

N-FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OF THE GENERALIZED HURWITZ-LERCH ZETA FUNCTION AND FOX'S H-FUNCTION

VIRENDRA KUMAR

ABSTRACT. The aim of the present paper is to establish N -fractional calculus of the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and Fox's H -function. The main results are general in nature and provide useful extension and unification of a number of known or new results. For illustration, some special cases of the main results are mentioned.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this section we give some important definitions.

Definition 1 The author has introduced the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function [7] defined in the following manner:

$$\phi_{\mu}^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a + \alpha k z^{\beta})^{-s} (\mu)_k \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad (1)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) \geq 1$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and either $|z| \leq 1$, $z \neq 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ or $z = 1$, $\operatorname{Re}(s - \mu) > 0$.

Equivalently, the function $\phi_{\mu}^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a)$ has the integral representation

$$\phi_{\mu}^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-at} (1 - ze^{-\alpha z^{\beta} t})^{-\mu} dt, \quad (2)$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) \geq 1$ and either $|z| \leq 1$, $z \neq 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ or $z = 1$, $\operatorname{Re}(s - \mu) > 0$.

On substituting $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (1), we get unified Riemann zeta function [3, p. 100, Eq. (1.5)] which further reduces to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function [1, p. 27, Eq. (1)] when $\mu = 1$, generalized zeta function [1, p. 24, Eq. (1)] when $\mu = 1$ and $z = 1$, and Riemann zeta function [1, p. 32, Eq. (1)] when $\mu = 1$, $z = 1$ and $a = 1$.

Definition 2 Fox's H -function occurring in this paper is defined and represented

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33E20.

Key words and phrases. N -fractional calculus, generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Fox's H -function, general class of functions.

Submitted Nov. 13, 2020.

by means of the following Mellin-Barnes type contour integral [18, p. 10, Eq. (2.1.1)-(2.1.3)]:

$$H[z] = H_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[z \begin{smallmatrix} (A_J, \alpha_J)_1, & P \\ (B_J, \beta_J)_1, & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(\xi) z^\xi d\xi, \quad (3)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, L is a contour which goes from $\gamma - i\infty$ to $\gamma + i\infty$, $z \neq 0$. and

$$\phi(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{J=1}^M \Gamma(B_J - \beta_J \xi) \prod_{J=1}^N \Gamma(1 - A_J + \alpha_J \xi)}{\prod_{J=M+1}^Q \Gamma(1 - B_J + \beta_J \xi) \prod_{J=N+1}^P \Gamma(A_J - \alpha_J \xi)}. \quad (4)$$

For the convergence, existence conditions and other details of the above Fox's H -function, one may refer to the books written by Srivastava, Gupta and Goyal [18]; Kilbas and Saigo [6]; Mathai, Saxena and Haubold [14] and Prudnikov, Brychkov and Marichev [17].

Definition 3 The author introduced a general class of functions defined in the following manner [8] (see also [9, 10, 11, 12]):

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(x) &= V_n^{h_m, d, g_j}[p, \tau, k, w, q, k_m, a_j, b_r, \alpha, \beta, \delta; x] \\ &= \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-p)^n \prod_{m=1}^t [(h_m)_{n+k_m}] (d + \alpha n + \beta)^{-\tau} (x/2)^{nk+dw+q}}{\prod_{j=1}^s [(g_j)_{n+a_j}] \prod_{r=1}^u [(d)_{\alpha n \delta + b_r}]}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

- (i) $p, k, w, q, \beta, \delta, k_m, a_j, b_r$ ($m = 1, \dots, t$; $j = 1, \dots, s$; $r = 1, \dots, u$) are real numbers.
- (ii) t, s and u are natural numbers.
- (iii) $h_m, g_j \geq 1$ ($m = 1, \dots, t$; $j = 1, \dots, s$) and d may be real or complex.
- (iv) $\alpha > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(d) > 0$, x is a variable and λ is an arbitrary constant.
- (v) The series on the right hand side of (5) converges absolutely if $t < s$ or $t = s$ with $|p(x/2)^k| \leq 1$.

For details of convergence conditions of the series on the right hand side of (5) one may refer to the paper [9].

Remark 1 The general class of functions defined by (5) is quite general in nature as it unifies and extends a number of useful functions such as unified Riemann-zeta function [3], generalized hypergeometric function [1], Bessel function [2], Wright's generalized Bessel function [21], Struve's function [2], Lommel's function [2], generalized Mittag-Leffler function [19], exponential function, sine function, cosine function and MacRobert's E -function [2] etc. (see, e.g. 8, 10]).

Definition 4 Nishimoto [15] gave the following definition of N -fractional calculus:

Let $D = \{D_-, D_+\}$, $C = \{C_-, C_+\}$, C_- be a curve along the cut joining two points z and $-\infty + i \operatorname{Im}(z)$, C_+ be a curve along the cut joining two points z and $\infty + i \operatorname{Im}(z)$. D_- be a domain surrounded by C_- and D_- contains the two points over the curve C_- , D_+ be a domain surrounded by C_+ and D_+ contains the two points over the curve C_+ .

Furthermore, let $f = f(z)$ be a regular function in $D(z \in D)$. Then

$$f_v = (f)_v = \frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^{v+1}} d\zeta, \quad (v \notin Z^- := \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}). \quad (6)$$

$$(f)_{-m'} = \lim_{v \rightarrow -m'} (f)_v, \quad (m' \in Z^+ := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \quad (7)$$

$$(z^s)_v = e^{-i\pi v} \frac{\Gamma(v-s)}{\Gamma(-s)} z^{s-v}, \quad \left[0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v-s)}{\Gamma(-s)} \right| < \infty \right]. \quad (8)$$

where $-\pi \leq \arg(\zeta - z) \leq \pi$ for C_- , $0 \leq \arg(\zeta - z) \leq 2\pi$ for C_+ , $\zeta \neq z$, $z \in C$ and $v \in C$, then for $\operatorname{Re}(v) > 0$, $(f)_v$ is derivative of arbitrary order v and for $\operatorname{Re}(v) < 0$, integral of arbitrary order $-v$ with respect to z of the function $f(z)$.

2. MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we establish five theorems for N -fractional calculus involving the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Fox's H -function and general class of functions.

Theorem 1 Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$(i) 0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v-\rho-k-\beta n)}{\Gamma(-\rho-k-\beta n)} \right| < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \left| \frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right| < 1,$$

(iii) The conditions mentioned with (1) are satisfied. Then the following result holds:

$$(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v = \frac{(-1)^v}{a^s} \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k \Gamma(v-\rho-k-\beta n)}{n! k! \Gamma(-\rho-k-\beta n)} \left(-\frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right)^n z^{\rho+k-v}. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2 Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$(i) \operatorname{Re}(v-\rho-k-\beta n) - \sigma \max_{1 \leq J \leq N} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(A_J)-1}{A_J} < 0,$$

$$(ii) \left| \frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right| < 1,$$

(iii) $b \neq 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} A \pi$, where

$$A = \sum_{J=1}^N \alpha_J - \sum_{J=N+1}^P \alpha_J + \sum_{J=1}^M \beta_J - \sum_{J=M+1}^Q \beta_J > 0 \quad (10)$$

and the conditions mentioned with (1) are satisfied. Then the following result holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a) H_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[b z^\sigma \left| \begin{smallmatrix} (A_J, \alpha_J)_1, & P \\ (B_J, \beta_J)_1, & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right. \right])_v &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^s} \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k}{n! k!} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right)^n z^{\rho+k-v} H_{P+1, Q+1}^{M+1, N} \left[b z^\sigma \left| \begin{smallmatrix} (-\rho-k-\beta n, \sigma), & (A_J, \alpha_J)_1, & P \\ (v-\rho-k-\beta n, \sigma), & (B_J, \beta_J)_1, & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Theorem 3 Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$(i) 0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v-\rho-\sigma y-b-\epsilon n k-\epsilon d w-\epsilon q)}{\Gamma(-\rho-\sigma y-b-\epsilon n k-\epsilon d w-\epsilon q)} \right| < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \left| \frac{\gamma b z^\sigma}{a} \right| < 1,$$

(iii) $\eta \neq 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ and the conditions mentioned with (1)and (5) are satisfied. Then the following result holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\gamma, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) V_n^{h_m, d, g_j}[p, \tau, k, w, q, k_m, a_j, b_r, \alpha, \beta, \delta; \eta z^\epsilon] \right)_v \\ &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \lambda \sum_{n, b, y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_b}{y! b!} \left(-\frac{\gamma b z^\sigma}{a} \right)^y \\ & \times \frac{(-p)^n \prod_{m=1}^t [(h_m)_{n+k_m}] (d + \alpha n + \beta)^{-\tau} (\eta z^\epsilon / 2)^{nk+dw+q}}{\prod_{j=1}^s [(g_j)_{n+a_j}] \prod_{r=1}^u [(d)_{\alpha n \delta + b_r}]} \quad (12) \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n k - \epsilon d w - \epsilon q)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n k - \epsilon d w - \epsilon q)} z^{\rho + b - v}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4 Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$(i) 0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma \zeta - \sigma y - c - \epsilon n k - \epsilon d w - \epsilon q)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma \zeta - \sigma y - c - \epsilon n k - \epsilon d w - \epsilon q)} \right| < \infty,$$

$$(ii) \left| \frac{\gamma c z^\sigma}{a} \right| < 1,$$

(iii) $\eta \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and the conditions mentioned with (1)and (5) are satisfied. Then the following result holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\gamma, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) V_n^{h_m, d, g_j}[p, \tau, k, w, q, k_m, a_j, b_r, \alpha, \beta, \delta; \eta z^\epsilon] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times H_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[b z^\sigma \left| \begin{smallmatrix} (A_J, \alpha_J)_1, & P \\ (B_J, \beta_J)_1, & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right. \right] \right)_v \\ &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \lambda \sum_{n, c, y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_c}{y! c!} \left(-\frac{\gamma c z^\sigma}{a} \right)^y z^{\rho + c + \epsilon n k + \epsilon d w + \epsilon q - v} \\ & \times \frac{(-p)^n \prod_{m=1}^t [(h_m)_{n+k_m}] (d + \alpha n + \beta)^{-\tau} (\eta / 2)^{nk+dw+q}}{\prod_{j=1}^s [(g_j)_{n+a_j}] \prod_{r=1}^u [(d)_{\alpha n \delta + b_r}]} \quad (13) \\ & \times H_{P+1, Q+1}^{M+1, N} \left[b z^\sigma \left| \begin{smallmatrix} \{-\rho - \sigma y - c - \epsilon(nk+dw+q), \sigma\}, (A_J, \alpha_J)_1, & P \\ \{v - \rho - \sigma y - c - \epsilon(nk+dw+q), \sigma\}, (B_J, \beta_J)_1, & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5 Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$(i) 0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v+v'-\rho-k-\beta n)}{\Gamma(v-\rho-k-\beta n)} \right| < \infty, 0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v+v'-\rho-k-\beta n)}{\Gamma(v'-\rho-k-\beta n)} \right| < \infty, 0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v+v'-\rho-k-\beta n)}{\Gamma(-\rho-k-\beta n)} \right| <$$

$$(ii) \left| \frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right| < 1,$$

(iii) The conditions mentioned with (1) are satisfied. Then the following result holds:

$$\left((z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v \right)_{v'} = \left((z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_{v'} \right)_v = (z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_{v+v'}. \quad (14)$$

Proof To prove (9), we express the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function occurring in the left hand side of (9) in series form using (1) and then collecting the

powers of z , we get

$$(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v = \left(z^\rho a^{-s} \sum_{k=o}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right)^{-s} (\mu)_k \frac{z^k}{k!} \right)_v. \quad (15)$$

Using the following result in (15):

$$(1+z)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_n (-z)^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 1, \quad (16)$$

we get

$$(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v = a^{-s} \sum_{n, k=o}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k}{n! k!} \left(-\frac{\alpha k}{a} \right)^n (z^{\rho+k+\beta n})_v, \quad (17)$$

where $\left| \frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right| < 1$. Using (8) in (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v &= a^{-s} \sum_{n, k=o}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k}{n! k!} \left(-\frac{\alpha k}{a} \right)^n e^{-i\pi v} \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - k - \beta n)}{\Gamma(-\rho - k - \beta n)} \\ &\quad \times z^{\rho+k+\beta n-v}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $0 \neq \left| \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - k - \beta n)}{\Gamma(-\rho - k - \beta n)} \right| < \infty$.

After a little simplification, we arrive at the result (9).

To prove (11), we express the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and Fox's H -function occurring in the left hand side of (11) in series form using (1) and (3), apply the result (16), collect the powers of z and then apply the result (8). Now, interpreting the contour integral in terms of H -function, we arrive at the desired result (11).

To prove (12), we express the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and general class of functions occurring in the left hand side of (12) in series form using (1) and (5), apply the result (16), collect the powers of z , apply the result (8) and we arrive at the desired result (12).

To prove (13), we express the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, general class of functions and Fox's H -function occurring in the left hand side of (13) in series form using (1), (3) and (5), apply the result (16), collect the powers of z and then apply the result (8). Now, interpreting the contour integral in terms of H -function, we arrive at the desired result (13).

To prove (14), from (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v)_{v'} &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^s} \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k \Gamma(v - \rho - k - \beta n)}{n! k! \Gamma(-\rho - k - \beta n)} \left(-\frac{\alpha k}{a} \right)^n \\ &\quad \times (z^{\rho+k+\beta n-v})_{v'}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using (8) in (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_v)_{v'} &= \frac{(-1)^{v+v'}}{a^s} \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k \Gamma(v + v' - \rho - k - \beta n)}{n! k! \Gamma(-\rho - k - \beta n)} \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right)^n z^{\rho+k-v-v'}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In a similar manner, we get

$$\left((z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_{v'} \right)_v = \frac{(-1)^{v+v'}}{a^s} \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k \Gamma(v+v'-\rho-k-\beta n)}{n! k! \Gamma(-\rho-k-\beta n)} \left(-\frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right)^n z^{\rho+k-v-v'} \quad (21)$$

and replacing v by $v+v'$ in (9), we get

$$\left((z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(z, s, a))_{v+v'} \right) = \frac{(-1)^{v+v'}}{a^s} \sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_n (\mu)_k \Gamma(v+v'-\rho-k-\beta n)}{n! k! \Gamma(-\rho-k-\beta n)} \left(-\frac{\alpha k z^\beta}{a} \right)^n z^{\rho+k-v-v'} \quad (22)$$

Thus, (20), (21) and (22) prove (14).

Remark 2 Results like (14) may be obtained for the results (11), (12) and (13).

3. SPECIAL CASES

In this section we mention some special cases of our main results.

(i) If we take $a = 1$, $k = 0$ and $n = 0$ in (11), the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function reduces to the unity and we get in essence the results due to Goyal and Garg [4].

(ii) If we take $c = 0$, $y = 0$ and $a = 1$ in (13), the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function reduces to the unity and we get in essence the results due to Kumar [9].

(iii) If we take $p = 2$, $m = 1$, $j = 2$, $r = 1$, $h_1 = 1$, $g_1 = 1$, $g_2 = 1$, $\tau = 1$, $k = 1$, $w = 0$, $q = 0$, $k_1 = 0$, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$, $\beta = 0$, $\delta = 1$, $b_1 = 0$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{\Gamma(d)}$ in (12), the general class of functions reduces to the Wright's generalized Bessel function [21] and we get in essence the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{y, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) J_d^\alpha(\eta z^\epsilon) \right)_v &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \sum_{n, b}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_b (-\eta z^\epsilon)^n}{y! b! n! \Gamma(d + \alpha n + 1)} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{\gamma b z^\sigma}{a} \right)^y \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n)} z^{\rho+b-v}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $J_d^\alpha(\eta z^\epsilon)$ stands for the Wright's generalized Bessel function.

(iv) If we take $p = 1$, $m = 1$, $j = 2$, $r = 1$, $h_1 = 1$, $g_1 = 3/2$, $g_2 = 1$, $\tau = 1$, $k = 2$, $w = 1$, $q = 1$, $k_1 = 0$, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1/2$, $\delta = 1$, $b_1 = 1/2$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(3/2)}$ in (12), the general class of functions reduces to the Struve's function [2] and we obtain in essence the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\gamma, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) H_d(\eta z^\epsilon) \right)_v &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \sum_{n, b}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_b (-1)^n}{y! b! \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n) \Gamma(d + \frac{3}{2} + n)} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{\gamma b z^\sigma}{a} \right)^y \left(\frac{\eta z^\epsilon}{2} \right)^{2n+d+1} \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma y - b - 2\epsilon n - \epsilon d - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma y - b - 2\epsilon n - \epsilon d - \epsilon)} z^{\rho+b-v}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $H_d(\eta z^\epsilon)$ stands for the Struve's function.

(v) If we take $p = 1$, $m = 1$, $j = 2$, $r = 1$, $h_1 = 1$, $g_1 = (\mu' + v' + 3)/2$, $g_2 = (\mu' - v' + 3)/2$, $\tau = 1$, $k = 2$, $w = \mu'$, $q = 1$, $k_1 = 0$, $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -1$, $\delta = 1$, $b_1 = -1$, $d = 1$ and $\lambda = 2^{\mu'+1}/\{(\mu' + v' + 1)(\mu' - v' + 1)\}$ in (12),

the general class of functions reduces to the Lommel's function [2] and we get in essence the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\gamma, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) s_{u', v'}(\eta z^\epsilon)\right)_v &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \frac{2^{u'+1}}{\Gamma(u' \pm v' + 1)} \sum_{n, b, y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_b (-1)^n}{y! b! \left(\frac{u' \pm v' + 3}{2}\right)_n} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{\gamma bz^\sigma}{a}\right)^y \left(\frac{\eta z^\epsilon}{2}\right)^{2n+u'+1} \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma y - b - 2\epsilon n - \epsilon u' - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma y - b - 2\epsilon n - \epsilon u' - \epsilon)} z^{\rho+b-v}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $s_{u', v'}(\eta z^\epsilon)$ stands for the Lommel's function.

(vi) If we take $p = -2$, $m = 1$, $j = 1$, $r = 1$, $h_1 = h$, $g_1 = g$, $\tau = 1$, $k = 1$, $w = 0$, $q = 0$, $k_1 = 0$, $a_1 = 0$, $\beta = -1$, $\delta = 1$, $b_1 = -1$ and $\lambda = 1/\Gamma(d)$ in (12), the general class of functions reduces to the generalized Mittag-Leffler function [19] and we obtain in essence the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\gamma, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) E_{\alpha, d}^{h, g}(\eta z^\epsilon)\right)_v &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \sum_{n, b, y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_b (h)_n (\eta z^\epsilon)^n}{y! b! (g)_n \Gamma(d + \alpha n)} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{\gamma bz^\sigma}{a}\right)^y \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n)} z^{\rho+b-v}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $E_{\alpha, d}^{h, g}(\eta z^\epsilon)$ stands for the generalized Mittag-Leffler function.

Remark 3 If we substitute $g = 1$ in (26), the generalized Mittag-Leffler function reduces to the generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha, d}^h(\eta z^\epsilon)$ introduced by Prabhakar [16].

If we substitute $h = 1$ and $g = 1$ in (26), the generalized Mittag-Leffler function reduces to the generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha, d}(\eta z^\epsilon)$ introduced by Wiman [20].

If we substitute $h = 1$, $g = 1$ and $d = 1$ in (26), the generalized Mittag-Leffler function reduces to the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(\eta z^\epsilon)$ [5, 13]. (vii) If we take $p = -2$, $t = P$, $s = Q$, $r = 1$, $d = 1$, $\tau = 1$, $k = 1$, $w = 0$, $q = 0$, $k_m = 0$, $a_j = 0$, $b_1 = -1$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -1$, $\delta = 1$, and $\lambda = 1$ in (12), the general class of functions reduces to the generalized hypergeometric function [1] and we obtain in essence the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z^\rho \phi_\mu^{\gamma, \sigma}(z, \xi, a) {}_P F_Q(h_P; g_Q; \eta z^\epsilon)\right)_v &= \frac{(-1)^v}{a^\xi} \sum_{n, b, y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi)_y (\mu)_b \prod_{m=1}^P (h_m)_n (\eta z^\epsilon)^n}{y! b! \prod_{j=1}^Q (g_j)_n n!} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{\gamma bz^\sigma}{a}\right)^y \frac{\Gamma(v - \rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n)}{\Gamma(-\rho - \sigma y - b - \epsilon n)} z^{\rho+b-v}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where ${}_P F_Q(h_P; g_Q; \eta z^\epsilon)$ stands for the generalized hypergeometric function.

Remark 4 Several other Special cases may be obtained from the results (11) and (13) by reducing the H -function to several special functions with the help of the known results available in [14] and [18].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1953.
- [2] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1953.
- [3] S. P. Goyal, R. K. Laddha, On the generalized Riemann zeta functions and the generalized Lambert transform, Ganita Sandesh, Vol. 11, 99-108, 1997.
- [4] S. P. Goyal, R. Garg, N-fractional differintegral of Fox's H-function, Aligarh Bull. Math., Vol. 21, 21-24, 2002.
- [5] P. Humbert, R. P. Agarwal, la fonction de Mittag-Leffler et quelques unes de ses généralisations, Bull. Sci. Math., Vol. 77(2), 180-185, 1953.
- [6] A. A. Kilbas, M. Saigo, H-Transforms: Theory and Application, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2004.
- [7] V. Kumar, On the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and generalized Lambert transform, J. Classical Anal., Vol. 17(1), 55-67, 2021.
- [8] V. Kumar, A general class of functions and N -fractional calculus, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences, Vol. 11, 223-230, 2012.
- [9] V. Kumar, N -fractional calculus of general class of functions and Fox's H -function, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., sec. A, Phys. Sci., Vol. 83(3), 271-277, 2013.
- [10] V. Kumar, The Euler transform of V -function, Afr. Mat., Vol. 29(1-2), 23-27, 2018.
- [11] V. Kumar, On a General Theorem Connecting Laplace Transform and Generalized Weyl fractional Integral Operator Involving Fox's H -Function and a General Class of Functions, J. Frac. Calc. and Appl., Vol. 11(2), 270-280, 2020.
- [12] V. Kumar, On a Generalized Fractional Fourier Transform, Palestine J. Maths., Vol. 9(2), 903-907, 2020.
- [13] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle function $E_\alpha(x)$, C R Acad. Sci. Paris, Vol. 137, 554-558, 1903.
- [14] A. M. Mathai, R. K. Saxena, H. J. Haubold, The H -Function: Theory and Applications, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [15] K. Nishimoto, Fractional Calculus, Vol 1, Descartes Press, Koriyama, Japan, 1984.
- [16] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, Yokohama Math. J. Vol. 19, 7-15, 1971.
- [17] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series, vol. 3, More Special Functions, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1990.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, K. C. Gupta, S. P. Goyal, The H -functions of One and Two Variables with Applications, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi and Madras, 1982.
- [19] T. O. Salim, Some properties relating to the generalized Mittag-Leffler function, Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 4, 21-30, 2009.
- [20] A. Wiman, Über den Fundamentalsatz in der Theorie der Funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$, Acta. Math. Vol. 29, 191-201, 1905.
- [21] E. M. Wright, The Asymptotic Expansion of the Generalized Bessel Function, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), Vol. 38, 257-270, 1935.

VIRENDRA KUMAR

FORMERLY SCIENTIST-B, DEFENCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION, INDIA

Email address: vkumar10147@yahoo.com