## JOURNAL

OF

## ANIMAL PRODUCTION

OF THE

#### UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC

J. ANIM. PROD. U.A.R.

VOLUME II

Number 2

1962

 $Edited \ \ by$  the Egyptian Society of Animal Production  $Published \ \ by$  The National Information and Documentation Center

### JOURNAL OF ANIMAL PRODUCTION

OF THE

Vol. II UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC

No. 2

# INTER-RELATIONS BETWEEN BODY-WEIGHT, BODY MEASUREMENT AND RELATIVE GROWTH RATE IN A GROUP OF FRIESIAN CALVES

M. T. RAGAB 1 & A. S. ABD EL-AZIZ 2

I .- Interrelationship between body weight & relative rate of growth:

A rather low but significant correlation was observed between body weight at birth  $(W_1)$  and at 4 months  $(W_2)$ , as it was 0.1917. Correlation was also strong between body weight at 4 months and at the age of 12 months  $(W_3)$ . The correlation coefficient was 0.348. On the other hand, the relation between  $w_1$  and  $w_3$  was negative but too low to be significant.

A strong correlation was also observed between the relative rate of growth during the first months of life  $(R_1)$  on one hand and  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  on the other, and between the relative rate of growth during the next 8 months  $(R_1)$  and  $W_3$ . Correlation Coefficients were 0.3796, 0.4965, 0.7678 respectively for  $w_1$   $R_2$  &  $w_3$   $R_2$  and  $w_3$   $R_2$  while there was a weak correlation between  $w_2$  and  $R_2$ , and between  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ .

II.—Interrelationship between body measurements and relative rate of growth:

The relationship between the estimates of absolute body length at different ages was too weak to be significant. The correlation between the estimates of height at withers  $(H_1)$ , heart girth  $(G_1)$  and abdomen girth  $(A_1)$  at birth on the one hand and respectively at 4 months  $H_2$ ,  $G_2$  and  $A_2$  on the other hand, were highly significant.

Relationship between  $H_1$  and  $H_3$  was negative and not significant. It was also negative but significant between  $G_1$  and  $G_3$ . The correlation between  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  was positive and highly significant. The correlation coefficients between the absolute body measurements at four months and at 12 months of age were not significant in all cases except in height at withers as it was highly significant.

<sup>(1), (2)</sup> Department of Animal Production Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

A strong correlation was found between the absolute body measurements and the contiguous relative rate of growth in all stages studied. The correlation coefficients showed similar trend in all cases. They were negative between the initial measurements and the following percentage of increase, while they were positive between the final measurements and the previous relative rate of growth.

Correlation coefficient between  $\mathbf{R}_1$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2$  were too low to be significant in all cases.

III .- Relationship between body weight & certain measurements :

The relationship between weight on the one hand and between height at withers and heart girth on the other was investigated at all ages studied. The correlation coefficients between body weight and height at withers were 0.6144 at birth, 0.4037 at 4 months and 0.7466 at the age of 12 months, while the correlation coefficient between body weight and heart girth were 0.7576, 0.7880 and 0.9142 respectively for the previously mentioned age groups.

#### INTRODUCTION

Body weight and growth rate are two physiological features of body development. The relation between the two aspects are still questionable and if it is proved to exist and on genetical basis, selection for fast growing and heavy animals could be more constructive.

Therefore the relationship between body weights and body measurements as well as growth rate were studied.

#### Material and methods:

Material previously described by the authors (1961) was used. Body measurements were taken. Relative rate of growth was estimated for 2 periods: from birth to 4 months, and from 4 months to 12 months of age. The formula used was that mentioned by Brody (1945). The inter-relationship between body weights, body measurements and relative rate of growth at different ages in study was estimated by using simple correlation coefficients calculated according to Snedecor (1959).

The following symbols were used in the text:-

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Item	Symbol			
8	At birth	At 4 months	At 12 months	
Body weight	$\mathbf{W}_{\mathtt{I}}$	$W_2$	$W_3$	
Body length	$L_1$	1_2	$L_3$	
Height at withers	$\mathrm{H}_{1}$	$\mathrm{H}_2$	$H_3$	
Heart girth	$G_1$	G2	$G_2$	
Abdomen girth	$\Lambda_1$	$\Lambda^2$	$\Lambda_3$	
Relative rate of growth	$R_1$		$R_2$	

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

I.—Relationship between body weights at different ages:

The correlation coefficient between birth weight (W<sub>1</sub>) and the weight at 4 months of age (W<sub>2</sub>), and between (W<sub>2</sub>) and the weight at 12 months of age (W<sub>3</sub>), were significant (Table 1).

The relation between W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>3</sub> was negative, but lacking significance. These results indicated that the preceeding body weight influenced the following weight in different extents depending on the stage of life. This agrees with the findings of Ver (1950) and Mayer (1958), who reported that there was no constant relationship between birth weight and weight at maturity, and indicated

that birth weight is not important in selecting calves for breeding. On the other hand, Tantawy and Ahmed (1955) found a close correlation between birth weight and body weight up to 2 years in Egyptian cattle. Willard (1948) also reported that the final weight was directly proportioned to birth weight.

## II.—Relationship between body measurements at different ages:

The correlation between the first two age groups was highly significant in all the measurements studied, except in the case of body length, following the same trend arrived at for body weight. (Table 1). No significant correlation was found between body length and height at withers at birth on the one hand, and at 12 months of age of the other, while there were significant correlation coefficients in the case of heart girth and abdomen girth in the previously mentioned age groups. The correlation coefficients between between the direct body measurements at the age of 4 months and their corresponding ones at 12 months of age were not significant in all cases except in the case of height at withers.

#### III.—Relationship between body weight and body measurements:

Height at withers and heart girth were taken as criteria for the relation between body conformation and weight. This relation is important from the partial point of view since body weight and chest girth are highly correlated that a fairly accurate estimate of weight may be attained by measuring chest girth. The accuracy can be measured by the measurement of height at withers (Davis et al., 1937).

Correlation coefficients between body weight on the one hand, and height at wither and heart girth on the other, were highly significant in all the age groups studied, heart girth was more strongly correlated to body weight (Table 2.).

Similar results were obtained in many studies, as Veiga (1939), Branton and Salisbury (1946) and Buiatti (1954), reported strong correlation coefficients between body weight and height at withers, and between body weight and heart girth.

IV.—Relationship between body weight and relative rate of growth:

Table (1) shows the relationship between W<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>1</sub>, W<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>1</sub> and between W<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>. All coefficients were positive and highly significant, while there was a weak correlation between W<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>.

Willard (1948) reported that the daily gain up to 180 days in the Holstien calves was influenced by birth weight which agreed with the results obtained in this study. Further study was made by Ruby et al (1948) reported also that there was close correlation between contiguous weights and gains, while Warwick (1955) found that there was no important effect of the initial weight on the subsequent gain.

V.—Relationship between body measurements and relative rate of growth:

Strong relationships between the absolute body measurements and the preceding and following rates of growth in all cases were observed (Table 1). The relation between body measurements and their relative rate of growth showed a similar trend. The correlation coefficients between the initial body measurements and the following rates of growth,

were negative while they were positive when worked out between each of the body measurements and its previous rate of growth. The correlation between body length at birth  $(L_1)$  and the following rate of growth (from birth to 4 months of age) was negative, while it was positive between the same rate of growth and the body length at 4 months of age  $(L_2)$ . These results show that the relative rate of growth influences the following absolute body measurement to a greater extent than the effect of the initial measurement.

VI.—Relationship between relative rate of growth in body weight and measurements in the different periods of growth:

Correlation coefficients were estimated for the relationship between the relative rate of growth in body weight and measurements in the two periods of growth studied (from birth to 4 months R<sub>1</sub>, and from 4 to 12 months of ages R<sub>2</sub>). All the correlation coefficients were not significant and found to be positive in all cases except in the case of the abdomen girth (Table 2).

The weak correlation obtained R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> indicated that the relative rate of growth in the first 4 months of life was absolutely independent from that of the next 8 months.

### General Discussion:

The relationship between body weight at birth and that at 4 months of age, and between weight at 4 months and at 12 months of age, were highly significant, while the correlation coefficient was too low to be significant in the case of the relationship between body weights at birth

dan at 12 months of age. Concerning body measurements, the correlation between the first two age groups was highly significant in all measurements studied except body length. No significant correlation was found in the case of body length and height at withers at birth on the one hand, and at 12 months of age of the other hand, while there was significant correlation in the case of heart girth and abdomen girth in the previously mentioned age groups.

The correlation between body measurements at the age of 4 months and then at 12 months of age were not significant in all cases except in the case of height at withers.

Correlation between body weight at different ages on the one hand and the relative rates of growth on the other, were all positive and highly significant, except in the case of the relation between body weight at 4 months of age and the following rate of growth. Correlation between body measurements and their relative rate of growth in the two periods studied showed a similar trend in all cases. For instance, the correlation between body length at 4 months of age and the previous relative rate of growth (from birth to 4 months) was positive, while it was negative between body length at this age and the relative rate of growth from 4 months to 12 months of age, i.e. the correlation was found to be negative between the initial body measurements and the following relative rate of growth, while it was positive between the final body measurements and the previous rate of growth.

These results show that the relative rate of growth influence the following absolute body weight and measurements to a greater extent than the effect of the initial weights and measurements.

No significant correlation was found between the relative rates of growth in the two periods studied in body weight and measurements.

Studying the relationship between body weight and measurements, a strong correlation was found between body weight on the one hand and height at withers and heart girth on the other. This relation is important from the practical point of view since it is an exponential relation. The body weight and chest girth are so correlated that quite an accurate estimate of weight may be obtained by measuring chest girth. The accuracy can be measured by the measurement of height at withers (Davis et al., 1937).

Repeatability of body weight in Friesian calves was 0.205, while it was 0.054, 0.319, 0.235 and 0.647 respectively for body length, height at withers, heart girth and abdomen girth.

It was found that abdomen girth had the highest repeatability. The heritability of birth weight on this study was very close to that obtained by Asker and Ragab (1952), Abdel Salam (1960) working on Egyptian cattle, and Knapp and Nordskog (1946) on beef cattle in U.S.A Heritability estimates of body weight at 4 and 12 months of age do not agree with those reported by other workers. However, it could be mentioned that only dependable heritability estimate was that of the body weight at birth. The limited number of sires as well as the small number of calves in some cases, may be responsible for the odd results obtained.

It should be noted that in studying the growth and development of Friesian calves in Egypt, the problem should be tackled from the physiological point of view. Moreover, the heat tolerance as well as the age at sexual

maturity, and breeding efficiency should be studied. It should be taken into consideration that the characteristics of the successful Friesian in Egypt may not be necessarily the same as those of the standard breed in Holland or any other country. The history of this breed itself gives a good example as to how its characteristics differed not only from one country to the other, but also differed from one province to another in the same country.

The characteristics of the Egyptian Friesians would be those of rapid growing animals achieving weight heavier than those of Egyptian cattle at younger ages, and may be less than those of buffaloes, and heifers should be early in sexual maturity. The average age at first service should be 15 months, so that the average age at first calving should be 24 months. Mortality rate should not be exceeding 15% to the age of 3 years. Cows should give an average of 3000 kgs. of milk per lactation, and the fat percentage should not be less than 3.8%.

These characteristics are not supposed to remain static as they may change if either environmental or economic circumstances are changed.

TABLE 1.

Inter-relationship Between Body Weight, Body Measurements and Relative Rate of Growth.

اقد		1,0	I	T	T	T	1	1	1	T-
R <sub>1</sub> x R <sub>2</sub>	92	0.1335	51	0 0453	150	0.2z47	55	0.1793	55	-0.2623
3 x R <sub>2</sub>	92	0.7678**	66	.0.6956**	100	0.6016**	103	0.5742**	102	**2008.0
$2 \times R_2$	92	0.1140	66	-0.6643**	100	-0.4613**	103	0,2135**	102	-0.6024**
$2 \times R_1$	210	0.4965**	164	0.2809**	162	0.4529**	167	0.3807**	167	0.4888**
$1 \times R^1$	210	-0.3796**	164	-0.7573**	162	-6590xx	167	-0.4575*	167	-5380**
2 x 3	92	0.4548**	66	0.0695	100	0.4220**	103	0.1474	102	0.0045
1 x 3	92	-0.0548	77	0.0024	22	-0.0061	22	-0.2980*	79	0.8746**
1 x 2	210	0.1917**	166	0.1059	162	0.4173**	167	0.4937**	167	0.7456**
Items	No.	T	No.	d	No.	Ь	No.	Ð	No.	ı

TABLE 2.

Relationship Between Body Weight and Body Measurements (\*)

	tems	No.	ender (1 es. p. at ezent	
$     \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		187 219 116	0.6144 xx 0.4037 xx 0.7466 xx	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		187 219 116	0.7576 xx 0.7680 xx 0.9142 xx	

<sup>(\*)</sup> The number of calves at the age of 4 months was more than that used at birth, because some of the calves used in the study were not measured at birth for technical difficulties.

#### REFERENCES

ABDEL SALAM, F.A. (1960).—Factors offecting growth in cattle and buffaloes personal communication.

ASKER, A.A. and RAGAB, M.T. (1952).—Causes of variation in

birth weight of Egyptian cattle and Buffaloes.

Ind. J. Vet. Sci. & Anim. Husb. 22:265-272.

- Branton, C. and Salisbury, G.W. (1946).—The estimation of the weight of bulls from heart girth measurements. J. Dairy Sci., 29:141-143.
- Brody, S. (1945).—Bioenergetics and Growth. Reinhold Publishing Corps., New York.
- BIUATTI, P.G. (1954).—The heritability of live weight and height at withers, and the correlation between them in Chiena heifers. Rev. Zootec., 27:59-63.
- DAVIS, H.P., MORGAN, R.E., BRODY, S. and RAGSDALE, A.C. (1937). Relation of height at withers and chest girth to live weight of dairy cattle at different breeds and ages. Nebraska Agric. Exp. St. Bull. 91:29 pp.

Knapp, B.T. and Nordskog, A.W. (1946).—Heritability of growth and efficiency in beef Cartle. J. Anim. Sci., 5:62-70.

Mayer, F. (1958).—Birth weight and Successful Calf rearing.

Tierzuchter 11:167. (A.B.A. 28 (3):1253).

RAGAB, M. T. and ABD EL-AZIZ, A.S. (1961). The effect of some factors on body weight and relative growth rate in Friesian Calves of Tahreer Province J. Anim Prod. U.A.R. Vol I. No. 2 рр. 107—119

RUBY, E.S. BLUNN, C.T., BROUSE, E.M. and BAKER, M.L. (1948).— Relation of initial weights and subsequent gains of weaning

calves. J. Anim. Sci., 1:279:282.

SNEDECOR, G.W. (1959).—Statistical Methods. Iowa Stae College Press. Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.

TANTAWY, A.D. and AHMED, I.A. (1955).—Some factors influencing weaning weight of Egyptian cattle. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 3 (2): 13.

- Veiga, I.S. (1939).—Contribution to the study of Caracie cattle at the national cattle breeding ranch. Correlation between 3 Sci. Prod. Anim. Dep. Industr. important measurements. Anim., Soo Paulo, Rev. Instr. Anim., N.S., 2 (1): 5361.
- VER, R.Z.T. (1950).—The growth and development of some pure breeds and grade calves. Phillip. Agric., 33:149-1-65.

Warwick, B.L. (1955).—Heritability of rate of gain in young

growing beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci., 14:363.

WILLARD, H.S. (1948).—Effect of Holstein birth weight on cal gain and final weight. Woyming Agric. Exp. Sta. Bull. No. 286:14 pp.

## ملخص

# الملاقات المتبادلة بين وزن الجسم ومقاييسه وبين معدل النمو في مجموعة عجول الفريزيان

# ١ — الملاقات بين أوزان الجسم ومعدل النمو عند مراحل العمر المختلفة :

من دراسة علاقة أوزان الجسم عند المراحل المختلفة من العمر اتضح وجود علاقة قوية بين وزن الميلاد والوزن عند ٤ شهور وبين الوزن عند ٤ شهور والوزن عند ١٣ شهراً عند ١٣ شهراً من العمر . أما العلاقة بين وزن الميلد والوزن عند ١٣ شهراً فوجدت سالبة إلا أن التلازم لم يكن معنوياً .

وقد وجد أيضاً تلازم قوى بين معدلات النمو من جهة وبين أوزان الجسم من جهة أخرى فى مراحل العمر التى تناولتها الدراسة إلا أن الملاقة بين الوزن عند ٤ شهور وبين معدل النمو فى الفترة من ٤ شهور إلى ١٢ شهراً من العمر كانت ضعيفة كاكان الارتباط بين معدلات النمو فى مرحلتى العمر التى أجريت عليها الدراسة غير معنوياً.

# ٣ – الملاقات بين مقاييس الجسم ومعدل النمو عند مراحل العمر المختلفة:

بحساب معامل التلازم بين طول الجسم في مراحل العمر المختلفة وجد أن التلازم لم يكن معنوياً بين جميع مراحل العمر التي درست. بينا كانت العلاقة بين باقى المقاييس التي تناولها البحث عند الميلاد وعند ٤ شهور من العمر معنوية وقد درست العلاقة بين مقاييس الجسم عند الميلاد وعند ١٢ شهراً من العمر ووجد أن معامل التلازم في حالة ارتفاع الكتف كانت سالبة غير أنها لم تكن معنوية . كما كانت سالبة ومعنوية في حالة الصدر . أما في حالة محيط البطن فقد كان التلازم قوياً وموجباً . وقد كان التلازم بين المقاييس عند ٤ شهور وعند كان التلازم من العمر ضعيفاً في جميع المقاييس ما عدا في حالة ارتفاع الكتف حيث كان معامل التلازم مرتفعاً .

وقد لوحظ أن العلاقة بين مقاييس الجسم ومعدلات النمو الحجاورة لها تعطى أتجاهاً متشابهاً في جميع الحالات. فقد وجد أن العلاقة بين المقاييس الأولية ومدل النمو التالى لها سالبة بينها كانت موجبة بين المقاييس النهائية ومعدل النمو السابق.

أما الملاقة بين ممدلات النمو في مرحلتي النمو ( من الميلاد إلى ٤ شهور ومن ٤ شهور إلى ١٢ شهراً ) فقد كانت ضعيفة في جميع المقابيس .

# ٣ - الملاقة بين وزن الجسم و بعض المقاييس:

درست العلاقة بين وزن الجسم من جهة وارتفاع الكتف ومحيط الصدر من جهة أخرى . وقد كان معامل التلازم معنوياً في كل الحالات وعند مراحل العمر المختلفة ، إلا أنه كان أكثر وضوحاً في حالة التلازم بين وزن الجسم ومحيط الصدر.