
Effect of Biofloc, Feeding Rate and Dietary Protein Levels on Growth Performance and Feed Utilization of Nile Tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*), Flathead Grey Mullet, (*Mugil cephalus*) and Thin Lipped Mullet, (*Liza ramada*) Fingerlings in Polyculture

H. A. El-kady, E. A. Omar, T. M. Srou and M. F. Salem

Animal and Fish Production Dept. , Faculty of Agriculture (Saba-Basha), Alexandria University, Egypt.

ABSTRACT: The present study was carried out to investigate the effect of biofloc technology (BFT), feeding rate (FR) and dietary protein levels (PL) on growth performance, survival (%) ,feed utilization and economical evaluation parameters of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) flathead grey mullet, (*Mugil cephalus*) and thin-lipped mullet, (*Liza ramada*) fingerlings. Two different daily feeding rate ($FR^{2\%BW}$ and $FR^{3\%BW}$) and three dietary protein levels ($PL^{20\%}$, $PL^{25\%}$ and $PL^{30\% CP}$) under the conditions of regular water exchange system or zero water exchange using BFT (Biofloc technology) were studied. The 12 experimental treatments were studied in duplicate and were allocated in twenty four 16 m³ concrete ponds. Nile tilapia final body weight (FBW), total weight gain (TWG), average daily gain (ADG), specific growth rate (SGR) (%/ day) and survival (%) were significantly affected by rearing system and feeding rate. Significant difference was observed for the effect of dietary protein level on growth performance of Nile tilapia. It could be concluded that BFT system enhanced survival and growth rates of tilapia and mullet ssp. in polyculture under low feeding levels (2%) and high protein diets (30% CP) regimes.

Keywords: Biofloc, tilapia, mullet, feeding rate, dietary protein level, growth performance, feed utilization, chemical composition, water quality.

INTRODUCTION

Intensive aquaculture systems are used to efficiently produce dense biomasses of fish species. Since fish retain only 20-30% of feed nutrients (Avnimelech and Ritvo, 2003), the rest is excreted and typically accumulates in the water. As a result, intensive aquaculture industry faces two major problems. The first is the water quality deterioration caused by the high concentrations of metabolites and the second is the low feed utilization associated with lower water exchange rate. With almost seven billion people on earth, the demand for aquatic food carries on to increase and hence; expansion and intensification of aquaculture production are highly required. The prime goal of aquaculture expansion must be to produce more aquaculture products in sustainability (Avnimelech and Kochba , 2009; Naylor *et al.* 2000) . The second goal is to build up systems providing an equitable cost / benefit to support economic and social sustainability (Avnimelech and Kochba 2009). Biofloc technology is based upon the running of the pond using minimal water exchange; subsequent development of dense microbial population and managing the microbial population through the adjustment of the C/N ratio, so that it controls inorganic nitrogen concentration in the water. The recycling of feed and minimization of water exchange are important contribution to the economy of tilapia production. Monitoring and fast response to negative developments are essential to the success of the culture. The aim of the present work is to investigate the effects of using biofloc technology (BFT) on growth performance; feed utilization ; chemical composition ; and water quality of Nile tilapia ,(*Oreochromis niloticus*) flathead grey mullet, (*Mugil cephalus*) and thin-lipped, (*Liza ramada*) fingerlings

under two feeding rate (2 and 3 %) and three dietary protein levels 20 , 25 and 30 % in ponds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental fish and culture techniques:

Technique and duration:

The present study was carried-out at private fish hatchery belongs to El-kady fish farms group, Mutubis province, Kafr El-Sheik Governorate, Egypt. This experiment started on August, 08, 2014 and continued for 110 days using 2X2X3 in factorial design; two daily feeding rates (2% & 3% BW), three dietary protein levels (20%, 25%, and 30% CP) under zero water exchange biofloc system (BFT) or regular water exchange system (RS). The experimental treatments were subjected to be studied as follows (Table 1)

Table (1). Experimental treatments and design

Treatments	Water exchange	Feeding rate (%)	Protein level (%)
T 1	Regular	2	20
T2	Regular	2	25
T3	Regular	2	30
T4	Regular	3	20
T5	Regular	3	25
T6	Regular	3	30
T7	Biofloc	2	20
T8	Biofloc	2	25
T9	Biofloc	2	30
T10	Biofloc	3	20
T11	Biofloc	3	25
T12	Biofloc	3	30

Concrete ponds:

Twenty four concrete ponds each measuring Approximately 16 m³ (3×7×0.76 m) width, length and depth of respectively under green-house condition were used, ponds were filled with surface water. Drainage water from draining canal was used as a source of inoculation of microbiota, in addition to 50 gm Urea as a source of nitrogen, while the control ponds were designed under open flow system. The experimental ponds represented the twelve experimental treatments in duplicate.

Rearing techniques:

Nile Tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*), flathead grey mullet, (*Mugil cephalus*) and thin lipped mullet, (*Liza ramada*) fingerlings 4.5, 10 and 3.5 g/fish, respectively were obtained from private fish farm located in Mutubis province, Kafr El-Sheik Governorate, Egypt. Prior to the start of the experiment, experimental fish were acclimatized to the new water conditions for two weeks and fed on a formulated diet. The fingerlings were stocked in at a density of 159 (145 tilapia+ 5 flathead mullet+ 9 thin-lipped mullet) fish / pond equivalent to 10 fish / m³. Fish were reared under natural light (12:12 h, light: dark schedule). The water volume was

maintained at approximately 17 m³, and loss of water due to evaporation and leakage was replaced whenever necessary according to water size in BFT ponds. Water in regular system ponds was exchanged system at a rate of 16 m³/ day equivalent to 100% daily exchange rate per pond, twice daily. Aeration was continuously provided using 5.5 Hp ring air blower (Saad Zakhary Co. for electric motors). Also, agitation was kept at biofloc ponds by continuously strong aeration.

Experimental diets formation and preparation:

The three experimental diets were formulated from fish meal, soybean meal, yellow corn, wheat bran, wheat flour, carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC), ascorbic acid, vegetable oil, vitamins and minerals mixture. Ingredients were obtained from the local market and the dry ingredients were mixed thoroughly at first and with oil thereafter. The experimental diets were pelleted, all diets were put into plastic bags after samples had been taken and stored in deep freezer until use. The composition (%) and Chemical analysis (% dry matter basis) of experimental diets are presented in Table 2.

Table (2). Formula and chemical analysis (%) of the experimental diets.

Ingredients	20% CP	25%CP	30%CP
Fish meal	20	40	50
Soy bean meal	185	225	350
Rice polishing	260.5	225.5	125.5
Wheat middling's	250	200	180
Corn gluten	50	100	110
Corn grain	200	175	150
Veg. oil	1.5	1.5	1.5
Salt	5	5	5
Di cal.	25	25	25
Vit. and Min ¹ . premix	3	3	3
CMC ²			
Total	1000	1000	1000
Proximate composition %			
Moisture	7.20	7.00	6.90
Dry matter	92.80	93.00	93.10
Crude protein	20.46	25.15	30.17
Ether extract	10.14	10.38	11.31
Crude fiber	7.25	6.81	6.06
Ash	7.40	8.54	8.93
NFE ³	54.75	49.12	43.54
GE ⁴ Kcal/ 100 g diet) ⁴	436.10	441.75	455.82

(1) Vitamins and minerals mixture : Each 1 kg contains Vit A (400000 i.u.), Vit D (100000 i.u.), Vit E (250 mg), Vit K3 (200 mg), Vit B1 (200 mg), Vit B2 70mg, Vit B6 (200mg), Vit B12 (1mg), Vit C 450mg, Niacin 1000mg, Methionine 1000mg, Cholin chloride 10000mg, Folic acid 100mg, Biotin 2mg, Panthonic acid 220mg, Magnesium sulphate 1000mg, Copper sulphate 1000mg, Iron sulphate 3000mg, Zinc sulphate , 600mg, Cobalt sulphate 100mg, Carrier upto 1000mg.

(2) CMC: Carboxy methyl cellulose

(3) Total carbohydrate = 100 - (CP + EE + Ash + CF)

(4) Gross energy (GE) was calculated as 5.64, 9.44 and 4.11 kcal/g for protein, lipid and NFE, respectively NRC, (2012).

Feeding regime:

All fish were fed the experimental diets (20, 25, and 30% CP) using daily ration of 2 or 3 % of the total stocked biomass two times daily.

Water quality and samples:

Water quality parameters were monitored during the study period to follow the changes under biofloc system compared to control treatments (regular water exchange). Temperature and pH values of the water samples were measured using graduating thermometer and portable digital pH meter Martini Instruments (Model 201/digital). Water salinity and total dissolved solid (TDS) were measured using Salinometer Y.S.I (Bekman, Model RS-10). Dissolved oxygen was measured using oxygen meter model Hanna oxy check. Organic phosphorus were measured by seal AA3 auto analyzer. Ammonia, Nitrite, and Nitrate were measured every week calorimetrically by kites according to the Animal Health Research Institute (AHRI) Biomedical Chemistry Unite.

Fish sampling:

Representative fish in each pond were weighted every 15 days to the nearest 0.00 g to adjust the feed quantity.

Carbon levels for biofloc system:

Starch was added according to the amount of feeding ration introduced to fish in order to maintain the optimal C/N ratio, (>10- 25: 1) to activate heterotrophic bacteria growth (Avnimelech, 1999). Starch had been completely dissolved in water at plastic barrel, and spread over the pond surfaces at 10 am. Adding starch as a carbohydrate source, shading ponds, and strong aeration condition are the main circumstances that cause floc growth and development (Azim and Little, 2008).

Growth performances, feed utilization parameters, and Survival rate:

Growth indices:

At the end of the experiment random fish samples were selected and weighted to determine mean final body weight (FBW), Total weight gain (TWG), average daily gain (ADG), specific growth rate (SGR %) and feed conversion ratio (FCR), which were calculated according to (El-Saidy and Gaber, 2004).

Feed Intake and Feed conversion ratio were also calculated according to (Azim and Little, 2008).

Survival %:

Survival % was calculated in all experimental units according to Ricker (1975) and Newman and Martin (1983) .

$$\text{Survival (\%)} = (\text{No. of fish at the end} / \text{No. of fish at the start}) \times 100$$

Proximate analysis:

Fish and diets analysis:

At the beginning and the end of the trial, random pooled samples of fish and diets were collected and sacrificed for determination of initial whole-body proximate or chemical composition were done according to AOAC (1995 and 2000).

Analytical methods

1) Physico-chemical parameters of water

Water dissolved oxygen, pH, , nitrite and nitrate were determined according to (APHA,1999 and Grasshoff *et al.*, 1999)

2) Biofloc volume (FV)

Biofloc volume (FV) was determined on site using Imhoff cones daily registering the volume taken in by the flocs in 1000 ml of the tank water after 30 min sedimentation (Avnimelech and Kochba, 2009).

3) Statistical analysis:

Data of the experiment were analyzed using two ways ANOVA. Significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) among means were tested by the method of Duncan (1955).The analyses of variance (ANOVA) were made according to Snedecor and Cochran (1981).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water quality

The overall mean, standard error, and range of water temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH are displayed in Table 3. All the environmental variables during the study period were within the range considered suitable for the culture of Nile tilapia.

A temperature in water of all treatments was in optimal condition for fish culture which ranged from 26.0-27.5°C (Table 3). Tekelioglu (1998) recommended a preferred temperature values for tilapia between 20 to 35 °C.

No significant differences in pH were found among treatments. pH was lower in the T9 (ranged from 7.91- 8.54) compared to T1 (ranged 7.81- 8.82). The pH were lower in the T9 treatments, suggesting a reducing condition in such treatments, probably due to the activity of heterotrophic bacteria, which release CO₂ to the water column causing a pH decrease. Contrarily, in the regular water exchange system (RS) treatments, where the photosynthesis was enhanced, the phytoplankton in agriculture drain water produced CO₂ during the night, but sequesters it during the day, causing pH increases. A similar trend was observed by many authors (Tacon *et al.*, 2002 and Wasielesky *et al.*, 2006). In addition others, (Chen *et al.*, 2006; Ebeling *et al.*, 2006 and Rijn *et al.*, 2006) reported a decrease in pH during the chemoautotrophic nitrification process as a result of CaCO₃ consumption and the release of CO₂ and pH into

the culture medium. The significant increase in pH may have been as the result of enhanced photosynthesis.

Dissolved oxygen remained within the recommended range for growth of tilapia. By aerating the DO average was kept above 5 mg/L these values within the recommended levels of DO as reported by many researchers (El-Sayed, 2006; Kutty, 1996; Tsadik and Kutty, 1987 and Bergheim, 2007). The incidents of increased DO were higher in the regular water exchange treatments T1-T6 (ranged from 5.06 to 6.7 mg/L) compared to zero-exchange water system T7-T12 (ranged from 5.0 to 5.9 mg/L). This may explained by the high consumption of dissolved oxygen by heterotrophic organisms in biofloc treatments.

The concentrations of nitrogen species measured during this study are presented in Table 3. The incidents of increased TAN and nitrite-N were higher in the regular water exchange treatments T1-T6 compared to zero-exchange water system T7-T12. T1 showed relatively higher Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN) (0.61 mg/L) concentrations. The difference in TAN concentrations between regular exchange water and the other BFT treatments was expected as there is increase in the heterotrophic bacteria activities in BFT treatment which process to decrease TAN by nitrification.

Within the BFT treatments nitrate-N gradually decreased in all treatments, this may be explained by the low dose of nitrogen delivered for the system (Kirchman, 1994; Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 2000).

The significant low TAN and NO₂ values recorded for regular water exchange treatments. This decrease probably relates to nitrogen species uptake by phytoplankton in these treatments in particular when there is limited ammonia-N available in the water (Hargreaves, 1998).

Table (3). Mean±SE of water quality criteria in ponds as affected by rearing system, feeding levels and dietary protein levels

Treatment	Temp. C°	pH	O ₂ (mg/L)	TAN (mg/L)	NO ₂ (mg/L)	NO ₃ (mg/L)
T1	26.63±0.57a (26.0-27.5)	8.21±0.38a (7.81-8.82)	6.11±0.4ab (5.43-6.48)	0.58±0.4ab (0.15-0.95)	0.35±0.3a (0.20-0.95)	0.35±0.26a (0.17- 0.84)
T2	26±00c (26-26)	8.14±0.33a (7.76-8.55)	6.14±0.39ab (5.56-6.52)	0.59±0.26ab (0.17-0.83)	0.21±0.012a (0.19-0.23)	0.30±0.17a (0.18-0.54)
T3	26.2±0.31bc (26-26.6)	8.13±0.282a (7.82-8.49)	6.24±0.45a (5.56-6.7)	0.61±0.27a (0.17-0.95)	0.21±0.03a (0.16-0.25)	0.24±0.12a (0.15-0.40)
T4	26.38±0.3ab (26-26.6)	8.16±0.34a (7.73-8.52)	6.09±0.36ab (5.65-6.43)	0.49±0.31abc (0.10-0.85)	0.23±0.02a (0.20-0.27)	0.30±0.11a (0.19-0.44)
T5	26±00c (26-26)	8.11±0.34a (7.72-8.56)	6.1±0.49ab (5.43-6.43)	0.45±0.34abcd (0.1-0.89)	0.22±0.05a (0.18-0.32)	0.24±0.11a (0.15-0.45)
T6	26.25±0.274c (26-26.5)	8.12±0.32a (7.75-8.56)	6.36±0.4a (5.79-6.70)	0.31±0.23cbd (0.12-0.64)	0.22±0.02a (0.2-0.25)	0.24±0.09a (0.170-0.37)
T7	26±0c (26-26)	8.1±0.19a (7.87-8.4)	5.31±0.21bc (5.06-5.56)	0.19±0.03d (0.15-0.23)	0.35±0.39b (0.02-0.89)	0.1±0.09b (0.02-0.27)
T8	26±0.0c (26-26)	8.12±0.18a (7.94-8.41)	5.31±0.19bc (5.07-5.56)	0.22±0.05cd (0.18-0.31)	0.3±0.04b (0.02-0.85)	0.06±0.03b (0.02-0.09)
T9	26.25±0.27bc (26-26.5)	8.07±0.25a (7.91-8.54)	5.43±.32bc (5-5.93)	0.20±0.03d (0.15-0.24)	0.31±0.4b (0.02-0.85)	0.06±0.02b (0.03-0.08)
T10	26.08±0.20bc (26-26.5)	8.12±0.28a (7.9-8.66)	5.48±0.22bc (5.06-5.7)	0.2±0.02d (0.17-0.24)	0.31±0.4b (0.02-0.91)	0.06±0.03b (0.02-0.09)
T11	26.25±0.27bc (26-26.5)	8.01±0.1a (7.91-8.19)	5.46±0.3c (5.0-5.93)	0.22±0.02d (0.18-0.26)	0.28±0.36b (0.02-0.76)	0.03±0.02b (0.02-0.08)
T12	26.17±0.26bc (26-26.5)	8.09±0.24a (7.9-8.51)	5.52±0.07bc (5.43-5.61)	0.25±0.07cd (0.17-0.34)	0.31±0.39b (0.02-0.82)	0.07±0.02b (0.05-0.09)

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

Growth performance

Nile tilapia

Table (4) are summarized the growth performance parameters of tilapia as affected by the experimental treatments rearing system had also effects on FBW. BFT group had significantly higher FBW (75.33 g/fish) compared to the RS group (70.54 g/fish). Feeding rate factor had effects on FBW FR^{3%} group had significantly higher FBW (75.108 g/fish) compared to the FR^{2%} group (70.77 g/fish). Dietary Protein level factor had no effects on FBW. The same trend was observed for FWG, ADG and SGR.

The interactions between rearing system, feeding level and dietary protein level had significant difference on FBW, TWG, ADG and SGR. The highest values were recorded by T12 group (77.50 g/fish, 72.90 g/fish, 0.66 g/day and 2.57 %/day, respectively), while the lowest values were recorded by T1 group (59.41 g/fish, 54.81 g/fish, 0.50 g/day and 2.33 %/day, respectively).

Flathead grey mullet

Table 5 is summarized the growth performance parameters of grey mullet as affected by the experimental treatments Rearing system factor levels had effects on FBW. BFT group had significantly higher FBW (118.83 g) compared to the RS group (103.54 g/fish). Feeding rate factor had effects on FBW. FR^{3%} group had significantly higher FBW (114.58 g) compared to the FR^{2%} group (107.79 g/fish). Dietary Protein level factor had significant effects on FBW. PL^{30%} group had significantly higher FBW (114.63 g) compared to the

PL^{20%} and PL^{25%} group (107.18 and 111.57 g/fish, respectively). The same trend was observed for TWG, ADG and SGR.

The interactions between rearing system, feeding level and dietary protein level had significant difference on FBW, TWG, ADG and SGR. The highest values were recorded by T12 group (126.50 g/fish, 116.50 g/fish, 1.2 g/day and 2.31 %/day, respectively), while the lowest values were recorded by T1 group (95.73 g/fish, 85.73 g/fish, 0.85 g/day and 2.05 %/day, respectively).

Thin-lipped mullet

Table 6 is summarized the growth performance parameters of thin-lipped mullet as affected by the experimental treatments rearing system factor levels had effects on FBW. BFT group had significantly higher FBW (52.0 g/fish) compared to the RS group (44.0 g/fish). Feeding rate factor had effects on FBW. FR^{2%} group had significantly higher FBW (30.81 g/fish) compared to the FR^{3%} group (25.8 g/fish). Dietary Protein level factor had significant effects on FBW. PL^{30%} group had significantly higher FBW (51.75 g) compared to the PL^{20%} and PL^{25%} group (44.50 and 47.75 g/fish, respectively). The same trend was observed for TWG, ADG and SGR.

The interactions between rearing system, Feeding rate and dietary protein level had significant difference on FBW, TWG, ADG and SGR. The highest values were recorded by T12 group (59.0 g/fish, 55.50 g/fish, 0.5 g/day and 2.568 %/day, respectively), while the lowest values were recorded by T1 group (39.0 g/fish, 35.50 g/fish, 0.323 g/day and 2.19%/day, respectively).

Different studies have reported enhanced survival, health, and growth rates of fish and shrimps raised in ponds with high activity of algae, microbial flocs, and other natural biota (Avnimelech, 1999; Moss *et al.*, 2000 and Burford *et al.*, 2004). However it is not yet known exactly how microbial flocs enhance growth, but Izquierdo *et al.* (2006) suggested lipid contributions of microbial flocs are significant. Avnimelech (1999) reported that the microbial protein supplied by the stocked fish biomass was enough to supplement the protein provided by the fish feed.

In culture systems, together with microbial flocs acting as a feed also do play some important ecological roles. The deterioration of water quality due to unconsumed feed, fecal matter of cultured organisms or the presence of other organic matter in culture facilities is nullified because the floc microbes act as conditioner for water. This always control excess nitrogen. The subsequent uptake of nitrogen from the water facilitated synthesis of microbial protein. Hence biofloc based aquaculture system also offers potential to use as zero exchange recirculation aquaculture system (Avnimelech, 2007).

Many of previous studies have shown that growing shrimp (*L. vannamei*) in biofloc systems can improve shrimp survival and growth performance, compared to clear water (Cohen *et al.* 2005; Azim & Little 2008; Mishra *et al.* 2008). One reason for the improved performance is probably related to harvesting and consuming bioflocs by the shrimp. The second reason is therefore, it is assumed that the presumptively large quantity of bacteria

associated with bioflocs may contribute to enhance the immunity as well as growth performance of shrimp when the bioflocs are consumed by shrimp (Rao *et al.*, 2010).

Table (4). Effects of different experimental treatments (rearing system, feeding rates and dietary protein levels on growth performance and survival rates of Nile tilapia fingerlings.

Treatment	Rearing system	Feeding rate	Protein level	IBW (g/fish)	FBW (g/fish)	TWG (g/fish)	ADG (g/fish)	SGR	Survival %
Regular system	Regular system	-	-	4.56a	70.54b	65.99b	0.60 b	2.49 b	98.28a
BFT System	BFT system	-	-	4.566a	75.33a	70.77a	0.64a	2.55a	99.66a
FR ^{2%}	-	2%	-	4.575a	70.77b	66.19b	0.60b	2.49b	98.97a
FR ^{3%}	-	3%	-	4.550a	75.11a	70.56a	0.64a	2.55a	98.97a
PL ^{20%CP}	-	-	20%	4.562a	70.57a	66.00a	0.60a	2.49a	98.88a
PL ^{25%CP}	-	-	25%	4.55a	73.59a	69.04a	0.63a	2.53a	98.88a
PL ^{30%CP}	-	-	30%	4.575a	74.66a	70.09a	0.64a	2.54a	99.14a
T1	Regular	2%	20%	4.600a	59.41c	54.81d	0.498d	2.33d	97.59d
T2	Regular	2%	25%	4.550a	69.30b	64.75c	0.59c	2.48c	97.93d
T3	Regular	2%	30%	4.550a	71.40ab	66.85bc	0.61bc	2.50bc	99.31d
T4	Regular	3%	20%	4.550a	73.35ab	68.80abc	0.63abc	2.53abc	98.62cd
T5	Regular	3%	25%	4.550a	74.55ab	70.00abc	0.64abc	2.54ab	98.28cd
T6	Regular	3%	30%	4.550a	75.25ab	70.70abc	0.64abc	2.55ab	97.93d
T7	BFT	2%	20%	4.600a	75.00ab	70.40abc	0.64abc	2.54abc	99.66ab
T8	BFT	2%	25%	4.550a	75.00ab	70.45abc	0.64abc	2.55ab	100 a
T9	BFT	2%	30%	4.600a	75.00ab	69.90abc	0.64abc	2.53abc	99.31abc
T10	BFT	3%	20%	4.500a	74.50ab	70.00abc	0.64abc	2.55ab	99.66ab
T11	BFT	3%	25%	4.550a	75.50a	70.95ab	0.65ab	2.55ab	99.31abc
T12	BFT	3%	30%	4.600a	77.50a	72.90a	0.66a	2.57a	100a

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

Table (5). Effects of different experimental treatments (rearing system, feeding rates and dietary protein levels on growth performance and survival rates of flathead grey mullet fingerlings

Treatment	Rearing system	Feeding rate	Protein level	IBW (g/fish)	FBW (g/fish)	TWG (g/fish)	ADG (g/fish)	SGR	Survival rate %
Regular system	Regular system	-	-	10.12a ±0.06	103.54b ±1.99	93.42b ±1.97	0.98b ±0.02	2.11b ±0.02	100 ±0
BFT System	BFT system	-	-	10.18a ±0.08	118.83a ±1.34	108.65a ±1.34	1.13a ±0.01	2.23a ±0.01	100 ±0
FR2%	-	2%	-	10.17a ±0.07	107.79a ±3.09	97.62a ±3.06	1.01a ±0.03	2.14a ±0.02	100 ±0
FR3%	-	3%	-	10.13a ±0.07	114.58a ±2.17	104.45a ±2.18	1.09a ±0.02	2.20a ±0.02	100 ±0
PL20%CP	-	-	20%	10.19a ±0.09	107.18a ±3.1	97c ±3.05	1.00c ±0.04	2.14a ±0.02	100 ±0
PL25%CP	-	-	25%	10.13a ±0.08	111.75a ±3.31	101.63b ±3.31	1.06b ±0.03	2.18a ±0.03	100 ±0
PL30%CP	-	-	30%	10.14a ±0.09	114.63a ±3.74	104.49a ±3.73	1.09a ±0.03	2.2a ±0.03	100 ±0
T1	Regular	2%	20%	10.00a ±0.00	95.73e ±1.93	85.73e ±1.93	0.85e ±0.02	2.05e ±0.05	100 ±0
T2	Regular	2%	25%	10.200a ±0.2	98.00ed ±1.00	87.8e ±0.8	0.94d ±0.01	2.05e ±0.01	100 ±0
T3	Regular	2%	30%	10.00a ±0.00	100.00ed ±2.00	90.00ed ±2.00	0.95d ±0.02	2.09ed ±0.02	100 ±0
T4	Regular	3%	20%	10.20a ±0.2	103.50d ±0.5	93.30d ±0.3	0.99d ±0.01	2.11d ±0.01	100 ±0
T5	Regular	3%	25%	10.00a ±0.00	111.00c ±1.00	101.0c ±1.00	1.05c ±0.01	2.19c ±0.01	100 ±0
T6	Regular	3%	30%	10.30a ±0.3	113.00c ±1.0	102.70c ±1.3	1.07bc ±0.03	2.18c ±0.01	100 ±0
T7	BFT	2%	20%	10.25a ±0.25	115.00bc ±0.00	104.75bc ±0.25	1.09bc ±0.02	2.2c ±0.0	100 ±0
T8	BFT	2%	25%	10.30a ±0.3	119.00b ±3.00	108.7b ±2.7	1.13ab ±0.004	2.22bc ±0.03	100 ±0
T9	BFT	2%	30%	10.25a ±0.25	119.00b ±3.00	108.75b ±2.75	1.13ab ±0.0007	2.23bc ±0.03	100 ±0
T10	BFT	3%	20%	10.30a ±0.3	114.50bc ±2.5	104.20bc ±2.2	1.09bc ±0.007	2.19c ±0.022	100 ±0
T11	BFT	3%	25%	10.00a ±0.00	119.00b ±1.00	109.00b ±1.00	1.13ab ±0.01	2.25b ±0.01	100 ±0
T12	BFT	30%	30%	10.00a ±0.00	126.50a ±0.50	116.50a ±0.50	1.20a ±0.004	2.31a ±0.005	100 ±0

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

Table (6). Effects of different experimental treatments (rearing system, feeding rates and dietary protein levels on growth performance and survival rates) of *Liza ramada* fingerlings

Treatment	Rearing system	Feeding rate	Protein level	IBW (g/fish)	FBW (g/fish)	TWG (g/fish)	ADG (g/fish)	SGR	Survival %
Regular system	Regular system	-	-	3.542a ±0.023	44.00b ±1.135	40.46b ±1.135	0.368b ±0.010	2.29b ±0.024	100 ±0
BFT System	BFT system	-	-	3.51a ±0.01	52.00a ±1.308	48.491a ±1.308	0.441a ±0.012	2.45a ±0.023	100 ±0
FR ^{2%}	-	2%	-	3.170a ±0.063	30.81a ±3.485	27.643a ±3.513	0.251a ±0.032	2.02a ±0.095	100 ±0
FR ^{3%}	-	3%	-	3.143a ±0.071	25.8b ±1.401	22.654b ±1.362	0.206b ±0.012	1.902b ±0.039	100 ±0
PL ^{20%CP}	-	-	20%	3.537a ±0.026	44.50c ±1.822	40.962c ±1.832	0.372c ±0.017	2.296c ±0.04	100 ±0
PL ^{25%CP}	-	-	25%	3.500b ±0.019	47.75b ±1.943	44.25b ±1.942	0.402b ±0.018	2.370b ±0.036	100 ±0
PL ^{30%CP}	-	-	30%	3.537a ±0.018	51.75a ±1.75	48.21a ±1.762	0.438a ±0.016	2.436a ±0.034	100 ±0
T1	Regular	2%	20%	3.500d ±0.00	39.00j ±1.00	35.50k ±1.00	0.323k ±0.009	2.191k ±0.023	100 ±0
T2	Regular	2%	25%	3.500dv ±0.00	41.50i ±0.500	38.00i ±0.500	0.345i ±0.004	2.248i ±0.011	100 ±0
T3	Regular	2%	30%	3.600b ±0.00	47.00f ±2.00	43.40f ±2.00	0.394f ±0.018	2.335h ±0.039	100 ±0
T4	Regular	3%	20%	3.650a ±0.050	41.50i ±0.500	37.85j ±0.550	0.344j ±0.005	2.21j ±0.023	100 ±0
T5	Regular	3%	25%	3.450e ±0.050	45.50h ±0.500	42.05h ±0.550	0.382h ±0.005	2.345f ±0.023	100 ±0
T6	Regular	3%	30%	3.550c ±0.050	49.50d ±0.500	45.95d ±0.550	0.418d ±0.005	2.395d ±0.022	100 ±0
T7	BFT	2%	20%	3.500d ±0.00	46.00g ±1.00	42.50g ±1.00	0.386g ±0.009	2.341g ±0.02	100 ±0
T8	BFT	2%	25%	3.550c ±0.050	48.50e ±0.500	44.95e ±0.550	0.409e ±0.005	2.377e ±0.022	100 ±0
T9	BFT	2%	30%	3.500d ±0.00	51.50c ±0.500	48.00c ±0.500	0.436c ±0.004	2.444c ±0.01	100 ±0
T10	BFT	3%	20%	3.500d ±0.00	51.50c ±0.500	48.00c ±0.500	0.436c ±0.004	2.444c ±0.01	100 ±0
T11	BFT	3%	25%	3.500d ±0.00	55.50b ±0.500	52.00b ±0.500	0.473b ±0.004	2.512b ±0.01	100 ±0
T12	BFT	3%	30%	3.500d ±0.00	59.00a ±1.00	55.50a ±1.00	0.504a ±0.01	2.57a ±0.015	100 ±0

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

Feed intake and utilization

Feed intake and utilization are tabulated in (Table 7 and 8). The rearing system factor revealed higher significant amounts on feed intake. RS fish consumed significantly higher amount of feed (84.16 g/fish) compared with fish cultured under BFT condition (75.2 g/fish). Feeding levels had significant effects on feed intake. FR^{3%} Fish consumed significantly higher amount of feed (99.42 g/fish) compared with FR^{2%} group (59.94 g/fish). Also two-way ANOVA

showed a significant effect due to the interaction among rearing system, Feeding rate and dietary protein levels on feed intake.

The highest amount of feed intake was recorded by T4 group (108.40 g), which was statistically different ($P < 0.05$) compared with other groups. T7 group consumed the lowest amount of feed intake (56.84 g).

Rearing system showed significant effects on mass weight gain of cultured fish and FCR ($P < 0.05$). The best mass weight gain and FCR figures were obtained by fish reared in BFT system (70.7 g and 1.06), respectively compared to (65.4 g and 1.27) respectively which obtained by fish reared in regular system. The experimental feeding rate had significant effects on mass gain and FCR. FR^{3%} recorded the highest figures compared to the lowest feeding rate (FR^{2%}).

The dietary protein level factor had no significant effects on mass weight gain and FCR. The interaction between rearing system feeding level and dietary protein levels showed significant difference on both mass weight gain and FCR. The range of FCR lied from 0.81 to 1.6. Fish groups in T7 (raised under BFT, 2% feeding rate and at 20% crude protein diet) had achieved the best FCR (0.81) compared to other groups (Table 7).

These results might be due to the conditions of zero water exchange probably contributed to the decrease of the FCR in all the treatments because there was not any release of nutrients in effluents, which favored the formation of a nutrient cycling through the food chain. Nutrient cycling has been documented in systems without water exchange in which natural feed was promoted.

The result obtained for FCR in this study agrees with finding of Avnimelech, (2007) who reported that the feed contribution of microbial flocs in the tested ponds contributed close to 50% of fish protein requirement. The high number of protozoa and rotifers in the BFT communities' contributed to better shrimp performance in BFT treatments compared to the control as shown by Thompson *et al.* (2002). Avnimelech, (2006) showed that recovery of nitrogenous compounds from culture systems with tilapia could be increased from 25% to 50% under biofloc technology.

Table (7). Mass growth performance parameters and survival rates of Nile tilapia flathead and thin-lipped mullet as affected by experimental treatments. (rearing system, feeding rates and dietary protein levels (Mean± SE)

Treatment	Rearing system	Feeding rate %	Protein level %	IBW (g/fish)	FBW (g/fish)	TWG (g/fish)	ADG (g/fish/day)	SGR	Survival %
				Mean ±SE	Mean ±SE	Mean ±SE	Mean ±SE	Mean ±SE	Mean ±SE
Regular system	Regular system	-	-	4.68a ±0.01	70.07b ±1.68	65.4b ±1.68	0.59b ±0.02	2.46b ±0.02	98.43b ±0.16
BFT System	BFT system	-	-	4.68a ±0.01	75.38a ±0.49	70.7a ±0.49	0.64a ±0.00	2.53a ±0.01	99.69a ±0.09
FR ^{2%}	-	2%	-	4.68a ±0.01	70.50b ±1.75	65.81b ±1.75	0.6b ±0.02	2.46b ±0.02	99.06a ±0.25
FR ^{3%}	-	3%	-	4.67a ±0.01	74.95a ±0.62	70.28a ±0.61	0.64a ±0.01	2.52a ±0.01	99.06a ±0.21
PL ^{20%CP}	-	-	20%	4.68a ±0.02	70.24b ±2.44	65.56b ±2.45	0.6b ±0.2	2.458b ±0.3	98.98a ±0.31
PL ^{25%CP}	-	-	25%	4.67a ±0.02	73.32b ±1.14	68.65b ±1.13	0.62b ±0.01	2.5b ±0.01	98.98a ±0.29
PL ^{30%CP}	-	-	30%	4.69a ±0.01	74.62a ±1.13	69.93a ±1.22	0.64a ±0.01	2.51a ±0.01	99.21a ±0.26
T1	Regular	2%	20%	4.71a ±0.0	59.39d ±0.40	54.69d ±0.40	0.5d ±0	2.30e ±0.01	97.8e ±0.31
T2	Regular	2%	25%	4.67a ±0.05	68.62c ±1.18	63.95c ±1.23	0.58c ±0.01	2.44d ±0.03	98.11de ±0
T3	Regular	2%	30%	4.67a ±0.05	70.92bc ±3.74	66.25bc ±3.7	0.60bc ±0.03	2.47dc ±0.04	99.37b ±0
T4	Regular	3%	20%	4.68a ±0.05	72.48bc ±2.55	67.81bc ±2.50	0.62bc ±0.02	2.49abc ±0.02	98.74c ±0
T5	Regular	3%	25%	4.66a ±0.05	74.04ab ±0.35	69.38ab ±0.3	0.63ab ±0.0	2.51abc ±0.01	98.43cd ±0.31
T6	Regular	3%	30%	4.67a ±0.03	74.97ab ±0.93	70.3ab ±0.89	0.64ab ±0.01	2.52abc ±0.0	98.11de± 0.0
T7	BFT	2%	20%	4.72a ±0.01	74.62ab ±0.97	69.9ab ±0.98	0.64ab ±0.01	2.51abc ±0.01	99.69ab ±0.31
T8	BFT	2%	25%	4.67a ±0.06	74.88ab ±1.9	70.21ab ±1.83	0.64ab ±0.02	2.52ab ±0.01	100a ±000
T9	BFT	2%	30%	4.72a ±0.01	74.6ab ±1.3	69.88ab ±1.31	0.64ab ±0.01	2.51abc ±0.02	99.37b ±00
T10	BFT	3%	20%	4.63a ±0.01	74.47ab ±0.35	69.83ab±0 .36	0.63ab ±0.003	2.53abc ±0.01	99.69ab ±0.31
T11	BFT	3%	25%	4.66a ±0.5	75.74ab ±0.4	71.08ab ±0.35	0.65ab ±0.003	2.53ab ±0.004	99.37b ±0
T12	BFT	3%	30%	4.71a ±0.0	77.99a ±0.53	73.29a ±0.53	0.67a ±0.004	2.55a ±0.01	100a ±00

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly (P≤0.05) different.

Table (8). Feed utilization parameters of Nile tilapia flathead and thin-lipped mullet as affected by experimental treatments (rearing system, feeding rates and dietary protein levels (Mean± SE)

Treatment	Rearing system	Feeding rate %	Protein level %	FI (g)	FCR(g)	PI	PER
				Mean± SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE
Regular system	Regular system	-	-	84.16a ±6.86	1.27a ±0.08	21.31a ±2.05	3.33b ±0.25
BFT System	BFT system	-	-	75.2b ±5.41	1.06b ±0.07	18.99b ±1.63	4.03a ±0.34
FR2%	-	2%	-	59.939b ±1.62	0.92b ±0.03	15.21b ±0.94	4.48a ±0.26
FR3%	-	3%	-	99.42a ±2.55	1.42a ±0.04	25.10a ±1.33	2.88b ±0.15
PL20%CP	-	-	20%	78.33a ±8.37	1.19a ±0.11	16.03c ±1.71	4.37a ±0.42
PL25%CP	-	-	25%	80.61a ±7.77	1.17a ±0.1	20.27b ±1.96	3.61b ±0.34
PL30%CP	-	-	30%	80.10a ±7.59	1.14a ±0.10	24.16a ±2.29	3.07c ±0.27
T1	Regular	2%	20%	57.57c ±142	1.05d ±0.03	11.78h ±0.29	4.65b ±0.15
T2	Regular	2%	25%	64.22c ±7.68	1.00d ±0.10	16.15fg ±1.93	4.01c ±0.40
T3	Regular	2%	30%	65.24c ±5.61	0.98ed±0.03	19.68ed ±1.69	3.37de ±0.10
T4	Regular	3%	20%	108.40a ±2.61	1.6a ±0.02	22.18cd ±0.53	3.06fe ±0.04
T5	Regular	3%	25%	101.82ab±8.86	1.47ab±0.12	25.61bc ±2.23	2.73f ±0.23
T6	Regular	3%	30%	107.71a ±2.82	1.53ab±0.02	32.49a ±0.85	2.16g ±0.03
T7	BFT	2%	20%	56.84c ±2.49	0.81f ±0.02	11.63h ±0.51	6.02a ±0.18
T8	BFT	2%	25%	57.92c ±0.72	0.83ef ±0.01	14.57hg ±0.18	4.82b ±0.07
T9	BFT	2%	30%	57.84c ±2.05	0.83ef ±0.04	17.45ef ±0.62	4.01c ±0.22
T10	BFT	3%	20%	90.52b ±0.32	1.3c ±0.002	18.52ef ±0.07	3.77cd ±0.01
T11	BFT	3%	25%	98.46ab ±1.63	1.39bc±0.02	24.76bc ±0.41	2.87fe ±0.03
T12	BFT	30%	30%	89.61b ±1.11	1.22c ±0.02	27.03b ±0.33	2.71f ±0.05

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

Biofloc composition

Mean values on dry matter basis of the proximate analysis from pooled samples collected during floc harvesting for the different treatments are presented in (Table 9). Proximate analysis of BFT from the current study indicates the presence of 30.63 % crude protein in the T11 BFT system, 3% feeding rate at 25% protein diet which was higher than for the other treatments (Table 9). Protein content generally was higher in T10, T11 and T12 treatments which fed at a rate of 3% (ranged from 26.250 to 30.63%) than in T10, T11 and T12 treatments which fed 2% feeding rate (ranged from 25.10 to 25.72%). The higher protein concentration in bioflocs of the high feeding level treatments may be related to the chemical composition of heterotrophic bacteria and other organisms associated to bioflocs and biofilms (Fernandes *et al.*, 2008). Also, the high Zooplankton organisms (high in protein) which maybe increased with the increasing feeding level, consume both bacteria and algae and may be considered as another reason.

There were significant differences in crude lipid among the ponds (ranged from 2.22%to 4.16%).

Lipid content generally was higher in T10, T11 and T12 treatments which fed 3% Feeding rate(ranged from 3.65 to 4.27%) than in T10, T11 and T12 treatments which fed 2% feeding rate(ranged from 2.12 to 2.51%). The higher lipid concentration in bioflocs of the high feeding level treatments may be

related to the chemical composition of heterotrophic bacteria and other organisms associated to bioflocs and biofilms (Fernandes *et al.*, 2008). Also, the high Zooplankton organisms (high in lipid) which maybe increased with the increasing feeding level, consume both bacteria and algae and may be considered as another reason.

Table (9). Mean \pm standard error of two replicates of biofloc composition as affected by daily feeding rates and varying dietary protein levels.

Treatments	Rearing System	Feeding rate	Dietary rotein level	Dry matter %	Cp %	Lipid %	Ash %
T7	BFT	2%	20%	11.855ab \pm 0.555	25.10a \pm 1.0	2.510a \pm 1.00	32.32 \pm ab2.09
T8	BFT	2%	25%	10.20 a \pm 0.10	25.715a \pm 3.815	2.120b \pm 0.380	32.320a \pm 2.090
T9	BFT	2%	30%	10.020ab \pm 0.0100	25.715a \pm 3.815	2.120ab \pm 0.380	37.75ab \pm 5.350
T10	BFT	3%	20%	11.165ab \pm 0.465	26.795a \pm 0.545	4.200ab \pm 1.200	30.395ab \pm 3.1750
T11	BFT	3%	25%	10.600 ab \pm 0.900	30.630 a \pm 0	3.655ab \pm 0.455	30.400ab \pm 5.100
T12	BFT	3%	30%	12.200b \pm 0.200	26.250a \pm 0	4.270ab \pm 0.630	24.260b \pm 0.690

REFERENCES

- AOAC (1995).** Official methods of analysis (16th ed.). Arlington, VA: Association of Analytical Chemists.
- AOAC (2000).** Official methods of analysis of AOAC International, (17th ed.), Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC.
- APHA 1999.** Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20.
- Avnimelech, Y. (1999).** Carbon and nitrogen ratio as a control element in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture*, 176:227-235 .
- Avnimelech, Y. (2006).** Bio-filters: the need for an new comprehensive approach. *Aquacultural engineering*, 34(3): 172-178.
- Avnimelech, Y. (2007).** Feeding with microbial flocs by tilapia in minimal discharge bioflocs technology ponds. *Aquaculture*, 264:140–147.
- Avnimelech, Y. and G. Ritvo. (2003).** Shrimp and fish pond soils: processes and management. *Aquaculture*, 220:549–567 .
- Avnimelech, Y. and Kochba (2009).** Biofloc Technology–A practical guide book. The World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, United States.182 p.
- Azim, M.E. and D.C. Little. (2008).** The biofloc technology (BFT) in indoor tanks: water quality, biofloc composition, and growth and welfare of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture*, 283:29-35.
- Bergheim, A. (2007).** Water quality criteria in recirculation systems for tilapia. IRIS International Research Institute of Stavanger, 4068 Stavanger, Norway.
- Burford, M. A., P. J. Thompson., R. P. McIntosh., R. H. Bauman and D. C. Pearson. (2004).** The contribution of flocculated material to shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) nutrition in a highintensity, zero-exchange system. *Aquaculture*, 232:525-537.

- Chen, S., J. Ling and J. P. Blancheton. (2006).** Nitrification kinetics of biofilm as affected by water quality factors. *Aquac. Eng*, 34:179-197.
- Cohen, J. M., T. M. Samochoa., J. M. Fox., R. L. Gandy and A. L. Lawrence. (2005).** Characterization of water quality factors during intensive raceway production of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* using limited discharge and biosecure management tools. *Aquacultural engineering*, 32(3), 425-442.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955).** Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11(1), 1-42.
- Ebeling, J.M., M. B. Timmons and J.J. Bisogni. (2006).** Engineering analysis of the stoichiometry of photoautotrophic, autotrophic, and heterotrophic removal of ammonia nitrogen in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture*, 257: 346–358.
- El-Saidy, D. M., and M. M. Gaber. (2004).** Use of cottonseed meal supplemented with iron for detoxification of gossypol as a total replacement of fish meal in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) diets. *Aquaculture Research*, 35(9), 859-865.
- El-Sayed, A. F. M. (2006).** Tilapia culture in salt water: environmental requirements, nutritional implications and economic potentials. VIII Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola. Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Anais... Monterrey. Monterrey.[Links].
- Fernández, I., F. Hontoria, J. B. Ortiz-Delgado, Y. Kotzamanis, A. Estévez, J. L. Zambonino-Infante, and E. Gisbert. (2008).** Larval performance and skeletal deformities in farmed gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) fed with graded levels of Vitamin A enriched rotifers (*Brachionus plicatilis*). *Aquaculture*, 283(1): 102-115.
- Grasshoff, K., K. Kremling, M. Ehrhardt, (1999).** Methods of seawater analysis. Wiley VCH, Weinheim
- Hargreaves, J. A. (1998).** Nitrogen biogeochemistry of aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture*, 166(3): 181-212.
- Izquierdo, M., I. Forster, S. Divakaran, L. Conquest, O. Decamp, and A. Tacon, (2006).** Effect of green and clear water and lipid source on survival, growth and biochemical composition of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquac. Nutr.*, 12:192-202.
- Kirchman, D. L. (1994).** The uptake of inorganic nutrients by heterotrophic bacteria. *Microbial Ecology*, 28(2): 255-271.
- Kutty, M.N. (1996).** Metabolic responses of tilapias with special reference to ambient oxygen. In: Physiology of tropical fish Symposium Proceedings, 43-52.
- Middelburg, J. J., and J. Nieuwenhuize, (2000).** Nitrogen uptake by heterotrophic bacteria and phytoplankton in the nitrate-rich Thames estuary. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 203.
- Mishra, G., P. Chadha, and R. H. Das, (2008).** Serine/threonine kinase (pk-1) is a component of Autographa californica multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (AcMNPV) very late gene transcription complex and it phosphorylates a 102kDa polypeptide of the complex. *Virus research*, 137(1), 147-149.
- Moss, S.M., B. R. LeaMaster, and J. N. Sweeney, (2000).** Relative abundance and species composition of gram-negative, anaerobic bacteria associated with the gut of juvenile white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, reared in oligotrophic well water and eutrophic pond water. *J. World Aquac. Soc.*, 31:255- 263.

- Naylor, R.L., R. J. Goldberg, J. H. Primavera, N. Kautsky, M. C. M. Beveridge, J. Clay, C. Folke, J. Lubchenco, H. Mooney, and M. Troell, (2000).** Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. *Nature*, 405:1017-1024.
- Newman, R. M., and F. B. Martin, (1983).** Estimation of fish production rates and associated variances. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 40(10): 1729-1736.
- NRC (National Reserch Council) (2012).** Nutrient Requirments of fish and shrimp . The national Academies press Washington . DC.PP; 57
- Rao, X. J., E. Ling, and X. Q. Yu, (2010).** The role of lysozyme in the prophenoloxidase activation system of *Manduca sexta*: an in vitro approach. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 34(3), 264-271.
- Ricker, W. E. (1975).** A note concerning Professor Jolicoeur's comments. *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada*, 32(8): 1494-1498.
- Rijn, J.V., Y. Tal, and H. J. Schreier, (2006).** Denitrification in recirculating systems: theory and applications. *Aquac. Eng.*, 34(3):364-376.
- Snedecor, G. W., and W. G. Cochran, (1981).** Métodos estadísticos. Statistical methods.
- Tacon, A.G.J., J. J. Cody, L. D. Conquest, S. Divakaran, I. P. Forster, and O. E. Decamp. (2002).** Effect of culture system on the nutrition and growth performance of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) fed different diets. *Aquac. Nutr.*, 8(2): 121-137.
- Tekelioglu, N., (1998).** Sea Fish Culture. C.u. Fisheries Faculty, Adana, p. 226 (Tr).
- Thompson, F.L., P. C. Abreu, and W. Wasielesky. (2002).** Importance of bio-film for water quality and nourishment in intensive shrimp culture. *Aquaculture*, 203:263-278.
- Tsadik, G.G. and M. N. Kutty. (1987).** Influence on ambient oxygen on feeding and growth of the tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus). Working paper ARAC/87/WP/10. African Regional Aquaculture Centre, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, pp.13.
- Wasielesky, Jr. W., H. Atwood, A. Stokes, and C.L. Browdy. (2006).** Effect of natural production in a zero exchange suspended microbial floc based super-intensive culture system for white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*, 258:396- 403.

المخلص العربي

تأثير مساهمة البيوفلوك ومعدل التغذية ومستوي البروتين علي الاداء الانتاجي للاستزراع المتعدد لأسماك البلطي النيلي والبوري والطوبار

حمادة احمد القاضي و إجلال علي عمر و طارق محمد سرور و محمود فؤاد سالم

قسم الانتاج الحيواني والسمكي - كلية الزراعة سابا باشا - جامعة الاسكندرية - مصر

صممت هذه التجربة لدراسة تأثير مساهمة البيوفلوك و معدل التغذية ومستوي البروتين علي الاداء الانتاجي
للاستزراع المتعدد لأسماك البلطي النيلي والبوري والطوبار واستمرت التجربة لمدة (١١٠) وقد صممت تجربة
عامليه ٢*٢*٣ لدراسة تأثير عوامل كما يلي :-

١. نظام الاستزراع (استزراع تقليدي بتغيير المياه مقابل استزراع بنظام البيوفلوك)

٢. مستوي التغذية (٢ ، ٣ % من وزن الجسم)

٣. مستوي البروتين الخام بالعليقة (٢٠ ، ٢٥ ، ٣٠ %)

لينتج ١٢ اثني عشر معاملة تجريبية تم توزيعها علي ٢٤ اربعة وعشرون حوض اسمنتي سعة الواحد ٣م١٦ بمعدل
مكررتين (حوضين) لكل معاملة

- بعد انتهاء مدة التجربة اظهرت النتائج ما يلي :-

ان الاداء الانتاجي للبلطي النيلي و البوري والطوبار قد تأثرت معنويا بعوامل الدراسة وخاصة نظام الاستزراع
ومعدل التغذية وبدرجة اقل بمستوي البروتين الخام في العليقة

- وتوصي الدراسة بأهمية تطبيق تكنولوجيا البيوفلوك (عدم تغيير المياه) مع مستويات التغذية المنخفضة ٢ %
من وزن الجسم ومستوي البروتين الغذائي (٣٠ % بروتين خام).