Serology Unit, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza.

SALMONELLAE IN ANIMAL FEED STUFFS

(With 2 Tables)

By
A.I. TANIOS
(Received at 3/6/1997)

السالمونيلا في العلائق الحيوانية

عادل طانيوس

تم في هذه الدراسة إجراء الفحوص البكتريولوجية على ٨٢٠ عينة من العلائق الحيوانية (٣٣٥ عينة مركزات أعلاف ٢٤٢ عينة مسحوق لحم وعظم ، ٥٠ عينة أعلاف كاملة و٥ عينات مسحوق دواجن) عزل ميكروب السالمونيلا من ١٦ عينة بنسبة ٩٥, ١٪. وجد أن نسبة عزل السالمونيلا في العلائق الحيوانية تختلف على حسب نوع العليقة ففي مسحوق الدواجن تم عزل عترة من السالمونيلا بنسبة ٢٠٪ وفي الأعلاف الكاملة تم عزل عدد ٢ عترة من السالمونيلا بنسبة ٤٠٪ أما في مسحوق اللحم والعظم فقد تم عزل عدد ٩ عترات من السالمونيلا بنسبة بنسبة ٢٠٪ وأخيراً في مركزات الأعلاف تم عزل عدد ٤ عترات من السالمونيلا بنسبة ٢٠٪ وبالفحص السيرولوجي للعترات المعزولة (١٦ عترة) من العلائق الحيوانية وجد أنها تتمي إلى ٩ أنواع سيرولوجي للعترات المعزولة (١٦ عترة) من العلائق الحيوانية وجد أنها عترات)، سالمونيلا تيفيميوريم وسالمونيلا أناتم (٢ عترة لكل منهما)، وعترة واحدة لكل من سالملونيلا كينجستون، سالمونيلا ريبيوس ، سالمونيلا ستوكهولم ، سالمونيلا بينزا وسالمونيلا بوكر. وثم مناقشة النتائج وأهميتها.

SUMMARY

In this study Salmonella species were detected in 16 out of 820 samples of animal feeds composed of 523 feed concentrate, 242 meat and bone meal, 50 complete feed and 5 poultry meal. The rate of Salmonella contamination varied according to the nature of the sample, one (20%) of the poultry meal, 2(4%) of the complete feed, 9(3.72%) of the meat and bone meal and 4 (0.76%) of the feed concentrate. The 16 Salmonella isolates represented 9 serovars; S.muenster (4 isolates), S.cerro (3 isolates), S.typhimurium and S.anatum (2 isolates each) and one isolate of each of S.kingston, S.reubeuss, S.stockholm, S.binza and S.boecker

Key words: Salmonella - Animal's - Feed stuffs...

INTRODUCTION

Salmonellosis has emerged as a veterinary and public health problem of major importance. Its occurrence is worldwide and appears to be increasing in most countries (Hacking et al., 1978; Nabbut et al., 1982 and Veldman et al., 1995).

Animal feed constitue one of the major inanimate sources of various Salmonella serovars. These serovars have a path of infection from animals and ultimately to human beings (Bains and Mackenzie, 1974).

William (1975) and Wray and Sojka (1977) suggested that the increase of bovine salmonellosis epidemics caused by exotic serovars might be due to the increased use of contaminated imported feed. Porneroy et al. (1984) had proved the successful introduction of Salmonellae into breeding flocks by contaminated feed.

The most important factor in the overall control of *Salmonellae* in poultry is to ensure the supply of *Salmonella*-free feed and water especially in breeding stock (Williams, 1978).

A trial was made to study the incidence of Salmonellae in animal feed and feed igredients, in ordder to reassess the role of animal feed stuffs as a source of Salmonella species in animals and poultry.

MATERIAL and **METHODS**

Samples:

Between January, 1995 and December, 1996, a total of 820 representative samples of feed components and animal feeds were examined. The numbers of samples of feed components taken were; feed concentrate (523), meat and bone meal (242), complete feed (50) and poultry meal (5).

Isolation of Salmonellae:

According to the International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Food (Anon, 1978), a representative fresh sample composed of 25 grams was mixed into 225 buffered peptone water "pH 7" as pre-enrichment and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. 10 ml of this broth were then added to 100 ml selenite-F broth (Difco) as a selective enrichment and incubated at 37°C for 16-18 hours. A loopful of the latter was streaked on Salmonella-Shigella agar and MacConkey agar (Oxoid) plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and examined for the presence of Salmonella suspected colonies.

Identification of Salmonellae:

Two or more colonies with characteristics similar to those of *Salmonella* colonies were picked from each selective plate and were subcultured onto nutrient agar (Oxoid) slopes and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for further identification.

The isolates were identified microscopically, culturally and biochemically according to Krieg and Holt (1984). The following biochemical tests were used: reaction on triple sugar iron agar, lysine decarboxylase, urease, methyl red, indol, citrate and malonate utilization, and fermentation of glucose, lactose, sucrose, mannitol and salicin. All the tests were done using media from Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan. USA. Serotyping of Salmonellae:

Serological identification of suspected Salmonella strains was carried out according to Kauffman-White scheme as described by Edwards and Ewing (1972) and Kauffman (1973). Serotyping of the isolates was performed with slide-agglutination tests using Salmonella polyvalent and monovalent "O" and "H" antisera obtained from Wellcome Diagnostics, Dartford, England.

RESULTS

Of 820 samples of animal feed, 16(1.95%) were positive for Salmonellae (Table 1). From the table, the poultry meal samples showed the highest salmonella contamination rate (20%), while complete feed, meat and bone meal and feed concentrate proved to be harbouring salmonella with an incidence of 4%, 3.72% and 0.76% respectively.

The serotyping of recovered salmonella isolates belonged to nine serovars (Table 2). From this table the most prevalent one was S.muenster (4 isolates), followed by S.cerro (3 isolates). Moreover, 2 serovars were identified as S.typhimurium and S.anatum (2 isolates of each). On the other hand, one isolate belonged to each of S.kingston, S.reubeuss, S.stockholm, S.binza and S.boecker.

The distribution of the 16 Salmonella serovars recovered from animal feeds is shown in table 2. From the table, it is revealed that S. typhimurium and S. muenster were recovered from feed concentrate, each with an incidence of 12.50% as compared to the total isolates. Three strains of S. cerro (18.75%), 2 strains of each of S. muenster and S. anatum (12.50% each) and one of either S. stockholm or S. boecker (6.25% each) was isolated from meat and bone meal. One strain of either S. kingston or S. reubeuss was isolated from complete feed, each with an incidence of 6.25%. Moreover,

one isolate of S.binza (6.25%) was isolated, from poultry meal. Members of group E₁ constituted 43.75% of the total isolates groups B and K represented 18.75% each while groups C₂, E₂ and H represented 6.25% each.

DISCUSSION

Food animals which are reared intensively remain a major source of Salmonella in various countries (Hacking et al., 1978; Yoshimura et al., 1979; Nabbut et al., 1982 and Veldman et al., 1995). The overall contamination rate of 1.95% of the feed samples examined during the present study was lower than the 4.5% reported by Al-Hindawi and Taha (1979) in Iraq, the 2.5% reported by Kaloyanov et al. (1987) in Bulgaria and the 2.63% reported by Mohamed (1993) in Egypt. The variations in the contamination rate of Salmonella in animal feed as reported by several authors may be related to the context of sampling procedure, sensitivity of the analytical method, types and source of feed components, the techniques used in feed factories and the eqidemiology of Salmonella under the prevailing socioeconomic conditions. This assumption conforms with that reported by Veldman et al. (1995).

Despite poultry meal samples had the highest contamination rate 20% of the 5 samples examined, it is unwise to depend on this percentage under condition of this study since it needs further investigations on large number of samples. Complete feed and mixed meat and bone meal showed an incidence of 4% and 3.72% respectively, while feed concentrate had the lowest one (0.76%). These results are nearly similar to the findings obtained by many investigators. Mohamed (1993) recovered Salmonellae in local feed concentrates with an incidence of 1%. Durand et al. (1990) isolated Salmonella from feed farm samples with an incidence of 5.81%. Veldman et al. (1995) found that layers and breeders feeds that mostly not pelleted and mixed meat and bone meal were contaminated with Salmonellae with a percentage of 21% and 4% respectively.

In this study, the sixteen Salmonella isolates belonged to nine serovars. Group E_1 was the most common somatic group (43.75%) of the total. Velho (1959) found that the most isolates of Salmonellae from fish meal belonged to group E in Angola, while Nabbut <u>et al.</u> (1982) found that most somatic group was C_1 from animal feeds in Saudi Arabia.

The most prevalent isolate in this study was S.muenster 4(25%), followed by S.cerro 3 (18.75%), S.typhimurium and S.anatum 2(12.50%)

each) and one isolate (6.25%) each of S.kingston, S.reubeuss, S.stockholm, S.binza and S.boecker. Some authors recorded S.muenster from various feed stuffs (Pohl et al., 1983; Mrden et al., 1990 and Kramer, 1993). On the other hand, Williams and Benson (1978) and Sultan (1992) noticed that S.typhimurium was the most predominant serovar recovered from animal feed. No clear link could be established between the serovars found in the feeds and the feed components (Veldman et al., 1995).

Pathogenesis of Salmonellae is a mutifactorial phenomenon and varies with the serovars, dose, and the age and immune status of the host (Turnbull, 1979). S.typhimurium strains vary greatly in their virulence for the chicken, large plasmids (>60 megadalton) play an important role in the pathogenesis (Barrow and Lovell, 1989). Other serovars invade the intestinal mucosa and frequent cause severe illness and septicaemia (Copper, 1994).

Contaminated feed with Salmonellae are considered to be possible sources of salmonellosis in farm animals. Salmonellosis is a very important disease not only from the economic point of view but also from the public health aspect as it is zoonotic diease and a cause of food poisoning. The control of contaminiation of animal feed with Salmonellae depends mainly on the selection of Salmonella-free raw materials from reputable sources and on an effective heat treatment of the feed ingredients.

REFERENCES

- Al-Hindawi, N. and Taha, R.R. (1979): Salmonella species isolated from animal feed in Iraq. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 37:676-679.
- Anon (1978): International commission on microbiological specification for food. Microorganisms in food. 2.Sampling for microbiological analysis. Principles and Specific Application, University of Toronto Press., Toronto, Ontraio, Canada.
- Bains, B. and Mackenzie, M. (1974): Transmission of Salmonella through an integrated poultry organization. Poult. Sci., 53:1114-1118.
- Barrow, P.A. and Lovell, M.A. (1989): Functional homology of virulence plasmids in Salmonella gallinarum, S.pullorum and S.typhimurium. Infection and Immunity, 57:3136-3141.
- Copper, G.L. (1994): Salmonellosis-infections in man and chicken: pathogenesis and development of live vaccines-a reviw. Vet. Bull., 64(2):123-143.

- Durand, A.M; Giesecke, W.H.; Barnard, M.L.; Walt, M.L. and Steyn, H.C. (1990): Samonella isolated from feeds and feed ingredients during the period of 1982-1988. Onderst. J. Vet. Res., 57(3): 175-181.
- Edwards, P.R. and Ewing, N.H. (1972): Identification of Enterobacteriaceae. 3rd Ed. Burgman Publishing Co., Atlanta, U.S.A., 208-239.
- Hacking, W.C.; Mitchell, R.W. and Carlson, H.C. (1978): Sources of Salmonellae in broiler chickens in Ontario. Can. J. Comp. Med., 42: 392-399.
- Kaloyanov, I.; Slavkov, I.; Lukov, B.; Kaloyanov, L. Minev, M.K.; Mielv, M.V.; Dokov, T.S. and Todrov, I. (1987): Species of almonella isolated in Bulgaria from mammals, birds, feed between 1979 and 1980. Veterinameditsinki Nauki, 24(5): 44-51.
- Kaufmann, F. (1973): Serological diagnosis of Salmonella species. Kaufmann-White scheme, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Kramer, T.T. (1993): Salmonella infection in calves. Traditional Annual Report Project 2nd Scientific Workshop, Alexandria, Egypt, June 9-14.
- Krieg, N.R. and Holt, J.G. (1984): Bergey's Manual of Systemic Bacteriology 8th Ed., Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, London, I: 111-117.
- Mohamed, Amany, E. (1993): Prevalence of Salmonellae in local and imported feed stuffs formulated for poultry and animal rations. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo University.
- Mrden, M.; Galic, M.; Crikovic, D.; Masic, Z. and Gagrin, M. (1990): Salmonella isolation from the organ of slaughter animals and fish meal. Veterinarski Glasnik, 44(3-4): 281-285.
- Nabbut, N.H.; Barbour, E.K. and El-Nakhli, H.M. (1982): Occurrence of Salmonella in animal feed ingredients in Saudi-Arabia. Am. J. Res., 43(9); 1703-1705.
- Pohl, P.; Lintermans, P.; Schlicker, C.; Ghysels, G. and Chasseur-Libotte, M.L. (1983): Salmonella from meat and feed: 1981 serotypes, biotypes and resistance. Medicine Veterinaire, 127(2): 115-125.
- Porneroy, B.S.; Nagoraja, K.V.; Olsen, H.; Ausherman, L.T.; Nivas, S.C. and Kumar, M.C. (1984): Control of Salmonella infections in turkeys in Minnesota, In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Salmonella. New Orleans, USA; American Association of Avian Pathologists, University of Pennsylvania. 115-123.

- Sultan, Hala, I. (1992): The use of ELISA for detection of Salmonellae in poultry feeds. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
- Turnbull, P.C.B. (1979): Food poisoning with special reference to Salmonella its epidemiology and, pathogenesis and control. Clinics in Gastroentrology, 8: 663-714.
- Veldman, A.; Vahl, H.A.; Borggreve, G.I. and Fuller, D.C. (1995): A survey of the incidence of Salmonella species and Enterobacteriaceae in poultry feeds and feed components. Vet. Rec., 136: 169-172.
- Velho, E.L. (1959): Salmonella organisms in fish meal in Angola. Bull. Vet. Int. Epiz., 50: 330-335.
- William, B.M. (1975): Environmental considerations in salmonellosis. Vet. Rec. 96: 318-323.
- Williams, J.E. (1978): Salmonellosis in poultry feeds. A world wide review. World's Poult. Sci. J., 37: 6-25.
- Williams, J.E. and Benson, S.T. (1978): Survival of Salmonella typhimurium in poultry feed and litter at 3 temperatures. Av. Dis., 22(4): 742-747.
- Wray, C. and Sojka, W. (1977): Review Progress of dairy science. J. Hyg. (Camb), 44: 383-425.
- Yoshimura, H.; Nakamura, H. and Sato, S. (1979): Incidence of Salmonella in animal feed in Japan. Nalt. Inst. Anim. Health (Tokyo), 19: 107-113.

Table (1): Prevalence of Salmonellae in animal feed stuffs.

Type of feed	No. of samples	Salmonella positive		
		Number	Percentage	
Feed concentrate	523	4	0.76	
Meat and bone meal	242	9	3.72	
Complete feed	50	2	4.00	
Poultry meal	5	1	20.00	
Total	820	16	1.95	

Table (2): Salmonella serovars recovered from animal feed stuffs.

Serovar	Feed concentrate	Meat and bone meal	Complete	Poultry	Total	
					No.	1 %
Group B			1			1
S. typhimurium	2		1		2	1 12.50
S. kingston	- 1	-	1 1	-	1	6.25
Group C2	1				•	0.23
S. reubeuss	-		! 1 !	-	1	6.25
Group E ₁	<i>></i>		i i			1
S. muenster	2	2	!			
S. anatum	2	2	- 1	-	4	25.00
S. stockholm	- !	2	- !	-	2	12.50
Group E2	-	1	-	-	1	6.25
S. binza	-		-	1	1	6.25
Group H	į					0.25
S. boecker	- !	1	-	_	1	6.25
Group K	1		1			0.23
S cerro	- [3	- !	-	3	18.75