

## SMART USE OF ORGANIC AND BIO-FERTILIZERS TO IMPROVE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SANDY SOIL AND ITS PRODUCTIVITY, OF FABA BEAN AND MAIZE

S.F. Mansour, A.A.M Ragab, E. G. Abo-Elela and E.F. Ramadan

Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Centre, Giza, Egypt.

Received: Jan. 17 , 2017

Accepted: Feb. 22 , 2017

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The major goal of this study was to evaluate the impact of organic fertilizer (i.e town refuse, biogas and FYM) combined with bio-fertilizer (effective microorganism [EM] and the N<sub>2</sub> fixer Compomax [CoM] on improving some physical and chemical properties of sandy soil as well as productivity of faba bean –maize cropping rotation, an alternative cropping system under sprinkler irrigation system. Two field experiment were conducted at the experimental Farm of the Agricultural Research Station, EL-Ismailia Governorate, Agric. Res. Centre (ARC), Egypt, during two winter seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 and two summer seasons 2015 and 2016. A split plot design with three replicates was employed applied treatments, included control mineral fertilizer (recommended dose of N, P & K) (Conventional Agricultural) , organic fertilizer town refuse; biogas manure and farmyard manure [FYM] each applied alone and/or combined with bio-fertilizers i.e EM and the N<sub>2</sub> fixer Compomax [CoM] . The obtained results can be summarized as follows:*

*Application of Biogas manure combined with EM recorded the highest yield parameters followed by FYM application and the lowest one was recorded with that received town refuse, likewise the highest available of NPK . Similar trend of available of NPK was also observed with the residual crop (maize).*

*Application of the tested organic fertilizer improved the physical and chemical properties of used soil, [ increasing total porosity (T.P), fine capillary pores (FCP), soil moisture contents at field capacity (F.C), wilting point (W.P), available water (A.W). ECe, O.M, and available N, P and K. On the contrary, pH, bulk density (B.D), hydraulic conductivity (H.C)], as compared with the control.*

**Key words:** *Available N, P and K , bio fertilizer, chemical properties, faba bean, maize , mineral nitrogen, organic fertilizer, physical properties , sandy soil .*

---

### INTRODUCTION

Nowadays inorganic fertilizers became increasingly more expensive due to increase in price of fertilizers day by day. Moreover, today the agriculture is now facing a challenge of over dependence on synthetic inputs and day by day it change in price of these inputs. The occurrence of multinutrient deficiencies and overall decline in the productive capacity of the soil due to non-judicious fertilizer use, have been widely reported.

The use of inorganic fertilizers alone has not been helpful under intensive agriculture because it aggravates soil degradation

(Sharma and Mittra, 1991). Prabu and Uthaya (2006) concluded that organic manures play a vital role in maintaining physical, chemical and biological conditions of soil and supply macro and micronutrients to crops besides maintaining humic substances in soil and also the wastes are effectively utilized for crop production. Addition of organic sources could increase corn yields through increasing soil productivity and higher fertilizer use efficiency. Farmyard manure is a potentially important source of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). (Khosro and yousef, 2012).

Bio-fertilizers are microbial preparations containing living cells of different microorganisms which have the ability to mobilize plant nutrients in soil from unusable to usable form. They are environmentally friendly, play a significant role in the crop production, help to build up the lost microflora and improve the soil health Mosa, *et al* (2015) Application of combined organic manures and effective microorganisms was positively affected growth and yield of wheat plant (Youssef, 2011). Fertilizer applications could affect soil physical properties directly or indirectly such as aggregate stability, water holding capacity, porosity, infiltration rate, hydraulic conductivity and bulk density due to increases in soil organic matter (SOM) and soil organic carbon (SOC) content (Haynes and Naidu 1998). Beneficial effects of increasing SOM concentration on enhancing soil structural stability have been widely documented (Barzegar, *et al* 1997). The balanced fertilization (Rational fertilization) is the proper supply of all macronutrients and micronutrients in a balanced ratio throughout the growth of crops based on the results of soil test. According to the description of 4R by International Plant nutrition Institute (IPNI) (Jensen, *et al.*, 2015) are:

1) Apply the "Right Rate" of fertilizer to match nutrient supply with crop requirements; 2) Apply fertilizer at the "Right Time" so nutrients will be available when crop demand is high; 3) Apply fertilizer in the "Right Place" or location where the crop can access the nutrients most effectively; 4) Use the "Right Form" of fertilizers that are in or are easily converted over to compounds best used by the target crop.

It is important to note that increases in SOM and other favorable soil quality characteristics change slowly over time with organic management (Gomiero *et al.*, 2011). (Pandey *et al.*, 2011) found that compost increased plant growth by supply nutrients to the plants through mineralization and improvement in physic-chemical properties of the soil. Based on the production process,

fertilizers can be roughly categorized into three types, chemical, organic and bio-fertilizers. Each type of fertilizer has its advantages and disadvantages. These advantages need to be integrated in order to achieve optimum performance by each type of fertilizer and to realize balanced nutrient management for crop growth (Chen, *et al.*, 2006). Khafagy, *et al.*, 2015 concluded that using organic fertilizer with suitable quantity were the best for amelioration and, improved soil properties i.e. increased hydraulic conductivity (Ks), organic matter (O.M) and grain yield. On the contrary, bulk density, Penetration resistance (P.R.), pH values were decreased. Furthermore, soil structure was improved, also, the growth traits i.e. Plant height, dry weight, grain yield, stover yield.

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of organic fertilizer i.e. FYM, biogas and town refuse combined with bio-fertilizer i.e (effective microorganism [EM] and the N<sub>2</sub>-fixers Compomax [CoM] on improving some physical and chemical properties of sandy soil, as well as increase its productivity under faba bean-maize cropping rotation.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A field experiment was carried out at experimental Farm of the Agricultural Research Station, EL-Ismaïlia Governorate, Agric. Res. Centre (ARC), Egypt, during two winter seasons 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 and as well as summer seasons 2015 and 2016, which were conducted in an alternative cropping system under sprinkler irrigation system to study the effect of organic fertilizers in combination with bio-fertilizers on the production of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) variety balady as the main crop and maize (*Zea maize L.*) variety fardy 10 as the following crop. Some physical and chemical properties of the investigated soil and irrigation water were determined according to (Rebecca, 2004) and shown in Table (1).

Also, the composition of manure used in the experiment is presented in Table (2).

**Table 1: Chemical characteristics of the studied soil (0-30 cm) and irrigation water.**

Sample	EC dS/m	pH	Soluble ions (meq/l)								SAR
			Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>=</sup>	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Cl <sup>-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup>	
Soil	0.36	7.64	1.61	0.82	1.02	0.18	--	0.93	0.96	1.74	0.85
Water	0.45	7.91	1.24	1.76	1.29	0.14	--	0.52	1.92	1.99	1.06
Some physical characteristics of the studied soil (0-30 cm).											
Particle size distribution %								Organic matter %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %		
Coarse sand		Fine sand		Silt	Clay	Texture class					
31.82		61.61		1.22	5.35	Sandy		0.44		1.42	

**Table 2: Chemical composition of organic manures used in field experiments**

Paremetres	Town refuse	Biogas manure	Farmyard manure	Analysis	Town refuse	Biogas manure	Farmyard manure
Moisture (%)	20.00	24.20	26.10	Total Carbon%	23.5	41.14	36.13
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.68	0.32	0.21	C/N Ratio	29.7	21.3	23.9
pH (1:10)	7.88	7.18	7.24	Available p %	0.24	0.25	0.36
EC (1:10)	1.87	1.98	2.12	Available K %	1.15	2.18	1.78
N-NH <sub>4</sub> (ppm)	38.00	63.00	52.00	DTPA-Fe (ppm)	1346	1580	1760
N-NO <sub>3</sub> (ppm)	12.00	29.00	38.00	DTPA-Mn (ppm)	239	0.82	0.75
Total Nitrogen %	0.79	1.93	1.51	DTPA-Zn (ppm)	250	6.84	5.75
Total phosphorus %	0.46	0.41	0.54	O.M. %	15.8	38.6	30.2
Total potassium %	0.83	1.61	1.22				

The experimental treatments were in a split plot design with three replicates. The soil was carefully prepared and divided into of 12 plots (3 x 3.5 m), an area of 10.5 m<sup>2</sup> (1/400 fed). The mineral nitrogen fertilizer applied in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) at the rate of 20 and 90 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> for both faba bean and maize, respectively. in two equal doses, the first was before planting and the second was at the tillering stage 40 days after sowing. The organic fertilizers applied at the rate 20 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup>. during the soil preparation before planting. All plots were received phosphorus and potassium applied at relative rates of 150 Kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup> and 50 Kg K<sub>2</sub>O fed<sup>-1</sup> in the form of single super phosphate ( 15% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potassium sulphate (48 % K<sub>2</sub>O), respectively. Phosphorus applied basically during soil preparation, while potassium applied after 30 days from planting. Effective

microorganism (EM) and Compomax N<sub>2</sub>-fixer (CoM) sprayed twice at a rate of 4 Liter fed<sup>-1</sup>, once every month starting from planting. The following treatments were applied :

**A: Main plots [ organic fertilizers ].**

- 1- without organic fertilizer ( Control ) (recommended dose of N, P & K)
- 2- applied organic fertilizer (Town refuse; Biogas manure and Farmyard manure )

**B: Sub main plots [bio-fertilizers] (foliar spray).**

- 1- without
- 2- effective microorganism [EM].
- 3- N<sub>2</sub> fixer Compomax [CoM]

The main microbial species included in EM prepared according to Kato *et al.* (1999) The chemical and microbial analyses of N<sub>2</sub>-fixers (Compomax) and EM are given in Table 3.

**Table (3): Composition of biofertilizer used in field experiments**

Effective micro-organisms (EM) composition		Compomax N-fixers (CoM) composition	
<b>Bacteria:</b>	<b>Yeasts:</b>	<i>Azotobacter chorocccum</i>	Total N : 3.5%
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	<i>Azospirillum lipoferm</i>	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> : 2.5%
<i>Lactobacillus casei</i>	<b>Actinomycetes:</b>	<i>Bacillus polymexa</i>	K <sub>2</sub> O: 0.4
<i>Streptococcus lactis</i>	<i>Streptomyces albus</i>		Zn: 14 ppm
<i>Rhodopseudomonas palustris</i>	<i>Streptomyces griseus</i>		Fe: 18 ppm
<i>Radobacter sphaeraides</i>	<b>Fungi:</b> <i>Aspergillus oryze</i>		Mn: 10 ppm

Observation on yield components of faba bean and maize were recorded in five randomly selected plants from each ber plot. Seeds and foliage of faba bean and grain and Stover yields of maize were recorded after complete sun drying from each net plot. Then, samples of faba bean and maize were oven ground and digested for the determination of NPK contents. Disturbed and undisturbed soil samples were taken from 0-20 cm. depth and prepared to determine physical and chemical properties according to the standard methods described in Table (4).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Available N, P & K in soil of Faba bean

Soil available N, P & K in Table (5), appeared that application of biogas manure significantly increased by double-fold compared to the control received without organic manure. Likewise this application significantly surpassed the other treatments of organic fertilizers. This could be due to the enhancing effect of organic fertilizer on vegetative growth and root growth, which release hydrogen ions, phenolic compounds and organic acids as well as acidification effect of manure applied that help in increasing nutrients availability and uptake of N, P & K by faba bean plants. Tiwari *et al.* (2002) have also reported that the inclusion of manure in the fertilization schedule improved the organic carbon status and available N, P, K and S in soil, sustaining

soil health. Addition of organic materials of various origins to soil has been one of the most common practices to improve soil physical properties (Celik *et al.*, 2004).

### Available N, P & K in soil of Maize

The residual effect of applied manure increased significantly the available N, P & K in soil of maize (Table 5). However, the organic fertilizers, biogas manure treatment recorded the highest values of soil for available N, P & K, respectively, followed by those recorded by FYM. While, the lowest soil available N, P & K values recorded with town refuse for available N, P & K, respectively. This trend could be explained by the role of biogas manure as natural chelating agent resulted in increasing N, P & K availability in soil. Bio-fertilizers are important components for the integrated nutrients management. These potential biological fertilizers would play a key role in productivity and sustainability of soil and also act as environmentally eco-friendly and cost effective inputs for the marginal farmers. Biofertilizers are products containing living cells of different types of microorganisms, which when, applied to seeds, plant surface or soil, colonize the rhizosphere or the interior of the plant and promote growth by converting nutritionally important elements (nitrogen, phosphorus) from unavailable to available form through biological process such as nitrogen fixation and solubilization of rock phosphate (Rokhzadi *et al.*, 2008)

**Smart use of organic and bio-fertilizers to improve physical .....**

**Table (4): Soil properties and plant as determined by the standard methods described by the different publishers.**

Soil properties	References
Chemical analysis	Cottenie <i>et al.</i> , 1982
Particle size distribution (%)	Gee and Bauder, 1986
Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	Vomocil, 1965
▪ Saturated hydraulic conductivity.	Klute and Dirksen (1986)
▪ Pore size distribution	De Leenheer and De Boodt (1965)
▪ Available nutrients	Rebecca (2004),
▪ statistical analysis	Snedecor and Cochran (1989),
▪ soil reaction (pH), EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> ) Organic matter content (%). ▪ Soluble cations (m.mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Page <i>et al.</i> , (1982)

**Table 5: Effect of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer on available nutrients N,P and K in sandy soil in the first and second year**

Organic fertilizer	1 <sup>st</sup> year											
	Available N (kg fed <sup>-1</sup> ) faba bean soil				Available P (kg fed <sup>-1</sup> ) faba bean soil				Available K (kg fed <sup>-1</sup> ) faba bean soil			
	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean
Cont. ( Without )	9.31	17.32	16.11	14.25	5.44	8.37	5.24	6.35	40.22	67.1	60.2	55.85
Town refuse	14.47	24.97	21.23	20.22	8.81	19.2	14.74	14.26	69.94	105	90.4	88.44
Biogas manure	20.93	45.33	22.63	29.63	13.35	21.6	16.57	17.19	83.20	120	98.8	100.76
Farmyard manure	18.90	40.83	21.70	27.14	11.74	20.2	16.14	16.01	75.01	113.5	93.6	94.03
Mean	16.15	22.93	20.42		9.84	17.4	13.17		62.51	24.42	85.7	
LSD (5 %)	Organic			1.35	1.40			2.83				
	Biofertilizer			3.29	1.25			1.66				
	Interaction			5.39	NS			2.73				
Organic fertilizer	2 <sup>nd</sup> year											
	Available N (kg fed <sup>-1</sup> ) in maize soil				Available P (kg fed <sup>-1</sup> ) in maize soil				Available K (kg fed <sup>-1</sup> ) in maize soil			
	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean
Cont. ( Without )	6.43	10.25	9.86	8.85	3.73	6.82	5.33	5.29	12.12	16.55	14.06	14.24
Town refuse	13.33	20.00	17.33	16.89	5.44	11.0	8.28	8.24	24.35	34.48	31.49	30.11
Biogas manure	16.70	24.50	18.30	19.83	7.18	13.6	10.32	10.38	28.62	87.75	33.16	49.84
Farmyard manure	14.67	22.00	17.67	18.11	6.49	12.2	9.18	9.27	26.99	39.00	32.49	32.83
Mean	12.78	19.19	15.72		5.71	10.9	8.28		23.02	44.45	27.8	
LSD (5 %)	Organic			0.15	0.12			0.59				
	Biofertilizer			0.45	0.37			0.64				
	Interaction			0.74	NS			1.05				

Without: no biofertilizer, EM: Effective microorganism, CoM: N fixer Compo max

**Effect of applied organic fertilizer and bio-fertilizer on soil properties.**

**1) Soil chemical properties:**

**a.) Soil salinity (EC<sub>e</sub>):**

The movement of soluble salts in the soil depends mainly on its texture, structure, total porosity and hydraulic conductivity. Data in Table (6) indicated that the mean values of soil E<sub>c</sub> after the first year increase percent were 75,136 and 206% for Town refuse, Biogas manure and Farmyard manure, compared to the control, respectively. while the mean values of soil E<sub>c</sub> after the second year increase percent were 26,70 and 119% for Town refuse, Biogas manure and Farmyard manure, compared to the control, respectively. Moreover, the same trend was observed with bio-fertilizer in both seasons. Farmyard manure application was the most effective in increasing soil salinity compared to other manure and untreated soil. Effect of organic fertilizers application for their influences on increasing soil salinity can be arranged in the descending order as follows:, Farmyard manure > Biogas manure > Town refuse > Cont.

This could be attributed to, the salinity of the applied organic fertilizers and coming

salts from irrigation water and inhibit the salts from leaching from the soil. Similar result were obtained by Bevacqua and Mellano (1994) who reported that municipal compost cause a salinity problems that could threaten the production of sensitive crops.

**b) Soil PH:**

Data in Table (6) obtained after the first year showed that pH decreased from 7.64 to 7.34 at addition Biogas manure. Moreover, the same trend was observed after the second year and bio-fertilizer in both seasons. Biogas manure application was the most effective in decreasing soil pH compared to others and that the effect of added fertilizer could be arranged in the ascending order as follows:, Biogas manure < Farmyard manure < Town refuse < Cont. These results are in agreement with Mokolobate and Haynes (2002) who found that additions of organic manure decreased the pH in the soil, this could be attributed to that may be ascribed to the release of organic acids as a vesu H of added organic fertilizer decomposition, consequently, release of H ions in soil solution.

**Table (6): Effect of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer on some chemical properties in sandy soil in the first and second year .**

Organic fertilizer	1 <sup>st</sup> year								
	Without			EM			pomax N-fixers (CoM)		
	pH	Ec	O.M.	pH	Ec	O.M.	pH	Ec	O.M.
Cont.(without)	7.64	0.36	0.44	7.63	0.36	0.45	7.64	0.36	0.46
Town refuse	7.55	0.63	0.48	7.56	0.64	0.49	7.55	0.64	0.49
Biogas manure	7.34	0.85	0.63	7.36	0.87	0.65	7.37	0.88	0.65
Farmyard manure	7.45	1.10	0.54	7.44	1.08	0.55	7.44	1.07	0.55
2 <sup>nd</sup> year									
Cont.(without)	7.61	0.43	0.31	7.58	0.44	0.33	7.59	0.45	0.33
Town refuse	7.45	0.54	0.38	7.43	0.55	0.39	7.44	0.56	0.39
Biogas manure	7.24	0.73	0.48	7.25	0.72	0.49	7.24	0.73	0.49
Farmyard manure	7.33	0.94	0.42	7.34	0.95	0.43	7.34	0.96	0.44

Without: no biofertilizer, EM: Effective microorganism, CoM: N fixer Compo max

***Smart use of organic and bio-fertilizers to improve physical .....***

**c) Organic matter (O.M.) :**

Data in Table (6) indicated that application of any organic fertilizers, slightly increased soil organic matter content. Biogas manure application was the most effective in increasing soil organic matter content, compared to other manure and untreated soil. Effect of organic fertilizers application for their influences on increasing soil organic matter content can be arranged in the descending order as follows: Biogas manure > Farmyard manure > Town refuse > Cont.. Similar trend was found throughout second season. This could be attributed to the added organic matter into the soil through application. These results are in agreement with those by McConnell et al. (1993) reported that compost applied at rates varying from 18 to 146 t ha<sup>-1</sup> produced a 6 to 163% increase in soil organic matter. As well as Zebarth et al. (1999) over a three-year period showed increases in soil organic matter from 5 different organic sources.

Soil bulk density is the main soil character that must be taken into consideration when improving soil physical properties. Bulk density was mostly affected by soluble salts content as a result of applied organic manure. Data in Table (7) indicated that the soil bulk density was improved as a result of application organic manure. The value of bulk density was decreased from 1.52 to 1.33 (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) at addition Biogas manure in the first year. Effect of organic fertilizers application for their influences on decreasing Soil bulk density can be arranged in the ascending order as follows: Biogas manure < Farmyard manure < Town refuse < Cont. Moreover, the same trend was observed after the second year and bio-fertilizer in both seasons. Biogas manure application was the most effective in decreasing Soil bulk density compared to any other manure and untreated soil. These results may be attributed to the decomposition amendments which enhance aggregation process and consequently increase apparent soil bulk density volume and decrease soil bulk density (Abd El-Hamid, 2011).

**2) Soil physical properties:**

**a) Soil bulk density**

**Table (7): Effect of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer on some physical properties in sandy soil in the first and second year.**

Organic fertilizer	1 <sup>st</sup> year								
	Without			EM			Compomax N-fixers (CoM)		
	B.D.	T.P	H.C.	B.D.	T.P	H.C.	B.D.	T.P.	H.C.
Cont.(without)	1.52	42.0	47.2	1,52	42.04	47.1	1.52	42.05	47.2
Town refuse	1.45	50.8	43.4	1.44	50.97	43.3	1.45	50.98	43.2
Biogas manure	1.33	51.6	23.9	1.32	51.19	23.7	1.33	51.21	23.7
Farmyard manure	1.40	51.3	30.6	1.38	51.29	30.5	1.38	51.32	30.6
2 <sup>nd</sup> year									
Cont.(without)	1.5	42.12	45.2	1.5	42.53	45.15	1.51	42.51	45.2
Town refuse	1.34	51.5	37.7	1.33	51.83	37.4	1.33	51.73	37.4
Biogas manure	1.28	52.9	20.5	1.29	52.33	20.7	1.28	52.43	20.8
Farmyard manure	1.31	51.3	27.8	1.30	51.15	27.1	1.30	51.34	27.0

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Chang *et al.* (1983) and Giusquiani *et al.* (1995) who found that bulk density was reduced by municipal sludge compost and urban waste compost respectively. As well as Zebarth *et al.* (1999) applied six different organic amendments including biosolids and food waste compost and found that all the materials reduced bulk density.

### **b) Hydraulic conductivity:**

Distinct on distinguished characteristics of rapidly permeable sandy soil occurred due to low contents of organic matter and increase hydraulic conductivity. The hydraulic conductivity measurement provides an indication of relative water transmission rate of the soil and depends on many factors, especially the volume of drainable pores. Data in Table (7) showed that the values of hydraulic conductivity, decreased as a result of applied organic manure. The hydraulic conductivity were decreased from 47.2 cm h<sup>-1</sup> in the untreated soil (control) to 23.9 cm h<sup>-1</sup> at addition biogas manure. The data in Table (7) reveal that the biogas manure most effect in decreasing hydraulic conductivity compared to other manure and untreated soil. Effect of organic fertilizers application for their influences on decreasing hydraulic conductivity can be arranged in the descending order as follows: Cont. > Town refuse > Farmyard manure > Biogas manure. Moreover, the same trend was observed after the second year and bio-fertilizer in both seasons. This could be attributed to the decreased bulk density values and the rapid decomposition of organic fertilizer and increasing organic carbon that enhance the soil aggregates, increasing the micro pores and decreasing the macro pores consequently improving physical soil properties (Mansour, 2012).

### **c) Total porosity**

Data presented in Table (7) declared the effect of organic fertilizer (i.e FYM, biogas

and town refuse) combined with bio-fertilizer (effective microorganism [EM] and the N<sub>2</sub> fixer Compomax [CoM]) on total porosity values of experimental sandy soil. The values of total porosity in the soil were increased due to the application of organic fertilizer individually or combination with bio-fertilizer compared with initial value and other manure. Effect of organic fertilizers application for their influences on increasing total porosity and decreasing hydraulic conductivity can be arranged in the ascending order as follows: Cont. < Town refuse < Farmyard manure < Biogas manure. Moreover, the same trend was observed after the second year and bio-fertilizer in both seasons. These results may be attributed to the effect decomposition of organic fertilizer which encourage flocculation of soil particles leading to the formation of aggregates and in turn the decrease of the bulk density and increase total porosity. Moreover, the same trend was observed after the second year. Data indicated that the mean values of total porosity were higher than that those of the second season.

### **d) Pore size distribution**

Data in presented in Tables (8 and 9) show that mean values of pore size distribution of sandy soil after first season (bean) as affected by different improvement processes, as a result of adding organic fertilizer, either individually or in combination with biofertilizer. The obtained data indicated that the fine pores (FCP) was progressively increased by about three-fold with application any organic fertilizer as compared with control. Water holding pores (WHP) and slowly drainable pores (SDP) were also highly affected by adding any organic fertilizer, which significantly increased with application of any organic fertilizer. On the other side, data also revealed that quickly drainable pores (QDP) were decreased with application any organic fertilizer. Moreover, the same trend were

***Smart use of organic and bio-fertilizers to improve physical .....***

also observed after the second year. These results may be attributed to the improvement of soil physical properties as a result of adding any organic fertilizer, either individually or in combination with bio-fertilizer. These results agree with Mansour (2002), who reported that the positive effect

of sugar lime could be that such material serve as cementing agents. As the microbial decay of organic materials produces polymers (such as Polysaccharides and polynuorides) capable for binding soil aggregates and may a contribution on increasing soil porosity.

**Table (8): Effect of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer on some physical properties in sandy soil in the first and second year.**

Organic fertilizer	1 <sup>st</sup> year											
	Without				EM				Compomax N-fixers (CoM)			
	Q.D.P.	S.D.P.	W.H.P.	F.C.P.	Q.D.P.	S.D.P.	W.H.P.	F.C.P.	Q.D.P.	S.D.P.	W.H.P.	F.C.P.
Cont.(without)	31.6	5.84	3.21	1.39	31.5	6.04	3.15	1.35	31.5	6.06	3.13	1.36
Town refuse	27.8	11.9	6.72	4.38	27.7	12.1	6.75	4.42	27.4	12.2	6.6	4.78
Biogas manure	26.2	12.2	7.39	4.81	26.3	12.4	7.55	4.94	26.3	12.3	7.6	5.01
Farmyard manure	27.2	12.8	7.88	3.42	27.3	13.1	7.27	3.62	27.3	12.9	7.49	3.63
2 <sup>nd</sup> year												
Cont.(without )	31.4	6.02	3.28	1.42	31.4	6.36	3.30	1.47	31.4	7.34	3.33	1.44
Town refuse	18.4	18.0	8.60	6.5	18.5	18.2	8.63	6.5	18.5	18.1	8.73	6.4
Biogas manure	17.9	19.9	9.65	5.45	17.4	20.2	9.4	5.33	17.4	20.2	9.0	5.83
Farmyard manure	16.3	20.8	9.28	4.92	16.1	20.7	9.6	4.75	16.2	20.4	9.9	4.84

Without: no biofertilizer, EM: Effective microorganism, CoM: N fixer Compo max

**Table (9): Effect of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer on some physical properties in sandy soil in the first and second year.**

Organic fertilizer	1 <sup>st</sup> year								
	Without			EM			Compomax N-fixers (CoM)		
	F.C.	W.P.	A.W.	F.C.	W.P.	A.W.	F.C.	W.P.	A.W.
Cont.(without)	10.4	4.09	6.11	10.2	4.10	6.18	10.3	4.11	6.2
Town refuse	12.9	5.04	7.3	13.3	5.09	7.31	13.1	5.10	6.76
Biogas manure	14.2	5.38	6.24	14.2	5.42	6.28	13.9	5.41	6.6
Farmyard manure	14.8	5.85	6.6	14.9	5.79	6.63	14.9	5.80	6.8
2 <sup>nd</sup> year									
Cont.(without)	10.5	4.21	6.32	10.5	4.21	6.33	10.5	4.21	6.32
Town refuse	13.1	5.21	7.5	13.1	5.22	7.52	13.2	5.23	7.53
Biogas manure	14.2	5.94	7.9	14.2	6.03	8.1	14.2	8.12	8.12
Farmyard manure	15.1	6.21	7.8	15.4	6.23	8.0	15.5	8.11	8.11

Without: no biofertilizer, EM: Effective microorganism, CoM: N fixer Compo max

### **e) Soil moisture retention and Water holding capacity:**

Data in Table (9) reveal that soil moisture content at field capacity and wilting point were significantly increased with application of organic manure either individually or in combination with bio-fertilizer. This result may be attributed to increase the total porosity, fine capillary pores and decrease the volume of wide pores, as a result of application of any organic manure. While the available water is significantly increased. This result may be due to the effect of organic manure increasing the micro pores and decreasing the macro pores. Similar results were obtained by Mansour (2007) and Mariano *et al.*, (2009).

### **Yield of Faba bean**

The determined yield components of faba bean crop such as 100 seed weight, seed and foliage yields significantly influenced by manure application practices (Table 10). Application of farmyard manure (FYM) significantly influenced the yield components of faba bean compared to mineral fertilizer, town refuse and biogas manure. The treatment of FYM + EM application significantly increased the 100-seed weight (88.7 g), seed yield (1620 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) and foliage yield (4229 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>), over the treatment receiving FYM alone and the others. Such favorable effects on yield and yield components could be attributed to the stimulation effect of NPK on number and weight of nodules and nitrogen metabolism, which in turn reflected positively on faba bean yield attributes. These increases in yield and its components as a result of application of the farmyard manure over Biogas and town refuse application may be attributed to higher content of macronutrients, which might enhance the activity of photosynthesis and protein synthesis in the leaves. This in turn encourages photosynthetic process apparatus. The elemental composition of the organic manure applied especially their content of N, P, K, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu may account for such finding. Beneficial microorganisms in bio-fertilizers accelerate

and improve plant growth and protect plants from pests and diseases (El-yazeid *et al.*, 2007).

### **Yield of Residual crop (Maize)**

With respect to the residual effect of applied manures on maize yield and its components (Table 10) application of organic manure had distinctly influenced yield components of maize. The highest values of 100-grain weight (38.0 g), grain (6708 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (5180 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in biogas manure treatment compared to FYM followed by town refuse, respectively. The lowest 100-grain weight, grain and stover yield recorded in the treatment receiving town refuse and control. This might be due to higher yield components that are directly responsible for grain yield that appeared to have been determined by physiological characters, both during vegetative and reproductive phase of the crop growth. Mando *et al.* (2005) also found that soil organic matter and crop performance were better maintained by using organic materials with a low C/N ratio (manure) than those with a high C/N ratio (straw).

The treatment of biogas manure + EM significantly increased 100-grain weight (38 g), grain yield (6708 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) and (5180 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) and they were significantly surpassed superior over the other treatments. The nutrient assimilation of biogas manure in plants and grains, applied singly or in combination with EM may produce more available nutrients in soil resulted in an increase of maize grain yield. Foliar bio-fertilization by EM and CoM is readily absorbed by the leaves and not lost through fixation, decomposition or leaching.

Higher effect of biogas manure than the other two organic manure (farmyard manure and town refuse) may be due to the narrowest C/N ratio and its higher content of N, P and Fe and Zn (Table 2), Similar results were obtained by Nasef (2004) who stated that the positive effect of pigeon manure extract on wheat yield and its components surpassed the organic manure extracts of biogas and chicken manure.

**Smart use of organic and bio-fertilizers to improve physical .....**

**Table 10: Effect of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer on yield parameters in sandy soil in the first and second year.**

Organic fertilizer	1 <sup>st</sup> year											
	100 seed weight (g) of bean				Seed yield (kg/fed) of bean				Foliage yield (kg/fed) of bean			
	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean
Con.( Without )	54.1	67.4	56.4	59.3	510.1	763.3	603.4	625.6	611	1432	1108	1050
Town refuse	78.6	86.9	84.8	83.4	577	1313	1003	965	700	2298	1525	1508
Biogas manure	83.1	88.7	86.0	86.0	923	1620	1212	1252	1309	4229	1848	2462
Farmyard manure	81.4	87.7	85.8	84.9	775	1391	1068	1078	885	2821	1681	1796
Mean	24.3	82.6	78.2		696.3	1271	972		876	2695	1541	
LSD (5 %)	Organic			0.89	77.94				394.4			
	Biofertilizer			0.50	54.33				309.1			
	Interaction			0.82	NS				507.11			
Organic fertilizer	2 <sup>nd</sup> year											
	100 Grain weight (g) of maize				Grain yield (kg/fed) of maize				Stover (kg/fed) of maize			
	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean	Without	EM	CoM	Mean
Con.( Without )	16.3	23.4	18.7	19.5	1612	2306	2877	2265	2145	3380	2606	2710
Town refuse	27.6	36.2	33.4	32.4	1850	2717	2900	2489	2742	4258	3617	3539
Biogas manure	32.4	38.0	35.3	35.2	2725	3708	3308	2247	3442	5180	3920	4181
Farmyard manure	30.3	37.0	34.6	34.0	2492	3242	3040	2925	3185	4475	3850	3837
Mean	26.7	33.7	30.5		2169	2243	3031		2879	4323	3498	
LSD (5 %)	Organic			0.51	231.0				109.2			
	Biofertilizer			0.29	205.1				87.7			
	Interaction			0.47	NS				143.8			

Without: no biofertilizer, EM: Effective microorganism, CoM: N fixer Compo max

**CONCLUSION**

Could be concluded that application of any organic manure improved the physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil due to increasing total porosity (T.P), fine capillary pores (FCP), soil moisture contents at field capacity (F.C), wilting point (W.P), available water (A.W). E.Ce, O.M, and available N, P and K. On the contrary, decrease in pH, bulk density (B.D), hydraulic conductivity (H.C) and quickly drainable pores as compared with the control. Furthermore, application of biogas manure combined with EM recorded the highest yield parameters. The likewise, an increase in organic matter was occurred with

the used organic fertilizers. efficient use of fertilizers supplements the nutrient-supplying capacity increased of soil organic content. Manures have the potential to improve crop nutrition. They may be particularly beneficial in terms of minor elements.

**REFERENCES**

Abd El-Hamid, Azza, R., S.F. Mansour, T.A. EL-Maghraby and M.A.A. Barky (2011). Competency of some soil amendments used for improvement of extreme salinity of Shall El-Tina, soil J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng. Mansoura Univ., 2: 649-667.  
Barzegar, A.R., P.N. Nelson, J.M. Oades and P. Rengasamy (1997). Organic

- matter, sodicity, and clay type: Influence on soil aggregation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 61: 1131–1137.
- Bevacqua, R.F. and V.J. Mellano (1994). Cumulative effects of sludge compost on crop yield and soil properties. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 24: 395-406.
- Celik, I., I. Ortas and S. Kilic (2004). Effects of compost, mycorrhiza, manure and fertilizer on some physical properties of a Chromoxerert soil. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 78: 59- 67.
- Chang, A.C., A.L. Page and J.E. Warneke (1983). Soil conditioning effect of municipal sludge compost. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 109: 574-583.
- Chen, Jen-Hshuan (2006). The combined use of chemical, organic fertilizers and/or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. Technical Bulletin 174, Food & Fertilizer Technology Center. Taipei, ROC.
- Cottenie, A., M. Sverloo, L. Kiekens, G. Velghe and R. Comerlyncck (1982). Chemical and analysis of plants and soils .State Univ., Ghent Belgium.
- De-Leenheer, L. and M. De-Boodt (1965). Soil Physics. "International Training Center for Post Gradual Soil Scientist" Ghent, Belgium.
- El-Yazeid, A. A., H. A. Abou-Aly, M. A. Mady and S. A. M. Moussa (2007). Enhancing growth, productivity and quality of squash plants using phosphate dissolving microorganisms (biophosphor) combined with boron foliar spray. *Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 3: 274-286.
- Gee, G.W. and J. W. Bauder (1986). Particle size analysis. In "Methods of soil analysis". Part 1, PP. 383-409., Klute, A.,(Ed.), Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI, USA.
- Ghafoor, A., G. Murtaza and M. Qadir (2001). Gypsum: an economical amendment for amelioration of saline-sodic waters and soils for improving crop yield. In: National Workshop on Agricultural Use of Gypsum. LRRI, NARC, PARC, Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Giusquiani, P.L., M. Pagliai, G. Gigliotti, D. Buisinelli and A. Beneti (1995). Urban waste compost: Effects on physical, chemical and biochemical soil properties. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 24: 175-182.
- Haynes, R. and R. Naidu (1998). Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical conditions: A review. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 51: 123–137.
- Jensen, T., A. Johnston, D. Franezen and J. Stika (2015). Fertilizer BMPs- Suggested practices for semiarid North Dakota. Fertilizer Best Management Practices. International Plant Nutrition Institute
- Kato, S., H. L. XU, M. Fujita, K. Yamada, K. Karose and H. Umemura (1999). Effect of organic fertilization and EM application on growth pattern, nutrient uptake and grain yield of sweet corn. 6th International Conference on Kyusei Nature farming Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa, 28-31 Octotber.
- Khosro, M. and S. Yousef (2012). Bacterial bio-fertilizers for sustainable crop production: a review. *J. Agric. Biolog. Sci.*, 7: 307-316.
- Klute, A. and C. Dirksen (1986). Hydraulic Conductivity and Diffusivity: Laboratory Methods. In: "Methods of Soil Analyses". Part 1, PP., 687-734, Klute A. (Ed.), Amer. Soc. of Agron. Madison, WI, USA.
- Khafagy, E.E.E., E.G. Abo-Elela, E.F. Ramadan and A.M. AbdEl-Fattah (2015). Influence of different types and rates of organic fertilizers application for improv1ng some properties of salt affected soils and maize productivity . *Egypt. j. of Appl. Sci.*: 30(9).
- Mando, A., M. Bonzi, M. C. S. Wopereis, F. Lompo and L. Stroosnijder (2005). Long-term effects of mineral and organic fertilization on soil organic matter fractions and sorghum yield under Sudano-Sahelian conditions. *Soil Use Manag.*, 21: 396-401.

- Mansour, S.F. (2002). Improvement of soil structure in some soils of Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. Of Agric. Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Mansour, S.F. (2007). Improving some physical properties of calcareous soils by using diluted sulfuric acid and organic manure. *Minufiya. J. Agric. Res.*, 32: 553 – 562.
- Mansour, S.F. (2012). Comparative effect of some industrial wastes as soil conditioners on some physiochemical hydro physical soil properties and maize productivity. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.* 2:387-396.
- McConnell, D.B., A. Shiralipour and W.H. Smith (1993). Compost Application Improves Soil Properties. *Biocycle* 34: 61-63.
- Mariano, A.P., H.R. Sergio, F.A. Dejanira and M.B. Bonito (2009). The use of vinasse as an amendment to exist bioremediation of soil and ground water contaminated with diesel oil. *Brazilian Archives of biology and technology*, 4: 1043-1055.
- Mokolabate, M.S. and R.J. Haynes (2002). Comparative liming effect of four organic residues applied to an acid soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 35, 79-85.
- Mosa, W.F.A.E.G., L.S. Paszt, M. Frac and P. Trzciński (2015). The Role of Biofertilization in Improving Apple Productivity—A Review. *Advances in Microbiology*, 5: 21-27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/aim.2015.51003>
- Nasef, M. A. (2004). Comparative study on effect of foliar application of Urea and some organic manure extracts on wheat yield and its components. *Annals Agric. Sci., Moshtohor.* 42: 861-870.
- Page, A.I., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds.) (1982). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties.* 2 nd Edition, Amer. Soc. of Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Pandey, P., R. Kunnar and P. Mishra (2011). Integrated approach for the management of *Sclerotinia- sclerotiorum*, causing stem rot of chickpea. *Indian Phytopathology*, 64(1):37-40.
- Prabu, K., G. and K. B. Uthaya (2006). Use of organics for crop production under rainfed situation - A review. *Agric. Rev.*, 27: 208 -215.
- Rebecca, B. (2004). "Soil Survey Methods Manual". Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 42, Natural Resources Conservation Services, USDA, USA.
- Rokhzadi, A., A. Asgharzadeh, F. Darvish, G. Nourmohammadi and E. Majidi (2008). Influence of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on dry matter accumulation and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under field condition. *Am-Euras. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 3: 253-257.
- Sharma, A. R. and B. N. Mittra (1991). Effect of different rates of application of organic and nitrogen fertilizers in a rice-based cropping system. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 117: 313-318.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1989). "Statistical Methods" 8th Ed. Iowa State Univ., Press Amer. Iowa U.S.A., 325-330.
- Tiwari, A., A. K. Dwivedi and P. R. Dikshit (2002). Longterm influence of organic and inorganic fertilization on soil fertility and productivity of soybean-wheat system in a yield components of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.), under semiarid environment. *Not Bot Hort Agrobot Cluj.*, 37: 105-111.
- Vomocil, J.A. (1965). *Methods of Soil Analyses. Part 1* Edited by Klute, As Monograph No. 9, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Youssef, M. A. (2011). Synergistic impact of effective microorganisms and organic manures on growth and yield of wheat and marjoram plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Assiut, Egypt.
- Zebarth, B.J., G.H. Nelen, E. Hogue and D. Neilsen (1999). Influence of organic waste amendments on selected soil physical and chemical properties. *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 79: 501-504.

## الاستخدام الأفضل للأسمدة الحيوية العضوية لتحسين بعض الخواص الطبيعية للاراضى الرملية وزيادة إنتاجية الفول البلدي والذرة

صبحي فهمي منصور ، عبد العزيز محمد محمد رجب ، عصام جودة أبو العلا ،

عصام فوقى رمضان

معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - الجيزة - مصر

### الملخص العربي

أقيمت تجربتين حقليتين لتقييم تأثير إضافة الاسمدة العضوية بالتداخل مع الأسمدة الحيوية على تحسين بعض الخواص الطبيعية والكميائية للاراضى الرملية وتأثير ذلك على محصولي، ( للفول البلدي-الذرة) في نظام الزراعة المتسلسل تحت نظام الري بالرش.

تم تصميم التجربة إحصائياً بنظام القطع المنشفة في ثلاث مكررات لكل معاملة، وكانت المعاملات كالاتي:- معاملة المقارنة، (الزراعة التقليدية باستخدام الأسمدة الكيماوية)، معاملة الأسمدة العضوية، (الأسمدة البلدية- مخلفات المدن وسماد البيوجاز) بالتداخل مع الأسمدة الحيوية ( مجموعة بكتريا إي إم وهي خليط من عدة أنواع من الكائنات الحية الدقيقة) ومخلوط بكتريا مثبتة للنيتروجين).

- أدت إضافة الأسمدة العضوية إلى تحسن في بعض الخواص الطبيعية و الكميائية للاراضى الرملية فقد زادت قيم المسام الكلية؛ الماء الميسر؛المسام الدقيقة وملوحة التربة في حين انخفضت قيم الكثافة الظاهرية و التوصيل الهيدروليكي المشبع ومسام الصرف السريع وقلوية التربة وذلك بالمقارنة بالكنترول  
- أدت إضافة الأسمدة العضوية مخلوطة ببكتريا إي إم إلى تأثير مباشر ومعنوي على المحصول ومكوناته ، (محصول البذور ، وزن آل 100 بذرة، المحصول الخضري لنباتات الفول).  
- أظهرت نتائج نظام الزراعة المتسلسل استجابة محصول الذرة ، وكذلك صفات المحصول، ووزن آل 100 حبة، لاستخدام الأسمدة العضوية، وحققت معاملة استخدام الأسمدة العضوية بالتداخل مع الأسمدة الحيوية والمعدنية أفضل محصول الذرة.

- أدى استخدام الأسمدة العضوية والحيوية إلى تحسن مستوى المادة العضوية بالتربة، والتي بدورها أدت إلى زيادة المحصول.

- أظهر استخدام الأسمدة العضوية إن هناك اختلافات معنوية بين المعاملات خاصة فيما يتعلق بتيسر عناصر النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم.

- سجل استخدام سماد البيوجاز بالتداخل مع بكتريا إي إم أعلى تيسر لعناصر النيتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم بشكل كبير على باقي المعاملات وسجلت معاملة المقارنة أقل قيمة لتيسر العناصر.

**Smart use of organic and bio-fertilizers to improve physical .....**