## ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT

# THE INSTITUTE OF NATIONAL PLANNING



Memo No. 1266

The Generation of all Efficient Extreme points for a multiple objective
Linear Program

Ву

Dr. Amani Omar

June 1980

#### INTRODUCTION

It has frequently been argued that the traditional opproximation of multiple gools of the decision models by a single criterion is either inappropriate or incorrect. In reality, decision situation is characterized by a series of conflicting goals, and it might be an impossible task to the all goals into a single unifying trade-off function. Recently, the search for a discovery of concepts, theories, tools, and solving algorithms applicable to multiabjective linear programs has been continuing in order to serve the decision-making processes.

The linear programming problem involving multiple objective functions one induces substituation of a single optimal solution by a set of suboptimizations. The suboptimization situation could be the best possible values, under the given conditions, for the considered objective functions, or could be the full optimization of one (or more) objective in the expense of a lower degree of attainment of the other objectives, or other considerations. It might be worthwhile to state the following quotation:

John Von He. nnn " ... This multiple objective situation is certainally no maximum problem, but a peculiar and disconcerting mixture of several conflicting maximum problems... This kind of problem is no - where dealt with in classical mathematics. He emphasize at the risk of being pedantic that this is no conditional maximum problem, no problem of the calculus of functional analysis, etc. ..."

The efficient solution is considered as a technical interpretation of the multiple objective situation. In recent years, the theory of vector function maximization problem has been developed, especially in the direction of algorithmic developments. As a consequence, the charact erization and determination of the set of efficient solutions has become one of the main targets. Though the interest in the description of the efficient set has increased substantially, no satisfactory algorithms for generating all efficient solutions have been found yet. Some of the algorithms for locating efficient solutions are presented in (Zeleny,6), and (Isermann, 3).

He give here a computational algorithm with some new features for generating all efficient extreme points for a multiple objective linear program. The algorithm seems to provide a computationally effective method.

#### Notations, Definitions, and Basic Theorems

Let the linear multiple objective programming problem be in the form

Maximize the vector - volued  $F(x) = (c^1 x, c^2 x, ..., c^n x)$ 

Subject to

 $Ax = d , \qquad \dots (I)$ 

and  $x \ge 0$ ,

where A is mxn coefficient matrix of rank m, n > m, d is a requirement m - column vector, and x is the m - column vector of variables. The components of F (x) are the objectives that are to be maximized over the convex polyhedron  $\overline{X} = \{x \mid A \mid x = d, x \}$  o.

Let B denote the basic matrix of order m x m, the J-th column vector of A will be denoted by the small letter  $a_j$ , Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$  be two vectors, then

(i) 
$$x > Y \Leftrightarrow x_i > y_i$$
,  $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ 

(ii), 
$$x > Y \Leftrightarrow x_i > y_i$$
,  $i = 1, 2, ..., k$  and  $x \neq y$ 

(iii) 
$$x = Y \longleftrightarrow x_1 = y_1, i = 1, 2, ..., k$$
.

#### Definition 1

The point  $x \in \overline{X}$  is called efficient if there is no other  $x \in \overline{X}$  such that F(x) > F(x). That is, there is no  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j^i x_j > \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j^i x_j$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ .

#### Definition 2

He call  $x \notin \overline{X}$  an alternative efficient solution to (I) if F (x) = F ( $\hat{x}$ ),  $x \neq \hat{x}$  and  $\hat{x}$  is efficient.

#### Definition 3

The efficient basic solution  $\overset{0}{x}$  is called degenerate if one or more of the basic variables of  $\overset{0}{x}$  has the value zero.

Let x be an extreme point (a basic feasible solution) of  $\overline{x}$ . Then corres ponding to each nonbasic column a, of A there exists a vector.

$$z_{j} = (z_{j}^{1}, z_{j}^{2}, ..., z_{j}^{r}), \text{ where}$$

$$z_j^1 = c_B^1 B^{-1} a_j - c_j^1, 1 = 1, 2, ..., r. c_B^1 = (c_B^1, ..., c_B^1)$$
 is the

prices of the basic variables of x in the l - th objective function. For the basic columns  $Z_j^i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . In addition, there associates to x a vector of values of the r - objective functions:

$$F = (f^{1}, f^{2}, ..., f^{r}),$$
  
where  $f^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{i} x_{j}, i = 1, ..., r.$ 

Let E denote the set of all efficient extreme points, and let & be defined a s

$$\mathbf{G} = \min_{\mathbf{j} \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \begin{cases} \mathbf{X}_{Bi}, \mathbf{y}_{ij} > 0 \end{cases}, \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}_{ij}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{mj}) = \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{a}_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_{ij} \quad \text{is a nonbasic column, and } \mathbf{X}_{ij}, \mathbf{X}_{mj}, \dots, \mathbf{B}_{ij} \quad \mathbf{B$$

x are the basic variables of x.

#### Theorem 1

- Let  $\emptyset > 0$  (i). Then the extreme point  $x \notin E$  if  $Z_{j} \leqslant 0$ , for any nonbasic column  $a_{j}$  (see zelény6)
  - (ii) If  $\hat{x}$  is efficient and  $Z_j = 0$  for any nonbasic column, then introducing the j th column a into the basis will lead to an alternative efficient point  $\hat{x}$ .

Proof If  $z_j = 0$ , then the new values of the objective functions  $F = F - \frac{1}{2} = F$ , and since  $\frac{1}{2} \neq 0$  then  $\hat{x} \neq \hat{x}$ .

### Theorem 2 (Zeleny, 6)

If any objective function f i,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . is at its unique maximum value at the extreme point x, then  $x \in E$ . In case a function has atternative optimal solutions at x, then some of the alternate solutions may be nonefficient.

Theorem 3 (Zeieny, 6)

Solve the problem:

 $\text{faximize } V = \sum_{i=1}^{r} V_i$ 

Subject to Ax = d

$$c_{i}^{f} \times - v_{i} = c_{i}^{f} \times \cdots )$$
 (III)

 $X \geqslant 0$  and  $V_1 \geqslant 0$ .

Then  $X \notin E$  if and only if  $\forall ax \forall v > 0$  and  $X \notin E$  if and only if  $\forall ax \forall v = 0$ .

Theorem 3 Can be used to check the efficiency of an extreme point of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . To illustirate the application of this theorem, we analyize the simplex tableaux associated with the constructed problem ( $\overline{\text{II}}$ ). Let use define the following symbols:

C - (r z n) - matrix of coefficients of the r objectives.

 $B_{\nu}$  - (mxm) basic matrix at the k - th simplex step.

X - n - vector of variables

C<sub>B</sub> — (r x m) matrix, of the prices in the objective functions, correspoitobasic vectors in B<sub>k</sub>.

I - identity matrix ( of proper order).

O - Zero matrix on ( " 260 " 1 3 " ) next x intog ements ent is eulav

For the original problem (I), the simplex tableau corresponding to the extreme point  $\overline{x}$  is given by:

Part (2) consists of r rows each corresponds to one of the objective functions. C  $B^{-1}d$  are the values of the objective functions at  $\overline{X}$ , i.e

$$C_{B} = C_{K}^{-1} = CX$$

For the constructed problem ( $\overline{\Pi}$ ) with the appended constraints C X - v + w = C  $\overline{X}$ , where w are the artificial variables added, the initial simplex tableau takes the form:

0 m_x_r	0	(n.d.
I rxr	I r xr	C X
0 rxr	0 rxr	0 rx1
	0	0 0

corresponding to  $\overline{x}$  and its basis  $B_k$ , table (2) has the form

The right hand corner of part (4) equal zero becouse C  $B^{-1}d=C$  X (i-m) B k Comparing parts (4) and (5), it is clear that  $y_{id}=-Z_j$  for  $i=m+1,\ldots,m+r$  and J is an index of a nonbasic column. Since the values of  $Z_j^{(i-m)}$  are the components of the rows of the objective functions for the point X, then  $y_{ij}$  can be found directly without recalculating the tableau. Thus the constructed problem can be initiated by replacing rows (5) of table (3) with a new criterial rows ( $0_{1\times m}^{-1}$   $1_{1\times r}^{-1}$   $0_{1\times m}^{-1}$ 

Removing the artificial variables 01xn from the basis, we get:

artifical columns, can be omitted The last row of table (4) is simply the sum of the r rows of the objectives. This row can be used to check optimality of problem ( $\overline{11}$ ) as well as the efficiency of the extreme point presented by the tableau. If there is a negative element in the last row, say the j - th, and all elements of the j - th column in rows (6) are negative, then for  $\frac{1}{2} > 0$  Max  $\frac{1}{2} > 0$  and the corresponding extreme point  $\frac{1}{2} \neq 0$ .

Now, we present the technique used to enumerate the efficient extreme points of problem (  $\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{)}$  .

#### A Method for Generating all Efficient Extreme Points

Clearly, the set E is a subset of the set of all extreme points of  $\overline{X}$ . Since the latter set is finite, then consequently the number of efficient extreme points is finite too. Thus, it is possible to construct a method which can find such points. Here, we propose to give a computationally feasible procedure based on the standard simplex method which generates all efficient extreme points.

Let 
$$H_q = (x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$
 is an extreme point of  $\overline{X}$  and  $X_q = 0$ ),

be the q hyperplane in the n - dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{q} \in \{1, 2, \dots n\}$ . We start the method by exploring all the efficient extreme points (if there is any) which lie on the facet  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}1}\mathbf{n} \ \overline{\mathbf{X}}$ . After the registeration of all such points, we drop the hyperplane  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}1}$  and continue searching for efficient points that may exist on the facet  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}2}\mathbf{n} \ \overline{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{2}$  of the convex polyhedron  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$  and not on-

H<sub>r1</sub> n  $\overline{\underline{X}}$ . At the k-th stage, we would drop the hyperplanes H<sub>r1</sub>, H<sub>r2</sub>, ..., H<sub>rk-1</sub>, and search for efficient extreme points that may lie on the facet H<sub>rk</sub> n  $\overline{\underline{X}}$  and not on H<sub>r1</sub> n  $\overline{\underline{X}}$  or H<sub>r2</sub> n  $\overline{\underline{X}}$ , ..., or H<sub>rk-1</sub> n  $\overline{\underline{X}}$  k-1. The convex polynedron  $\overline{\underline{X}}$ , where  $1 \le k \le m$ , is decribed by :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j} x = d_{i,j}, \text{ for all } i \neq r_{1}, r_{2}, \dots, r_{k-1},$$

$$x_{j} > 0, j \neq r_{1}, r_{2}, \dots r_{k-1}$$

The process will come to an end in a finite number of steps since it must terminate when m of the hyperplanes are dropped, i.e, all required points will be found when at most hyperplanes are examined.

The previous idea can be applied by imptemening the following general rules:

Assume that we are in the k - th stage; then:

- 1) Arbitrarly, we choose one of the nonbasic variables, say, X and we keep it in the nonbasic set throughout the current stage.
- 2) We examine all the extreme points of  $\overline{X}$  and discard those which are not efficient.
- 3) We insert X<sub>r</sub> into the basic set (if it is not possible, i. e, all components of the X<sub>r</sub> column are zeros, then all extreme points have been found, see (OMAR, 5) and we hold it in the basic set till the end of the process.

4) We pick another nonbasic variable  $X_{k+1}$ , hold it in the nonbasic set, and then transfer to the next stage continuing from rule (2).

To carry out rule (2), we apply two methods, one for locating all extreme points of the convex polyhedra  $\overline{X}_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le m$ , and the other for establishing the efficiency of each extreme point.

The general simplex tableau for the multisbjective problem (I) will be constructed as:

Table (5)

ponbasic basicvars.	X <sub>I!1</sub>	x <sub>N2</sub>	X <sub>Nn-m</sub>	
x <sub>r1</sub> x <sub>r2</sub>	Y <sub>11</sub> Y <sub>21</sub>	Y <sub>12</sub> Y <sub>22</sub>	<sup>Y</sup> 1(n-m) Y 2(n-m)	
x <sub>r</sub> <sub>k-1</sub>	Nopiv	ots in k-th stage		•
X <sub>i</sub> 1 X <sub>i2</sub>				•
X <sub>1</sub> <sub>m-k+1</sub>	y m1	y <sub>m2</sub>	Y <sub>m(n-m)</sub>	d <sub>m</sub>
f <sup>1</sup> f <sup>2</sup> fr	z <sub>1</sub> z <sup>2</sup> 1 z <sup>r</sup>	Z <sup>1</sup> Z <sup>2</sup> Z <sup>r</sup> 2	z <sup>1</sup> <sub>n-m</sub> z <sup>2</sup> <sub>n-m</sub> z <sup>r</sup>	fl f <sup>2</sup> fr
F	zr+1	Z <sub>2</sub> r+1	zr+1 n-m	fr+2 Composite Function

That is, the variables  $X_{r_1}, \dots, X_{r_{k-1}}$  are holded in the basic set Now, we are in the process of finding the efficient points of  $\overline{X}$  which may lie on the hyperplane  $H_r$ , i.e, all efficient points of  $\overline{X}$  for which  $X_{r_k} = 0$ . He call  $\widehat{X}$  a satisfactory point if it is an extreme point of  $\overline{X}$  and not of  $\overline{X}$ . He call the m-k+1- tuples of the unordered integers (  $1_1, 1_2, \dots, n_{m-k+1}$ ),  $1_j \in (1, 2, \dots, n)$ , the indicator y of the extreme point X.

We Start the k-th stage by a satisfactory point X of  $\overline{X}_k$ , we put the indicator  $V^0$  of  $X^0$  in a set R. By inspecting every nonbasic column, except the X - column, of the simplex tableau corresponding to X, we can identify all neighbor indicators of V. In the k-th stage, we locate the extreme points of  $\overline{X}$  lying on  $H_k$  but not on  $H_{r_1}$ ,  $H_{r_2}$ , ..., or,  $H_{r_{k-1}}$ , thus, the elements lying in the basic rows  $X_{r_1}$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,k-1$ , must be holded as basic variables, i.e, the restrictions  $X_{r_1} > 0$  are ignored. We put in a set H all the new neighbor indicators  $\Gamma$  (V) of V. We choose an arbitrary element  $V^1$  from H and compute it, i.e, compute the satisfactory solution  $X^1$  corresponding to  $V^1$ . We check  $X^1$  for efficiency and out put -

it directly if it is efficient. Then we identify the new neighbors of  $V^i$  and  $V^i = V^i \cup V^i$  and  $V^i =$ 

We pick another element from W and repeat the same process. At the s-th iteration we will have the two sets

$$R = {\stackrel{5}{0}}_{i=0} V^{1}$$
 and  $U = {\stackrel{5}{0}}_{i=0} \Gamma (V^{1}) - R$ 

The process will terminate when the set  $\mathbb{H}= \mathcal{Q}$  It holds that : if  $\mathbb{W}= \mathbb{P}$  then  $\mathbb{R}=$  the indicators of all extreme points of  $\frac{\mathbb{X}}{\mathbb{K}}$  (see ) 4 ). It is essential to consider the following cases:

- (i) While constructing the neighboring indicators, if a tie occurs between some basic variables then all alternative basic variables must be considered in constructing the new neighbor indicators. Also, if some basic variables have zero values (a degenerate case), then each must be chosen in forming a neighbor idicator as soon as the corresponding element in the inspected column is nonzero.
- (ii) If the elements of any of the currently investigated column are nonpoitive, then we leave it and move to the next column. Although, we do not obtain a new extreme point in the degenerate case, it is essential to create all different representations of the same degenerate solution because some may lead to new points in the subsequent steps.

It remains to present the techorique used to discard the extreme points which are not efficient.

We first check whether any of the objective functions, including the composite function, is at its maximum value at the current solution  $X^{i}$ . If at least one objective is uniquely maximized by  $X^{i}$ , then  $X^{i} \not\in E$ . On the -

other hand, if  $Z_j \leqslant 0$  for at least one nonbasic column and  $\theta_j > 0$ , this assures that  $X^i \not\in E$ . However, if  $Z_j \leqslant 0$  for all nonbasic columns, then we have to establish the efficiency of the current solution. In this case we perform a number of simplex iterations on the criterial part, which is framed in table (5), of the simplex tableau. Each iteration is carried out with the largest positive coefficient to be the pivot element, of the nonbasic column having the most negative  $Y_j$  in the  $Y_j$  in the  $Y_j$  then  $Y_j$  on we add the rows giving  $Y_j$  of the criterial part and explore them after each iteration for any  $Y_{rj} > 0$ . If there is  $Y_{rj} > 0$ , then  $Y_j$  and we perform the next iteration around  $Y_{rj}$ . After a number of simplex iterations, one of the following two situations may occur:

- (i) All coefficients of the (r+1) th composite row are nonnegative, thus, in this case max V=0 and  $X^{i} \in E$ ,
- (fi) There is a negative element  $Z_j^{r+1}$  for which  $Z_j \le 0$  and  $\theta_j > 0$ , thus, in this case  $X_j^i \in E$ .

Now we give a computational algorithm for the previous method..

Let S be an array of dimension mxu, where u is an upper bound of the number of extreme points of a convex polyhedron of dimension n-m-1. We divide S into two parts; the right part extends from the U-th column to  $S_i$ -th column, and the left part extends from the 1-st column to  $S_2$ -th column. We consider the most left nonbasic column of any simplex tableau as the  $X_r$ -column (any other column can be considered).