Dept. of Medicine, Fac. of Vet. Med., Cairo University, Giza. Head of Dept. Prof. Dr. A.A. Salem.

RESPONSE OF CATTLE TO SYNCHRONOUS VACCINATION WITH RINDERPEST AND FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE VACCINES (With 2 Tables)

R

R.R. YOUSSEF; O.A. OSMAN*; SEHAM ABDEL RASHEED; S.M.T., RASHWAN; M.A. MOUAZ and N. NAZIR**
(Received at 2/9/1989)

إستجابة الأبقار للتحمين البتزامن بلقاحى الطاعون البق ري

أجرى هذا البحث بإستخدام ١٥ عجلاً بقرياً قابلة للعدوى بالطاعون البقرى وبالحسييي القلاعية قست عشرائها إلى أربعة مجموعات _ حقنت المجموعة الأولى منها بلقاح الطاعــــــرن البفرى فقط وحقنت المجموعة الثانية تزامنيا بلقاحي الطاعون البقرى والحمى القلاعية كل علسي حده في موضعين مختلفين من جسم الحيوان - وحقنت المجموعة الثالثة بلقاح الحمى القلاعية فقسط وذلك بالجرعة الحقلية لكل لقاح وهي ١ مل من لقاح الطاعون البقرى النسيجي الحي المحتوى على عترة كابيتي (٥) لفيروس الطاعون البقرى عند مستوى التمرير ١٠٢ على خلايا كل الأبقار حقنت تحت الجلد في الجهة اليسرى من الرقبة ، ه مل من لقاح الحيى القلاعية الفور مالينـــــى البيت عترة (0,/2/72,Egypt) وكلا اللقاحان ينتجان محلياً _ وإحتفظ بالجموعة الرابعــــة كضوابط غير محقنه وتحت نفس ظروف الإيراء _ وقد دلت نتائج الفحوص الإكلينيكية علــــى عدم حدوث أية أعراض جانبية أو علامات مرضية أو ظواهر إكلينيكية فسيأن من البجموعسسات المحصنة مقارنة بمجموعة الضوابط وذلك خلال خمسة أسابيع من تاريخ التحمين _ كما إستدل مسن نتائج الفحوص السيرولوجية الأسبوعية خلال نفس المدة على أن الإستجابة السيرولوجية النوعيسة للحقن المتزامن باللقاحين في الأبقار (المجموعة الثانية) لاتختلف معنرياً في محتواها عنها فسسي الأبقار المحصفة أفرانياً بكل من اللقاحين (المجموعتين الأولى والثالثة) ، وذلك بعد تحلب ل النتائج إحصائياً · وخلاصة هذا البحث أن التحصين المتزامن بلقاحي الطاعون البقرى والحمسى القلاعية يمكن أن يستخدم تطبيقياً ترفيراً للوقت والجهد والمال اللازم لإجراء التحمين بكسمل لقاح على فترات متفاوته .

SUMMARY

A total of 15 calves, susceptible to Rinderpest and Foot and Mouth disease, were randomly divided into 4 groups. One group received tissue culture

^{*:} Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbassia, Cairo.

^{** :} Animal Health Rosearch Institute, Dokki, Cairo.

R.R. YOUSSEF et al.

Rinderpest Vaccine (TcRPV) alone, another simul aneously received TcRPV and Foot and Mouth Disease Vaccine (FMDV), a third group received FMDV alone and a fourth group which served as a non vaccinated control. No side effects or clinical reaction or disease syndrome could be detected in any of the vaccinated groups. All test animals remained clinically normal throughout an observation period of five weeks post inoculation. Very satisfactory serological responses were detected in animals simultaneously inoculated with both vaccines as well as in animals solely vaccinated with either vaccine.

Results clearly indicated that the simultaneous inoculation of cattle with TcRPV and FMDV on opposite sides of the neck can be safely used without impairing the response to individual components.

INTRODUCTION

Direct and indirect economic losses inflicted by Rinderpest on the livestock industry in Egypt clearly illustrate the importance of inhibiting the spread of infection and its eventual eradication from the country followed by the implementation of measures to prevent its reintroduction. The vaccine responsible for the spectacular decline in the incidence of Rinderpest in Egypt, was the TcRPV. The synchronous vaccination of livestock with both TcRPV and FMDV would save efforts, funds and time. Hence, the given study was undertaken to find out the clinical as well as the serological response of cattle to such synchronization of vaccination.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Animals:

A total of 15 calves were used in the study. They were Rinderpest and Foot and Mouth disease-susceptible as proved by serum neutralization and passive haemagglutination tests carried out on serum samples collected just prior to vaccination. Test calves were randomly divided into four groups. One group received TcRPV alone, another received both TcRPV and FMDV simultaneously, a third group received FMDV alone and a fourth group which was held as a non vaccinated control. Animals were kept under same management conditions and were subjected to daily clinical examination. They were weekly monitored serologically for five weeks post inoculation.

Vaccines:

Rinderpest vaccine :

The locally produced TcRPV incorporating the live tissue culture-attenuated Kabet "O" strain of rinderpest virus at its 103rd passage on bovine kidney (BK) cell monolayers, was used in the present study. The dose was 1 ml containing at least 100 TCID_{50} of TC-attenuated rinderpest virus, injected subcutaneously on the left side of the neck (OSMAN et al., 1987).

VACCINATION, RINDERPEST, F.M.D.

Foot and Mouth Disease Vaccine :

The locally produced FMDV was used in the present work. It incorporated the formol-inactivated (0/2/72, Egypt) strain adsorbed onto aluminium hydroxide gel supplemented with saponin (MOUSSA et al., 1974). The dose was 5 ml inoculated subcutaneously on the right side of the neck.

Serology:

Neutralization test:

Sera collected at specified intervals were assayed in rinderpest virus neutralization tests on BK cell monolayers. Rinderpest virus neutralization serum titres were expressed as the Log reciprocal of the final serum dilution in the serum/virus mixture which neutralized 100-200 TCID of rinderpest virus (OSMAN et al., 1985). Results of neutralization test were statistically analysed using the (t) test.

Passive Haemagglutination Test:

Sera collected at predetermined intervals were assayed for the detection of FMD antibodies. The test was used according to the method described by TOKUDA and WARRINGTON (1970), but slightly modified in the use of 1 in 20,000 dilution of formalin to treat sheep erythrocytes. Titres were expressed as the reciprocal of serum dilutions giving 50% or more haemagglutination. Serum titres of 4 and above were considered positives for FMD antibodies.

RESULTS

No side effects or clinical reactions could be detected in any of the vaccinated groups. Test animals remained clinically normal throughout an observation period of five weeks post inoculation.

Response to TcRPV:

Data shown in table (1) indicated that there was no significant difference (P 0.05) in rinderpest neutralizing antibody titres between group (1) receiving TcRPV alone and group (2) simultaneously vaccinated with TcRPV and FMDV.

Response to FMDV:

Results given in table (2) showed that the response was the same in animals of group (3) vaccinated with FMDV alone and in those of group (2) simultaneously vaccinated with FMDV and TcRPV. Control non vaccinated group of animals remained susceptible to both diseases.

R.R. YOUSSEF et al.

Table (1): Results of Rinderpest Virus Neutralization Test.

G	N	Vaccines received	RVSNT								M. Carlo			
			*0	1	M	2	M	3	M	4	M	5	М	
			0	0		1.6		1.6		2.0		2.0		
1	4	Terpy	0	0	0	1.6	1 6	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0 2.0	2.0	20	
			0	0	0	1.8	1.0	1.9		2.1		2.1	2.00	
			0	0		1.4		1.7		1.9		1.9		
2	4	TeRPV and FMDV	0	0	H	1.6	og Ne	2.0		2.1	1.7	2.1	1.7	
			0	0	0	1.0	1.3	31.3		1.3		1.3		
			0	0		1.4		1.6		1.6		1.6		
			0	0		1.2		1.6		1.8		1.8		
L	4	PMDV	O	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	_
			0	_			- 48					0		
3			0	0						0		0		
			0	0		0	.Edit	0		0		0		
	3	non vacci- nated control	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	0	0		-
			0	0						_		A 17	0	
4			0	0		0	0	0		0		0		
		Colletos		0		0		0		0		0		

G : groups of cattle .

N : number of animals.
TCRPV : tissue culture rinderpest vaccine.

PMDV : foot and mouth disease vaccine.

* : weeks post vaccination.

M : geometric mean serum neutralizing titres (Log 10).

RVSNT : rinderpest virus - serum neutralizing titres (Log₁₀).

VACCINATION, RINDERPEST, F.M.D.

Table (2): Results of passive haemagglutination test for PMD antibody.

Groups	No. of animals	Vaccines received	Serum titres						- 140
			* 0	1	2	3	4	5	
			. 0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	ed: 4 est	TCRPV	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	
t this t	was a card		. 0	0	+	+	+	+	
			0	0	+	+	+	+	*
2	4	TcRPV	0	0	+	+	+	+	
		FMDV	0	0	+	+	+	+	
telemonia)	ika dheda a	FMDV	0	0	+	+	+	+	
. 3	4		0	0	+	+	+	+	
25 10 1			0	0	+	+	+	+	
62 3E 1	24 Fp2 304 5		0	0	+	+	+	+	
		non	c	0	0	0	0	0	
4	3	vacci- nated	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	,	control	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	

ToRPV : tissue culture rinderpest vaccine.

FMDV : foot and mouth disease vaccine.

* : weeks post vaccination.

* : Serum titres of 4 and above were considered positive.

DISCUSSION

Reports in the literature on the effect of simultaneous vaccination of animals with more than one vaccine, are varied. KATHURIA et al. (1976) reported that the response to FMDV of cattle simultaneously vaccinated with FMDV and TcRPV at separate sites was lower than that of animals vaccinated with FMDV alone. GARCIA - CARILLO (1978) concluded that the simultaneous application of FMDV and Brucella abortus strain 19 vaccine in guinea pigs interfered with the immunity to the latter. On the other hand, DARIE et al. (1979) found that simultaneous administration of a vaccine containing various combinations of Clostridia, Anthrax and FMD virus did not affect the magnitude or duration of the immune response in fattening lambs compared with that given by

R.R. YOUSSEF et al.

each vaccine when inoculated solely. POLYDOROU et al. (1980) reported that in sheep in Cyprus, FMDV could be given at the same time as enterotoxaemia, anthrax and enzootic abortion antigens. In France, a combined FMDV/rabies virus vaccine has been used for many years and it is reported that the evolution of antibodies to FMDV following the use of mixed vaccine does not differ from that observed following vaccination with the trivalent FMDV alone (FAURE et al., 1980 and COUDERT et al., 1981). In Malaysia, JOSEPH and HEDGER (1984) showed that cattle could be safely vaccinated with inactivated FMDV and haemorrhagic septicaemia vaccine without affecting the response to either component.

In this trial, very satisfactory serological response was obtained both to TcRPV and FMDV (Tables 1 and 2). It was of interest to find out that there was no significant difference (P 0.05) in rinderpest neutralizing antibody response between group (1) which received TcRPV alone and group (2) simultaneously inoculated with TcRPV and FMDV. However, the rinderpest antibody titres of group (1) were slightly higher than those of group (2). Such increase was shown to be statistically insignificant and can probably be ignored (Table 1).

Our results clearly indicate that the simultaneous vaccination of cattle with TcRPV and FMDV at separate sites on the neck of the animal can be safely used without impairing the response to the individual components.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. Sayed Abdel Hamid Salama, Director of the Serum and Vaccine Research Institute for the facilities supplied to accomplish this work.

REFERENCES

- Coudert, M.; Fedida, M.; Blancou, J.; Andral, L. and Crispim, L.S. (1981): Kinetic study of the humoral reactions in cattle vaccinated against rabies and Foot and mouth disease. Recueil de Medicine Veterinare, 157, 717-723.
- Darie, P.; Ionita, C.; Petrosanu, D.; Eustavievici, O.; Simon, M. and Miracescu, G. (1979): Simultaneous vaccination of intensively reared lambs against anoerobes, anthrax and foot and mouth disease. Lucrari le Instituto lui de cercetari Veterinare Si Biopreparata "Pasteur", 15: 75–86.
- Favre, H.; Brun, A.; Mougeot, P.; Precausta, P. and Soulobot, J.P. (1980): The impact of virus disease on development of African and Middle East countries. 2nd International Conference, Nai obi, Kenya, December, 1980.
- Garcio Carillo, C. (1978): Effects of simultaneous use of strain 19 Brucella abortus and foot and mouth disease vaccines on immunity to brucellosis in guinae pigs. Revista de la Asociacion Argentina de Microbiologia, 10: 1-7.

VACCINATION, RINDERPEST, F.M.D.

- Joseph, P.G. and Hedger, R.S. (1984): Serological response of cattle to simultaneous vaccination against foot and mouth disease and haemorrhagic septicarmia. Vet. Rec., 114: 494.
- Kathuria, B.K.; Uppal, P.K. and Kumar, S. (1976): Studies on simultaneous vaccination of cattle against rinderpest and foot and mouth disease. Ind. Vet. J., 53(8): 571-576.
- Moussa, A.A.M.; Stauritis, P.; Ibrahim, M.H.; Daoud, A. and Hussein, K. (1974): Foot and mouth disease vaccine production in baby hamster kidney cells (BHK21 clone 13) in suspension in Egypt. Bull. Off. Int. Epiz., 18: 1043.
- Osman, O.A.; Mouaz, M.A.; Athanasious, S. and Abdel Ghaffar, S. (1985): Comparative study of in vitro and in vivo titration of pooled batches of tissue culture rinderpest vaccine. Al-Azhar J. of Pharmaceutical sciences, 4: 117.
- Osmaa, O.A.; Mahmoud, A.A.; Mouaz, M.A. and Athanasious, S. (1987): A preliminary study on vaccination of calves with rinderpest and BCG vaccines. Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 19: No. 37, 36-43.
- Polydorou, K.; Papasolomontos, P.; Hadjisavvas, T.H.; Economides, P.; Efsthiou, G.; Pitziolis, G.; Hadjizenonos, L.; Sellers, R.F.; Gibbs, E.P.J.; Herniman, K.A.J.; Parker, J.; Rowe, L.W.; Mowat, G.N. and Owens, H. (1980): Investigations on foot and mouth disease in Cyprus 1972–1975. Bull. Of. Intern. Epiz. (1980): 92(9/10) 863–873.
- Tokuda, C. and Warrington, R.E. (1970): Detection of foot and mouth disease virus antibodies. 1. Passive haemagglutination test. Applied Microbiol. 20, 35-39.