#### JOURNAL OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION OF THE

No. I

UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC Vol. II 1962

FERTILITY OF BALADI, FAYOUMI AND SOME EPIZOTIC BREEDS OF FOWL AS AFFECTED BY SOME GENETICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND PHYSICAL FACTORS

> M.T. RAGAB (1) and S.A. Helmy (2)

#### SUMMARY

Eggs used in this study were produced at two farms :-

(1) The Animal Breeding Research Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Giza.

(2) The Liberation Province Poultry Farm.

From the former farm, eggs of Baladi, Fayoumi, White Leghorn and Rhode Island Red birds were available. Eggs collected from the Liberation Province Farm were of North Holland Blue, Fayoumi, White Leghorn and Rhode Island breeds. Comparison for fertility between these breeds as well as between the two localities were made. This study included also the effect of crossbreeding between some of these breeds. The results arrived at can be summarised as follows:

(1) An everage fertility of 82.48, 89.86, 91.97 and 81.89% was obtained for Baladi, Fayoumi, White Lehorn and Rhode Island Red eggs respectively at the Giza farm. In Liberation Province Farm the fertility was 83.41% for North Holland Blue, 90.02% for Fayoumi, 77.82% for White Leghorn and 88.34% for Rhode Island Red.

(2) A highly significant difference in fertility was found between eggs from the two localities.

(3) Crossbreeding had no effect on fertility except when crossing Rhode Island Red males with Baladi females, where an improvement was obtained.

(4) The diallel crossing experiment showed non-significant differences between

sires in fertility.

(5) Variation in fertility due to month were found to be highly significant. There was a tendency for fertility in all breeds to decrease as summer approached (June-July).

(6) Fertility was found to be positively correlated with egg production in Fayoumi and White Leghorn birds, while an opposite relation was obtained for

Baladi and Rhode Island Red birds.

(7) Eggs laid in one-egg-clutch had a lower fertility than others in the Fayoumi breed. In Baladi fertility declined with position in the clutch sequence.

(8) Extremely small eggs at Giza and extremely large ones at Liberation Province farm had lower fertility than others.

(9) Colour of the egg shell was found to have no definite relation to fertility. (10) A storage period from one to eight days had no effect on fertility.

<sup>(1)</sup> Professor of Animal Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

<sup>(2)</sup> Lecturer, Animal Production Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

#### Introduction

In either improving undeveloped stocks or attempting to build up new genetic combinations with higher potentialities, fertility is of paramount importance. In Egypt, two ways of improvement are being attempted. One is concerned with both Baladi and Fayoumi fowls as they are the best available indigenous birds, while the other involves the introduction and establishment of foreign breeds such as Rhode Island Red, North Holland Blue and White Leghorn.

Because there have been instances of loss of imported stocks through a decline in fertility, it was decided that a study should be made of the effects of some genetical, environmental as well as physical factors on the fertility of Fayoumi, Rhode Island Red, North Holland Blue and White Leghorn, along with certain of their crosses.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The eggs used in this study were collected from the Animal Research Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture in Giza, and from the Liberation Province Farm. A total number of 25,701 eggs was used throughout a period of two years. The details of the eggs used are given in Table 1.

In Giza, the Baladi and Fayoumi birds were kept in single breeding pens, each containing 10 hens and pullets and one cock. The yards of these pens were semi-covered. Flock mating was used in White Leghorn and Rhode Island Red, and in each house there were 20 pullets and hens with 2 cockerels. In Liberation Province, flock mating was used in all cases. In each house, 200 females were kept with 20 males. The houses were provided with open yards.

The feeding and management of the experimental birds in both localities were kept as uniform as possible throughout the period of study. Eggs used for each hatch were laid during a seven-or eight-days period previous to incubation. In both localities, eggs were collected several times daily and placed in an egg-holding room where the temperature and humidity were very similar to those outdoors.

All eggs were individually weighed to the nearest gram one day before setting. Measurements of the length and maximum diameter of each egg were taken by a caliper, measuring to the nearest tenth of a millimeter. Eggs were classified according to colour as light, medium and dark. This classification was done separately within each hatch. Eggs were classified according to shape, representing shapes commonly observed in the breeds studied.

In both localities, eggs were incubated in "Secura" electric forced draft incubators which were kept at 99°F. with a relative humidity of 70 - 80. In Giza. eggs were tested individually for "clears" on the seventh day of incubation. In the Liberation Province, eggs were not candled till the eighteenth day of incubation. On both farms, infertile eggs were broken out to detect infertility.

Means and standard errors for weight, length, maximum diameter, shape index and shell thickness were calculated. Analysis of variance with two criteria was carried out after transformation of all percentages to their corresponding angles, the angle being equal to arc sine percentage. Correlation coefficients were calculated to find out the relation between the different items studied. Methods used in this are those given by Snedecor (1950).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1.—Breed and Locality:

The fertility estimates for the breeds studied are Eggs laid by White Leghorns on shown in Table 2. the Giza farm show the highest fertility, followed by Fayoumi, Baladi and then Rhode Island Reds. Although El-Ayady and El-Ibiary (1957) reported a higher fertility for White Leghorn than Baladi, their figures for both breeds (87.1% and 62.3% respectively) were lower than those obtained in this study. They attributed the low fertility observed in Baladi eggs to early embryonic mortality which were considered as infertiles. They also admitted the possibility of a low ratio of males to females in laying flocks since the eggs were collected from individual farmers who usually run birds loose in the villages under conditions of fortuitous mating. In addition, eggs were collected all the year round. In this investigation, the eggs were collected

Table 1.—Eggs According to Origin, Year and Breed.

			Giza Farr	n	  Liberation	
Breeds and Crosses		1955/56	1956/57	Total	Province Farm 1956/57	Grand Total
Baladi		2312	_	2312		2312
Fayoumi		2576	1106	3782	$2\overline{617}$	6299
W.L		3303		3303	2322	5625
R.I.R		3022	_	3022	2497	5519
N.H.B			_		2220	2220
F.xR.I.R.		_		_	1008	1008
R.I.R.xF.				_	887	887
W.L.xB.			832	832	_	832
R.I.R.xB.	•••		999	999	-	999
Total		11213	2937	14150	11551	25701

TABLE 2.—Fertility of Breeds at Giza.

Breeds	No. of eggs set	No. of fertile eggs	Fertility%
Baladi Fayoumi W. Leghorn R.I.R	2312	1907	82.48
	2576	2315	89.86
	3303	3038	91.97
	3022	2475	81.89

TABLE 3.—Fertility of Different Breeds in Giza and Liberation Province at Similar Months.

	-		Bre	eed	
Locality	Items	F.	W.L.	R.I.R.	N.H.B.
Giza	No. of eggs set No. fertile Fertile %	738 681 92.20	1009 975 96.65	937 837 89.32	=
Liberation Province	No. of eggs set No. fertile Fertile %	2617 2356 90.02	2322 1807 77.82	2497 2206 88.34	2220 1853 83.46

Table 4.—Analysis of Variance of Fertility of the Different Breeds in Two Localities

Source of variation	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.
Between breeds Between localities Interaction Remainder	2 1 2 46	116.98 391.60 564.28 1439.86	58.49 391.60** 282.14** 31.30
Total	51	2512.72	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Highly significant.

during the period from November to July. Since fertility is affected by hot weather, lower fertility would be expected from the eggs used by El-Ayady and El-Ibiary (1957). For this same reason, a value of only 81.5% was reported by Hafez and Kamar (1955) for fertility in Fayoumi fowls, compared with 89.86% and 90.02% obtained in this study in Giza and Liberation Province respectively. The low fertility reported by Amer et al (1957) for Rhode Island Reds and White Leghorns (79.1% and 78.2%) may be due to the eggs not being carefully handled during or after their air-trip. The estimate obtained by the same authors for Egyptian eggs (mixture of Fayoumi and Baladi eggs) is close to the average for both breeds obtained in this study.

For practical reasons, each breed in the Liberation Province was studied at a particular time which was not necessarily the same for the others under study but eggs laid at the same period of time, although not the same year, in both Giza and Liberation Province flocks, have been compared with each other. The comparisons are given in Table 3. These show a higher fertility for the Giza flock than for that of the Liberation Province. The small difference in fertility between the Fayoumi flocks of the two farms may be due to the fact that the Liberation Province flock came originally from the Giza flock. However lower fertility values are to be expected in all breeds in Liberation Province, because incubated eggs were not candled till the eighteenth day of incubation and this might mean that some of the early dead embryos which were probably putrified could be classified as clears.

The analysis of variance for the effect of both breeds and localities (Table 4) shows that difference in fertility between breeds,irrespective of locality, was not significant but that there is a highly significant difference due to locality. There is also a highly significant effect for interaction between breed and locality, but it is not possible to define the reason for this difference. Possibly it could be due to some factor in management or possibly some local environmental factor which cannot be controlled by management.

### 2.—Effect of Crossbreeding

Lower fertility values than those of the parental breeds resulted from crossing White Leghorn males with Baladi females and Fayoumi males with Rhode Island Red Females. No improvement in fertility was obtained by crossing Rhode Island Red males with Fayoumi (Table 5). This agrees with the findings of Knox (1939), Bernier (1947), King and Brukner (1952) and Ragab et al (1956). However, a higher fertility value was obtained from crossing Rhode Island Reds with Baladi than was obtained in the pure breeds. This result conflicts with the findings of Dunn (1927), Curtis and Lambert (1929) and Bernier and Trulsson (1939), who found that semen of males from a given breed is more effective in fertilizing ova from the same breed than ova from another breed.

## 3.—Effect of Sire

In order to study the effect of sire on fertility, a diallel crossing experiment was carried out. The material used consisted of three sires and three female sets, each comprising seven dams. Each sire was mated alternately to the three groups of dams. The analysis of variance shows that the variance between sires when compared with the remainder does not give a significant for F. (Table 6). This means that the sires used in this experiment were not significantly different from each other in the fertility of eggs of their mated hens.

Table 5. — Number and Percentage of Fertile Eggs in Pure Breeds and Their Crosses.

Locality	Breed or Cross	No. of eggs set	No. of fertile	Fertile eggs per cent.
Giza	Baladi W.L R.I.R W.L. × Baladi R.I.R. × Baladi	2312 3303 3022 832 999	1907 3038 2475 623 892	82.48 91.97 81.88 74.87 89.28
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2612 2497 887 1008	2356 2206 726 915	90.02 88.34 81.84 90.77

Table 6.—Analysis of Variance of Fertility (Diallel Crossing Experiment).

Source of variation	D.F.	s.s.	M.S.
Total	62 2 2 4 54	8699.62 537.37 397.87 394.40 7369.98	

#### 4.—Effect of Month

Throughout the period of study in Giza, which lasted from November to July, monthly variations in fertility were observed, for all breeds studied. In Baladi and Rhode Island Reds, maximum fertility was attained in November, while the minimum for both breeds occured in May. The maximum fertility for Fayoumi and White Leghorn was obtained in February, while the lowest value for both was in July. However, the analysis of variance shows that there is a highly significant breed difference in fertility as well as in monthly variations, and also that the variance due to interaction between breed and month is highly significant (Table 7). In other words, the different breeds show different patterns of monthly variation.

Although this study does not cover the whole year fertility of all breeds shows a tendency to decrease as summer approaches (May, June and July). Thus, seasonal variation in fertility obtained in this study is similar to those reported by Hafez and Kamar (1955), Amer et al (1957) and El-Ayadi and El-Ibiary (1957) in Egypt. These results also agree with those obtained for foreign breeds in other countries by Upp and Thompson (1927), Kumanoff (1941), Funk (1938) Parker and McSpadden (1942), Malmstrom (1943), Montmayer (1936) and Blyth (1945).

#### 5.—Egg Production and Fertility

Correlation coefficients between egg production of the different breeds during the breeding season and fertility have been calculated (Table 8). The value obtained for White Leghorn birds suggests that high producers give more fertile eggs. This agrees with the observations of

TABLE 7.—Analysis of Variance of Fertility.

Source of variation	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.
Total  Between breeds  Between months  Interaction  Remainder	111 3 7 21 80	6298.85 730.52 1072.42 2438.69 2057.22	243.51** 153.0** 116.17** 25.71
** Highly significant.			

Table 8.—The Correlation Coefficient Between Fertility and Egg Production.

Breed	No. of brids	Av. egg production	Cor. Coeff.
Baladi	53.0 46.5 50.1 50.1	37 42 58 58	$egin{array}{c} -0.0374 \ \pm 0.0382 \ \pm 0.616 \ ** \ -0.0125 \ \end{array}$

<sup>\*\*</sup> Highly significant.

Warren and Kilpatrick (1929), Nicholsides (1934), Lamoreux (1940), Malmstrom (1943) and Bernier (1947). It also confirms the findings of Hauser (1916) who obtained a correlation coefficient of  $0.609\pm0.109$  between the number of matings and the rate of production. The positive correlation coefficient obtained for Fayoumi birds and the negative ones for Baladi and Rhode Island Reds was found to be non-significant.

## 6.—Size of Clutch

Table 9 shows the relation of size to fertility results in Baladi and Fayoumi eggs. In both breeds, no definite relations has been established. This disagrees with the results obtained by Funk (1939), Lamoreux (1940) and Ghany (1955).

## 7.—Position of the egg in clutch

There was a tendency for fertility to decline in Baladi eggs as the sequence of eggs in any clutch increase (Table 9). In Fayoumi eggs (Fig. 8) no difinite trend was observed. Similar results were obtained by Funk (1939), Lamoreux (1940) and Ghany (1955).

## 8.—Egg weight

The number and percentage of fertile eggs of the different breeds in Giza and Liberation Province farms are shown accordingly to weight in Tables 10 and 11, and are graphically represented in Figure 9 and 10. In Giza, it is clear that extremely small eggs have a remarkably low fertility value for White Leghorns, Rhode Island Reds, and Fayoumis. In Baladis, both extremely small or extremely large eggs have lower fertility values

TABLE 9.-The Relation of Clutch Size and Position of Egg in Clutch to Fertility.

Breed	Chrtch	No.	Percenta	ge of fertile	Percentage of fertile eggs in different eggs of the clutch	fferent eggs	s of the clu	tch	
		clutches	lst. egg	2nd. egg	3rd. egg	4th. egg	5th. egg	6th. egg	$\left\{egin{array}{ll} { m Fotal} \ { m fertility} \end{array} ight.$
	- 600	564	83 15						
	2 eggs	322	89.51	85.75		]			83.15
Baladi	3 eggs	92	85.52	77.63	67.10			l	86.03
		38	84.61	64.48	75.67	70.27			70.33
		20	80.00	85.00	80.00	85.00	80 00		86.00
		20	80.00	85.00	80.00	85.00	00.08		00.00
	6 eggs	က	33,33	33,33	99.99	99.99	33.33	100.00	55.55
	I egg	502	40.83	1	i	j			60 00
	2 eggs	380	94.73	91.31	1				90.00
Fayoumi 3	3 eggs	116	93.04	85.12	91.89	ŀ			80.09
	4 eggs	33	94.59	94.59	100.00	100.00	Ī		97.52
	5 eggs	12	83.32	83.32	83.32	100,00	91.66	ļ	88 33
	eggs 9	9	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00	100.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE 10.-Number and Percentage of Fertile Eggs of Native Breeds According to Weight.

oince		% fert.	80.0 100.0 92.1 82.9 83.7 89.9 89.1 90.6 89.1 71.4	
Liberation Proince	Fayoumi	No. fert.	4 11 82 212 2448 579 405 291 291 213 65 31 5	_
Lib	-	No. of eggs.	5 11 89 241 503 644 453 321 239 67 32 7	
		% fert.	77.7 95.0 87.3 93.8 92.1 90.7 90.0 86.6 87.1 92.3 100.0	
	Fayoumi	No. fert.	14 38 97 227 400 460 281 283 110 36 15	
Giza		No. of eggs	18 40 111 242 434 507 423 292 118 39 15	
Gi		% fert.	68.0 74.5 81.3 82.5 87.7 89.3 89.4 77.9 62.7 56.6 90.0	
	Baladi	No. fert.	17 38 105 223 386 386 318 210 124 64 17 9	
		No. of eggs set	25 51 129 270 440 432 379 261 159 102 30 30	
	Weight	(Gram)	32 34 36 38 40 42 44 44 46 50 52 54	

TABLE 11.-Number and Percentage of Fertile Eggs of Foreign Breeds According to Weight.

ſ	ı	1	ı																			
		fert.		1	1	ا و	100.0	65.5	83.7	79.4	83.7	86.8	87.4	83.9	9.08	83,3	80.0	87.5	87.5	77.7	83.3	83.9
	B.H.	No. fert.			1	ا .	]	· 9	36	147	227	317	320	$\frac{1}{319}$	208	115	92	42	35	7	10	1863
ovince		No. of		1		۱ °	1 -	· =	43	185	272	365	366	380	258	138	95	48	40	6	9	2219
Liberation Province	   	0.0 fert.		!	2	65.50 4.17	6.06	86.1	86.5	91.5	98.9	8.06	88.9	84.7	88.3	88.4	83.3	84.6	91.3	6.92	0.001	88.4
Libera	R.I.R.	No.		1	"	ว หา	9	62	141	303	283	376	281	266	204	115	20	33	21	10	ນ	2100
		No. of				<b>∵</b>															10	2477
		%, fert.				1	100.0	88.8	74.4	73.1	76.2	74.9	78.2	17.9	82.7	82.7	80.3	87.3	72.4	53.3	i	77.8
	W.L.	No. feri.				1	21	16	35	158	202	263	298	297	216	124	106	62	21	 ∞	1	1808
za		No. of			I	I	2	18	47	216	265	351	381	383	261	153	132	71	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	15	1	2324
Giza	- <del>I</del> -	ert.		0 0	2.89	84.0	68.4	84.4	89.7	91.5	92.3	94.0	91.2	95.4	$93.0  \pm$	95.8	96.5	92.5	6.06	1	1	91.3
	R.I.R.	No. fert.		 	=	42	92	114	280	369	445	525	325	354	188	139	84	25	$\frac{50}{}$	ı	_ 	3004
		No. of eggs set		01	191	50	111	138	312	403	482	558	326	371	202	145	827	27	22	I		3237
		o'o fert.	8	54.3	78.2	76.2	78.4	77.2	72.4	84.1	89.4	86.3	86.1	85.5	86.0	90.9	89.9 1.0	78.9	94.1	0.001	1	82.1
	W.L.	No. fert.	8	20	36	7.4	153	173	318	365	329	360	206	225	98	10			- 01 - 01	<u>ښ</u>	1	2481
		No. of	22	37	46	26	195	224	439	434	308	417	239	293	001	03	7.5	- 6 F	7.7	γo		3020
			38	40	42	44	46	48	50	25	4.7	50	28	30	7.0	40	90	200	2 6	7 7	<b>4</b> ,	Total

than those which are medium in size (42 grams). In Liberation Province, where very small eggs of any breed were not incubated, the eggs in other weight classes showed more or less similar values. It can be concluded from the results on both farms that best fertility results can be obtained from Baladi eggs weighing 40 to 44 grams while Fayoumi eggs weighing 34 grams to 52 grams also have good fertility values. Eggs from White Leghorn, Rhode Island Red and North Holland Blue, which are less than 52 grams or more than 66 grams, are best discarded.

## 9.—Egg shell colour

Although classification of colour was carried out within each breed separately, there is no constant relation between colour and fertility, which can be generalised for all breeds. In Baladi eggs, those having medium or dark coloured shells show a higher fertility than white ones. In both localities, white and medium coloured Fayoumi eggs have a higher fertility than darker ones. In Rhode Island Red eggs from the Giza farm, the darkest eggs have the highest fertility, while in the Liberation Province those medium in colour have the best fertility. Ghany (1955) found no relation between shell colour and fertility, though Funk and Forward (1949) observed that in summer hatches only the darker brown eggs had better fertility.

#### 10.—Storage period

It appears from Tables 12 and 13 that the storage period has no definite relation to the apparent fertility of the different breeds on either farms. A similar result was reported by Ghany (1955). In both cases this may be due to the fact that the storage period did not exceed

TABLE 12.—Number and Percentage of Fertile Eggs According to Storage Period (Giza Farm).

	Breed			Storage	Storage Period	(Days)			
		1	2	3	4	က	9	2	8
	No. of eggs set No. of fertile eggs Fertility percentage	107 99 92.52	343 288 83.96	353 293 83.00	317 262 82.64	307 251 81.75	353 274 77.62	316 269 85.12	201 170 84.52
Fayoumi	No. of eggs set No. of fertile eggs Fertility percentage	$111 \\ 101 \\ 90.19$	337 312 89.67	358 325 90.76	303 279 92.07	333 310 93.09	342 317 92.69	325 286 88.00	222 202 88.98
White Leghorn	No. of eggs set No. of fertile eggs Fertility percentage	95 85 89.47	494 449 90.89	484 440 90.90	477 434 90.98	489 453 92.63	469 434 92.53	475 436 91.78	325 301 92.61
	No. of eggs set No. of fertile eggs Fertility percentage	94 84 89.36	434 349 80.41	413 326 78.93	408 332 81.34	457 378 82.70	442 376 85.10	418 333 79.66	341 265 77.71

Table 13.—Number and Percentage of Fertile Eggs According to Storage Period (Liberation Province Farm).

-		_		Storag	Storage period (Days)	(Days)	_		
Breed		Ι	23	3	4	5	9	2	8
North	No. of eggs set	213	442	304	352	490	406	12	
Holland	No. of fertile eggs	180	364	250	292	406	348	12	
Blue	Fertility percentage	84.50	82.35	82.23	82.95	82.85	85.71	100.00	
Fayoumi	No. of eggs set	14	192	179	489	441	493	523	290
	No. of fertile eggs	11	168	156	445	399	447	478	256
	Fertility percentage	78.57	87.50	87.15	91.00	90.47	90.66	91.39	88.27
White Leghorn	No. of eggs set No. of fertile eggs Fertility percentage	261 200 76.62	$419 \\ 329 \\ 78.52$	418 321 76.79	431 335 77.72	345 266 77.10	424 334 78.77	25 19 79.16	
R.I.R.	No. of eggs set	46	326	328	463	473	369	356	225
	No. of fertile eggs	42	287	312	416	328	322	311	188
	Fertility percentage	91.30	88.03	95.12	89.84	87.93	87.26	87.35	83.55

eight days. In fact, length of storage period can have no effect on biological fertility, since fertilisation takes place within the body of the hen. Thus, any bad effect of storage period only hinders the fertilized blastodisk from growing at all or causes death of the embryo at an early stage of development.

#### REFERENCES

- AMER, H.F., EL-IBIARY, KHISHIN, S.S. and ISSAWI, H.F. (1957). A comparative study of Egyptian strains of chickens and three standard breeds imported from Holland. I. Fertility, hatchability and chick mortality. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 5:103-121.
- Bernier, P.E. (1947. The relative effects of inbreeding and outbreeding on reproduction in the domestic fowl. Thesis, Univ. Calif. (Cited by Taylor, L.W. 1949, PP. 120).
- Bernier, P.E. and Trulsson, S. (1939). Selective fertilization in poultry. *Hereditas*, 25:65-76.
- BLYTH, J.S.S. (1945). Infertility and embryonic mortality in the domestic fowl. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 62:191-201.
- Curtis, V. and Lambert, W.V. (1929). A study of fertility in poultry. Poult. Sci., 8:141-150.
- Dunn, L.C. (1927). Selective fertilization in fowls. Poult. Sci., 6:201-214.
- EL-AYADI, M.N. and EL-IBIARY, H.M. (1957). Variations in fertility and hatchability of Baladi and Leghorn eggs. *Alex. J. Agric. Res.*, 5:69-88.
- Funk, R.M. (1938). Seasonal variation in fertility of White Leghorn. (Cited by Taylor, L.W. pp. 123).
- egg in the clutch to hatching results. Poult. Sci., 18:350-353.
- and Forward, J.F. (1949). The relationship of egg shell color to hatchability in New Hampshires. *Poult. Sci.*, 28: 577-580.
- GHANY, M.A. (1955) . A study of egg characteristics in the domestic fowl. Ph. D. Thesis, London Univ.
- HAFEZ, E.S.E. and KAMAR, P.A.R. (1955). Seasonal variation in the fertility, mortality and hatchability of Fayoumi eggs in the subtropics. *Poult. Sci.*, 34:524-530.
- HEUSER, G.F. (1927). Nutritional factors affecting hatchability of eggs. (Cited by Jull, M.A., 1931).
- KING, S.C. and BRUKNER, J.E. (1952). A comparative analysis of purebred and crossbred poultry. *Poult. Sci.*, 31:1030.
- KNOX, C.W. (1939). Crossbreeding in the domestic fowl. (Cited by Taylor, L.W. 1949, pp. 125).
- Kumanoff, S. (1941). The influence of some factors on fertilization and hatchability of hen's egg. Abs. A.B.A., 10:264.

- LAMOREUX, W.F. (1940). The influence of intensity of egg production upon infertility in the domestic fowl. J. Agric. Res., 6:191-206.
- MALMSTROME, M.V. (1943). Factors influencing fertility in the domestic fowl. Thesis, Univ. Conn. (Cited by Taylor, L.W. L.W. 1949, pp. 120).
- Montmayor, M.R. (1936). Preliminary observations on the relationship between production, fertility and hatchability of eggs. Abs. A.B.A., 5:58.
- NICOLAIDES, C. (1943). Fertility studies in poultry. Poult. Sci., 29:178-182.
- PARKER, J.E. and McSpadden, B.J. (1942). Fertility studies with poultry. (Cited by Taylor, L.W. 1949, pp. 127).
- RAGAB, M.T., ASKER, A.A. and SAMAK, E. (1956). Effect of crossing Fayoumi fowls with Light Sussex and Rhode Island Reds, Faculty Agric., Cairo Univ. Bull. No. 96.
- SNEDECOR, G.W. (1950). Statistical Methods, 4th. Ed., Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.
- UPP, C.W. and THOMPSON, R.E. (1927). Influence of time of hatch on hatchability of the eggs, rate of growth of the chicks and characteristics of the adult female. (Cited by Taylor, L.W. 1949, pp. 123).
  - WARREN, D.C. and KILPATRICK, L. (1929). Fertilization in the domestic fowl. *Poult. Sci.*, 8:237-256.

# تأثير بعض العوامل الوراثية والبيئية والطبيعية على الخصب في الدجاج البلدي والفيومي وبعض الأنواع الأجنبية

شملت الدراسة ٢٥٧٠١ بيضة جمعت من مزرعتى كلية الزراعة بجامعة القاهرة ومديرية التحرير في مدة عامين ، وتتلخص النتأج التي أسفر عنها البحث فيما يلي :

بلغ متوسط الخصب فى بيض كل من الدجاج البلدى والفيومى واللجهورون الأبيض والرود ايلند المجموع من مزرعة كلية الزراعة ١٩٦٨٨/ ، ١٩٩٨٨/ ، ١٩٩٨٨/ ، ١٩٩٨٨/ على التوالى . أما بالنسبة للبيض المجموع من مزرعة مديرية التحرير فقد كان متوسط الخصب ١٤ر٣٨/ للهولندى الأزرق و ٢٠٠٠/ للفيومى و ٢٠٠٧/ للجهورن الأبيض و ١٣٨٨/ للرود ايلند . وقد كانت للفيومى و ٢٠٠٧/ للجهورن الأبيض و ١٣٨٨/ للرود ايلند . وقد كانت الفروق بين نسبة الخصب فى الأنواع بين المزرعتين ذات دلالة معنوية إحصائية ما يدل على أن لكل بيئة أثرها المميز على خصب البيض .

وقد تبین أن الخلط بین الأنواع لم یكن ذا أثر علی الخصب إلا في حالة خلط ديوك الرود ايلند بأناث من البلدى حيث لوحظ تحسين معقول .

أما الخلط المتبادل من الأنواع فلم يسفر عنه أى فروق فى نسبة الخصب نتيجة لتغير الديوك .

هذا وقد اتضح أيضاً أن نسبة الخصب تختلف من شهر إلى آخر على مدار العام مع اتجاء للنقص في شهور الصيف رخصوصاً في شهري يونيه ويوليه .

أما بالنسبة لملاقة معدل وضع البيض بالخصب فقد وجد أن هناك علاقة إيجابية بين هذا المعدل وبين الخصب في كل من الدجاج الفيومي واللجمورن

الأبيض وبالتالى فقد كانت العلاقة سلبية فى البلدى والرود أيلند . هذا بالإضافة إلى أنه قد لوحظ أن البيض الموضوع فى سلسلة وضع مفردة ذو خصب منخفض وذلك فى الدجاج الفيومى أما فى البلدى فقد لوحظ أن الخصب ينخفض كلا زاد عدد البيض فى السلسلة .

ولوحظ أيضاً أن البيض الصغير جداً فى مزرعة الجيزة والكبير جداً فى مزرعة التحرير كان منخفضاً فى نسبة التفريخ أكثر من مثيله فى المزرعة الأخرى . كا وجد أن لون البيض ليس له أى أثر على نسبة الخصب .

أما مدة التخزين فقد تبين أنها إذا تراوحت بين يوم واحد وثمانية أيام لم تسبب أى ضرر على نسبة الخصب أما إذا زادت عن ذلك فإن نسبة الخصب تتأثر.