# Effect of Housing System and Protein Level on Growth of Iraq White Turkeys

S.A. Riad and K.A.O. Yamani

Animal Breeding Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo and Zagazig Universities, Egypt.

In THIS study 96 poults of Iraq White Turkey were used to study the effect of type of housing and protein level on body weight, weight of gain, feed efficiency and feed consumption of Iraq Turkeys.

The floor rearing was found to be more successful than the cage rearing. Body weight and gain weight were high for birds maintained on floor when compared to those in cages. Feed consumption and feed efficiency were poorer in caged birds than in floor reared turkeys. The incidence of breast blisters were found only in caged birds.

Body weight was found to be significantly affected by the protein level. Males and females required 18% protein from 12-16 weeks of age and 16% protein from 16-24 weeks of age for maximum growth.

Body weight, gain weight, feed consumption and feed efficiency were affected by sex. They are greater in males than females.

The stimulatory effect of type of housing on body weight in turkeys was demonstrated by some workers. Tikk (1967) found that the type of floor rearing pens had more advantages in body weight of Broad Breasted Bronz. However, Muller and Manely (1972) & Carson et al. (1973) reported that caged turkeys gained significant more weight than did floor birds. Carson et al. (1973) noted that feed efficiency was significant poorer in caged birds than floor reared birds. Muller and Manely (1972) & Carson et al. (1973) reported that the incidence of breast blisters was greater in cage rearing birds than in the floor system poults.

Voitle et al. (1972) found a positive effect on body weight and gain weight by increasing the level of protein. Touchburn et al. (1963) reported significant differences in gain weight between the two sexs.

Voitle and Harms (1972) found that the total feed consumption of turkeys was greater for the high protein birds than the low protein poults. Summers et al. (1968) found no significant differences in feed efficiency when the

birds fed ration with various levels of protein. However, Jensen et al. (1965) noted better feed efficiency by using rations with protein series 18, 16 14% for females and 20, 18, 16% for males at 8-12, 12-16 and 18-20 weeks of age respectively.

Studies on the protein requirment of turkey were reported by Kratzer et al. (1956). They found that protein requirment decreased from approximately 20% at 8 weeks of age and 15% at 16 weeks of age to 13.5% at 20 weeks of age. Summers et al. (1968) suggested that the proper levels of protein in the diet of Large White turkeys were about 34, 32, 24, 22 and 20% during the periods 0-4, 4-8, 8-12, 12-16 and 16-20 weeks of age respectively.

The objectives of this study are: 1-To determine the effect of protein level on growth of Iraq White turkeys, 2- to evaluate the relative merits of conventional floor pens versus cages on growth of Iraq White turkeys.

#### Material and Methods

This investigation was carried out from 11/10/1979 to 3/1/1980 on the turkey flock of the Poultry Research Center in Bakragou of the Agricultura Experimental Station, Sullaymania University, on 48 female and 48 mal Iraq White turkey. All poults were placed on floor pens and fed ration wite 28% protein from 0-12 weeks of age. The two sexes were separated at 12 weeks of age, when this study began. Half of the birds from each sex were transferred randomly to individual cages, while the remaining birds (24 and 24 \mathbb{P}) continued rearing on the floor pens. At 12 weeks of age also caged birds and floor birds were equally divided to two feeding groups (each group 12 - and 12\mathbb{P}), high protein level and low protein level. The high protein group recieved at libitum grower deit, which containing 18% protein during the period 12-16 weeks of age, decreased to 16% protein during the period 16-24 weeks of age. The low protein groups fed ration containing 16% protein and 14% protein in the two periods (12-16 and 16-24 weeks of age) At the end of the study, two incidence of breast blisters were found on the

Body weight and dfeed consumption were recorded and weight gain& feed efficiency were calculated every 28 days from 12 to 24 weeks of age. Data were analyzed by application of the analysis of variance test (Snedecor, 1956).

### Results and Discussion

Body weight results are presented in Table 1. Body weight at various ages was affected by housing systems. It was high for birds maintained on floor than those in cages. At 24 weeks of age, caged birds weighted only 3.525 kg while those on litter floor weighted 3.707 kg. Tikk (1967) found also that floor pens caused an increase in the average weight of turkey birds.

Egypt. J. Anim. Prod. 24, No. 1-2 (1984)

Table 1 shows also that birds, which were fed a deit containing high protein levels, weighted from approximately 184 to 303 gram during the period 16-24 weeks of age more than the poults which were fed deit with low protein levels. It appears, that the higher levels of protein resulted in slightly heavier body weights. This result is in agreement with Voitle et al. (1972).

For maximum growth, Iraq White turkey required 18% protein from 12-16 weeks of age and 16% protein from 16-24 weeks of age (Table 1). Similar results were obtained for male turkeys by Jensen et al. (1965). These protein values were midiate between those reported by kratzer et al. (1965) and Summers et al. (1968).

Body weight was affected also by sex. Body weight of males was greater than those of the females (Table 1).

TABLE 1. Effect of different rearing systems and protein levels on body weight (gram) st different ages.

Age wk.	Protein		Cage	1	Average	
	level	3	9	S	O +	1
12	High Low	1481.7 1549.2	1135.0 1159.2	1561.7 1482.5	1190.8 1226.7	1342.3 1354.4
	Average	ge 1331.3		1365.4		
16	High Low	2410.0 2385.0	1 1907.5 1 769.2	2629.2 2281.7	1919.2 1695.0	2216.5 2032.7
	Average	211	7.9	213	500,000,000	
20	High Low	3385.0 3210.0	2460.0 2205.0	3576.7 3379.2	2463.3 2338.3	2971.3 2783.1
	Average	281:	5.0	293		
24	High Low	4430.0 3952.5	2978.3 2738.3	4601.7 4278.3	3058.3 2888.3	3767.1 3464.4
	Average	3524	1.8	370		

Statistical analysis showed that body weight was affected significantly by type of housing (p < 0.05), protein level (p < 0.01) and sex (p < 0.01). The interactions between type of housing, protein levels and sex were not significant. Similar results about the sex effect were also noted by Touchburn et al. (1963).

Weight gain (Table 2) and feed efficiency (Table 3) were greater for floor reared birds than for caged birds. Similar results for feed efficiency was obtained by Carson et al. (1973).

TABLE 2. Effect of different rearing systems and protein levels on weight gain (gram) at different ages

Age	Protein	Cage			Floor			
wk.	level	8		9	3		Q	Average
2—16	High Low	928.3 835.8		772.5 610.0	1068.5 799.2		728.3 468.3	874.2 678.3
_	Average	786.7			765.8			
6-20	High Low	975.0 825.0	į	552.5 435.8	947.5 1097.5	1	544.2 643.3	754.8 751.4
	Avreage	697.1			808.1			
0-24	High Low	1045.0 742.5		518.3 533.3	1025.0 899.2	l	595.0 550.0	795.8 681.2
	Average	709.8			767.3			
224	High Low	2948.3 2403.3		1843.3 1579.2	3040.0 2795.8	1	1867.5 1661.7	2424.8 2109.9
1	Average	219	3.5	5	24	41.	3	

Tables 2 & 3 show positive effect on weight gain and feed efficiency by increasing the level of protein. Weight gain and feed efficiency showed the same trend of body weight. The same results were obtained also by Jensen et al. (1965). Weight gain and feed efficiency were better in males than females (Tables 2 & 3).

Egypt. J. Anim. Prod. 24, No. 1-2 (1984)

Feed consumption results are presented in Table 4. Caged birds tended to cat less feed than those reared on floor pens. However, Tikk (1967) found that the feed intake was greater for caged birds than floor birds. The low averaged feed consumtion in caged birds (in this study) in combination with their relative wild, type, disconcerptment, nerviness, great movement may explain the lower body weight, weight gain, feed efficiency, which were found for the caged birds in this study.

Table 4 shows also that the low protein groups consumed more feed than those fed high protein deit. About sex effect, it appears from Table 4 that males consumed more feed than females.

TBALE 3. Effect of different rearing systems and protein levels on feed efficiency at different ages.

Age	Protein	(	Cage	Floor		Average
wk.	level	8	P	3	9	
12-16	High Low	3.50 3.90	3.16 4.21	2.90 4.43	3.69 5.87	3.31 4.60
	Average	3.	.69	4.22		7.00
16—20	High Low	3.87 5.41	5.47 7.42	4.16	6.07	4.89
	Average	5 ,	54	4.94		- 100
20—24	High Low	3.89 6.33	6.80 6.86	4.14 5.59	6.05 7.06	5.22 6.46
	Average	5.9	97	5.7		3,10
12-24	High Low	3.76 5.17	4.88 5.99	3.71 4.80	5.14 5.97	4.37 5.48
		4.95		4.90	0	

TABLE 4. Effect of different rearing systems and protein levels on feed consumption (kg) at different ages.

Age	Protein	Cage		Floor		Average
Wk.	level	1	9	31	9	
2-16	High Low	3.25 3.26	2.44 2.57	3.09 3.54	2.69 2.75	2.87 3.03
	Average	2.	88	3.02		
6-20	High Low	3.77 4.46	3.02	3.94 4.84	3.30 3.28	3.51 3.95
	Average	3.	62	3.84		
20-24	High Low	4.06 4.70	3.52 3.66	4.24 5.03	3.60 3.88	3.86 4.32
	Average	3.	Ç0	4.19		
224	High Low	11.08 12.42	8.99 9.46	11.28 13.41	9.59	10.23 11.30
	Average	10.	49	11.	0.5	

#### References

Carson, J.R., Berrey, J.G., Adams, R.L. and Stadelman, W.J. (1973) Growing turkeys in cages Poultry Sci. 52 2008.

Jensen, L.S., Ranit, G.O., Wagstaff, R.K. and McCinnis, J.(1965) Proteire and lysiner equirements of developing turkeys as influenced by pelleting. Poult!y Sci. 44, 1435.

Kratzer, F.H., Davis, P.N. and Marshall, B.J. (1956). The protein and laysine requirement of turkeys at various ages. *Poultry Sci.* 35, 197.

Muller, H.D. and Manley, J.M. (1972) Rasising turkeys in cages. Poultry Sci. 51, 1842.

Snedecor, G.W., (1956). Statistical Methods The Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa

Summers, J.D., Pepper, W.F., Moran, E.T. and McConachis, J.D. (1968) Protein requirements of fast growing strains of large white and broiler type turkeys. *Poultry Sci.* 47, 536

Tikk, H. (1967) A comparative study of growth and meat quality of broiler turkeys on in tensive and semi-intensive management. A.B.A. 37, 2966.

Egypt. J. Anim. Prod. 24, No. 1-2 (1984)

Touchburn, S.P., Chamberlin, V.D., McCartney, M.G. and Naber, E.C., (1963) Multiple rations for growing turkeys. *Poultry Sci.* 42, 1314.

Voitle, R.A. and Harms, R.H. (1972) The effect of restrictive feeding programs during the growing on turkey breeders. *Poultry Sci.* 51, 338

Voitle, R.A., Walter, J.H., Wilson, H.R. and Harms, R.H. (1972) The effect of low rotein grower deit on the reproductive performance of turkey breeder toms. *Poultry Sci.* 51, 1548

## تأثير نظام الرعاية ومستوى البروتين على نمو الرومى الأبيض العراقي

سو**زان احمد رياض وكمال عرفه يمثنى** كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة وجامعة الزقازيق – مصر

استخدم فى هذه الدراسة ٩٦ طائر رومى أبيض عراقى لدراسة تأثير نظم الرعاية ومستوى البروتين على وزن الجسم والزيادة الوزنية وكمية الغذاء المستهلك ومعامل الاستفادة من الغذاء وكانت النتائج كما يلى:

التربية على الأرض اعطت نتائج افضل من حيث وزن الجسم والزيادة الوزنية كما أن الطيور المرباة على الأرض كان استهلاكها من الغذاء وكفاءتها المؤنية كما أن الطيور المرباة في الأقفاص وظهرت بثرات صدرية في الطيور التي كانت في الأقفاص •

ووجد أيضًا أن وزن الجسم يتأثر بمستوى البروتين في العلف فقد أظهرت الذكور والاناث المرباة على مستوى بروتين ١٨٪ من ١٢ – ١٦ أسبوع وعلى مستوى ١٦٪ بروتين من ١٦ – ٢٤ أسبوع وزنا عاليا للجسم •

كذلك وجد أن وزن الجسم والزيادة الوزئية وكمية العلف المستهلك ومعامل الاستفادة من الفداء تتأثر بالجنس حيث كانت الذكور أعلى من الاناث في جميع هذه الصفات •