Dept. of Food Hygiene, Fac. Vet. Med., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University,

# QUALITY MONITORING OF SOME FARM FISH MARKETED IN KAFR EL-SHEIKH GOVERNORATE

(With 4 Tables)

By
Y. EL-S. A. MAHMOUD
(Received at 28/4/1999)

تقييم جودة بعض الاسماك بمزارع كفر الشيخ

# يحيى السيد على محمود

تناولت الدراسة فحص عدد ٣٠٠ عينة من الاسماك السليمة والمصابة ببعض الأمراض البكتيرية ولكنها من ناحية الكشف الظاهري مقبولة للاستهلاك الأدمي بواقع ١٠٠ عينة مـــن كل من أسماك البلطى والقرموط والبورى والمأخوذة من مزارع الأسماك المختلفة بمحافظة كفر الشيخ لتقييم حالتها البكتريولوجية والكيميائية. وقد دلت نتائج الفحص على أن متوسطات العدد لكلَّى للميكروبات الهوائية والميكروبات المحبة للبرودة والميكروبــــات المعويـــة فـــى الأسماك السليمة هي: ٣×٠١ على ١٠٤٠ ، ١٨ ، ١٨ ، ١٨ على المعالك السليمة هي: ٣×٠١ على ١٠٤٠ ، ١٨ ملك المعالك السليمة هي  $^{1}$  لكل جرام من عضلات الأسماك في البلطي و  $^{1}$  ،  $^{1}$  ،  $^{2}$  ،  $^{1}$  ،  $^{1}$  ،  $^{1}$  ،  $^{1}$  ،  $^{1}$ ۷۰.۰×۰۱، ۲۱.۰×۰۱ لكر جرام من عضلات أسماك القرموطج و ۱۸,۱×۱۰ الله برا، ۱۲,۱×۱۰ الله جرام من عضلات أسماك البورى. بينما كان متوسط هذه المجموعات البكتيرية في الأسماك المصابـة بامراض بكترية هي ٢٠,١٧× ١°±٣٧× ١°، ٢٠,٤× ١ ؛ ١٠٤٠ ، ١٠٤٠ ، ١٠٤٠ ؛ ١٠٤٠ ؛ ٢٢,٠×٠١ كل جرام من عضلات أسماك البلطي و ٢٥,٢×٠١° ± ٢١,٠×٠١°، ٧٠,٧٥ ؛ ١٠٠٠,٢١ ، ١٠٠٠,٢١ ، ٢٠,٠١ لكل جرام من عضلة أسماك القرموط و ۲۱۰×۰,۱۹ ± ۲۱۰×۳,۲۰ ، ۱۰×۰,۱۵ ± ۱۰×۰,۱۲ ± ۱۰×۰,۱۲ لک ل جرام من عضلة أسماك البوري على الترتيب. كما تــم عــزل ميكروبــات الأيرومونــاس هيدروفيلا ، السودوموناس ايروجنبوزا ، ادواردزيلا تاردي ، فيبريوبار اهيمولينكس ، الشرشياكولاي ، والسالمونيلا ، والليستريا ، والفلاقوباكتريم والاكروموباكتر والكروموباكتريم والبرسينيا انيتروكوليتكا بنسب مختلفة. وكذلك بغض النظر عن حالة الصحة للأسماك فـــان الفحص الكيميائي وضع أن متوسطات قيم الأس الأيوني الهيدر وجيني كانت ٧٠٠١٨ ع.٠٠ ، ٠,٠١٣±٧,٤ وكذلك ٦,٩٨ ±٦,٩٨ في أسماك البلطي والقرموط والبوري علـــــي التوالــــي وكان متوسط المركبات النيتروجينية الطيارة ١٩,٦٠، ١٩,٧٣، ٢٣,١٣ مجم لكل ١٠٠ جرام

#### SUMMARY

A total of 300 healthy and diseased fish samples representing Tilapia nilotica, Clarias lazera and Mugil cephalus (100 of each) were collected from different fish farms in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate to evaluate their bacteriological and chemical qualities. The obtained results indicated that the mean values of Aerobic Plale Count (APC). Psychrotrophic and Enterobacteriaceae counts of healthy fish samples were  $3 \times 10^3 + 0.12$  $x10^3$ ,  $1.68x10^3+0.12x10^3$ ,  $8.0x10^2+1.76x10^2$  bacteria per gram of muscle samples, for Tilapia nilotica. On the other hand, in case of Clarias lazera the mean values of the same bacterial groups were  $3.03 \times 10^3 + 0.44 \times 10^3$ .  $1.76 \times 10^3 + 0.07 \times 10^3$  and  $3.28 \times 10^2 + 0.33 \times 10^2$ , per gram of muscles. While these mean values were  $1.86 \times 10^3 + 0.10 \times 10^3$ ,  $1.43 \times 10^2 + 0.65 \times 10^2$ , and 2.70x10+0.10 x 10 bacteria per gram of muscle samples of Mugil cephalus, respectively. In case of diseased fish muscle samples the results in Tilapia nilotica were  $6.17 \times 10^5 \pm 0.32 \times 10^5$ ,  $4.16 \times 10^4 \pm 0.14 \times 10^4$  and 4.80x10<sup>3</sup>+0.22x10<sup>3</sup>, respectively. In Clarias lazera muscle sample the mean values were  $6.25 \times 10^5 + 0.21 \times 10^5$ ,  $3.75 \times 10^4 + 0.21 \times 10^4$  and  $6.25 \times 10^3$ + 0.21x10<sup>3</sup>/gram muscle, respectively, while in case of muscle samples from diseased Mugil cephalus the mean values were 2.30x10<sup>5</sup>+0.12x10<sup>5</sup>,  $1.65 \times 10^4 \pm 0.15 \times 10^4$  and  $3.60 \times 10^3 \pm 0.19 \times 10^3$  per gram muscle sample, respectively. Aeromonas hydrophila, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Vibrio parahaemolyticus. E. coli. Salmonella SDD., Listeria Flavobacterium, Achromobacter, Chromobacterium and Yersinia species were isolated from examined samples with different percentages. Regardless the condition of the fish the overall mean values of pH and Total Volatile Nitrogen for muscle samples were 7.01+0.018 & 19.6+0.43 mg%, 7.04+0.03 and 6.98+0.016 & 19.78+0.28 mg% and 6.98+0.016 & 23.13+0.12 mg% for Tilapia nilotica, Clarias lazera and Mugil cephalus, respectively. Furthermore, the public health significance of isolated bacteria and some recommendations to ensure consumer safety were discussed.

Key words: Monitoring, Farm Fish

#### INTRODUCTION

Many fish diseases especially those caused by bacteria as vibriosis, haemorrhagic septiceamia, gill disease of bacterial cause and diseases associated with skin lesions as discolouration, ulcers, haemorrhagic patches and frunclosis are considered of great importance for both of public health and the shelf of the fish (Inglis et al., 1993).

Okpokwasili and Obah (1991) stated that these diseases are considered of great public health significance as they could be cause disease condition for the consumer in the form of infection, bacterial food poisoning associated gastroenteritis. The disease acts as stress factor resulting in lowering of body resistance leading to invation of the fleshy parts with great amounts of different kinds of saprophytic bacteria localized normally in gastrointestinal tract gills and the surface slime coat of the skin (Hayes, 1986). Another important point stated by Shewan, 1971 was the bad setting and higher pH which is suitable for the growth and multiplication of bacteria with consequent bad keeping quality especially in long distances of transportation. In addition saling of such fish especially when the lesions of the disease condition are present will result in unacceptability from the consumer such fish are considered absolutely dangerous on the health condition of the consumer although if it was accepted by organoleptic examination and of economic loses especially in farms of intensive production Wong et al. (1967). The present result study was planned out to investigate the quality monitoring of some marketed fish in Kafr El Sheikh Governorate

# **MATERIAL and METHODS**

#### Samples collection:

Three hundred fish samples were collected from different fish farms in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Samples were represented by three species of fish of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Clarias lazera* and *Mugil cephalus* (100 of each). Each fish species included healthy and diseased fish (50 samples of each). The diseased groups were appear by symptoms which are not attract the attention of consumer (i.e. apparently healthy). The collected samples were transferred to the laboratory under complete hygienic condition with as possible minimum time of delay. They were subjected to the following examination.

A) Organoleptic examination: were carried out according to Braumuller (1958). The results were recorded and calculated in Table (1).

# B) Bacteriological examination:

# a. Preparation of Samples and TAPC (ICMSF, 1978):

5 grams of fish was taken from the dorsal muscles after immersion the fish in alcohol 70% and flaming its surface as well as rusting of the area of the skin at the site of sampling. Under complete sterile condition the intermediate dorsal muscle layer were taken. Using stomacher the sample was mixed well with 45 ml of sterile Peptone water 1%, then, a decimal dilution using sterile Peptone water 1%, sterile test tubes and sterile pippets. 1 ml from each dilution were inoculated into sterile duplicated sets of petri-dishes. Then, Standard Plate Count Agar melted and tempered at 45° C  $\pm$  1° C was poured on each inoculated dish about 15 ml. Well mixing by tilting and circuling movement. After the deheshes solidified they were incubated at 35  $\pm$  1° C for 24 hrs (ICMSF, 1978) after incubation the results recorded, calculated and statistically tabulated.

- **b.** Psychrotrophic count: (ICMSF, 1978) using pouring technique and Standard Plate Count Agar similar procedures as in total aerobic plate count but the incubation at 7° C for 10 days (ICMSF, 1978). Results were recorded and calculated.
- c. Total Enterobacteriaceae Count: (Gork, 1976) using Violet Red Bile Glucose agar.
- d. Isolated and Identification of bacteria: Carried out according to modified Vanderzant and Nickleson (1969) identification sckems. The frequency distribution for each isolated bacteria were recorded and tabulated depending on the number of the samples.

#### B) Chemical examination:

## Determination of pH:

Determination of pH (Hydrogen Ion Concentration) of fish muscle sample according to Pearson (1984) using Digital pH meter C.D. 620).

#### **Determinaiton of TVN:**

Determination of Total Volatile Nitrogen in fish muscles of examined samples using Conway's Microdiffusion Method (1957).

#### RESULTS

The results are obtained at Tables (1, 2, 3 and 4)

#### DISCUSSION

#### A) Organoleptic examination:

The present results in Table (1) revealed that the organoleptic examination of the collected healthy fish samples were 64.2%, 71.4% and 78.5% of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Clarias lazera* and *Mugil cephalus*, respectively; they were considered fit for human consumption according to the Braumuller Scale (1958). While in case of the diseased fish samples (apparently healthy collected fish samples were 34.2%, 42.8% and 50.0%, respectively, in case of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Clarias lazera* and *Mugil cephalus*. They were considered of border line i.e. (rapid consumed samples) according to Braumuller Scale (1958).

#### B) Bacteriological examination:

The obtained results in Table (2) revealed that the Total Aerobic Plate, Psychrotrophic and Enterobacteriaceae counts in an overall mean were  $3.1 \times 10^5 \pm 0.35 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.16 \times 10^4 \pm 0.28 \times 10^4$  and  $2.8 \times 10^3 \pm 0.24 \times 10^3$ , respectively in case of *Tilapia nilotica*,  $3.14 \times 10^5 \pm 0.33 \times 10^5$ ,  $1.96 \times 10^4 \pm 0.21 \times 10^4$  and  $3.29 \times 10^3 \pm 0.13 \times 10^3$ , respectively in case of *Clarias lazera* and  $1.16 \times 10^5 \pm 0.13 \times 10^5$ ,  $8.32 \times 10^3 \pm 1.11 \times 10^3$  and  $1.81 \times 10^3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3$ , respectively, in case of *Mugil cephalus* (Table 2).

Regardless the type of fish, it was found that Aerobic Plate, Psychrotrophic and Enterobacteriaceae counts in case of healthy fish samples were  $2.63 \times 10^3 \pm 0.04 \times 10^3$ ,  $1.19 \times 10^3 \pm 0.08 \times 10^3$  and  $3.81 \times 10^2 \pm 0.65 \times 10^2$ , respectively. While in case of diseased fish samples were  $4.91 \times 10^5 \pm 0.20 \times 10^5$ ,  $3.19 \times 10^4 \pm 0.18 \times 10^4$  and  $4.88 \times 10^3 \pm 0.15 \times 10^3$ , respectively.

Moreover, it was found that significant variance (P<0.05) was recorded in case of all bacterial counts of healthy and diseased fish. Also a significant variance appeared between the different types of fish samples.

There was a significant difference between all bacterial counts within the same conditions and within the same type.

Results obtained were nearly similar to those reported by Hunter (1920) who recorded that the bacterial counts from the belly walls of disordered salmon was about two or three times as for healthy ones. Shewan (1971) recorded that the counts of bacteria ranged between zero to 103 depending on the condition of environment in which the fish life, method of catching, degree of exhusion, stress of fish during catching, the type of fish, the type of muscle and the healthy condition of fish. Moreover, Jay (1986), Hayes (1992), Youssef et al. (1992), and Macphee et al. (1995) they stated that, as is the case for meat animals the inner tissues of healthy fish are sterile. The present result in Table (3) revealed that the frequency distribution of isolation and identified bacteria from muscles of three types of fish samples under healthy and diseased condition with different percentages they were, Acromonas hydrophila, Pseudomonas aerogenosa, Yersinia enteroclotica and Yersinia rukri, Edwardsiella tarda. Vibrioparahaemolyticus. Escherichia coli. Salmonella Flavobacterium. Chromobacterium Spp. and Achromobacterium and Listeria spp.

Most of these bacteria are considered as a disease problem in fish, and little of them considered spoilage agent, although if they are present in high number can lead to health problems for the consumer from the public health point of view.

Inglis et al. (1993) reported that it is important to recognized the potential health hazard with which fish might be associated, so that they can be reduced as far as possible. Some bacterial infections of fish and fish products may directly influence human health and classified human infection caused by bacteria in fish into food poisoning and gastroenteritis due to Salmonella spp. Vibrio Spp. and Clostridium spp.

### C) Biochemical examination:

The results in table (4) revealed that the pH values of healthy fish samples in an overall mean were  $6.98 \pm 0.009$  and  $7.03 \pm 0.02$  in diseased ones, regardless the type of fish. While the Total Volatile Nitrogen in relation to the condition of fish samples was  $19.24 \pm 0.3$  mg percent in case of healthy fish samples and  $22.4 \pm 0.009$  mg percent in diseased fish samples.

Concerning the type of fish, the Total Volatile Nitrogen in an overall mean were  $19.6 \pm 0.43$ ,  $19.73 \pm 0.28$  and  $23.13 \pm 0.17$  mg percent in case of *Tilapia nilotica*, *Clarias lazera* and *Mugil cephalus*, respectively, (table 3).

Their was a moderate significant differences between all treatments in case of the pH values, while it was highly significant in case of Total Volatile Nitrogen.

The results agreed with Ayres et al. (1980), Jay (1986) and (1996), Hayes (1992) and Inglis et al. (1993).

Reay and Shewan (1949) stated that the pH of muscles of fish depends on the state of fatigue of the animal immediately perior to killing or more specifically to the muscle glycogen available for degradation to lactic acid Ellist (1947) reported that, catching method, season, area of capture, bacterial load, types of bacteria, healthy condition, degree of exhaustion and handling after catching. Moreover, Fraizier and Westhoff (1988) stated that the pH of the flesh of fish has an important influence on its perishability, not only because of its influence on the growth of bacteria. Wong et al. (1967) reported that Total Volatile Nitrogen present in the fish are commonly used as indices of fish spoilage.

Lang (1979) concluded that fish is considered fresh if the TVN is less than 20 mg percent and if it 30 mg percent considers state, whilst.

#### REFERENCES

- Ayres, J.C.; Mundt, J.O. and Sandine, W.F. (1980). Microbiology of food "fish and shellfish" chapter, 18 p. 441-476. San Francisco, W.H. Freeman and Company.
- Braumuller, H. (1958). Der Wert der kemizahlung für die freschebear leilung von see. Fischen. Invg. Diss. Berlin.
- Conway, B.J. (1957). Microdiffusion analysis and volumetric error. Crosby Lock Wood and Sans, Ltd, London.
- Elliot, R.P. (1947). Evaluation of surface pH as freshness index for fish fillets. Food Research, 12: 87-98.
- Frazier, W.C. and Westhoff, D.C. (1988). Food Microbiology. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. New York, Mcgraw-Hill.
- Gork, F.P. (1976). Uber die ursachen von aualitatsamangelin bei tiefgefroten fertiggerichten auf fleishbasic in der fluggast Verp Flegung. D. Ing. Diss.; Tu Berlin. (Cited after Hamdy and Nouman 1980).
- Hayes, P.R. (1992). Food Microbiology and Hygiene. [Book] 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Elsevier Science Publishers (LTD).

- Hunter, A.C. (1920). Bacterial decomposition of salmon. J. Bacteriol. 5: 353-361.
- ICMSF (1978). Microorganisms in foods. Vol. II Univ. of Toronto, Press, Toronto Canada. 213 p. International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Foods.
- Inglis, V.; Ronald, J.R. and Niall, R. (1993). Bacterial Disease of Fish(Book), Black-Well Scientific Publication Osny Mead Oxford-OX<sub>2</sub> OEL.
- Jay, M.J. (1986). Modern Food Microbiology 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. CBS Publishers and distribution (Indian).
- Jay, M.J. (1996). Modern Food Microbiology fifth Edition, Chapman and Hall New York, NY 10003 International Thompson Publishing.
- Lang, K. (1979). Archives Lebensmittell Hygiene, 30: 215 (Cited by Pearson, 1984).
- Macphee, D.D.; Ostland, V.E.; Lumsden, J.S.; Derksen, J. and Ferguson, H.L.D. (1995). Influence of feeding on the development of bacterial gill disease in rainbow trout oncrophynchus mykiss. Diseases of Aquatic organisms, 21: 3, 163-178.
- Okpokwasili, G.C. and Obah, O.O. (1991). Relationship between water quality and bacteria associated with the brown patch disease of *Tilapia fingerlings* reared in tyrannical fresh water culture ponds. Journal of Agriculture in the Tropics 6(2): 197-172.
- Pearson, D. (1984). Chemical analysis of Foods. [ed.] Harold Egan, Ronald Krikm, Ronald Sayer. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. London. Butterworth.
- Reay, G.A. and Shewan, J.M. (1949). The spoilage of fish and its preservation by chilling. Recent Advantage of Food Research, 2: 343-390.
- Shewan, J.M. (1971). Bacteriological standard for fish and fishery products. Chemy. Ind. Feb. 7: 193-199.
- Vanderzant, C. and Nickelson, R. (1969). A microbiological examination of muscle tissue of beef, pork and lamb carcasses. J. Milk and Food Technology, 32: 357-361.
- Wong, N.P.; Damico, J.N. and Salwin, H. (1967). Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists 508.
- Youssef, H.; El-Timawy, K. and Ahmed, S. (1992). Role of aerobic intestinal pathogens of fresh water fish in transmission of human diseases. Journal of Food Protection, 55: 9, 739-740.

Table (1): Organoleptic examination of examined fish.

| Condition of fish | Ideal   | Total           | Healthy samples |      |         | Diseased sample |        |         |  |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|--|
| Type of fish      | degree  | ideal<br>degree |                 |      | Percent | No. x           | degree | Percent |  |
| Tilapia nilotica  | 50 x 28 | 1400            | 50 x 19         | 950  | 64.2%   | 50 x 10         | 500    | 34.2%   |  |
| Clarias lazera    | 50 x 28 | 1400            | 50 x 20         | 1000 | 71.4%   | 50 x 12         | 600    | 42.8%   |  |
| Mugil cephalus    | 50 x28  | 1400            | 50 x 22         | 1100 | 78.5%   | 50 x14          | 700    | 50%     |  |

50 degrees or more

Fit for consumption

25-50 degrees 25 or less degrees Border line or (rapid consumption).

Decomposed and unfit for consumption.

Table (2): Mean values of bacterial counts in examined fish.

| Condition of fish   | Healthy                                     | Disease                                             | Overall mean                                     |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Type of fish        | Mean + S.E.                                 | Mean ± S.E.                                         | <u>+</u> S.E.                                    |
| • Tilapla nilotica  |                                             |                                                     |                                                  |
| T.A.P.C.            | $3.0 \times 10^{3} \pm 0.12 \times 10^{3}$  | $6.17 \times 10^{5} \pm 0.32 \times 10^{5}$         | $3.1 \times 10^{5} \pm 0.35 \times 10^{5}$       |
| T.Ps.C.             | $1.68 \times 10^3 \pm 0.12 \times 10^3$     | $4.16 \times 10^4 \pm 0.41 \times 10^4$             | $2.16 \times 10^4 \pm 0.28 \times 10^4$          |
| T.E.C.              | $8.0 \times 10^2 \pm 1.76 \times 10^2$      | $4.80 \times 10^3 \pm 0.22 \times 10^3$             | $2.80 \times 0^3 \pm 0.24 \times 10_3$           |
| Clarias lazera      |                                             |                                                     |                                                  |
| T.A.P.C.            | $3.03 \times 10^3 \pm 0.44 \times 10^3$     | 6.25 x 10° ± 0.21 x 10°                             | $3.14 \times 10^{5} \pm 0.33 \times 10^{5}$      |
| T.Ps.C.             | $1.76 \times 10^{9} \pm 0.07 \times 10^{9}$ | $3.75 \times 10^4 \pm 0.21 \times 10^4$             | $1.96 \times 10^4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^4$           |
| T.E.C.              | $3.28 \times 10^2 \pm 0.33 \times 10^2$     | $6.25 \times 10^{3} \pm 0.21 \times 10^{3}$         | $3.29 \times 10^{1} \pm 0.136 \times 10^{3}$     |
| Mugil cephalus      |                                             |                                                     |                                                  |
| T.A.P.C.            | $1.86 \times 10^3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$      | $2.30 \times 10^{\circ} \pm 0.12 \times 10^{\circ}$ | $1.16 \times 10^5 \pm 00.13 \times 10^5$         |
| T.Ps.C.             | $1.43 \times 10^2 \pm 0.65 \times 10^2$     | $1.65 \times 10^4 \pm 0.15 \times 10^4$             | $8.32 \times 10^{3} \pm 1.11 \times 10^{3}$      |
| T.E.C.              | $2.70 \times 10 \pm 0.1 \times 10$          | $3.60 \times 10^3 \pm 0.19 \times 10^3$             | $1.81 \times 10^{3} \pm 0.2 \times 10^{3}$       |
| Overall mean + S.E. |                                             |                                                     |                                                  |
| T.A.P.C.            | $2.63 \times 10^3 \pm 0.04 \times 10^3$     | $4.91 \times 10^{5} \pm 0.20 \times 10^{5}$         | $2.47 \times 10^{5} \pm 0.17 \times 10^{5(4)}$   |
| T.Ps.C.             | $1.19 \times 10^{3} \pm 0.08 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.19 \times 10^4 \pm 0.18 \times 10^4$             | 1.65 x 104 ± 0.13 x 104(++                       |
| T.E.C.              | $3.81 \times 10^2 \pm 0.65 \times 10^2$     | $4.88 \times 10^3 \pm 0.15 \times 10^3$             | $2.63 \times 10^{7} \pm 0.13 \times 10^{3(***)}$ |

T.A.P.C. = Total Aerobic Count

T.Ps.C. = Total Psychrotrophic Count.

T.E.C. = Total Enterobacteriaceae Count

S.E. = Standard Error + Significant

++ = Moderately significant +++ = Highly significant Table (3): Frequency distribution of the isolated and identified bacteria of public health significant from the examined fish muscles.

| Isolated                | Tilapia nilotica |      | Clarias lazera |      | Mugil cephalus |      | Total |        |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|------|-------|--------|
| bacteria                | No.              | %    | No.            | %    | No.            | %    | No.   | 1 %    |
| Aeromonas hydrophila    | 6                | 3%   | 6              | 3.0% | 7              | 3.5% | 19    | 12.67% |
| Pseudomonas aerogenosa  | 7                | 3.5% | 5              | 2.5% | 6              | 3.0% | 18    | 12.00% |
| Yersinia ruckri         | 3                | 1.5% | 6              | 3.0% | 7              | 3.5% | 16    | 10.66% |
| Edward siella           | 4                | 2.0% | 5              | 2.5% | 6              | 3.0% | 15    | 10.00% |
| Vibrio parahaemolyticus | 6                | 3.0% | 7              | 3.5% | 8              | 4.0% | 21    | 14.00% |
| Salmonella species      | 2                | 1.0% | 3              | 1.5% | 1              | 0.5% | 6     | 4.00%  |
| Escherichia coli        | 5                | 2.5% | 4              | 2.0% | 3              | 1.5% | 12    | 8.00%  |
| Listeria spp.           | 1                | 0.5% | 1              | 0.5% | 1              | 0.5% | 3     | 2.00%  |
| Flavobacterium          | 5                | 2.5% | 3              | 1.5% | 2              | 1.0% | 10    | 6.67%  |
| Achromobacter           | 5                | 2.5% | 4              | 1.0% | 3              | 1.5% | 12    | 8.00%  |
| Chromobacterium         | 5                | 2.5% | 4              | 2.0% | 4              | 2.0% | 13    | 8.67%  |
| Yersinia enterocolotica | 1                | 0.5% | 2              | 1.0% | 2              | 1.0% | 5     | 3.33%  |
| Total                   | 50               | 100% | 50             | 100% | 50             | 100% | 150   | 100%   |

No. of isolates and percent calculated depending of the number of fish collected samples.

Table (4): Mean pH and TVN of examined fish.

|   | Condition of fish       | Healthy          | Disease          | Overall mean             |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
|   | Type of fish            | Mean + S.E.      | Mean + S.E.      | + S.E.                   |  |  |
|   | Tilapia nilotica        |                  |                  |                          |  |  |
|   | pH                      | $6.99 \pm 0.02$  | $7.01 \pm 0.03$  | $7.01 \pm 0.018$         |  |  |
|   | TVN                     | 15.79 ± 03.4     | $23.4 \pm 0.21$  | $19.6 \pm 0.43$          |  |  |
|   | Clarias lazera          |                  |                  |                          |  |  |
|   | pH                      | $7.01 \pm 0.01$  | $7.07 \pm 0.03$  | $7.04 \pm 0.013$         |  |  |
|   | TVN                     | $19.35 \pm 0.45$ | $20.7 \pm 0.21$  | $19.73 \pm 0.28$         |  |  |
| • | Mugil cephalus          |                  |                  |                          |  |  |
|   | pH                      | $7.01 \pm 0.01$  | $7.07 \pm 0.03$  | $6.98 \pm 0.016$         |  |  |
|   | TVN                     | $22.27 \pm 0.24$ | $23.70 \pm 0.21$ | $23.13 \pm 0.17$         |  |  |
|   | Overall mean $\pm$ S.E. |                  |                  |                          |  |  |
|   | pH                      | $6.98 \pm 0.01$  | $7.03 \pm 0.02$  | $7.00 \pm 0.01^{(++)}$   |  |  |
|   | TVN                     | $19.24 \pm 0.30$ | $22.40 \pm 0.01$ | $20.82 \pm 0.20^{(+++)}$ |  |  |

++ = Moderately significant

+++ = Highly significant

pH = Hydrogen Ion Concentration Values

TVN = Total Volatile Nitrogen mg/100 gm of muscle