THE EFFECT OF SOME DIETARY FLAVOUR AGENTS ON GROWTH AND FOOD UTILIZATION FOR GROWING CHICKS

By

F. E. ABDEL SALAM*, A. A. ABOUL-SEOUD*
AND N. E. ASKER*

Two feeding trials were conducted on 360 Dokky 4 young chicks (180 chicks for each trial) for studying the effect of some dietary flavour agents on growth and feed efficiency. In the first experiment five flavour agents were investigated using a commercial diet. Three flavours of unknown character and two commercial fivours of anise and mint extractives were experimented. All the flavour agents were added ranging from 30 to 50g. per ton diet. Some chicks were taken at the end of the experiment for the flavour detection in the fresh meat. In the second experiment a flavoured high energy diet (excluding mint oil) was tested.

The results showed that:

- 1. No significant difference (P=0.05) was found either for growth or for feed efficiency, though a trend of slight improvement was noted for the flavoured high enery diet.
- All dietary flavoured agents were not detected in chick fresh meat.
- 3 Effect of flavoured diets on the live-weight increase and feed efficiency was not of significant importance such as that of the high energy diet.

Numerous references are available on the sences of the fowl (Ewing, 1951; Kare et al., 1957; Kare and Pick, 1960); and Engleman, 1934, observed that the fowl would discriminate in choice between acids, salts, sweatness and bitterness. Kare et al., 1957, with chicks, used for evaluating 32 flavours in water concluded that they have a sense of taste. The same authors claimed that the drinking water was considered to be the best medium to permit a greater degree of flavour discrimination. They also, reported that the response to a variety of sweet and bitter flavours suggests that the broad classifications of taste recognized by man are not applicable to the fowl. In this respect, Dukes, 1955, claimed that the fowl keeps food in its mouth a short time and secretes substantially less salive per Kilogram of food consumed than man. Morley and Herbert, 1960, repoted that age was of marginal or no significance in discrimination of flavours.

^{*} Poultry Nutr. Sec., Animal Prod. Dept. Minist. of Agric., Dokky, Caro, U.A.R.

Sizemore and Lillie, 1956, with baby chicks. used sythetic poulatry feeds flavours contained extractives of anise, rose, cimnamon, lemon and orris with soyameal and corn sugar as carriers, did not find any significant improvement in the body weight. Romesor et al., 1958, found that the addition of imitation strawberry, anise or grape flavours to the diet of growing chicks was without apparent effect on rate of gain in body weight or food conversion. Devoe et al., 1964, used a commercial flavouring agent containing esters, organic acids and volatile oil, 0.1% of a diet based on maize and soyabean meal. Those workers, however, claimed that the flavours did not improve the body weight, though it significantly improved food conversion. It is worth noting that feeding young calves flavoured diets (Youssef et al., 1969) did not show significant effect on growth and feed utilization.

The present study was carried out to investigate some flavour agents in poultry diets which are suggested for improving growth and feed efficiency.

Experimental

Experiment 1.

Chicks: 180 Dokky 4 young chicks (males and females) were used. The chicks were fed a commercial diet (shown in table 1), for the first week of age. The one-week old chicks were distributed in descending order according to their live-weight in six treatments of three replicates each. The average liveweight was similarly obtained for every ten chicks in each replicate. The chicks were allocated at random up to 8-week old in a commercial battery of five tiers; each tier was divided into two compartments. The battery was electrically heated for, only, the first 10 days of age; the room was naturally ventilated and provided with a 200 watt bulb to provide light source at night-time during the experimental period (23.5, 1966-18.7, 1966). The chiks were reared upto 8-week old.

Diet and feeding: The percentage composition and the proximate analysis of the experimental diet is shown in table 1.

Three synthetic flavour agents were partly provided by Firmench Swiss company Geneva. The two other commercial flavours namely, anise seed and mint extractives were locally produced. The feeding treatments were as follows:

	Flavour	51.813	T	30	g.	per	ton	diet
	Flavour	52.818	Ţ			per		
	Flavour	52.819	${f T}$			per		
(D)	Anise oil					per		
(E)	Mint oil					per		
(\mathbf{F})	Control unflavoure	d diet			.,	•		

U.A.R. J. Anim. Prod., 11, No. 2 (1971).

TABLE 1.—The percentage composition and proximate analysis of the experimental diet, exp. 1.

Ingredients	Percent	Ingredients	Percent
Ground yellow maize	25	N. Free extract	
Dec. cotton seed meal	25	Crude Fibre	
Sesame-meal	6	Ash	11.06
Rice starch residue		Calculated Met. Energ (Keal/g. diet)	2.41
Wheat bran (fine)	10	Fish-meal	3
Rice bran	10.	Bone-meal	l
Maize gluten feed	5	Gyster shell(Pulverized)	1
Meat-meal	2	Lime stone (Pulverized)	1
Proximate Analysis:		Table Salt	0.5
Moisture	9.52	Mineral mixture*	0.5
Crude protein	22.20	Vitamin mixture†	++
Ether-extract	4.52	Other additives;	+

^{*} Each Kg. mineral mixture contains (in grams): — Limestono phosphate 550, Calcium hydroxid: 305, Sodium chloride 100, Ferric oxide 21, Sulphur 6, magenesium sulphate 11, manganese sulphate 5.6, Cobalt oxide 0.18, potassium iodide 0.4, copper sulphate 0.82.

[†] To each Kg. diet was added a commercial vit. mix. to provide : vitamin A 10,000 I.U., vitamin D_s 1000 I.U., riboflavin 8.8 mg., Calcium partotherate 8.8 mg., niacinamide 52.8 mg., chloine bitartarate 228.8 mg.

[†] Megasol I Kg. per ton diet. Megasol is M, m dinitro diphenyl disulfide (nitrophenide).

To facilitate adding the flavour agents with the basal diet, the compounds were primary mixed with absolute ethyl alcohol (95%). The same amont of ethyl alcohol (350 ml. per feeding lot) was mixed with the control diet. The experimental diets were weighed and freshly mixed wih the flavour agents in polyethylene buckets. The residue and scattered food were weighed every three days to get the food intake.

A ckick of each replicate, that recorded the highest live-weight was slaughtered and dressed for the detection of dietary flavours in the fresh meat.

Newcastle vaccin of eye-drops was used when the chicks were 8-day old. At three weeks old (2 weeks on the experimental diet) symptoms of vitamin E deficiency was observed in various groups. A number of 25 tablets of gelatenized DL-Alpha-tochophyrol acetate (vit. E) (containing 50 mg) were ued in the drinking water for 2 to 3 days until the case was over and chicks became normal.

The proximate analysis of the experimental diets were carried out using the conventional methods (A.O.A.C, 1960). The metabolizable energy for each diet was calculated, using the data recorded by Titus, 1955.

The analysis of variance for the final live-weight for each treatment was made according to Snedecor, 1960.

Experiment 2.

All experimental details were as previously described for exp. 1 other than in the following respect:—

Chicks: 130-day old Dokky 4 female chicks were reared up to 6-week old (from 30.4.1967 to 11.6.1967).

Diet: The percentage and proximate analysis of a high energy diet is shown in table 2. The same previous flavour agents were used excluding the mint oil. A reference group was fed on the commercial diet (table3.) additives free; while only ethyl alcohol was added to the high energy control diet. The experimental flavoured diets were stored in thick polythen bags and ready for use.

Results and Discussion

Table 4. shows the average live-weights of the experimental chicks for both experiments. It could be seen that the high energy diet gave a similar average live-weight at 6-week old to that recorded at the eighth week for experiment 1. The average live-weight for the unflavoured control diet, treatment F, in experiment I. was higher (468 g) than for some other flavoured diets. Mint flavoured diet gave the lowest average live-weight (424 g.). Anise oil flavoured diet, treatment D, experiment 1., gave higher value for the final average live-weight of 426g, than for treatment E.

U.A.R. J. Anim. Prod., 11, No. 2 (1971).

TABLE 2.—The percentage composition and proximate analysis of the experimental diet, exp. $2\,$

Ingredients	Percent	Ingredients	Percent
Ground white maize	60	N. Free extract	40.41
Soybean meal		Crude fibre	
Maize oil		Ash	6.14
Fish-meal	5	Calculated M. Energy Kcal/g. diet	3.3
	!	Bone-meal	2.5
Proximate Analysis:		Lime-stone (pulverized)	1.5
Moisture	10.52	Table Salt	0.5
Crude protein	23.42	Mineral mixture*	0.5
Ether-extract	5.49	Vitamin mixture†	++

^{*} See footnote table I.

[†] To each kg. diet was added a commercial vit. mix. to provide : vitamin A 7500 I.U., vitamin D₂ 1500 I.U., Vit. B₁ 0.75 mg., vit. B₂ 6.41 mg., Calcium pantothemate 9.91 mg., nicotenic acid 42.96 mg., Choline 178.91 mg., Vit. B₃ 0.375 mg., vit. B₁₂ 0.003 mg., vit. E. 1.875 I.U., vit. K₃ 1.5 mg., Proc. penicillin 6.0 mg.

TABLE 3.—The Percentage composition and proximate analysis of the commercial diet, exp. 2

Ingredients	Per- cent	Ingredients	Per- cent
Ground white maize	25	Ether extract	4.05
Doc. Cotton seed meal	25	N. free extract	51.12
Sesame meal	6	Crude fibre	6.07
Rice starch residue	10	Ash	7.24
Wheat bran (fine)	10	Calculated M. energy Kcal/g.	2.41
Rice bran	10	Fish meal	3
Maize gluten feed	5	Bone-meal	I
Meat-meal	2	Oyster-shell (pulverized)	1
		Lime-stone	1
Proximate Analysis:		Table salt	0.5
Moisture	9.75	Mineral mixture*	0.5
Crude protein	21.77	Vitamin mixture†	++.

^{*} See footnote table 1.

[†] To each kg. diet was added a commercial vit. mix. to provide: vitamin A 8752 I.U., vitamin D₃ 1000 I.U., vit. B₁ 0.13 mg., riboflavin 2.4 mg., Calcium pantothenate 13.6 mg., nicotenic acid 14.8 mg., Choline 5I mg., vit. B₄ 0.06 mg., vit. B₁₃ 0.012 mg., vit. E. 0.51 I.U., vit. K₃ 0.75 mg., Pros. penicillin 1.0 mg., Oxytetra cycline 7.0 mg.

TABLE 4.—Effect of flavour agents on live-weight of chicks

Age in weeks									Live weight in grams													
								1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8							
Treatment:																						
A. Flavour	52.8	13 ′	Г																			
	Exp. Exp.	$_2^1$		•				46 55	68 109			228 357		372 —	466							
B. Flavour	52.8	18 ′	r								İ				ļ							
	Exp.							46 55	66 106			207 366	266 461	346 —	440 —							
C. Flavour	52.8	19 ′	ľ										ĺ									
	Exp.	$\frac{1}{2}$	·	:			•	45 54	66 106	101 183	$\frac{145}{276}$		273 440	370 	478 —							
D. Anise oil	l:										ĺ											
•	Exp.	$\frac{1}{2}$			•			46 53	70 103	104 180	149 277		287 438	363 —	462 —							
E. Mint oil	:						i															
	Exp. Exp.	$\frac{1}{2}$	·					45 53	$\begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 103 \end{array}$	96 178	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{136} \\ \textbf{268} \end{array}$	195 377	$259 \\ 425$	340	424 —							
Control (H. energy) F. Control (comm. diet)										<u>.</u>												
	Exp. Exp.	$\frac{1}{2}$						46 53	68, 90	100 [!]	149 197	$\begin{array}{c} 229 \\ 232 \end{array}$	294 306	387	468 							

Ewing, 1951, suggested that anise oil has been the main flavouring agent in calf meals and in mineral feeds for both livestock and poultry. The synthetic flavour, treatment C, experiment 1 recorded the highest final average live-weight of 478 g. corresponding to an increase of about 2 percent than the control. However, statistical data for the analyses of variance for the final average live-weights, experiment 1, showed no significant difference (P=0.05) between all treatments.

The flavoured high-energy diet, experiment 2, showed higher final average live-weight than for unflavoured control diet. The maximum value was for treatment B, of 461 g. while the minimum value was for the control, treatment E, of 425 g. with an increase of 36 g. corresponding to about 8.5 percent. When a commercial diet, treatment F, experiment 2 was fed, an average final live-weight of 306 g. was obtaiend. The difference between the average final live-weight in treatment F, of 306 g. and the control of high-energy diet, treatment E, of 425 g. was 119 g. corresponding to about 39 percent. It means that the effect of the unflavoured high-energy diet when compared with the unflavoured commercial diet was nearly three times (119/36) that produced by flavouring the high-energy diet. Statistical data for the analysis of variance of the final live-weight, experiment 2, showed no significant difference (P=0.05) hetween flavoured high energy diet and their control. While a highly significant difference (P=0.01) was noted between the commercial diet, treatment F, and those for the high energy diet.

Obviously, a slight increase in food intake of the high-energy diet may have a marked influence in growth than for the lower energy diet (commer-

cial diet).

The detection of flavour agents in fresh meat, experiment 1 was negative. However, Lewis et al, 1956, claimed that purified diets consistently and significantly improved the flavour and aroma of light and dark meat, broth and juice of broiler. Newman et al., 1958, with large amounts of various spices in poultry food, claimed that garlic (lb per 5lb food) during 4-day feeding impiared the flavour of the cooked flesh. The other spices (celery seed, allspice, sage and clover) or monosodium glutamate did not significantly affect flavour. Similarly, Yosida et al., 1964, found no differences attributable to diet in flavour of cooked meat.

Table 5 shows the food enoversion data for both experiments. It could be noticed that in general, feed efficiency values (units food/unit gain) tended

to increase with the increase of age.

Experiment 1 gave the highest values at 8-week old reaching 2.91 in anise oil, treatment D, and the lowest value of 2.8 in the control diet. These data indicated that flavour agents did not improve food conversion in the practical diet.

Experiment 2 gave feed efficiency values ranging from 1.96, in treatment C, to 2.01 in the control diet, treatment E. These data may suggest a slight improvement in the feed efficiency by flavouring the high energy diet.

The present data may suggest that adding flavour agents, in general, to chick diet has to be investigated preferably, with high quality diets.

TABLE 5.—Effect of flavour agents on feed efficiency

Age in weeks											Feed Efficiency (food/unit gain)													
													2 3		i I	4 5			6		7		8	
Treatment:										- 1 - j			 				-		- -		-]-		- -	
A. Flavour	52.8	13	\mathbf{T}										į I		 		ļ				ļ			
	Exp.									· -	1,4	8	1. 1.	92 30	${f 1}$	19 58	$egin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. 4 9	9 7 1	.7	3 2	. 82	2 2	. 83
B. Flavour	52.8	18	\mathbf{T}							ļ							İ							
	Exp. Exp.		•		•			•			.4	$rac{6}{9}$	$\frac{2.0}{1.2}$	00 29	$rac{2}{1}.$	10 54	2. 1.	53 87	$\frac{2}{2}$, 8) , 0 (2	.86	$ ^2$.90
C. Flavour	52.819	T										İ		ļ							i I			
	Exp. Exp.	$egin{smallmatrix} 1 \ 2 \end{smallmatrix}$						•		0	. 4: . 89	1 9	$\frac{2.6}{1.3}$	$egin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}$	2.5 1.5	28 57	$^{2}_{1}$.	52 82	$\frac{2}{1}$. 76 . 96	2	. 82	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ - \end{vmatrix}$	87
D. Anise oil	:									 				!							 			
	Exp. Exp.	$_2^1$	•	:					•	10	. 54 . 89	€] }]	1.8 1.2	5 9	2.2 L.£	24 57	2,4 1,4	55 36	$\frac{2}{2}$	68	2.	88	2.	91
E. Mint oil	:											: 		İ		i					1			
	Exp. Exp.	$\frac{1}{2}$:						1 0	$\frac{45}{92}$	1	.9	6 2	3.1 .5	8 2 - 9 3	3.£	$egin{smallmatrix} 2 \ 3 \ \end{bmatrix}$	$rac{2}{2}$.	65 01	2.	72	2.5	80
Control (H. energy)												!		İ								İ		
F. Control (comm. diet)										i !		 		İ								!		
	Exp. Exp.	1 2		• •					.	1. 1.	42 03	1	. 96 . 68	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix} 2$. I . 1	$9 \begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix} 2$. 4	3 2 9 2	2.(3.(66 68	2.8	32 2 -	2.8	35

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their thanks to Dr. A.A. El-Itriby The Under Secretary of State, Minis, of Agric., for his encouragement and constructive critism in the present study. Thanks are, due to the Manager of the Dokky Poultry Farm in the same Department for providing the ckicks and facilities to carry out the present experiments. The authors appreciate the effort done by Mr. G. Caplo, Technical Delegate of Firmenich Swiss Co., in Cairo, for providing the synthetic flavour agents.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1960). 9th edition, Published by the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Benjamia Franklin Station, Washington 4, D.C.
- DEYOE, C.W., M.A.Z. MADERO, R.E. DAVIES and J.R. COUCH (1964). The effect of flavour on the growth and feed utilization of broiler chicks. *Brit. Poult. Sci.*, 5: 245-247.
- DUKES, H.H. (1955). "The physiology of Domestic Animals". Comstack, Ithaca, N.Y.
- ENGLEMANN, C. (1934). Versuche uber den Gesch mack von Taube, Ente und Hunz. Z. verg. Physiol. 20: 626-645. Cited by Kare, M.R., R. Black and E.G. Allison (1957) Poult. Sci., 36: 129-138.
- EWING, W.R. (1951). "Poultry Nutrition". 4th edition, W. Ray Ewing Publisher, South, Pasadena, California.
- FISHER, R.H. and H.M. Scott (1962). Flavours in Poultry Rations Poult. Sci., 41: 1978-79.
- KARE, M.R., R. BLACK and E.G. ALLISON (1957). The sense of taste in the fewl Poult. Sci. 36: 129-138.
- KARE, M.R. and H.L. Pick (1960). The influence of the sense of taste on feed and fluid composition. *Poul. Sci.*, 39: 697-706.
- Lewis, R.W., P.E. Sandford, A.T. Ericson, D.L. Harrison and R.E. Clego (1956). Flavour and aroms of birds fed purified and standard diets. *Poul. Sci.*, 35: 251-253.
- NEWMAN, S., P.J. SCHAIBLE and L.E. DAWSON (1958). Effect of spices in feed on Poultry meat flavour. Quart. Bull., Agric., Expt. Stat., Michigan, 40: 747-753. Nutr. Abst. and Rev., (1959) 29: 357.
- ROMOSER, G.L., E.H. BASSARD and G.F. COMBS. (1958). Studies on the use of certain flavours in the diet of chiks. *Poult. Sci.*, 37: 631-633.
- Suzmone, J.R. and R.J. Lillie (1956). Lack of effect of a synthetic poultry feed flavour on chick growth and feed efficiency. *Poult. Sci.*, 35: 360-361.
- SNEDEGOR, G.W. (1956). "Statistical Methods" 5th edition. Iowa, State College Press, Ames. Iowa, U.S.A.
- Trus, H.W. (1955). "The Scientific feeding of Chickens" 2nd edition, The Interstate, Danville, Illinois.
- Youssef, M.S. Saleh, A.M. Marky, W.H. Abdel-Malik, E.I. Shehata and A.A. Bakeeb (1969). The effect of some actificial flavours on the growth and feed utilitzation of buffalo and friesian calves. Agric. Res. Rev., Minis. of Agric., U.A.R. (In Press).
- Yoshida, M., H. Hoshil and H. Morinto (1964). Note on the flavour of broiler chickens. Nutr. Abs. and Rev. 34: 284.

تأثير بعض مصادر مكسبات الطعم والرائحة على النمو والاستفادة الفذائية في الكتاكيت

* فهمى الحسيني عبد السلام ـ أحمد عبد الله أبو السعود ـ ناجي ألسعيد عسكر

اللخص

اجریت تجربتان استعمل فیهما خمسة أنواع من مصادر مكسبات الطعم والرائحة حیث استوردت ثلاثة منها غیر معروف ترکیبها والباقی من مصادر محلیة تجاریة وهی زیت الینسون والنعناع .

أخذ ٣٦٠ كتكوت دقى } حديثة الفقس (١٨٠ كتكوت لكل تجربة) . في التجربة الأولى اضيفت مصادر الطعم والرائحة الى عليقة المزرعة العادية بنسب تتراوح بين ٣٠ ، ٥٠ جرام لكل طن عليقة ، في نهاية التجربة فحصت بعض الكتاكيت المذبوحة المرفة تأثير رائحة تلك المواد على اللحم الطازج ، وفي التجربة الثانية استعملت نفس المواد السابقة _ بعد استبعاد زيت النعناع _ وبنفس النسب حيث تم خلطها بعليقة ذات طاقة حرارية عالية .

وقد دلت النتائج على ما يلى:

١ - ليس هناك تأثير معنوى احصائيا (على مستوى ٥٠٠.) لاضافة هـنه المواد المكسبة للطعم والرائحة الى علائق الكتاكيت النامية على النمو والكفاءة التحويلية للفذاء ٤ غير أنه تبين اتجاه لتحسين طفيف في الكفاءة التحويلية عند استعمال العليقة ذات الطاقة الحرارية المالية .

٢ ـ لم ينتج عند اضافة تلك المواد أي آثار أمكن التعرف عليها في لحم الكتاكيت المذبوحة •

٣ – كان التأثير الناتج من اضافة مكسبات الطعم والرائحة على الوزن الحى والكفاءة التحويلية اقل بكثير جدا بالنسبة للعليقتين على عكس التأثير الواضح الناتج من استعمال العليقة ذات الطاقة الحرارية المالية حيث وصل الوزن الحى للكتاكيت عند عمر ٦ أسابيع ما وصلت اليه الكتاكيت عند عمر ٨ أسابيع فى حالة عليقة المزرعة العادية .

^(*) قسم بحوث تفلية الدواجن _ الادارة العامة للانتاج الحيواني بوزارة الزراعة الدقى _ ج . ع . م .

U.A.R. J. Anim. Prod., 11, No. 2 (1971).