BwAs Non-integral and Integral Lexeme

Dr. Omar Osman

Bw As Non-integral and Integral Lexeme

Omar Osman*

Abstract:

When the periphrastic system of Late Egyptian became more dominant, the negative particle $2 \frac{b}{v} / 2 \frac{b}{v} bw$ was combined with auxiliary verbs, such as $\frac{b}{v} iri$ -in the combination $2 \frac{b}{v} bw$ -ir- and $2 \frac{b}{v} bw$ -ir- and $2 \frac{b}{v} bw$ -ir- in the combination $2 \frac{b}{v} \frac{b}{v}$

Bw As Integral Element:

In his work on Late Egyptian, Erman supposed that the negative particle bw can be bound with the verb iri(ir) "to do" and the verb pw "to do" to produce the compound negative particles bw-ir and bwpwy.² In

^{*}I am grateful to Edward Love for correcting my English and to Professor Joachim Friedrich Quack for his feedback on a draft of this article.

¹ *P3* in Middle Egyptian: Wb. I 494(18); Faulkner 1991, 87; Černy-Groll 1993, 227; Hannig 2006, 287; and *pw* in Late Egyptian: Černy-Groll 1993, 227; Lesko 2002, 147.

² Erman 1933, for *bw-ir* 389-391, 393, §\$767-768, 770-771, 773-774; for *bwpwy* 394-396, §\$776-781.

It can be said that bw in Late Egyptian can be used only with two verbs, iri and pwy. It appears in: the negative aorist form bw ir.f sdm^7 , the negative terminative

³ Černy-Groll 1993, 205.

⁴ Černy-Groll 1993, 204-205.

⁵ Bakir 1983, 56-59, §§178-179, 183, 185.

⁶ Loprieno 1995, 92.

⁷ Erman 1933, 390-391, 393, §§767-768, 770, 774; Hintze 1952, 251-252; Groll 1970, 37a, 48-50, 53-60, 62-78; Davis 1973, 71, 76-78, 87-88; Korostovtsev 1973, 406; Frandsen 1974, 31-38, 214-216, §§21-24, 105; Bakir 1983, §§178-179, 181, 183-184; Winand 1992, 239, §383; Černy-Groll 1993, 170-171, 204-205, 315-321; Loprieno 1995, 92, 94, 222, 225; Junge 2005, 100, 154; El-

bw irt.f sdm,⁸ with the verb iri, and the negative perfect bwpwy.f sdm,⁹ with the verb pw. The appearance of bw with these two verbs only makes it more likely to form a combination with these two verbs.

Predominantly, the Late Egyptian verbal system is a tripartite one, consisting of: a conjugation base (auxiliary verb), subject and infinitive, 10 in the form: 200 in the form: 100 in the form:

Hamrawi 2006, 81-83, 90, 92-93; Kruchten 2008, 196-198; Neveu 2015, 71, 221, 223.

⁸ Groll 1970, 37a, 79-81; Davis 1973, 90, 92-96; Korostovtsev 1973, 406; Frandsen 1974, 39-41, 216-217, §\$25-28, 106; Bakir 1983, 56-57, 59-60, §\$178, 185; Winand 1992, 291-292, §462; Černy-Groll 1993, 170-171, 204, 206, 321-324; Loprieno 1995, 93-94, 221, 225; Junge 2005, 100-101; El-Hamrawi 2006, 81-83; Kruchten 2008, 204-206; Neveu 2015, 74-75.

⁹ Erman 1933, 394-396, §§776-781; Hintze 1952, 252-253; Groll 1970, 1-12, 37a, 51-53, 75, 81-84; Davis 1973, 23, 25-27, 32-33; Korostovtsev 1973, 403-404; Frandsen 1974, 9-14, 200-203, §§7-11, 102; Bakir 1983, 45, 47, §§145-146, 148-149; Winand 1992, 202-206, 238 §§335-337, 381; Černy-Groll 1993, 170-171, 204, 227-240; Loprieno 1995, 93-94, 221,225; Junge 2005, 153-155, 195; El-Hamrawi 2006, 81-83, 85-87; Kruchten 2008, 199-201; Neveu 2015, 52-53, 55, 127, 220.

¹⁰ Loprieno 1995, 91; El-Hamrawi 2006, 83; Allen 2013, 144.

According to Černy and Groll, the form *bwpwy.f sdm* is a tripartite form, consisting of: *bwpw* the first element or the conjugation base, *.f* the subject or the actor expression as the second element and *sdm* the infinitive and the third element.¹¹ The same explanation will be given to the other forms *bw irt.f sdm*.¹² and *bw ir.f sdm*.

In Coptic, it is clearer that bw was not be longer an extra element. bw-ir survived in Coptic as \mathbf{ME} , 13 and the form bw ir.f sdm in the form $\mathbf{MEQCWTM}$. In addition $\mathbf{\overline{M\Pi QCWTM}}$ is the successor of the Late Egyptian form bwpwy.f sdm and $\mathbf{\overline{M\Pi E}}$ is the Coptic lexeme of the Late Egyptian bwpw. 16

Bw As Non-integral Element:

Bw did occur as a new form of the old or the classical negative particle $n.^{17}$ In Transitional Egyptian, bw appears in the forms bw = bw sdm.f,

__Dr. Omar Osman

¹¹ Černy-Groll 1993, 229.

¹² Černy-Groll 1993, 321.

¹³ Steindorff 1930, 149-150, § 317; Bakir 1983, 58, §183; Černy-Groll 1993, 204.

¹⁴ Frandsen 1974, 31, §21; Černy-Groll 1993, 204-205, 315; Loprieno 1995, 92; Junge 2005, 100; Kruchten 2008, 198; Neveu 2015, 71.

¹⁵ Weill 1940, 86; Groll 1970, 1-2; Frandsen 1974, 9, § 7; Černy-Groll 1993, 204; Loprieno 1995, 93; Junge 2005, 155; Kruchten 2008, 201; Neveu 2015, 52.

¹⁶ Steindorff 1930, 148, § 313; Weill 1940, 81, 85.

¹⁷ Kruchten 1999, 19; Junge 2005, 97; Kruchten 2008, 207.

All these classical and transtional forms follow the synthetic verbal form of Middle Egyptian. Basically, this system consisted of : verb + subject + object.²⁰ Generally in this system, the negative particle is an extra element added to an affermative verb form to make a negative counterpart.²¹ It could not be an integral lexeme since this period did not present any negative particles which were compounded with the verb.

 $^{^{18}}$ Loprieno 1995, 225; El-Hamrawi 2006, 81-93; Neveu 2015, 52, 70, 74.

¹⁹ Kruchten 1999, 32.

²⁰ Allen 2013, 141.

Sometimes the negative forms differ from their affirmative counterparts. For example *n* sdm.f is the negative counterpart of the affirmative form (iw) sdm.n.f and not n sdm.n.f which refers to the negative present. This is referred to as "Gunns Rule".

Conclusion:

In its first attestations, bw was used beside its predecessor n. The first corpus of texts in which n was replaced entirely with its successor bw are the boundary stelae of Akhenaten 22 and the tomb of Tutu. 23 These texts have only the new negative particles bw and bn.

Like its ancestor *n*, *bw* was used firstly with all verbs as an extra element added to the verb form. In its usage as a negative particle with all verbs, it is difficult to accept that it was an integral lexeme with the verb, but it was more likely to have been an extra element in the verb form.

As for its first attestations with the auxiliary verb iri, bw is also an extra element. It appears in the Late Egyptian periphrastic negative aorist form bw ir(r). f sdm as an extra element. Disagreeing with what Černy and Groll argued, 24 this form came into being to be as the negative counterpart of the other Late Egyptian periphrastic aorist form ir(r). f sdm. Although the last form was very rare before the Amarna period, 25 it

______Dr. Omar Osman

²² Davies 1908, Pls. 25-33; Murnane-Van Siclen 1993, 19-34, 84-98:

²³ Davies 1908a, Pls. 12-21.

²⁴ See Supra, p. 1 and Černy-Groll 1993, 205.

²⁵ There is one occurrence before the Amarna period in a letter from the time of Thutmosis III. Glanville 1928, Pl. 35 Pap. B.M 10102 Vs. 7.

became more frequent in Amarna texts. The other form, i.e. $bw \ \textit{ir.f sdm}$, is one of the innovations of Amarna period.

Bibliography

- Allen 2013. Allen, J.P., The ancient Egyptian language: an historical study. Cambridge.
- Bakir 1983. Bakir, A.M., Notes on Late Egyptian Grammar, Warminster.
- Černy-Groll 1993. Černy, J., and Groll, S.I., A late Egyptian grammar, 4th ed. Studia Pohl: maior 4. Rom.
- Davies 1908. Davies, N.G., The Rock Tombs of El Amarna, Part. V: Smaller Tombs and Boundary Stelae, *ASE*18, Oxford.
- Davies 1908a. Davies, N.G., The Rock tombs of El Amarna, Part VI: Tombs of Parennefer, Tutu and Ay, *ASE* 18, Oxford.
- Davis 1973. Davis, V.L., Syntax of the Negative Particles bw and bn in late Egyptian, $M\ddot{A}S$ 29, München-Berlin.
- El-Hamrawi 2006. El-Hamrawi, M., The synthetic bw $s\underline{d}m=f$, bw $s\underline{d}m.t=f$ and bw $s\underline{d}m.n=f$ as traditional verbal forms of n $s\underline{d}m=f$, n $s\underline{d}m.t=f$ and n $s\underline{d}m.n=f$ of Middle Egyptian to periphrastic bw pu=f $s\underline{d}m$, bw ir.t=f

- sdm and bw ir=f sdm of Late Egyptian.
 BSAC 45,81- 94.
- Erman 1933. Erman, A., Neuaegyptische Grammatik, 2nd ed., Leipzig.
- Faulkner 1991. Faulkner, R.O., A Concise Dictionary of Egyptian, Oxford.
- Frandsen 1974. Frandsen, P.J., An outline of the Late Egyptian verbal system. Copenhagen.
- Glanville 1928. Glanville, S., The letters of Aaḥmōse of Peniati. *JEA* 14 (3/4), 294-312.
- Groll 1970. Groll, S.I., 1970. The negative verbal system of Late Egyptian. London-New York.
- Hannig 2006. Hannig, R., Großes Handwörterbuch ägyptisch-deutsch (2800-950 v. Chr.): die Sprache der Pharaonen (Marburger Edition), 4th revised ed., der antiken Welt 64. Mainz.
- Hintze 1952. Hintze, F., Untersuchungen zu Stil und Sprache neu-ägyptischer Erzählungen. Vol. II, Berlin.
- Junge 2005. Junge, F., Late Egyptian Grammar: an introduction, 2nd English ed. Translated by David Warburton. Oxford.
- Korostovtsev 1973. Korostovtsev, M., Grammaire du Neo-egyptien. Moscou.

r.	Omar	Osman

- Kruchten 2008. Systéme verbal égyptien, 3rd ed., Bruxelles.
- Lesko 2002. Lesko, L., A Dictionary of Late Egyptian, 2nd ed Providence.
- Loprieno 1995. Loprieno, A., Ancient Egyptian. A linguistic introduction, Cambridge.
- Murnane-Van Siclen 1993. Murnane, W.J. and Van Siclen, Ch., The Boundary Stelae of Akhenaten, London-New York.
- Neveu 2015. Neveu, F., The language of Ramesses: Late Egyptian Grammar. Translated by Maria Cannata. Oxford.
- Steindorff 1930. Steindorff, G., Koptische Grammatik, 2nd ed., Berlin.
- Wb. I. Erman, A., und Grapow, H., Wörterbuch der ägyptischen Sprache. Vol. I, Berlin 1982.
- Weill 1940. Weill, R., Le verbe d'affirmation et d'existence p3, RdE 4, 81-112.
- Winand 1992. Winand, J., Études de néo-égyptien, 1: la morphologie verbale. Aegyptiaca Leodiensia 2. Liège.