قســــم : الميكر بيولوجى _ كلية الطب البيطرى _ جامعة القاهرة ، معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان _ الد في رئيس القسم : أ.د / محد طلعت ثومان ، رئيس المعهد : أ.د / سعد عبد الغفار .

مطولات تثبيط عترة فيروس مرض النيوكا سيسلسل

أ. سنا ، سلمي صابر ، نرجس برهومة ، شوقي نديم ، سعيد الصباي

فيروس النيوكاسل الحشرى الضارى عندما عومل بمادة البيتابروبيولا كتون أو الفورمالين أو الاثبيل اثبيلين أمين أو الحـــرارة وذلك لكى يفقد ضراوته ، وجد أن الفيروس المعامل بمادة البيتابروبيولا كتون أعطى أحسن صناعة عند ما أعطى كلقاح للد واجـــن وجد راسة هـذا اللقاح وجـدأن الكتاكيت المحصنة بجرعتين أعطيت مناعة أحسسن من المحصنة بجرعة واحدة فقط ،

Vept. of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Egypt, Head of Dept. Prof. Dr. S. Ghaffar.

TRIAL OF DIFFERENT INACTIVATORS FOR THE VISCEROTROPIC VELOGENIC NEWCASTIE DISEASE VIRUS

(With 4 Tables)

A. SANAA, M.S. SABER, N.M. BARHOUMA, S.N. IBRAHIM and M.S. SABAN (Received at 17/11/1980)

SUMMARY

A viscerotropic velogenic Newcastle disease virus was inactivated by BPL, formalin, EEI & heat. The BPL inactivated vaccine gave the best protection results.

Using one&two doses of vaccine the two doses gave better immunity.

INTRODUCTION & REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The world history of Newcastle disease (ND) showed that once it became established in a country or region, it tended to become endemic (DOYLE, 1948, LANCASTER, 1963 a). In such situation the ND should be eradicated or controlled. The control measures had tended to be of an emergency nature involing the use of vaccination programs against the disease. In Egypt the vaccines first used were the live attenuated komerov strain vaccine for 2 months old chicks to be given intramuscularily and the F strain vaccine for days old chicks intraoccularily.

Beside the living vaccines, also inactivated vaccines had been studied since more than 30 years (BAUDETTE, 1943).

The immunogenicity of inactivated ND vaccine was variable depending on the inactivating agent. DOYLE & WRIGHT (1950) used crystal violet, ethylene glycol as inactivators, and reported that immunity was established at 7 days after vaccination & persisted for at least 12 months. DOLL et al.(1951) observed that all chickens vaccinated with single or multiple injections of a formalin inactivated virus were sussceptible to respiratory route.HANSON et al. (1951) compared the immunogenicity of 5 strains of NDV in a formalized vaccine. They found that certain strains were much better immunologically than others, but no relation between immunogenicity & virulence or heat stability of the strain.

Recently beta propiolactone (BPL) had come into use as an inactivating agent. Mackard Chotisen (1956) used BPL inactivated NDV and studied the response in chickens after vaccination.GILL et al.(1959) studied the effect of 3 different adjuvents on the immunogenicity of the(GB) Texas strain of the virus.Several workers reported that the inactivated vaccine induced satisfactory immunity comparable or even superior to live vaccines. (HANSON et al. 1951; KOCH, 1959; FABRICANT, 1956; SCHMIDT, 1959; LEVINE, 1962; APPLETON et al. 1963; LOMBARDI, 1966; BOX and FURMINGER, 1959).

A variety of methods had been used for inactivation of NDV vaccine including chemicals, heat & ultraviolet irradiation. A review of these methods was given by LANCASTER (1966). Among chemicals formalin & BPL had been extensively used with different adjuvents and adsorbants were utelized to enhance and prolong the immune response.

The aim of this experiment is to carry out a comparative study on various chemicals to be used for inactivation of NDV as formalin, BPL, Ethyl Ethylen Imine (EEI), in the preparation of inactivated ND vaccine.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Eggs:

Fertile eggs were purchased from the General Poultry Company. They were incubated and used at 9-10 days old.

This research is a part of a thesis prepared by the senior auther. It had been financed in part by a grant made by the United States Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Authorized by Public Law 480.

^{*} Animal Health Research Institute, Serum & Vaccine Lab. Abbssia, Cairo.

^{**} Microbiology Dept. Faculty of Vet. Med., Cairo Univ., Giza.

A. SANAA, et al.

ND Virus:

A field local strain was isolated from a severe outbreak of ND. The strain was lyophelized, and characterized proved to be viscerotropic velogenic strain(VVNDV) (SHEBLE and REDA 1979). This strain was used for vaccine preparation and for challenging experiments.

Chickens:

500 day old chicks coming from immune mothers were purchased from the General Poultry Company. They were kept in an isolated place until used.

Preparation of the vaccine:

Cultivation of Virus.

The cultivation technic was carried out according to ALLAN \underline{et} \underline{al} . (1973). One thousand eggs were inoculated into the allantoic sac with 0.1 ml./egg of 10^{-5} diluted seed virus. Eggs that died after 48 hrs were kept in the refrigerator until harvestion. The harvested fluid was centrifuged at 3000 r.p.m. and tested for sterility, HA titre & ELD $_{50}$ in chick embryos, were determined. The infective AF fluid was concentrated by dialysis against polyethyline glycol to about 10 folds. The concentrated fluid was tested for sterility & its HA & ELD $_{50}$ were determined.

The Inactivation of the Virus.

a - With BPL.

This was carried out according to SCHMIDT & LNNETTAL (1967). Equal volume of 0.4% BPL solution & virus suspension were mixed in a magnetic stirrer at 37°C for 2 hrs to yield a final concentration of 0.2% of BPL. The pH of the treated meterial was 7.2 and was stored at 4°C until tested.

b - With Formalin.

Mix equal volumes of concentrated virus and diluted formalin in a magnetic stirrer at 37°C for 2 hours to yield a final concentration of 0.05%. The vaccine was stored at 4°C until tested.

c - With Ethyle Ethylin Imine (E.E.I.):

This was carried out by mixing equal volume of diluted 0.1% E.E.I. in hank's solution and virus suspension were then mixed in a magnetic stirrer at 37°C for 2 hours to yield a final concentration of 0.05% of E.E.I. The vaccine was stored at 4°C until tested.

d - Inactivation by Heat.

The virus was inactived in a water bath at 58 C for 1 hr. and vaccine was then stored at 4 C until tested.

EXPERIMENTAL:

The chicks were devided into groups of 50 each. Blood samples were collected from all chicks before inoculation & tested for HI and freedom of HI antibodies. Two separate experiments were carried out. In experiment(1) each group of 50 chicks were divided into two equal numbers each of 25 chicks and each group was given a certain vaccine. 25 birds were inoculated with the vaccine concentrated & 25 with the vaccine diluted 1:10. In the first experiment the chicks were given two doses, one at 2 weeks of age & a second booster dose at 5 weeks. Each chick received 1 ml. intramuscularily. In the second experiment the chicks were given only one dose at 8 weeks. At various time as 1,2,3, &4 weeks after vaccination blood samples were collected from 10 birds of each group tested for HI test. After 4 weeks of vaccination each bird with the controls were challenged giving 1 million doses of the viscerotropic velogenic local strain intramuscularily. The results are shown in table 1 & 2 for the single dose experiment and in tables 3 & 4 for the double doses.

RESULTS

The harvested embryonic fluid for vaccine preparation proved to be bacteriologically sterile before and after concentration and its HA titer 1:640 and chick embryo titer gave $10^{-8.5}/1$ ml. before concentration and HA titer became 1:1024 and chick embryo titer was $10^{-9.5}/1$ ml. after concentration. Safety tests in 9 day old embryonated chicken eggs for each vaccine and in susceptible 8 week chicks, proved complete inactivation of the virus in each vaccine.

Giving one dose of vaccine as shown in table (1), the HI titer showed a rise in using all the 4 inactivators, Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 9, No. 17818, 1982.

DIFFERENT INACTIVATORS FOR NEWCASTIE DISEASE VIRUS

the BPL gave a better geometric mean titer of 4.4 at 1st week and 5.09 at the 4th week, with the 1:10 dilution of vaccine and (5.25 & 2) with the concentrated, then the formalin which gave (3.9 & 3) with 1:10 & (4.00 & 3.3) with the concentrated. The lowest titers were given with heat as it ranged between (2.6 & 1.27) & (3.16 & 2). giving 2 doses of vaccine, the BPL also gave the best titers of 4.6 at the 1st week and 3.9 on the 4th, then the EEI which showed (4.7 & 3.64)and(5.2 & 4.06). The least titers were given by formalin which were (3.6 & 2.6)and(3.15 & 2.9) successively.

Regarding the results of challenge in the single dose as shown in table 2 the BPL gave a high percentage of protection of 72.8%, but formalin although gave 33.3% protection, the concentrated gave 88.8% also the EEI gave 42.8% with the 1:10 dilution & 75% with the concent vaccine.

The heat treated vaccine also gave the lowest precentage of protection of 40 & 55.5%. Giving 2 doses of vaccine as shown in table 4. the percentage of protection was the highest in the BPL whether in 1:10 dilution or the concentrated vaccine which gave 80 & 92%, then comes the EEI giving 83 & 88.8% and the least was the formalin that gave 70 & 75% successively.

From these results it could be concluded that the BPL gave in general the best results and that the two doses of vaccine gave better immunity than one dose.

DISCUSSION

In controlling ND by vaccination of young chicks was difficult because of maternal immunity (LANCASTER, 1968; STONE & BONEY, 1968; HIGGINS, 1971; ALLAN, 1974) and the variability in level of antibodies in commercial chicks (BONEY & STONE, 1970; ZAKEY, RONES & LEVY, 1973). The administration to young chicks under 3 weeks of age when given the inactivated vaccine with a lentogenic strain gave better immunity than giving the inactivated vaccine alone (ZAKEY-RONES & LEVY, 1973; PICAULT et al. 1975; QUAGLIS et al. 1975; LOMBARIDI et al. 1975).

In this experiment comparing the effect of 3 chemical inactivators on the VVNDV and giving a single or double doses the BPL treated vaccine gave the best protection & two doses of vaccine gave higher immunity than one dose. These results was in agreement with ALLAN et al. (1973). BOX et al. (1968) vaccinated a group of chickens with BPL inactivated vaccine at 3 weeks and 3 weeks or 3,9 & 16 weeks of age challenge revealed that 88% of birds given a single dose at 3 weeks were immune at 20 weeks of age & 75% at 26 weeks & 83% of birds given 2 doses were immune at 26 weeks as were 92% of these given 3 doses.

KEEBLE and CAID (1962) injected chicks 2 - 4 weeks old intramuscularly with BPL vaccine adsorbed on aluminium hydroxide and standardized to contain 50 chick protective dose (0.5 ml.). The vaccine conferred immunity to challenge 9 - 12 weeks after vaccination.

REFERENCES

- Allan, W.H.; Lancaster, J.E., and Toth, B. (1973): The production and use of ND vaccines. Food and Agriculture Organisation of United Nations, Rome.
- Allan, W.H. (1974): Vaccination against ND with an inactivated oil emulsion vaccine at 1 day old followed by aerosal application of Lasota Vaccine at 3 weeks. Vet. Rec. 94, 54.
- Appleton, G.S., Hitchner, S.B. and Winterfield, R.W. (1963): A comparison of the immune response of chickens vaccinated with formalin and BPL inactivated ND vaccine. Am. J. Vet. Res. 24, 827-831.
- Beaudette, F.R. (1943): A review of the literature on ND.Proc. 47th Ann. Meet.U.S. Livestock Sanit. Ass., Chicago, P. 122 177.
- Boney, W.A. Jr., and Stone, H.D.(1970): Immunologic response of 2 day old, passively immune and susceptible chicks to inactivated ND virus. Alum precipitated and sodium hydroxide conjugated vaccine. Avian Dis. 14,445-453.
- Box, P.G. and Furminger, I.G.S. (1975): Newcastle disease antibody levels in chicks after vaccination with oil emulsion adjuvant killed vaccine. Vet. Rec. 96, 108-111.
- Doll, E.R., M.E. Wallace and W.H. McCollum (1951): Susceptibility to ND infection of chicken vaccinated with a killed virus vaccine. Amer. J. Vet. Res. 12, 368-371.
- Doyle, T.M. and E.C. Wright (1950): An inactivated vaccine against NDV. Brit. Vet. J., 106, 139-161.
- Fabricant, J.C. (1956): The present status of killed vaccines in the control of ND. Proc. 92nd. Ann. Meet. Amer.

Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 9, No. 17818, 1982.

A. SANAA, et al.

- Vet. Med. Ass., 1955, P. 326.
- Gill, E.; Sullivan, J.F.; Stone, H.D. and Handemann, A.S. (1959): Role of adjuvants in immunogenicity of killed ND vaccine. Amer. J. Vet. Res. 20, 357-365.
- Hanson, R.P., Crock, E., and Brandly, G.A. (1951): Comparisons of immunogenicity of five strains of ND virus as formalinized vaccines. Vet. Med. 46, 451-452.
- Higgins, D.A. (1971): Vaccination response of parentally immune chicks after yolk sac inoculation of the embryo with inactivated ND virus. Avian Dis. 15, 98-101.
- Koch, B. (1955): Symposium on ND vaccines. Proc. 92nd Ann. Meet. Am. Vet. Med. Ass. Mineapolis, P. 332.
- Lancaster, J.E. (1966): ND: A review of some of the literature published between 1926 and 1964. Health of Animals Branch, Canada Department of Agriculture Monograph No. 3.
- Lancaster, J.E. (1968): ND control by vaccination . Vet. Bull. 34, 57-76.
- Levine, P.P. (1962): The place of vaccination in the control of poultry disease. Vet. Rec. 74, 1394-1398.
- Lombardi, D. (1966): Inactivation and antigenic properties of ND virus after treatment with propiolactons of formaldhyde. Riv. Inst. Stat. 41, 11-17.
- Lombardi, D., Coaro, R., and Buzzaccaro, E. (1976): Simultaneous immunization of day old chicks against ND with hyperconcentrated inactivated oil emulsion and live B₁ vaccines. Clinica Vet. 99, 8-12.
- Mack, W.N. and Chotisea A.(1956): Serological response in chickens to BPL treated ND virus. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. N.Y. 21, 288-290.
- Picault, J.P.; Bennejean,G. and Guittet, M.(1975): presentation of ND: use of live and inactivated virus vaccines in day old chicks carrying maternal antibodies.Bulletin d'information Station Experimental d'Agiculture de Ploufvagan 5, 3.
- Quaglio, G.L.; Lombardi, D. and Franchint, A.(1975): Immune response to vaccination with live ND virus and killed emulsified virus in chicks without specific parental antibodies or with high antibody titres. Folia Vet. Lan. 3, 353 372.
- Stone, H.D. and Boney, W.A. Jr. (1968): Vaccination of congenitally immune chicks against ND virus. Proc. Soc. Expl. Biol. Med. 128, 525-330.
- Sullivan, J.F., Gill, E. and Somer, A.M. (1958): Immune response of chickens to BPL killed ND virus vaccines. Am. J. Vet. Res. 19, 483-488.
- Winmill, A.J. and Weddel, W. (1961): A N.D. vaccine inactivated by BPL. Res. Vet. Sci. 2, 381-386.
- Zakey Rones, Z. and Levy, R. (1973): Immunologic response of chicks to inactivated ND virus. Avian Diseases 17, 450 - 452.
- Box, P.G., Barr, D.S., Helliwell, B.I. & Aperghis, A (1968): Duration of immunity against ND in birds vaccinated with BPL inactivated vaccine. Vet. Rec. 83, 332-333.
- Keeble, A. & Coid, C.R.(1962): Duration of immunity against ND in chicks vaccinated with BPL inactivated vaccine.
 Vet. Rec. 74, 1112.

Type of Inactivator	Dilution of Vaccine	Geometric mean HI Titer in Teeks After Vaccination				
		1st Teek	2nd Teek	3rd Veek	4th Week	
EPL	1:10	4.4	4.8	5.5	5.09	
BPL	Concent.	4.0.	4.8	4.09	3.6	
Formalin	1:10	2.9	3.16	4.25	3.00	
Pormalin	Concent.	4.00	3.16	2.2	3.3	
EXI	1 : 10	4.6	4.5	2.5	1.57	
ERT	Concent.	5.25	4.7	3.75	2.00	
Heat	1:10	2.6	2.6	3.7	1.27	
Heat	Concent.	3.16	3.09	2.5	2.00	

HPL - Betapropiolactone EEI - Bthyle Bthylene Imine .

Table 2 : Results of Challenge of Susceptible Chickens Vaccinated by Single Dose of Inactivated VVADV Vaccine Using & Different Inactivators

Type of Inactivator	Dilution	Results of Cjallenge			
	Vaccine	No. of Pirds Vaccin	No. Survived the challenge	% of protection	
BPL	1:10	22	16	72.8%	
BPL	Concent.	22	16	72.8%	
Pormalin	1 : 10	18	6	33.3%	
Pormalin	Concent.	18	16	88.8%	
REI	1 : 10	14	6	42.8%	
RKI	Concent.	16	12	75 %	
Heat	1 : 10	20	8	40, %	
Heat	Concent.	18	10	55.5%	
Controls		20	0	0%	

HPL = Betapropiolactone

ESI - Sthyle Sthylene Imine

m = Bach chick was given one million doses of VVIDV .

Table 3 : Geometric Mean HI Titer of Susceptible Chickens Vaccinated by Two Doses of Inactivated VVED Vaccine Using three Different Inactivators

Type of Inactivator	Dilution	Geometric Lean HI Titer in Weeks After Vaccination			
	Vaccine	lst Week	2nd Week	3rd Week	4th Week
RPL	1:10	4.6	4.8	4.58	3.9
BPL	Concent.	5.25	4.4	4.7	4.17
Pormalin	1 : 10	3.6	3.2	2.8	2.6
Pormalin	Concent.	3.15	3.6	3	2.9
EZI	1:10	4.7	4.5	4113	3.64
REI	Concent.	5.2	4.7	4.07	4.06

EFL = Betapropiolactone EKI - Ethyle Ethylene Imine .

Table 4 : Results of Challenge of Susceptible Chickens Vaccinated by Double Dose of Inactivated VVND Vaccine Using 4 Different Inactivators

Type of Inactivator	Dilution	Results of Challenge			
	Vaccine	No. of Birds Yaccin	Ec.Survived the challenge	% of protection	
EPL	1 : 10	10	9	90 %	
BPL	Concent.	12	11	92 %	
Pormalin	1 : 10	10	7	70 %	
Pormalin	Concent.	12	9	75 %	
SEI	1:10	12	10	83 %	
ERI	Concent.	18	16	88.8%	
Controls	vaccineted	20	0	0 %	

EPL = Betapropiolactone

SEI = Sthyle Ethylene Laine

