frac{\mu_n}{n} = 1$, then $x \sim^{S^{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y \subset x \sim^{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lim_m \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = 1$ and $\lim_n \frac{\mu_n}{n} = 1$ and there exists sequences $x = (x_{jk})$ and $y = (y_{jk})$ be two sequences such that $x \sim^{S^{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Since $\lim_m \frac{\lambda_m}{m} = 1$ and $\lim_n \frac{\mu_n}{n} = 1$, we can choose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such

that $\left| \frac{\lambda_m \mu_n}{mn} - 1 \right| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, for all $m, n \geq N_{mn}$. Now observe that, for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m, k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m - \lambda_m, k \leq n - \mu_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{mn - \lambda_m \mu_n}{mn} + \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \geq N_{mn}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ j \leq m, k \leq n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_m \times I_n : \left| \left(\frac{x_{jk}}{y_{jk}} \right) - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \\ & \quad \cup \{ (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus A) \cap ((\{1, 2, \dots, i-1\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, i-1\})) \}. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \sim_{S^L_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{I})} y$ then the set on the right-hand side belongs to \mathcal{I} and so the set on the left-hand side also belongs to \mathcal{I} . This shows that $x \sim_{S^L(\mathcal{I})} y$.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for giving useful comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Belen, M. Yıldırım, One generalized statistical convergence of double sequences via ideals, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara*, 58 (2012), 11-20.
- [2] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951) 241-244.
- [3] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember, Densities and summability, *Pacific J. Math.* 95 (1981) 10-11.
- [4] J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5 (1985) 301-313.
- [5] M. Gürdal, S. Pehlivan, The statistical convergence in 2-Banach spaces, *Thai J. Math.*, 2(1)(2004), 107-113.
- [6] M. Gürdal, I. Açıık, On \mathcal{I} -cauchy sequences in 2-normed spaces, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 11(2)(2008), 349-354.
- [7] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, \mathcal{I} -convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26(2)(2000), 669-686.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, M. Slezziak, \mathcal{I} -Convergence and Extremal \mathcal{I} -Limit Points. *Math. Slovaca*, 55 (2005) 443-464.
- [9] V. Kumar, A. Sharma, On asymptotically generalized statistical equivalent sequences via ideals, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 43 (3) (2012), 469-478.
- [10] L. Leindler, Über die de la Vallee-Pousinsche summierbarkeit allgemeiner orthogonalreihen, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 16 (1965), 375-387.
- [11] J. Li, Asymptotic equivalence of sequences and summability, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 20(4)(1997), 749-758.
- [12] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 16 (4) (1993), 755-762.

- [13] F. Moricz, Tauberian theorems for Cesaro summable double sequences, *Studia Math.*, 110 (1994), 83-96.
- [14] M. Mursaleen, λ -statistical convergence, *Math. Slovaca*, 50(2000), 111-115.
- [15] M. Mursaleen, O.H.H. Edely, Statistical convergence of double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 288 (2003), 223-231.
- [16] M. Mursaleen, C. Çakan, S.A. Mohiuddine, E. Savaş, Generalized statistical convergence and statistical core of double sequences, *Acta Math. Sinica Eng. Series*, 26 (11) (2010), 2131-2144.
- [17] R. F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, *Demonstratio Math.*, 36 (1) (2003), 149-153.
- [18] R. F. Patterson, E. Savaş, On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, *Thai J. Math.*, 4 (2) (2006), 267-272.
- [19] I. P. Pobyvanets, Asymptotic equivalence of some linear transformation defined by a non-negative matrix and reduced to generalized equivalence in the sense of Cesáro and Abel, *Mat. Fiz.*, 28(1980), 83-87.
- [20] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca* 30 (1980), 139-150.
- [21] E. Savaş, S.A. Mohiuddine, λ -statistically convergent double sequences in probabilistic normed spaces, *Math. Slovaca*, 62 (1) (2012), 99-108.
- [22] E. Savaş, H. Gümüş, A generalization on \mathcal{I} -asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013 (270) (2013), doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-271.
- [23] I.J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain function and related summability methods. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66 (1959), 361-375.
- [24] A. Şahiner, M. Gürdal, S. Saltan, H. Gunawan, Ideal convergence in 2-normed spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 11(4)(2007), 1477-1484.
- [25] E. Savaş, Pratulananda Das, A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24(2011) 826-830.

ULAŞ YAMANCI, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, SULEYMAN DEMIREL UNIVERSITY, ISPARTA, TURKEY

E-mail address: ulasyamanci@sdu.edu.tr

MEHMET GÜRDAL, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, SULEYMAN DEMIREL UNIVERSITY, ISPARTA, TURKEY

E-mail address: gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr