قسم المراقبة الصحية على الأغذية كلية الطب البيطري \_ جامعة أسيوط رئيس القسم: أحد/ توفيق البسيوني

الحالة الصحية للزبادي في مدينة أسيوط

مصطفى خليل ، أحمد عبدالحميد ، أمام عبدالحكيم

تم فحص ٤٠ عينة من الزبادي المنتج محليا في مدينة أسيوط لفحصها بكتريولوجيسا لتحديد الحالة الصحية لانتاجيتها •

وقد أثبتت النتائج أن متوسط عدد الميكروبات القولونية والكروية المعويـــة، والفطريات والخمائر هو ٤ × ١٠ ، ٣ ، ١٠ × ١٠ على التوالي بالاضافة الى عزل عدد كبير من الميكروبات الممرضة وغير الممرضة ٠

وانتهى البحث الى أن وجود هذه الميكروبات بأعداد كبيرة دليل على الاهمال فلي وانتهى الاشتراطات الصحية الواجب توافرها أثناء تصنيع وتداول هذا المنتج •

Dept. of Food Hygiene, Faculty of Vet. Med., Assiut University, Head of Dept. Prof. Dr. T.A. El-Bassiony.

# SANITARY CONDITION OF COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE YOGHURT IN ASSIUT CITY (With 2 Tables)

M.K. MOUSTAFA; A.A-H. AHMED and E.H. ABDEL-HAKIEM (Received at 18/10/1987)

#### SUMMARY

Fourty yoghurt samples were analysed for coliform, psychrotrophic, enterococcus and yeast and mold counts. The average values were 4x10<sup>4</sup>, 2x10<sup>4</sup>, 3x10<sup>4</sup>, and 6x10<sup>3</sup>, respectively. E. coli were present in 62.5% and anaerobes in 35% of yoghurts examined. Finally, the public health importance of the isolated organisms as well as recommended measures for proper yoghurt making was discussed.

# INTRODUCTION

The great popularity of yoghurt is due to its refresing and thirst-quenching in hot weather. It is also considered more digestible than ordinary milk and particularly recommended for sick and convalescent people.

Microorganisms gain entery into yoghurt during various stages of manufacture and storage. Although its preparation is based on the development of acidity in amounts sufficient to inhibit putrefaction, a number of organisms are known to tolerate the high acidity and produce undesirable changes in the product.

The enumeration and viability results of different pathogens in yoghurt have been noted elsewhere (WAHBY and ROUSHDY, 1955; MOURSY, 1969; DINCHEVA, 1973; ARNOTT, et al. 1974; VARABIOFF, 1983 and GARCIA and FERNANDEZ, 1984).

MOURSY (1969) detected coliforms in 65% of yoghurt samples examined at variable titres ranging from 10 -103. E. coli could be detected from 55% of the examined samples. More recently, ARNOTT, et al. (1974) described the testing of 125 yoghurt samples and found that staphylococci, coliforms and enterococci were present in 27.6%, 15.8% of samples, respectively.

The keeping quality of yoghurt, therefore, depends upon the number and types of microflocal present in it. The present study was undertaken to provide information on the number and types of microorgtanisms, claimed to be indices of pollution and significant from the public health coint of view, occuring in yoghurt available in Assiut City markets.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

40 random samples of yoghurt were obtained from retail outlets in Assiut City. The samples were transferred to the laboratory without delay and examined as soon as possible after their

## M.K. MOUSTAFA, et al.

arrival. Each sample, in its container as ready for sale, was thoroughly mixed by sterile stirrer before being subjected to the following analysis:

- 1- Determination of titratable aciditypercentage (A.O.A.C., 1975).
- 2- Enmeration of coliforms and isolation of E-coli (MERCURI and COX, 1979).
- 3- Detection of anaerobes (CRUICKSHANK, et al. 1969).
- 5- Enumeration of psychrotrophs (A.P.H.A., 1978).
- 5- Enumeration of enterococci (ISHENBERG, et al. 1970).
- 6- yeast and MOLD count (HARIGAN and MARGARET, 1976).

### RESULTS

The obtained results from the examined samples are recorded in Tables 1 & 2.

### DISCUSSION

The results recorded in Tables 1 & 2 revealed that the maximum titratble acidity percent of examined yoghurt samples was 1.55% and the minimum was 0.26%, with an average of 1.097%. The highest frequency distribution (75.5) lied within the range of 0.56-1.15%. Nearly similar findings were reported by AL-ASHMAWY (1970) and DAWOOD (1975), while higher results were obtained by AHMED and EL-BASSIONY (1979).

The results summarized in Table 1 show that the great majority of the yoghurt samples (75%) were contaminated with coliform organisms to various extents varying from  $3\times10^{\circ}$  to  $5\times10^{\circ}$ /g with an average of  $4\times10^{\circ}$ /g. These results were surprising when one considers that the data of DAVIS, et al. (1971) and GOEL, et al. (1971) indicated that yoghurt was not a good medium for coliforms. DAVIS, et al. (1971) found no coliforms in the samples he analysed whereas GOEL, et al. (1971) found that the numbers of coliforms introduced by inoculation decreased rapidly and where almost extinct after 4 days.

Levels of enterococci shown in Table 1 revealed that 60% of yoghurt tested had enterococci ranging from  $2x10^{\circ}$  to  $9x10^{\circ}$  with an average of  $3x10^{\circ}/g$ . Our results are higher than those reported by ARNOTT, et al. (1974).

The relatively high incidence of coliforms nad enterococci is disturbing because it is considered to be indicative of unsanitary processing conditions. The high incidence of these organisms has also been reported by AHMED and EL-BASSIONY (1979).

Regarding isolation of E. coli and anaerobes, it is evident from Table 1 that 25(62.5) out of 40 yoghurt samples proved to contain E.coli, while anaerobes were present in 35% of examined samples. As both animal and man carry these organisms in their intestinal tracts, therefore, their presence in yoghurt is indicative of faecal pollution.

Psychrotrophs at levels ranging from  $10^2-10^3/g$  were found in 97.5% of yoghurts examined (Table 2). These organisms may produce proteolytic or lipolytic enzymes leading to decrease the keeping quality of the product. Furthermore, individual members of these bacteria have been implicated as a causal agents of food poisoning (HOBBS, 1975).

The yeast and mold analysis (Table 1) show that the maximum, minimum and average values were  $5\times10^4$ ,  $3\times10^2$  and  $6\times10^3/g$ , respectively. The presence of yeasts and molds in yoghurt also

Assiut Vet.Med.J. Vol. 20, No. 39, 1988.

### SANITARY CONDITION OF YOGHURT

is indicative of poor sanitary practices in manufacturing or packaging. Moreover, many of these organisms are mycotoxin producers and constitute a public health hazard as the consumer does not always purchase yoghurt on the same day it was prepared. The appearence of yoghurts containing sugar, fruits and flavorings may improve yoghurt as a medium for growth of yeasts and molds. For these reasons fruits and flavorings added to yoghurt must be subjected to a rigorous quality control program as well as control fo cultures and sanitation during processing.

The overall picture of yoghurt quality in Assiut City as measured by microbiological evaluation appears to indicate a need for emphasis on quality control during manufacture and storage.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.A. and El-Bassiony, T.A. (1979): Microbiological evaluation of yoghurt in Assiut City.

  Assiut Vet. Med. J. 5(9) 169-177.
- Al-Ashmawy, A.M. (1970): Studies on the sanitary condition of Egyptian fermented milks. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo University.
- A.O.A.C. (1975): Official methods of analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 12th Ed. Washington, D.C.
- A.P.H.A. (1978): Standard methods of the examination of dairy products, 14th Ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Arnott, D.K.; Duitschaever, C.L. and Bullock, D.H. (1974): Microbiological evaluation of yoghurt produced commercially in Onteria. J. Milk & Food Tech., 37(1) 11-13.
- Cruichshank, R.; Duguid, J.P. and Swain, R.H.A. (1969): Medical Microbiology 11th Ed. E. & S. Livingstone Limited Edinburg and London.
- Davis, J.G.; T.R. Ashton and M. McCaskill (1971): Enumeration and viability of L.bulgaricus and S. thermophilus in yoghurts. Dairy Ind. 36: 569.
- Dawed, D.K. (1975): Studies on fermented milk in Upper Egype. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med. Assiut University.
- Dincheva, E. (1973): A typical microflora of commercial yoghurt. Nauchi Trudove, Vissh Veterinarnomeditsinski, institute Prof. D.R.G. Pavlov, 23: 635-642 (Dairy Sci. Abst. 1976, 38: No. 7.
- Garcia, A.M.: Fernandez, G.S. (1984): Contaminating microflora in yoghurt: General aspects and special reference to genus Penicillium. J. Food Protection. 47(8) 629-636.
- Goel, M.C.; D.C. Kulshrestha; E.H. Marth; D.W. Francis; J.G. Bradshow and R.B. Read Jr. (1971): Fate of coliforms in yoghurt, buttermilk, sour cream, and cottage chaese during refrigerated storage. J. Milk Food Tech. 34: 54.
- Hobbs, B.C. (1975): Food poisoning and food hygiene. 3rd Ed. Edward Arnold Ltd. William Clows and Sons Limited, London, Colchester and Beccles.
- Ishenberg, H.D.; Golderg, D. and Sampson, J. (1970): Laboratory studies with selective enterococcus medium. Appl. Microbiol. 20: 433.
- Mercuri, A.J. and Cox, N.A. (1979): coliform nad Enterobacteriaceae isolates from selected fonds.

  J. Food Protection 42(9) 712.
- Moursy, A.W. (1969): Incidence and viability of coliform organisms in yoghurt. Vet. Med. J. Vol. 19, 1971, Vet. Med., Cairo University.
- Varabioff, Y. (1983): Spoilage organisms in yoghurt. Dairy products, 11 (2) 8-12 (Dairy Sci. Abst. 46(9) 6192.
- Wahby, A.A. and Roushdy, A. (1955): Viability of enteric fever in some Egyptian dairy products. Zbl. Vet. Med., 2(1) 57-65.

# M.K. MOUSTAFA, et al.

Table (1)
Statistical analytical results of microbiological analysis of examined yoghurt samples

Test	No. of exam. samples	No. of +ve samples	9/	Min.	Count/g.	Average
TA				0.26	1.55	1.097
CC	40	30	75.0	3x10	5x10	4×10 <sup>4</sup>
E. coli	40	25	62.5	_		
Anaerobes	40	14	35.0		,	
PC				2×10 <sup>2</sup>	7×10 4	2×104
EC	40	24	60.0	2x10	9×10,	3×10 <sup>4</sup>
YMC	4-			3x10 <sup>2</sup>	5x10 <sup>4</sup>	6x10 <sup>3</sup>

TA: Titratable acidity percentage

CC : Coliform count

PC: Psychrotrophic count

EC : Enterococcus count

YMC: Yeasts and Molds count

Table (2)
The highest frequency distribution of titratable acidity percentage, bacterial and yeast and mold counts in examined yoghurt samples

Test	Interval	%
Titratable acidity %	0.56 - 1.15	75.5
Coliforms count	$10^2 - 10^3$	60.0
Psychrotrophic count	$10^2 - 10^3$	97.5
Enterococcus count	$10^{2} - 10^{3}$ $10^{1} - 10^{2}$	83.84
Yeasts and Molds count	$10^2 - 10^3$	97.5

