

## **Immune Response Against Salmonella Infection in Chicken Using Cynarin as Immunostimulant**

**Manal, A. R.\*; Hassan, W.H.\*\*; Soumaya, S.A.El-Shafii\*\*\*; and Hala S. H. Salam\*\***

\* Animal Health Research Institute, Fayoum, \*\*Department of Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology, faculty of veterinary medicine Beni-Suef University, \*\*\* Animal Health Research Institute, Bacteriology Department Dokki

### **Abstract**

A total of 900 samples of internal organs (liver, spleen, intestine from each bird) was aseptically collected from 300 diseased and apparently healthy Hubbard and Ross broiler chickens of different ages (3-5weeks) from different farms in El-Fayoum Governorate. The rate of isolation of *Salmonella* species from broilers of different ages was (5%), where 15 strains were isolated from 300 broilers. Salmonellae were isolated from diseased and apparently healthy broilers with an incidence of 9.7% and 0.6% respectively. The highest rate of salmonella isolation (6.9%) was recorded in liver of diseased broilers followed by 3.5% in intestine of diseased broilers and only (0.6%) in intestine of apparently healthy broilers, while salmonella species were not isolated from spleen of both apparently healthy and diseased broilers. *S.Enteritidis* was the most predominant isolate (53.3%), followed by *S.Typhimurium* (33.3%) and *S.Infantis* (13.3%). *S.Enteritidis* isolates showed complete resistance to cefotaxime, lincomycin and sulfo-methoxazole trimethoprim. *S.Enteritidis* showed sensitivity to ciprofloxacin with a rate of 50%.

A total of 70 chicks was used to evaluate the protective value of cynarin against *S.Enteritidis*. The mean of optical density (MOD) of serum antibodies IgG in groups treated with cynarin using indirect ELISA were observed.

It was concluded that none of the used antibiotics was 100 % effective; on the other hand, multidrug resistance patterns have been recorded among all isolates examined. Cynarin exhibits high antimicrobial activities against *S.Enteritidis*, and could be used to reduce the usage of antibiotics and its related side effect and highlights the importance of them as complementary tools but not substitutes of integral biosecurity programs against the infection in poultry flocks. Herbs effectiveness on humeral response needs more application on large scales.

## Introduction

Salmonellosis is considered to be one of the most wide- spread foodborne zoonosis in industrial as well as developing countries even though the incidence seems to vary between countries. Food of animal origin, especially from poultry, is an important source of human Salmonella infections. Poultry products, especially undercooked and raw eggs, have been a major risk factor for human infection with salmonella (**Palmer *et al.* 2000; De Buck *et al.* 2004**).

Antibiotics are extensively used as productivity enhancers in poultry production or to control infectious diseases. Antimicrobial exercise and/or especially abuse are considered to be the most vital selecting force to antimicrobial resistance of bacteria (**Neu, 1992 and Moreno, *et al.* 2000**). Antibiotic resistant pathogenic bacteria in animals can pose a risk not only to animal health, but also to humans as food-borne pathogens (**Piddock, 1996 and Smith, *et al.* 1999**).

Therefore for salmonella and *E.coli* control, there were a wide range of antimicrobials but antimicrobial resistance has emerged as a global public health problem in recent years (**Harrison and Lederberg, 1998**). For that, there is a worldwide attempt to reduce antibiotic usage, because of its residues in meat, development of resistant bacteria, and imbalance of normal microflora (**Sorum and Sunde, 2001**). So that it was important to find alternatives to antibiotics.

Herbs and herbal products are incorporated in poultry diets to replace synthetic products in order to stimulate or promote the effective use of feed nutrients which may subsequently result in more rapid body weight gain, higher production rates and improved feed efficiency (**Al-Khdri, 2013**). Moreover, active components of herbs may improve digestion and stimulate the immune function in broilers (**Ghazalah and Ali, 2008**).

Supplementation of spices and herbs could have many benefits to broilers health and performance such as having antioxidative potential (**Hoffman and Wu, 2010**), antimicrobial activity (**Dorman and Deans, 2000; Lee *et al.*, 2004; Steiner, 2009**), enhancing digestion by stimulating endogenous enzymes (**Al-Khdri, 2013**).

The aim of the present work was to study the immune-stimulant activity of natural source such as Herbs (Cynarin) against Salmonella isolated from broiler chickens.

### **Material and methods**

A total of 900 samples of internal organs (liver, spleen, intestine from each bird) were aseptically collected from 300 diseased and apparently healthy Hubbard and Ross broiler chickens of different ages (3-5weeks) from different farms in El-Fayoum Governorate. All samples **Table (1)**. Samples were collected in ice box directly to the laboratory for bacteriological examination.

#### **Bacteriological examination:**

According to **OIE (2004)**, one gram of each sample was inoculated in 9ml buffered peptone water and incubated over night at 37°C for 18h as pre-enrichment for salmonellae. 0.1ml of pre-enrichment culture was transferred to RV and selenite F broth and incubated for 24hrs at 41.5°C (as selective enrichment). A loopful from both enrichment incubated broth (RV and selenite F broth) was streaked on both S.S agar and XLD medium and incubated for 24hrs at 37°C. Glistening colonies with black center were picked up on trypticase soya agar for purification for further microscopically examination, biochemical and serological identification. The purified isolates of *E.coli* and salmonellae were examined by different biochemical reactions according to **Quinn et al. (2002)**. The organisms were serotyped by **Kauffmann and Das-Kauffmann, (2001)** using Salmonella O and H poly and monovalent antisera (Mast Assure).

#### **Sensitivity tests:**

Sensitivity tests for bacterial isolates were conducted using disc diffusion technique according to **CLSI (2012)**. Different types of antimicrobial discs with variable concentrations were used to detect the susceptibility of isolates. These discs were obtained from (Oxoid): Amoxycillin (AML 10µg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP 5µg), amoxycillin clavulinic acid (AMC 30µg), ampicillin (AMP 10µg), chloramphenicol (C 30µg), sulfo-methoxazole trimethoprim (SXT 25µg), gentamicine (CN 10µg), spiramycin (SP 100µg), cefotaxime (CtX 30µg), doxycyclin (DO 30µg), lincomycin (MY10µg) and clindamycin (DA 2µg).

#### **Experimental design:**

A total of 70 chicks was used. Before the beginning of the experiment, 10 chicks were chosen randomly, sacrificed then postmortem and bacteriological examination were performed to make sure they are free of *S.Enteritidis*.

The remained chicks were reared on cage system and fed on unmedicated commercial ration. Feed and water were consumed ad-libitum, continuous source of light was supplied. These chicks were used to evaluate the protective value of cynarin against *S. Enteritidis*.

The chickens were vaccinated against Newcastle disease at 7 and 18 days of age using Hitchner B1 and Lasota vaccine and against Gumboro disease at 12 day. Vaccines were administrated via eye drop method. Chicks were divided into 3 groups (20 chicks per group) as follow: **Group (1)** was fed without addition of cynarin (control negative), **Group (2)** was fed with addition of cynarin in drinking water at the first day of rearing and challenged at 18 days old with LD<sub>50</sub> of *S. Enteritidis*. The cynarin used in the experiment was used as competitive exclusion product in drinking water starting. It is a commercial preparation composed of Cynara Scolymus; Star Anise oil extract; Caraway extract; Menthol oil extract; Liquorice root extract; Cinnamon oil extract; Organic Zinc; Organic Selenium; Biotin and Excipient Dill leaves guava (Multikraft Productions Handlesgmbh, Austria). **Group (3)** was fed without addition Cynarin in drinking water and challenged at 18 days old with *S. Enteritidis* (control positive). Reisolation of *S. Enteritidis* and collection of blood samples for indirect ELISA. All birds were kept under observation for 2 weeks post inoculation and observed daily for mortality, clinical signs (Marilda *et al.*, 1990).

### **Immunological response:**

ELISA was applied to determine the effect of the tested cynarin on the production of antibody against *Salmonella Enteritidis* after experimental infections. ELISA plates were coated using 100µl of 1/10 diluted prepared antigen (10<sup>11</sup>) of *S. Enteritidis* (prepared according to Nalbantsoy, (2012) in coating buffer. The plates were then incubated at 4°C overnight. The plates were washed 3 times used 200µl of washing buffer, then blocked using 100µl/well of the blocking buffer (1g bovine serum albumen and 15ml Tween 20 in 100ml phosphate buffer saline BPS) for 2 hours on horizontal shaker at 37°C. The blocking buffer was decanted by inverting and flipping the plate and washed 3 times as before. Each sample was duplicated; where 100µl of diluted serum sample (1:100) was added to each well. The plates were incubated in a humid chamber at 37°C for 1 hour. The plates were inverted and flipped as before and washed three times using 300µl/well of the washing buffer. 100µl of the anti-chicken conjugated peroxidase diluted as recommended in dilution buffer were then added to each well of the ELISA plates. The plates were incubated in a humid chamber at 37°C for 1 hour and then washed as before. 100µl of TMB peroxidase substrate indicator

mixture (Synbiotics) were added to each well of the ELISA plates. The plates were then incubated in a dark place at room temperature for 15 minutes to allow color development. Further color development was stopped by adding 100µl stopping solution to each well. Read at optical density 450nm. Interpretation of results was conducted according to the prepared hyperimmune serum based on a standard procedure (**Le Minor and Rohde, 1989**)

## Results and Discussion

Salmonellosis is considered to be one of the most wide- spread foodborne zoonosis in industrial as well as developing countries even though the incidence seems to vary between countries. Food of animal origin, especially from poultry, is an important source of human Salmonella infections. (**Palmer, et al. 2000 and De Buck et al. 2004**). These variations may be attributed to the diverse pathogenicity of *E.coli* for chicks which had been correlated with numerous extrinsic and intrinsic bird factors and conditions, exposure to other infectious agents, virulence of the microorganisms, level and duration of exposure, while intrinsic factors includes the age, route of exposure, active and passive immune status and breed of chicken (**Deb and Harry, 1976 and Gaven, 1978**).

All isolated Salmonella enteric serovars (15 strains), morphologically characterized by gram negative short rods or bacilli, non lactose fermenter on MacConkey agar, glistening colonies with or without black center on S.S agar or XLD agar, hemolytic on blood agar, motile, negative for oxidase, urease, Lysine decarboxylase and Indole. positive to Cimmon`s citrate test, methyl red, ferment glucose and dulcitol and produce H<sub>2</sub>S on TSI (**Cowan and Steel, 1974 and Quinne et al.2002**).

In the present study, the rate of isolation of *Salmonella* species from broilers of different ages was (5%), where 15 strains were isolated from 300 broilers. Salmonellae were isolated from diseased and apparently healthy broilers with an incidence of 9.7% and 0.6% respectively. The highest rate of salmonella isolation (6.9%) was recorded in liver of diseased broilers followed by 3.5% in intestine of diseased broilers and only (0.6%) in intestine of apparently healthy broilers, while salmonella species were not isolated from spleen of both apparently healthy and diseased broilers as shown in (**Table 2**). **Hazem (2010)** isolated from broiler chicken, 6 *S.Enteritidis* serotype out of total 19 salmonella serotypes. It was estimated that the national prevalence of *S.Enteritidis* was 6.3% (**Betancor et al. 2010**). ).

The result of serotyping of salmonella isolates as explained in **Table (3)** revealed that *S. Enteritidis* was the most predominant isolate (53.3%), followed by *S. Typhimurium* (33.3%) and *S. Infantis* (13.3%).

*Salmonella Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* are presented separately from other sero-types of Salmonella because, on the one hand, these bacteria are often specifically cited in zoonosis control legislation, and, secondly, because there are differences in the epidemiology as compared to other salmonellae. These are the predominant sero-types associated with human disease in most countries. (**Bangtrakulnonth, et al. 2004 and Bishop et al. 2007**)

**Poppe, et al. (1991)** isolated *S. infantis* in a rate of 8.8% and **Roy, et al. (2002)** isolated *S. Infantis* in a rate of 4.12%.

The sensitivity of *S. Enteritidis* to different antibacterial agents was illustrated in **Table (4)**. Where *S. Enteritidis* showed complete resistance to cefotaxime, lincomycin and sulfo-methoxazole trimethoprim. *S. Enteritidis* showed sensitivity to ciprofloxacin with a rate of 50%. These results were nearly agreed with **Osman et al. (2014) and Pulido-Landínez et al. (2014)**.

The results of antibiotic susceptibility of our study are invariance with some studies and in accordance with others, indicating that antibiotic susceptibility pattern varies with different isolates, time and development of multiple drug resistant *E. coli* and *S. Enteritidis* as reported by (**Holmberg et al. 1984 and Sharada et al. 2010**). Antibiotic resistant pathogenic bacteria in animals can pose a risk not only to animal health, but also to humans as food-borne pathogens (**Rahimi, 2013**).

Among the many purported alternatives to the use of antibiotics are the incorporation of either prebiotics or synbiotic (probiotics) into feed and/or drinking water. The utilization of plants, herbs nutritional immunomodulators are becoming popular due to their low toxicity, fewer side effects, being cost-effective and other beneficial advantages for safeguarding health of humans and their companion animals including poultry (**Mahima et al. 2012 and 2013**). In the present study, its aimed to use herbs as alternative to antibiotics treatment and immunomodulators for *S. Enteritidis*.

The contents of cynarin used in this study are Cynara Scolymus, Star Anise oil extract, menthol oil extract, caraway extract, liquorice root extract, cinnamon oil extract, organic zinc, organic selenium, biotin and Eexcipient dill leaves guava

The mean of optical density (MOD) of serum antibodies IgG in groups treated with cynarin using indirect ELISA were observed. MOD OF IgG in groups (2) and (5 treated with cynarin were showed significant increase at the second week ( $2.021 \pm 0.018$  and  $1.989 \pm 0.234$  respectively) than groups (1) and (3) not treated with cynarin ( $0.431 \pm 0.218$ ,  $0.431 \pm 0.218$  and  $0.490 \pm 0.145$  respectively). At 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week of

experiment (post infection), group (2) infected with *S.Enteritidis* showed significance difference ( $1.221\pm 0.118$  and  $0.967\pm 0.218$  respectively) than group (1) control (-ve) and group (3) infected with *S.Enteritidis* ( $0.699\pm 0.234$  and  $0.810\pm 0.207$  respectively) **Table (5)**.

There is no published work about the effects of herb infusions on antibody titers. However, **Al-Ankari et al. (2004)** reported that mint powder had no effects on antibody titers to NDV vaccine. While **Sadeghi et al. (2012)** found that Cinnamon and herbal mix infusions significantly improved the immune response of birds to the NDV vaccine. Also, **Van Furt (1982)** reported that *cinnamomum zeylanicum* essential oil showed stimulatory effects on macrophages. Macrophages play an important role in the initiation and regulation of the immune response (**Albercht 1979; Kende 1982**). **Song et al. (2012)** found that Selenium improves the expression of genes that are related to enhanced immunity of pigs.

It was concluded that none of the used antibiotics was 100 % effective; on the other hand, multidrug resistance patterns have been recorded among all isolates examined. Cynarin exhibits high antimicrobial activities against *S.Enteritidis*, and could be used to reduce the usage of antibiotics and its related side effect and highlights the importance of them as complementary tools but not substitutes of integral biosecurity programs against the infection in poultry flocks. Herbs effectiveness on humeral response needs more application on large scales.

**Table (1):** Types of samples collected from diseased and apparently healthy broilers:

Status	Total number of chicken	Type of samples	No. of samples
Apparently healthy broiler (156)	156	Liver	156
		spleen	156
		Intestine	156
<b>Total</b>		468	
Diseased broilers* (144)	144	Liver	144
		spleen	144
		Intestine	144
<b>Total</b>		432	
<b>Total No. of samples</b>		900	

**Table (2):** Isolation rate of *Salmonella* spp. recovered from samples collected from diseased and apparently healthy

Broilers	Type of samples	Total No. of samples	Rate of isolation of salmonella species	
			No.	%
Apparently healthy broiler (156)	Liver	156	0	0
	Spleen	156	0	0
	Intestine	156	1	0.6
<b>Total (156)</b>			1	0.6
Diseased broilers (144)	Liver	144	9	6.9
	Spleen	144	0	0
	Intestine	144	5	3.5
<b>Total (144)</b>			14	9.7
<b>Total (300)</b>			15	5

**Table (3):** Serotyping of salmonella isolates

Serotypes	No.	%	Antigenic structure			
			Group	Somatic (O) antigen	Flagellar (H) antigen	
					Phase I	Phase II
<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	8	53.3	D <sub>1</sub>	9	g,m	-
<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	5	33.3	B	4,5,12	I	1,2
<i>S. Infantis</i>	2	13.3	C <sub>1</sub>	6,7	R	1,5
<b>Total</b>	15	100				

**Table (4):** Sensitivity of isolated *S. Enteritidis* (8 isolates) to antibacterial agents

Chemotherapeutic Discs	Conc (µg)	Resistant		Intermediate		Sensitive	
		No.	%*	No.	%	No.	%
<b>β-lactamas classes</b>							
Amoxicillin	10	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0
Amoxicillin clavulanic acid	30	0	0	6	75	2	25
Ampicillin	10	7	87.5	0	0	1	12.5
Cifotaxime	30	8	100	0	0	0	0
<b>Fluroquinolones class</b>							
Ciprofloxacin	5	0	0	4	50	4	50
<b>Phenicol group</b>							
Chloramphenicol	30	4	50	1	12.5	3	37.5
<b>Tetracyclines class</b>							
Doxycyclin	30	5	62.5	1	12.5	2	25
<b>Aminoglycosides class</b>							
Gentamycin	10	5	62.5	0	0	3	37.5
<b>Macrolides class</b>							
Spiramycin	100	4	50	2	25	2	25
<b>Folate pathway inhibitors</b>							
Sulfo-methoxazole trimethoprim	25	8	100	0	0	0	0

**Table (5):** Mean of Optical Density (MOD) IgG in chickens treated with cynarin

Groups	Old of chicken	OD ± SD Before challenge	OD ± SD after challenge*
Group 1 (control -ve)	1 <sup>st</sup> week	0.230±0.101	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	0.431±0.218 <sup>c</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> week	0.699±0.234	
	4 <sup>th</sup> week	0.810±0.207 <sup>b</sup>	
Group 2	1 <sup>st</sup> week	0.420±0.145 <sup>c</sup>	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	2.021±0.018 <sup>a</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> week		1.221±0.118 <sup>b</sup>
	4 <sup>th</sup> week		0.967±0.218 <sup>b</sup>
Group 3 (control +ve)	1 <sup>st</sup> week	0.330±0.101	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	0.431±0.218 <sup>c</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup> week		0.257±0.189 <sup>d</sup>
	4 <sup>th</sup> week		0.188±0.111 <sup>d</sup>

### References

- Al-Ankari AS, Zaki MM, Al-Sultan SI.** Use of habek mint (*menthalongifolia*) in broiler chicken diets. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 2004; 3: 629-634.
- Albercht RM 1979:** Macrophages in the immune response. In: Baram P, Battisto J, Pierce C (Eds.): *Immunologic tolerance and macrophage function*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 81- 91.
- Al-Khdri, A. M. A., (2013):** Effect of ginger (*zingiber officinale*) and thyme (*thymus vulgaris*) dietary supplementation on productive and immunological performance of broiler. Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry School of Animal Production Kurdistan Regional Government–Iraq master thesis
- Bangtrakulnonth, A.; Pornreongwong, S.; Pulsrikarn, C.; Sawanpanyalert, P.; Hendriksen, RS. and Lo Fo Wong, DM. (2004):** *Salmonella* serovars from humans and other sources in Thailand, 1993–2002. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 10:131–6.
- Betancor, L.; Pereira, M.; Martinez, A.; Giossa, G.; Fookes, M.; Flores, K.; Barrios, P.; Repiso, V.; Vignoli, R.; Cordeiro, N.; Algorta, G.; Thomson, N.; Maskell, D.; Schelotto, F. and Chabalgoity, J. A. (2010):** Prevalence of *Salmonella*

enterica in poultry and eggs in Uruguay during an epidemic due to *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48:2413–2423.

**Bishop, R.; fields, P. Christopher R. and Braden, M.D. (2007):** Division of Foodborne, Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne and Enteric Diseases Coordinating Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention. Recommended Reference Citation: CDC. *Salmonella* Surveillance: Annual Summary, 2005. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2007.

**CLSI (2012):** "Clinical and Laboratory standards Institute": Performance Standards for antibacterial susceptibility testing; twenty-second informational supplement. vol.32; No.3 (M00-S22)

**Cowan ST and Steel KJ (1974)** Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria, 2nd edition. London: Cambridge University Press 238p.

**De Buck J, Van Immerseel, F Haesebrouck F and Ducatelle R. (2004):** Colonization of the chicken reproductive tract and egg contamination by Journal of Applied Microbiology, 97: 233-245

**Deb J.R. and Harry E.G. (1976):** Laboratory trials with inactivated vaccines against *Escherichia coli* (O2:K1) infection in fowls. Vet. Sci., 24: 303-301.

**Dorman, H. J. D. and Deans, S. G. (2000):** Antimicrobial agents from plants: antimicrobial activity of plant volatile oils. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 88: 308-316.

**Gaven E. (1978):** Observation on experimental infection of chicks with *Escherichia coli*. Avian Pathol., 7: 812-827.

**Harrison, P.F. and Lederberg, J. (1998):** Antimicrobial resistance: issues and options. Workshop Report: Forum on Emerging Infections. Institute of Medicine. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

**Hazem, M. I.P. (2010): laboratory diagnosis of salmonellosis in poultry by newly prepared salmonella antigens. Thesis (M.V.Sc.). Faculty of Vet. Med. Cairo university.**

**Hoffman-Pennesi, D. and Wu, C. (2010):** The effect of thymol and thyme oil feed supplementation on growth performance, serum antioxidant levels and cecal salmonella population in broilers. The Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 19 (4): 432 – 443.

**Holmberg, S.D.; Osterman, M.T. and Senger, K.A. (1984):** Drug resistant *E. coli* from animal fed antimicrobial, New England J. Medicine, 311: 617-622.

**Kauffmann, F. and Das-Kauffmann, W. (2001) :** Antigenic formulas of the *Salmonella* serovars, 8<sup>th</sup> ED. WHO co-aborating centre for reference and research on *Salmonella*.

- Kende M 1982:** Role of macrophages in the expression of immune responses. *J Am Vet Med Ass* **181**:1037–1042
- Le Minor, L., and R. Rohde, (1989):** Guide for the preparation of Salmonella antisera. WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella, Institut Pasteur-Paris, S. 21–26
- Lee K. W.; Everts, H. and Beynen, A. C. (2004):** Essential oils in broiler nutrition. *International journal of poultry science.* 3:738-752.
- Mahima, A. Rahal, R.; Deb, S. K.; Latheef, H.A. and Samad, H. et al. (2012):** Immunomodulatory and therapeutic potentials of herbal, traditional/indigenous and ethnoveterinary medicines. *Park. J. Biol. Sci.,* 15:754-774.
- Mahima, A.K., Verma, R.; Tiwari, K.; Karthik, S.; Chakraborty, R. Deb and DHAMA, k. (2013):** Nutraceuticals from fruits and vegetables at a glance: A review. *J. Biol. Sci.,* 13:38-47.
- Marilda, C.; Ernest, E.; Julio, C.; Amauri, A.; Lvens, G. And Diogenes, S. (1990):** Virulence factors of avian Escherichia coli. *Avian Dis.,* 34: 531-538
- Moreno, M. A.; Dominguez, L.; Teshoger, T.; Herrero I. A. and Porrero, M. E. (2000):** Antibiotic resistances monitoring: The Spanish Program. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents,* 14: 285-290
- Nalbantsoy A. 2012.** Prevention and identification of *Salmonella* Enteritidis infection via novel diagnostic stained antigens and polyclonal antibodies. *Food and Agricultural Immunology.* 24(2): 139-149.
- Neu, H. C. (1992):** The crisis in antibiotic resistance. *Science,* 257: 1064-1073. DOI: 10.1126/science.257. 5073.1064
- OIE (2004):** World Organization for Animal Health. OIE Terrestrial Manual “Salmonellosis” Birds and Bees, 6<sup>th</sup> Ed.n., Office of international education, Canada.
- Osman, K.M.; Marouf, S.H.; Mehana O.A. and AlAtfeehy, N. (2014):** *Salmonella enterica* serotypes isolated from squabs reveal multidrug resistance and a distinct pathogenicity gene repertoire. *Rev. sci. tech. Off. int. Epiz.,* **33** (3), 1017- 1026.
- Palmer S, Parry S, Perry D, Smith R, Evans M, Nehaul L, WJ van, Sturm JMJ and Giessen Roberts R, Walapu M and Wright D. (2000):** The role of outbreaks in developing food safety policy: population based Surveillance of salmonella outbreaks in Wales 1986-98. *Epidemiology and Infections,* 125: 467-472
- Piddock, L. J. V. (1996):** Does the use of antimicrobial agents in veterinary medicine and animal husbandry select antibiotic-resistant bacteria that infect man and compromise antimicrobial chemotherapy? *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy,* 38: 1-3.

- Poppe, C. R.; Irwin, J.; Messier, S.; Finley G. G and Oggel J. (1991):** The prevalence of *S. enteritidis* and other *Salmonella* spp. Among Canadian registered commercial chicken broiler flocks. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 107, Issue 01:201-211.
- Pulido-Landínez, M.; Washington, P.; Thornton, JK.; Zhang, Y.; Sánchez-Ingunza, R.; Banda, A.; Guard, J.; Nascimento, VP.; Magee, DL. And Mauel, MJ. (2014):** Serotype and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Salmonella* isolates from commercial birds and poultry environment in Mississippi. *Avian Dis.* 58(1):64-70.
- Quinn, P.J.; Carter , M.E.; Markey , B.K.; Donnelly , W.J. and Leonard, F.C. (2002) :** *Veterinary microbiology and microbial disease* 166-1117 Osney Mead, Oxford first LTd, Registered at the United Kingdom .
- Rahimi, M. (2013):** Antibioresistance Profile of *Avian pathogenic Escherichia coli* Isolates Recovered from Broiler Chicken Farms with Colibacillosis in Kermanshah Province, Iran. *Global Veterinaria* 10 (4): 447-452.
- Roy, P., Dhillon, A. S., Lauerman, L. H., Schaberg, D. M., Bandli, D., and Johnson, S. (2002).** Results of salmonella isolation from poultry products, poultry, poultry environment, and other characteristics. *Avian Dis.* 46, 17-24.
- Sadeghi GH, Karimi A, Padidar Jahromi SH, Azizi T, Daneshmand A Effects of Cinnamon, Thyme and Turmeric Infusions on the Performance and Immune Response in of 1- to 21-Day-Old Male Broilers.** 20\_Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science (Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola) ISSN 1516-635X Jan - Mar 2012 / v.14 / n.1 / 15-20
- Sharada, R.; Wilfred Ruban, S. and Thiyageerswaran, M. (2010):** Isolation, Characterization and Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of *E. coli* Isolated from Poultry. *American –Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research* 5(1): 18-22, 2010.
- Smith, K. E.; Besser, J. M.; Hedberg, C. W.; Leano, F. T.; Bender, J. B.; Wicklund, J. H.; Johnson, B. P.; Moore K. A. and Osterholm, M. T. (1999):** in Minnesota, 1992-1998. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 340: 1525-1532.
- Song, KD.; Dowd, SE.; Lee HK. and Kim SW. (2012):** Long-term dietary supplementation of organic selenium modulates gene expression profiles in leukocytes of adult pigs. *AnimSci J.* 2013 Mar;84(3):238-46.
- Sorum, H. and Sunde, M. (2001):** Resistance to antibiotics in the normal flora of animals. *Vet. Res.*, 32:227–241.
- Steiner, T. (2009):** *Phytogenics in animal nutrition: natural concepts to optimize gut health and performance.* Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, (ISBN-13: 978-1-904761-71-6).
- Van Furt R 1982:** Current view on the mononuclear phagocyte system. *Immunobiology* 161: 178–185.