# FUNCTION AND ELISION OF GLOTTAL STOP IN EDUCATED SPOKEN ARABIC (1)

### Abdel-Moneim Mchammad Sallam

- 1. Introduction.
- 1.1 In Educated Spoken Arabic (ESA) a word-initial glottal stop (\$\mathbb{C}\$) is regularly but variously deleted at certain interword junctions either alone or together with the vowel following it. For example, \$\mathbb{C}\$ of the article (preceded by a consonant) underlined in

quraft falkitaab(2) 'I read the book' (I read the book)

and Si of the noun Sism (preceded by an open vowel) in

huwwa <u>fismu</u> gali his name is Ali' he his name Ali

can be elided so that the following forms result:

qqrqSt alkitaab 'I read the book'

huwwa smu zali 'his name is Ali'

The aim of this paper is to show that elision vs. nonelision of the glottal stop in ESA is a relationship which
obtains in clearly definable cases, variation being relatable,
for instance, to biographical distinctions between speakers
and to differences of speech function. Especially in rapid
speech, certain forms elsewhere beginning a glottal
stop tend to lose it and thereafter to merge syllabically
with preceding forms, so that syllable boundaries cut across
word boundaries.

<sup>(</sup>I) I am grateful to prefessor T.F.Mitchell, Mr.D.Barber, and Dr.A.T.C.Fox for their valuable comments on an earlier version of the paper.

<sup>(2)</sup> For data and reading conventions used, see note p.35.

# 1.2 Conjunctive and disjunctive \$

The elision of initial  $\Omega$  is much more restricted in Classical Arabic (CA) than in everyday educated speech. The Arab grammarians recognised two types of initial glottal stop, conjunctive (waSl) and disjunctive (gaTg). Conjunctive, post-pausal  $\Omega$  is used to obviate the inadmissibility in Arabic of the vocalic inception of utterance and of initial consonant clusters and may be elided when the form to which it belongs is no longer initial. On the other hand, disjunctive  $\Omega$  may never be elided. Examples of the latter from CA are:

- (1) initial \$\Sigma\$ of quadriliteral verbs, e.g. fakram: huwa \$\Sakrama\$ Sadiiqahu 'he was generous to his friend';
- (2) initial  $\Omega$  of all nouns except eight, see subsequently;
- (3) initial S of all particles. e.g. Sinna 'that', Siða 'if', Yaw 'or'.

# Common contexts of conjunctive S

Successions of 3 consonants are also generally inadmissible in Arabic, so that not only is  $\Omega$  used to obviate vocalic inception but a vowel is often required to follow it in order to avoid the pattern \* $\Omega$ CC-. This vowel, together with  $\Omega$ , is elided when  $\Omega$ CC- follows a vowel in discourse. Thus, the following are common contexts of elision of conjunctive  $\Omega$  in CA:

For elision of S in CA, see, e.g. W. Wright, A Grammar of the Arabic Language, Cambridge, vol. 1, 1962, pp. 19-21.

<sup>2. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, pp. 19-20.

- (i) The definite article
   fii + Salbayt → fi lbayt 'at home'
   with regular (accompanying) shortening of the vowel
   ii in the closed syllable fil;
- (ii) Form I of verb- imperative
   quala + SiqraS → quala qraS 'he said 'read!''
   quala + Suktub → quala ktub 'he said 'write!''
- (iv) Eight nouns to follows:

huwa + <u>Sibnu</u> muhammad > huwa bnu munammad 'he is Mohammad's <u>so</u>n'

hiya + <u>Sibnatu</u> muhammad > hiya bnatu muhammad 'she is Mohammad's daughter'

humaa + Si⊖naan > huma ⊖naan 'they wo'

lahu + Si⊖nataan > lahu ⊖nataan

'he has two (feminine)'

hiya + SimraSah → hiya mraSah 'she is a woman'

lahaa + <u>fimrufun</u> > laha mrufun'she has a <u>man'</u>

lahaa + fist > laha st 'it has an anus'

lahaa + Sism → laha sm 'she has a name'

It will be seen subsequently that "Classical" restrictions on the elision of initial f do not account for the variability in this respect of educated speech.

1.3 Harrell, in his account of Egyptian Arabic phonology, divides 'initial glottal stops' into one which is elided and one which is not, 'the first going back to an original radical /\$\sumset\$/, and the second to Classical /\$\supset\$/\footnote{\text{This opinion}} is refuted on the grounds that in educated Egyptian (subject of Harrell's analysis) many lexical items with non-elidable initial glottal stop cannot be traced back to origins with \$\text{q}\$, e.g. \$\text{SarD 'earth'}\$, \$\text{Sahwaal 'horrors'}\$, etc. - baag \$\text{SarDu}\$ 'he sold his land', maxaaTir wi \$\text{Sahwaal}\$ ilhorb 'dangers and horrors of war'. On the other hand some forms with initial \$\text{Sahwaal two alternatives}\$, as Mitchell points out, one with an elidable \$\text{S}\$, and the other with a non-elidable \$\text{S}\$, e.g.

Sagtabirhaa ka-smi (Egyptian - 52, 2, 197)

or Sagtabirhaa ka Sismi 'I consider it like my name' Silmuhumm-aqtinig fiiha (Syrian - 27, 1, 28)

or Silmuhumm Sagtiniz fiiha 'What is important is that I should be convinced'.

Moreover, there is the possibility that an initial  $\Omega$  which is derivable from Classical q may be elided in some contexts of inter-word junction especially in rapid, casual speech, as in:

Sahsan-asiima 'the best invoice' (Sahsan + Sasiima/qusiima)

Richard S. Harrell, <u>The Phonology of Colloquial Egyptian</u> <u>Arabic</u>, <u>American Council of Learned Societies</u>, 1957, p. 85.

E.g. by T.F. Mitchell in his review of Harrell in BSJAS XXI (1958), pp. 635-637.

<sup>3.</sup> Figures refer to the number of the tape in the corpus (see p.35), followed by the side involved. Any third figure indicates specific location on the side concerned.

yiktib ayma!
(yiktib + Sayma/qqqSima)

'he must write a list (i.e. of the furniture)

Sabrak aliil!
(Sabrak +Saliil/galiil)

'you have little patience'

There also has to be recognized the fact that initial \$\cap\$ of some lexical items cannot be traced back with certainty to either \$\cap\$ or q in CA, e.g. \$\cap\$imbaarih 'yesterday' (classical baariha), \$\cap\$imta 'when' (classical mataa 'when'), \$\cap\$izzay 'how' (Classical kayf), \$\cap\$izzayyak 'how are you' (Classical kayfa haaluk), \$\cap\$ee\$ 'what (usually derived (?convincingly) from \$\cap\$ayy \$\langle ay\$ 'any thing').

The conclusion to be drawn is that elision of initial x is not dependent upon its historical origin as a segment, whether this 'origin' is classical x or x. It should rather be related to contemporary distinctions of form and function.

Initial  $\Sigma$  which is a variant of 'underlying' q is dealt with below ('The uvular plosive in ESA').

### 2. Phonological and merphological S

<sup>1.</sup> This is not to deny that, with regard to phonological features other than elision, the correspondence between the lassical 'g' and 'f' has produced "two sorts of 'Hamzahs' so, glottal stops)", as Ibrahim Anis says, "identical profetically but guite distinct phonologically. E.g. The 'ham is which is one of the gutturals 'f' does not occur in the lor with any of the other gutturals (so, xy, yz, ham... but the 'hamzah' corresponding to classical 'g' may occur: yifgud; yifhir", ("The Grammatical characteristics of the Spoken Arabic of Egypt", Ph.D. thesis, \$.0.A.S., 1941, p. 17). For the interesting subject of compatibility and incompatibility of consonantal features, see also: T.F. Mitchell, Principles of Firthian Linguistics, Longman, 1975, pp. 63-71 and J.H. Greenberg, 'The patterning of root raphemes in Semitic', Word, 6, 1950, pp. 162-181.

- 2.1 Phonological  $\Gamma$  is used in ESA, as in CA, to obviate two inadmissible features:
  - (i) Obviation of vocalic inception, c.f.
    - (1) Sana quul iddažaaži (Palestinian 25,2, 301) ((Sana + Saquul + Siddažaaži) 'I am saying the hen ...
    - 2) Silli gawz aSuul ana lwaSti (Egyptian, 1, 1, 126) (<Silli gaawiz + SaSuulu + Sana 'What I want to say now' dilwaSti)

A comparison between the facts of elision and non-elision (in parentheses) in these examples shows that while initial \$\mathbb{C}\$ of some forms may be elided in contexts of inter-word junction te.g. \$\mathbb{C}\$ of \$\mathbb{C}\$ aqual (or its variant \$\mathbb{C}\$ a\mathbb{C}\$ and 'I'), \$\mathbb{C}\$ which initiates an utterance (e.g. \$\mathbb{C}\$ of \$\mathbb{C}\$ and in (1) and \$\mathbb{C}\$ of \$\mathbb{C}\$ illi in (2) (or for that matter in the context of the form in isolation, e.g. \$\mathbb{C}\$ idda\vec{z}a\vec{a}\vec{z}\$ i'the hen') may not be omitted. Phonological modifications entailed by elision include junctions at word boundaries (e.g. \$\mathbb{C}\$ and + \$\mathbb{C}\$ aqual > \$\mathbb{C}\$ and qual (example 1), where a potentially tetrasyllabic sequence is in fact trisyllabic) and new relationships of concomitant intra-word elision, e.g. in (2) one half-close, short vowel in the unstressed closed syllable of gaawiz 'wanting' (\$\mathbb{C}\_1 \mathbb{V} \mathbb{C}\_2 \mathbb{V} \mathbb{C}\_3) is elided and aa is shortened when gaawiz is fused with following \$\mathbb{C} \mathbb{L} \mathbb{U} \mathbb{U} \mathbb{L} \math

(ii) Obviation of initial two-consonant clusters.

On phonetic grounds it could be argued that the syllabic structures of some dialects (in Egypt and the Levant), which do not of course form part of ESA, admit initial two-consonant

In rapid speech, di of dilwaSti is elided when preceded by a vowel.

clusters. In principle, however, initial consonant clusters (geminate or non-geminate) are inadmissible in ESA. The following examples illustrate some uses of initial  $\P$  in the latter as a means of obviating initial  $C_1C_2$ :

(3) Su<u>sk</u>ur illaah Si<u>gm</u>il il**xe**er 'thank God!' 'do good'

- (4) a. Sixtabarhum b. Sixtabirhum
  - c. Sixtiboar ilfatra 1Suula
- 'he examined them'
  'test them'
  'first term exam'
- (5) a. Sistankar ilgudwaan
   b. Sistankir ilgudwaan
   c. Sistinkaar ilgudwaan

(6) Sibnu LLaah

grammarians.

In all the above examples, a vowel (mainly i) preceded by \$\cong is used to render (the inadmissible) initial consonant clusters 'pronounceable' (malfuuBah) in the terminology of the Arab

At (3), the vowel following  $\mathfrak L$  is required to avoid \*CCC-(see 1.2, p. 2) in the imperative forms  $\mathfrak L_{\mathfrak L}$ kur and  $\mathfrak L_{\mathfrak L}$ mil (generalized pattern:  $\mathfrak L_{\mathfrak L}$ C $_{\mathfrak L}$ C $_{\mathfrak$ 

(a) the perfect tense of verbs derived by the infixation of (t) after the lst radical with respect to the simple form (pattern: SiC<sub>1</sub>taC<sub>2</sub>aC<sub>3</sub>), e.g. Sixtabar,

# \_\_\_\_ (h) the imperative of the same derived form (pattern:

1. For instance, the occurrence of initial consonant clusters characterizes Northern Sa'idi (the Arabic spoken by inhabitants of Upper Egypt between Cairo and Minia) e.g. braam 'cooking pottery', mdarrsi 'woman teacher', kwaam 'heaps'. The occurrence of the sequence \( \sic\_1 C\_2 \) characterizes Southern Sa'idi (spoken in Upper Egypt between Assiut and Aswan), whence \( \sic\_1 \text{braam} '\text{cooking} \) pottery', \( \siktaor '\text{much'}, \) etc. where \( \sic\_1 \) obviates initial \( C\_1 C\_2 \) (for a detailed study, see A.A. Khalafallah, A Descriptive Grammar of Sa'idi Egyptian Colloquial Arabic, Mouton, 1969). In the bevant, forms like ktaab and \( \siktaab '\text{a book'} \) (#C1C2 and \( \sic\_1 C\_2 \) are in free variation.

# Sictacic, e.g. Sixtabir, and

# At (5), Si- is prefixed to

- (a) the perfect tense of verbs derived by the prefixation of sta- (pattern fistaC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>aC<sub>3</sub>, e.g. fistankar 'he deprecated'),
- (b) corresponding imperatives (pattern  $\text{SistaC}_1^{\text{C}_2\text{iC}_3}$ , e.g. Sistankir) and
- (c) corresponding verbal noun (pattern SistiC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>aaC<sub>3</sub>,
  e.g. Sistinkaar 'deprecation')..

# It is worth noting that:

- (i)  $\Gamma$  + i is used to obviate initial  $C_1C_2$  in all the above forms; only with the imperative derived from the simple form of the verb  $\Gamma$  + i and  $\Gamma$  + u are used. It will be seen that all these forms admit the elision of  $\Gamma$ ;

In contrast, simple forms of the verb and those with geminated second radical (perfect and imperfect), are characterized in the region of our concern by regional variation between  $\operatorname{SiC}_1^{C_2}$  and  $\operatorname{C}_1^{iC_2}$ , as

# bebaneseCaireneSinzilna bilmaTaarnizilna filmaTaar'we landed'SixliSna mn ižžawazaatxiliSna mn iggawazaat'we finished with the passport officSithawwil ma Saaritihawwil gumla'you transfer mone

2.1.1 In example 6 (Sibnu LLaah) is characteristic of a limited number of nouns (see 3.1.1) of kinship reference, e.g. Sibn 'son', Sibna 'daughter', Suxt 'sister', Summ 'mother'. Similarly, variation occurs with the commonly occurring numeral Si@neen/Sitneen 'two', and SimraSa 'a woman', which also occur in the forms @inteen/tinteen and mora (especially in the Levant). Although SimraSa and mara are variants in the Levant, they are not so in Egypt, where mara is a pejorative term usually avoided in educated speech.

# 2.2 Morphological Γ occurs as

(58, 1, 128)

- (1) one radical element of a lexemic root, e.g.  $\Omega$  in  $\Omega$  sample (root  $\Omega$ ) and  $\Omega$  are 'he permitted'  $\Omega$
- (2) as part of a complex 'schema' (i.e. vowel pattern + affix) within a morphological scatter , e.g. Ω in the schema Ωa(C<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>)aal, e.g. Ωamθaal 'proverbs' plural noun) < maθal 'proverb' (singular noun), and Ωagmaal 'deeds, works' < gamal 'deed, work';</p>

- (3) Sa- (first person singular) of the system of imperfect tense verbal affixes,
  - cf. Salgab 'I play', tilgab 'you play'
    Saquul 'I say', taquul 'you say'

It will be seen that phonologically determined f is elidable connective) while morphologically determined f is, in general, non-elidable (disjunctive) (but see also 3).

# ∃. Elision/non-elision of S

The forms mentioned above under 2 (examples 1-6) admit the elision of  $\Omega$  in junction with a preceding form. Considering the similarities and differences which obtain between such forms, it seems possible to distinguish between them, inter alia, by the grammatical categories to which they belong, e.g. nominals, verbals, pronouns, adjectives, the article, and particles. Moreover, the contexts in which  $\Omega$  is elided (when no longer initial) are subdivided into:

- (I) following a consonant,
- (II) following a vowel.

# General phonological and morphological behaviour of forms with elidable \$\cappa\$

(I) ? preceded by a consonant

If preceded by a consonant (and no pause is made before the form in which  $\Omega$  is initial),  $\Omega$  alone is elided and the vowel following it becomes the nucleus of a syllable opened by the preceding

<sup>1.</sup> If a pause is made  $\Gamma$  is not, of course, elided, regardless of the rules given below.

### consonant, as in

- (II) S preceded by a vowel
- When a vowel precedes, I may be elided together with the following vowel, except under conditions of special emphasis, as shown below:
  - (1) If the vowels (preceding and following f) are qualitatively the same, f is elided together with its vowel, e.g.
    - (i) Silfulla byoD (<SobyoD) mi llaban
      (Silfulla SobyoD mi llaban) 'an Arabian jasmine is white:
      than milk'
    - (ii) yagni lmafruuD (\(\frac{1}{2}\)ilmafruuD) ... (yagni \(\frac{1}{2}\)ilmafruuD ...) 'it is supposed, that is ...

It may be noted that (i) is an example of ambiguous utterances which may result from inter-word junctions which involve elision in the absence of contextual clues. Here the underlying constituents may be either

Silfull abyaD (<SabyaD) mi llaban 'Jasmine is whiter than milk'
where full is a mass noun, or</pre>

Silfulla byaD (<SabyaD) mi llaban
in which case <u>fulla</u> is singulative 'one (particular) jasmine'

(2) When the vowels preceding and following S <u>differ</u> qualitatively, educated speakers usually retain S and the following vowel, e.g.

- borDu Sihna ... 'we, likewise ...' (Palestinian)
- safaltu fanhu 'I asked him which' (Egyptian)
- Sinnanii Saquul ... 'I say ...' (Egyptian)
- <u>[uu filli</u> biysaagid gala ... 'what helps in ...' (Palestinian)
- fa Sihna bigniina Sinnu ... 'we are catering for ...' (Palestinian)
- biySumlu Seeh 'what are they saying?' (Egyptian)

- (3) However, they tend to elide \( \mathbb{c} \) in most forms (especially the article) where i is anaptyctic, whatever the vowel that precedes, e.g.
  - lifannu lmasaafa briida ... 'because the distance is long ...'
    (Palestinian)
  - Sittarbiya lfanniyyih 'art education' (Jordanian)
  - fi lmadrasa lli fiiha hna 'in the school we are in (now)' (fii Silmadrasa Silli fiiha Sihna) (Palestinian)

#### Note:

So in the form Sollooh 'Allah' is elided after any vowel in ESA, e.g.

Sistayfiru LLaah 'ask God for forgiveness!'
Sistagantu bi llaah 'I seek the help of Allah'

Contexts of elision/non-elision of initial \$

### 3.1 Nominals

The contrast between phonologically determined \$\mathbb{C}\$ in nouns such as \$\mathbb{C}\$ ism 'name' (monosyllabic), \$\mathbb{C}\$ ahmad 'Ahmad' (bisyllabic), and \$\mathbb{C}\$ ixtibaar 'test', \$\mathbb{C}\$ istinkaar 'deprecation' (trisyllabic) and morphologically determined \$\mathbb{C}\$ in nouns such as \$\mathbb{C}\$ izn 'permission' \$\mathbb{C}\$ ire 'inheritance', etc. (monosyllabic), \$\mathbb{C}\$ amelial 'proverbs', \$\mathbb{C}\$ argaam 'numbers', etc. (bisyllabic), \$\mathbb{C}\$ arnab 'rabbit' (quadriliteral) and \$\mathbb{C}\$ ižaaza 'holiday', \$\mathbb{C}\$ ieaara 'provocation', etc. (trisyllabic) forces a distinction, for example, between:

- (a) Sism and Sizn/Sion
- (b) Sixtiboar/Sistinkgar and Samθaal/Sižaaza
- (c) Sammad and Sarnab

The classes in (b) and (c) are further seen against the particularities of (a).

(a) <u>fism vs fizm</u>

Examples:

gan Siznak

'with your permission'

saSaltu gan ismak (<Sismak

'I asked him about your name' vour name')

Though of the same generalized pattern SiCC, Sism 'name' admits elision, Sizn 'permission' does not. The consonantal units in Size are all radicals  $(\sqrt{\Omega_{ZR}})^{-1}$ , appearing in all related patterns of the form, e.g. Sazan 'he permitted', Sazaan 'call to prayer'. In fism, f is phonologically determined (see 2.1); it does not occur in other related patterns where it is not phonologically "required", e.g. the verbal samma 2 'he gave a name to'. Two sub-classes of nominal forms of structure CVCC with glottal beginning are recognised therefore: sub-class (1) where  $\mathfrak L$  is a radical, i.e. inseparable both from the base form and all derivative alternates (e.g. SarD 'land' - SaraaDi 'lands', Sagr 'pay' -Suguur 'wages', Salb 'heart' - Suluub 'hearts', etc.; subclass (2), where initial S is not a radical element of the lexeme and does not therefore necessarily appear in related forms (e.g. Sibn 'son' - bint ' daughter', banuun 'sons', banaat 'girls, daughters'; Si@nayn 'two', @intayn 'two', tinteen 'two', etc.). While initial f of sub-class (1) is not elided in ESA, f of sub-class (2) is regularly so in non-pausal contexts. The forms belonging to the latter are mostly the kin terms already mentioned:

<sup>1.</sup> V = root

<sup>2.</sup> For the treatment of (the corresponding plural) Sasaami (/Sasmaas), see (b), p. 15.

Sibn e.g. Silxaliil-ibni Sahmad 'Al-Khalil-ibn-Ahmad' (Egypt.

Sibna e.g. gand-ibĭnĭt xgolti 'at my niece's place' (Lebanese)

fism (see above)

SimraSa/marSa } }
Si@neen/tinteen }

see (2.2.2)

Cuxti 'my sister', e.g. {uft-uxti 'I saw my sinter' (Egyptian)

Summi 'my mother', e.g. juft-ummi ' ... nother'

However,  $\Omega$  in the last two forms is rarely elided in educated speech, while  $\Omega$  in the Levantine variants  $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega}$  and  $\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}$  may not be elided, cf.:

fufit fixti 'I saw my sister'

fufit Simmi 'I saw my mother' (Syrian)

It is perhaps worth noting that in contrast with  $\Omega$  of sub-class (1) which is followed either by i (e.g.  $\Omega$  'heritag.') or a (e.g.  $\Omega$  'gagr 'pay') or u (e.g.  $\Omega$  'family'),  $\Omega$  of sub-class (2) is mostly followed by i, as in the numerous examples of e.g. verbal nouns elsewhere containing elidable initial  $\Omega$ .

The above regularities can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -C_1C_2(V): \\ -bn \\ -bna \\ (-xt) \\ (-mm) \\ -sm \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} v_1/C - \\ -\theta nayn \\ -\theta neen \\ -tneen \end{cases}$$

( ) = less often, { = either or

- (b) <u>Sixtiboar/Sistinkaar/SinJiqaaq</u> vs Sameaal, Sižaaza and Silhaam
- Examples:
- 1. { baakir ixtiboor (<Sixtiboor) ilfotro l Suula
   'the lst term's exam is tomorrow'</pre>

- 4. { kitaab Samθaal gaammiyya 'a book of folk proverbs'
- 5. { baakir Sižaaza rasmiyya 'tomorrow is an official holiday'
- 6. { sihr wa Silhaam 'magic and intuition'

It has been shown (under 2.1, p.6) that  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}i}$  in verbal nouns such as  $\mathfrak{L}ixtibdar$  (generalized pattern  $\mathfrak{L}iC_1tiC_2aaC_3$ ),  $\mathfrak{L}ixtinkaar$  (generalized pattern  $\mathfrak{L}ixtiC_1^C_2aaC_3$ ) and  $\mathfrak{L}infiqaaq$  'separation' (generalized pattern  $\mathfrak{L}inC_1iC_2aaC_3$ ) is phonologically determined. Examples (1-3) above show that this type of  $\mathfrak{L}$  is elidable.

### In contrast, are

- (i) Sa which is used as a prefixed component of a complex schema characterizing so-called "broken plural" nouns of the generalized pattern SaC(C)aaC(i):

  SaC1C2aaC3, e.g. Sam0aal 'proverbs' (<ma0al 'proverb')

  (example 3), Sawhaam 'illusions' (<w llusion'),

  SasmaaS 'names' (<Sism 'name'),

  SaCaaCi, e.g. SaraaDi 'lands' (<SarD 'land'),

  Sayaani 'songs' (<Suyniyya 'song), Sasaami 'names'

  (<Sism), Sawaani 'pots' (SinaaS 'a pot'); and
- (ii) Si of singular nominals of the generalized pattern
  SiC(C)aaC(a):
  SiC2aaC3a, e.g. Sižaaza (example 4), Sizaaza 'a bottle;
  SiSaaba 'injury', SiC1C2aaC3, e.g. Silhaam 'intuition',
  Sihsaan 'beneficence', Siðlaal 'humiliation'.

This type of  $\Gamma$  is non-elidable in ESA $^1$ .

Thus:

(b) 
$$[Si-] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / v - \\ i / c - \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -C_1 tiC_2 aaC_3 \\ -stic_1 c_2 aac_3 \\ -nc_1 ic_2 aac_3 \end{cases}$$
VN

(VN Verbal nouns)

(c) <u>Cahmac vs Farnab</u>

Examples:

- (1) kiif ahmad (<Sahmad 'Ahmad', proper ame) 'how is Ahmad?'
- (2) Sand  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}$  sand  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}$  barri 'he cought wild rabbit' While nouns and names formed on the "elative" pattern  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}_1^{\mathsf{C}_2\mathsf{aC}_3}$  (e.g.  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}$  sahmad in (1),  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}$  sabyad (proper name)') may be involved in elision, quadriliteral nouns such as  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}$  arnab (example 2) pattern  $\underline{\mathfrak{L}}_1^{\mathsf{VC}_2}\underline{\mathfrak{L}}_3^{\mathsf{VC}_4}$ , may not.

Here again, contrast is between an initial radical in a lexeme of quadriliteral type and forms with initial  $\Omega$  which occur in paradigms of related forms, others of which do not contain initial  $\Omega$ . Cf., for example,  $\Omega$  hamid 'praiseworthy', hamid 'thankful', hamd 'praise' -  $\Omega$  by D: bay and 'whiteness', etc.

The fact that guttural  $\Omega$  is followed by liquid r in  $\Omega$  arnab and guttural  $\Omega$  in  $\Omega$  and is probably also noteworthy. It will be seen subsequently that in the presence of another guttural (x, y, h, g, h) (non-radical)  $\Omega$  may be elided (see 3.4). Thus,

(c)  $[Sa-] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \emptyset / V - \\ a-/C - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -C_1 C_2 a C_3 \end{bmatrix}_N$ 

<sup>1.</sup> In vernacular Egyptian, S of e.g. Sasaami is elidable as
in meliorative gaasit lasaami! 'may the names live (for ever'.

# 3.2 Verbals

Here the striking contrast is between the behaviour of phonological  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega$  are following examples show that the elidability of an initial glottal stop is dependent upon the quality of the vowel (phonologically required both before and after the elision of  $\Omega$ , (see 1.2 p. 2) following  $\Omega$ :

- (A) elidable  $\Omega$  ( $\Omega + i$ )
  - l(a) min faDlak iqraS (<SiqraS 'read') ilkitaab
    'Please read the book'</pre>
  - 2. law fift ixtabirhum (<fixtabirhum 'examine them')
    'Examine them if you wish'</pre>

  - 4. Silmugallim ixtabarhum (<Sixtabarhum 'he examined them'
    'The teacher examined them'
  - 5. Sahmad <u>istankar</u> (<Sistankar 'he deprecated') ilgudwaan 'Ahmad deprecated aggression'
  - 6. Silkitaab ittargim (<Sittargim 'was translated')
    'The book was translated'
  - 7. Silgagd inkatab (<Sinkatab 'was written')</p>
    'The contract was written'
- (B) non-elidable S(S+a)
  - 8. rabbak <u>Samar</u> bissatr 'God has ordered condonation'
  - 9. SirraSiis Sakram Deefu 'The president honoured his guest'

### Comments:

 $\Omega$  (followed by i) is elidable in the following forms and categories: imperative forms, e.g. those formed on the patterns  $\Omega_1^2 \Omega_2^2 \Omega_3$  (examples la, b),  $\Omega_1^2 \Omega_2^2 \Omega_3$  (ex. 2), and  $\Omega_1^2 \Omega_2^2 \Omega_3$  (ex. 3); perfect tense of verbs derived by the infixation of (t), pattern  $\Omega_1^2 \Omega_2^2 \Omega_3$  (ex. 4), or the prefixation

of sta, pattern  $\text{SistaC}_1\text{C}_2\text{aC}_3$  (ex. 5) (see 2.1, p. 6); passive verbs formed on the pattern  $\text{SitC}_1\text{aC}_2\text{VC}_3$  e.g. Sittargim (ex. 6), Sittaakil 'it was eaten' or SinCaCaC, e.g. Sinkatab (ex. 7), Sinkasor 'was broken'.

In contrast, morphological f (followed by a as part of the schema) is non-elidable whether it is a radical element of the form, e.g. famar (ex. 8), fakal 'he ate' (perfect pattern  $C_1aC_2aC_3$ ), or the initial consonant of quadriliteral verbs (derived form IV) e.g. fakram (ex. 9), fawraq 'burst into leaf', faflas 'went bankrupt' (pattern faccac, faffal in traditional Arabic grammar).

An exception to this general behaviour of  $\Omega$  is  $\Omega$  of the first person singular imperfect tense. In this case  $\Omega$  is elidable in ESA as in:

raybitak amsi (<famsi 'I walk')
'Is ityour wish that I should go?'

Sultilak asrig (<Sasrig 'I hurry up) Sahsan
'I told you I had better hurry up'.</pre>

This may be formulated as follows:  $\begin{bmatrix} [-c_1c_2a(a)c_3] \\ [-c_1tac_2ic_3] \\ [-stac_1c_2ic_3] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (imp)}}$   $[-ctac_2ac_3] \\ [-stac_1c_2ac_3] \\ [-stac_1c_2ac_3] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (perf)}}$   $\begin{bmatrix} [-tc_1ac_2vc_3] \\ [-nc_1ac_2ac_3] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (perf)}}$   $[[-cc_1c_2vc_3] \\ [-nc_1ac_2ac_3] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (passive)}}$   $(ii) [Sa-] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset \ / \ V - \\ [-c_1c_2vc_3] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (imperf)}}$  (V: verb; imp.: imperative; perf.: perfect; imperf.: imperfect)

# 3.3 Pronouns

As regards independent pronouns with initial SV-, a

distinction could be made between informal forms of pronouns where \$i- (or \$a-) is elidable, and formal (high flown) reflexes (borrowed from the written language) where \$a- is non-elidable, e.g.

### informal

### high flown

- (1) maa gallaqt ana (<\( \)ana 'I') (2) maa gallaqtu \( \)anaa gala gala lmgwDuug 'I did not comment on the subject' (2) maa gallaqtu \( \)anaa ga
- (3) gandak inta (<\inta 'you (masc(4) gindaka \(\frac{\anta}{anta}\) lhall 'You (masc. sing.) have the 'You have the solution'
- (5) gandik <u>inti</u> (<\Sinti 'you'(fem.(6) gindaki \Santi lhall sing.) \frac{1}{2} hall 'you (fem. sing.) have the 'you have the solution'.
- (7) gandŭkum <u>intu</u> (<Sintu 'you' (8) gindakum <u>Santumu</u> lhall (plural) Thall 'you (plural) have the 'you have the solution' solution
- (9) Saal <u>ihna</u> (<Sihna 'we') wlaad(lO) (No reflex with initial S) qual <u>nahnu</u> SabnaaSu lyawm 'he said we are today's people, i.e. let us turn over a new leaf'

The above examples show that

- (1) initial Ωa- is elidable in the 1st person singular pronoun Ωana 'I' (informal), non-elidable in Ωanaa 'I' (high flown) examples 1 and 2)-
- (2) initial S + i is elidable in all informal forms, e.g.
  Sinta/Sinti 'you' (2nd person masculine/feminine singular)
  (examples 3 and 5), Sintu 'you' (2nd person plural)
  (example 7) and Sihna 'we' (1st person plural);
- (3) initial \$\Omega\$ + a is non-elidable in all formal reflexes,
  e.g. \$\Omega\$ anta/\$\Santi 'you' (2nd person masculine/feminine
  singular) (examples 4 and 6) and \$\Omega\$ antum 'you' (2nd
  person plural) (example 8).

3.3.1 On the evidence of (3) one might have expected the \$\frac{\psi}{1}\$ of the relative pronominal form \$\frac{\psilli}{2}\$ (appropriate to informal style) to be elidable while \$\pai\$ + a of \$\frac{\psillati}{2}\$ (appropriate to formal style) to be non-elidable. But, in fact, \$\frac{\psill-{\psillati}}{2}\$ here is equatable on good grammatical grounds with the article \$\frac{\psill{\psillati}}{2}\$ (see 3.5) and \$\pai\$ is therefore regularly elided, as in

### informal

# dafagt ittaman <u>illi</u> (<\filli 'which') ttafa\footna galeeh 'I paid the price which was

agreed upon'

ha lSajyaaS <u>illi</u> (<Silli) muttafaq galeeha 'these things which were agreed upon'

# high flown

dafagtu 00amana llaði (< Sallaði (masc. sing.)) ttufiqu galayh 'I paid the price which was agreed upon'

haaðihi lSaſyaaSu <u>llati</u> (<Sallati (fem. sing.) ttufiqu galayha 'these things which were agreed upon'

The above regularities of elision can be formulated as follows:

(i) 
$$[\mathfrak{S}V_1^-] \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V - \\ V_1 / C - \end{cases}$$
 
$$\begin{bmatrix} [-na] \\ [-nta] \\ [-ntu] \\ [-hna] \end{bmatrix}_{Pron}$$

(ii) 
$$[SV_1^-] \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V - \\ V_1 / C - \end{cases}$$
 
$$\begin{bmatrix} [-11i] \\ [-11a\delta i] \\ [-11ati] \end{bmatrix}$$
 Property

(Pro pronominal)

Rule (i) applies in informal and casual styles -

Rule (ii) applies in all styles .

# 3.4 Adjectives

Here an important constraint is between <u>Sa-</u> and the gutturality/
non-gutturality of the first radical of the form. The following
examples show that initial <u>S</u> followed by a non-guttural
consonant is non-elidable while initial <u>S</u> followed by a guttural
consonant is elidable:

(A)

- (1) ... kull maa Saarit <u>Sajadd Saw Sagwa</u> (25, 2, 188 Syrian) the tougher or stronger it becomes
- (2) Sittagaamul magah Sashal (23, 2 Bebanese) 'dealing with him is easier'
- (3) litastawgib <u>SakOar</u> min OalaaOat malayiin (66, 1, Egyptian) 'so that it may embrace more than 3 millions'
- (4) nishib fii mawDuug <u>SafBug</u> (Palestinian)
  'to talk a lot about a more terrible subject'
- (5) ... kull maa kaant ilmudarrisi <u>Socrab</u> (27, 1, 302 Jordanian) 'the nearer the woman-teacher is (i.e. to the pupils)'
- (6) magak SaSyar hagm (Egyptian) 'you have the smallest size'

(B)

- (7) SisSobr <u>ahla</u> (hilw 'sweet' > <u>Sahla</u> 'sweeter') 'patience is better'
- (8) Silharam agla (gaali 'high' > Sagla 'higher, highest') 'the pyramid is higher'
- (9) Silqamh ayla (yaali 'expensive' >  $\underline{\text{Sayla}}$  'more expensive') wheat is more precious'
- (10) Silwalad ahyaf (haayif 'trivial' > Sahyaf 'more trivial')
   min Saxuuh
   'the boy is more trivial than his brother'

The above examples force the recognition of two sub-classes of 'elative' or 'comparative' adjectives:

### Sub-class (1)

Where I is non-elidable in the presence of a following non-guttural radical (e.g. palato-alveolar | in Sa|add (example 1), denti-alveolar sulcal s in Sashal (example 2), velar k in SakOur (example 3), labio-dental f (example 4), uvular q in Saqrab and Saqwa (examples 5 and 1), denti-alveolar sulcal emphatic S in SaSyar (example 6));

### Sub-class (2)

Where f is elidable in the presence of a guttural radical in comparable place (e.g. h in Sahla (ex. 7), g in Sagla (ex. 8), y in Sayla (ex. 9), h in Sahyaf (ex. 10)).

- 3.4.1 However, it is relevant to note that if the first radical of the adjective is x or  $\Omega$ , then educated speakers do not form the elative on the (usual) pattern  $\Omega_1^{C_2}$ . Rather, they use  $\Omega_1^{C_2}$  more' + corresponding noun, as in
  - (11) gali <u>SakOar xumuul</u> (xaamil 'lazy' > SakOar xumuul 'more lazy') 'Ali is more lazy'
  - (12) Žiil <u>SakOar SaSaala</u> (SaSiil 'sensible' > SakOar SaSaala 'more sensible')
    'a more sensible generation'

Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \emptyset / \mathbf{v} & - \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{a}} / \mathbf{c} & - \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
Adj

(() related to one of the following patterns)

### 3.5 The Article

The basic (underlying) form of the article prefix, often cited as Sal or Sil, is in fact 1-. Since the following item must begin with a consonant (lc-) and no utterance may begin with a vowel or consonant-cluster, and since, too, a sequence of 3 consonants is not admissible, Si or the more formal Sa is introduced to 'keep within the rules'. In both cases S may be elided at inter-word junctions either alore (if preceded by a consonant) or together with the following vowel (if preceded by a vowel).

- (1) biTTabz ilkutub (<<u>Silkutub</u> 'the books') <u>ilqadiima</u> (<<u>Silqadiima</u> 'the old') maxTuuTah 'of course, ancient books are handwritten'
- (2) kamaa Sasham alSawwaluun (< SalSawwaluun 'the ancestors')
  'as (our) forefathers participated (i.e. in civilization)'

  If, however, the item itself begins with elidable S, then, in

  casual educated speech, this S is elided and l is thereafter

  initial in the form, e.g. Sil + Simtihaan 'examination' >

  limtihaan 'the examination'. Cf.

daxalt limtihanaat (< $\Omega$ il $\Omega$ imtihanaat) 'I sat for the exams'  $\Omega$ ilward lahmar (< $\Omega$ il $\Omega$ ahmar 'the red') 'the red roses'

This may be formulated as follows:

$$[\mathfrak{L}V_1^-] \rightarrow \{ \begin{pmatrix} \emptyset & / & V & - \\ V_1 & / & C & - \end{pmatrix} \}_{\text{the article}}$$

# 3.6 Particles

With regard to particles, the following examples show that a distinction could be made, inter alia, between: (A) patterns which contain only one consonant (preceded by a short close or open vowel) other than initial  $\Gamma$ , and (B) patterns which contain two or more consonants other than  $\Gamma$ :

(A)

- (1) suuryyaa tastaTiig <u>San</u> ('that') tastawgib ilSasliha (56, 1,Lebanes "Syria can know (lit. grasp) (how to use) the weapons'
- (2) [ahr Saw ('or) ]ahreen 'a month or two' (Syrian)
- (3) yuSaddi Silaa ('to') Sohr ganaaSir ilmujtamag (4,1, Jordanian) 'it leads to the fusion of the elements of the society'
- (4) timayyiz nawgiyyit iqqubn  $\underline{\Omega}$ iza ('if') kaanit kaamilat iddasam  $\underline{\Omega}$ aw niSfi dasam  $\underline{\Omega}$ ilaa  $\underline{\Omega}$ aaxiruh (56, 6, Egyptian) 'it distinguishes the quality of cheese, whether it is full cream or half-cream, etc. (lit. to its end).
- (5) biyhaawil yitkayyaf  $\underline{\Omega}$  kaanat x $\alpha$ liiT (25, 2, Palestinian) 'he tries to adjust himself if it is mi

(B)

- (6) yazni binhaawil <u>inn</u> ('that') ihna naqtarib (25, 2, Egyptian) 'we are trying to be closer to each other'
- (7) maa fii Jakk innu liamTaar haaði ... (3. 1, Jordanian) 'no doubt that this rain ...'
- (8) bitkuun izzaay ('how') (56, 2, Egyptian)
   'how is it?'
- (9) Sult izzayyak (Egyptian)
  'I said "how are you?"'
- (10) Silkitaab anhu ('which') (Egyptian) 'which book?'
- (11) Sin Sallak imta ('when') matruddi (Egyptian)
  'if he asks when, do not answer'

Under (A), I in particles such as the noun clause marker

I an (example 1), the conjunction I aw (example 2 and 4),

the directional particle I ila(a) (examples 3 and 4),

conditional I iza/I i a (examples 4 and 5) is non-elidable.

It is worth noting that (with the exception of I ila(a) 'to')

this group of particles is also incompatible with pronominal suffixation.

Under (B), S in particles such as nominalizing Sinn (examples 6 and 7), interrogative Sizzaay (example 8), Sanhu (Sanhi (feminine)/Sanhum (plural) 'which' (example 10) and Simta 'when' (example 11) may be elided:

$$[\mathfrak{S}V_1^-] \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \emptyset / V - \\ V_1 / C - \end{array} \left[ [-CC(V)(V)(C)] \right]_{particle}$$

In contrast with particles at (A), these particles (with the exception of fimta) are compatible with suffixation, e.g. in (7) finn is associated with a bound pronoun, the 3rd person masculine singular suffix -u, in (9) fizzaay is compounded with the 2nd person masculine singular suffix -ak.

Summary: Generally speaking, initial ... particles which contain only one consonant other than f and which are incompatible with suffixation is non-elidable. On the other hand, initial f in particles which contain 2 or more consonants besides f and are compatible with suffixation is elidable.

# 4. Stylistic distinctions

4.1 There is a considerable difference between the facts of elision in educated speech (as partly illustrated above) and the speech of uneducated people. It is perhaps worth

mentioning that almost any word-initial  $\Omega$  in vernacular Arabics (e.g. Egyptian and Jordanian) is elidable, at any rate in contexts of inter-word junction. The following attested examples illustrate some contexts of elision typical of Egyptian market-place speech; they are in marked contrast with the facts of usage in educated speech as well as in CA (shown in parentheses):

- (1) wallaahi ma maddiit iidi 'By God! I didn't stretch my Educated (wallaahi ma maddiit fiidi) hand' CA (Wallaahi maa madadtu yadi)
- (2) <u>bagattilik arnab</u> gaļiyyi 'I sent you a rabbit yesterday' Ed. (<u>bagattilik Sarnab</u> imbaarih) CA (<u>baga0tu laki Sornaban Sams</u>)
- (3) <u>bazd iznik</u> ya sitt 'with your permission, madam' Ed. (<u>bazd fiznik</u> ya madaam)
  CA (<u>bazda fiðniki</u> yaa sayyidati)
- (4) fii nwaar kitiir fi-[[aarig 'there are many lights in the Ed. (fii Sanwaar . . . ) street'
  CA (tuuZadu Sanwaarun ka0ilratun fi [[aarig)
- (5) keef lahwaal 'How are you?' Ed. (keef ilsahwaal) CA (kayfa lsahwaal)
- (6) garabiyyat lugra 'taxi-cabs'
  Ed. (garabiyyaat ilfugra)
  CA (garabaatu lfugrah)
- (7) makanji <u>fahimn abadan</u> 'He was not constanding me at all' Ed. (makanji <u>fahimni Sabadan</u>)
  CA (lan <u>yafhamani Sabadan</u>) 'he will never understand me'
- (8) biyfull eeh 'what is he saying to me?'
  Ed. (biyfulli feeh )
  CA (ma llabi yaquuluhu lii)
- (9) <u>gal ee</u> da 'what is all this about?'
  <u>Ed. (gala See</u> da)
  CA (limaaðaa kullu haaðaa, i.e. <u>galaa Sayyi</u> [aySin haaðaa).

For a detailed study of a Jordanian dialect, see S.A. El-Hassan, 'Phonological aspects of syllabication and the syllable in a Jordanian Arabic dialect, M.Phil. dissertation, Leeds Univ., 1969, chapter 2.

Such contrasting contexts of elision/non-elision form a part of an educated Arab's receptive competence; they offer no barrier to intelligibility.

As can be seen, even \$\mathbb{C}\$ of items such as \$\mathbb{C}\$ abadan

'never' (ex. 7), usually associated with emphasis, is
elidable in this uneducated style of speech. Initial

\$\mathbb{C}\$ of interrogative particles such as \$\mathbb{C}\$ee(h) 'what'

(examples 8 and 9) (rarely elided in ESA especially when
preceded by a vowel) is regularly elided and so is \$\mathbb{C}\$ of
nouns such as \$\mathbb{C}\$izn (example 3), \$\mathbb{C}\$arnab (example 2),

\$\mathbb{C}\$anwaar (example 4), etc. It may be remembered that \$\mathbb{C}\$

of structurally comparable nouns such as \$\mathbb{C}\$abb 'father',

\$\mathbb{C}\$uxt 'sister', \$\mathbb{C}\$abmad 'Abmad', is elidable in ESA (especially in casual speech), non-elidable in CA. Thus, it is perhaps justifiable to conclude that ESA goes beyond the restrictions of the written language, yet it is far less given to elision than uneducated speech.

# Note:

While the substitution of w and y for initial ? is common in illiterate speech, this is rare in ESA; cf.:

- da wakil gaal waLLaahi (Upper Egypt)
  Educated (da Sakl gaal waLLaahi) 'by God! this is good food'
- bi yee da (Upper Egypt)
  Educated (bi See da/bi kaam da) 'what price is this'
- Jufna yalli Sultullak galeehun (Damascus)
  Educated (Jufna Silli Sultilak galeehum) 'we saw those who
  I toldyou about'
- 4.2 Equally important is variability within ESA. This results from differentiation in terms of local linguistic loyalties and loans (serving high-flown speech) from the written language. The following are a few examples

  1. The relative pronoun has the following forms in Syrian Arabic: hallifife. Sinnaas halli gandi 'the people I have'), yalli

illustrating some regularities of difference between educated and less educated, formal and informal, etc.

4.2.1. It is perhaps interesting that in <u>casual speech</u> the frequency of the elision of  $\Omega$  is high, while in high-flown speech it is quite low. The following contrasting extracts from unscripted discussions and conversations reveal a correlation between elision and the formality of the situation.

Extract 1 (high-flown) Situation: formal as expected when a group of commentators of different nationalities sit together to discuss a political question for a T.V. programme. The speaker is a 50-year-old Lebanese male addressing a mixed audience in Kuwait.

- "hawaalii Saab ... tantahi muddat <u>Sal</u>roSiis

  <u>Sal</u>haali foronžiyya (pause) Sagtaqid-ilSoTroof

  qod-istanfazat qiwaaha ... waSagtaqid Sannahaa
  kilaahumaa Siqtanaga lSaan Sanna-stimroor
- Sannaziif-iddamawi qod yiSadii Silan huluul xaariZiyya. Samma-ttagqiib galaa suSaalak hawla-lwasaaTo ... laa sak Sannahu SusiiS fahmihaa ... SilSax haani kaana ZariiSan gindamaa qooma biSism-
- 9 ilmuqaawama binaqdi mawqifi-lmuqaawami ..."
  (56, 1)

"Approximately in Aab (August), the presidential period of the present president (Faranžiyya) comes to an end. I think the parties now have exhausted their strengths, and I think that both of them now have been convinced that the continuation of bloodshed might lead to the imposition of external

solutions.

Commenting in your question about mediation ...

there is no doubt that it was misunderstood ...

Brother Hany was daring when he, on behalf of the

Resistance, criticised the Resistance's stand ..."

Extract 2 (casual) Situation: informal as is appropriate among friends and relatives. The topic is of the daily type. The speaker is a 25-year-old Lebanese male talking to his Egyptian friends.

- "Sanaa hwiytii Sinnii kasdir ... tigrif Sawwil mažiit hooni ga maSīr riht galaa (hesitation) nzilna bi-lmaTααr fatta na galaa taksi maa laSina
- faa (pause) rihnaa ntarna sagtiin lalfayna taksi
  (pause) bilmaTaar fagduuna farbag saagaat biggawagaat
- 15 (pause) SixliSna mn-ižžawazaat rihnaa galaalžumruk mahal ma biynabju layrooD ..."
  (58,2)

"my hobby is to go for a stroll ... You know,
the moment we arrived here in Cairo (lit. Egypt)
I made for ... we landed (on the aerodrome),
we looked for a taxi and could not find one, we
waited two hours to find a taxi. At the aerodrome
they kept us four hours in the passport office.
(when) we finished with the passport office we
went to the customs where they searched the luggage ..."

### Comments

(1) In passage (1) I of the article is not elided right through as in (2), perhaps to slow down the tempo of the speech to give the interlocutor more time to think. In layrood (line 17) I of the article is elided

together with initial  $\Omega$  of the item ( $\Omega$  or things') leaving 1 initial as appropriate to casual speech. (Cf.  $\Omega$  or taging - il $\Omega$  or things) leaving 2).

(2) While elidable S of nominals (e.g. SayraaD) is elided

- in the informal passage, it is not elided in any instance in the formal counterpart. Even \$\mathbb{C}\$ in \$\mathbb{C}\$ ism 'a name' is retained, bi\$\mathbb{C}\$ ism (line 8), though bism is to be expected.

  (3) In (1) initial \$\mathbb{C}\$ of forms derived by the prefixation of sta- (e.g. \$\mathbb{C}\$ istanfazat (line 2)) and \$\mathbb{C}\$ of their corresponding verbal nouns (e.g. \$\mathbb{C}\$ istimraar (line 4)) are elided. No such forms occurred in (2). As expected in formal speech, \$\mathbb{C}\$ a of the first person singular imperfect tense is retained throughout in (1). In contrast, it is elided in the informal passage (e.g. kasdir 'go for an outing', line 10) (see 4.2.2, no. 2)

  (4) In (1) the noun clause marker \$\mathbb{C}\$ an appropriate to formal style is used (e.g. in lines 3, 7) where \$\mathbb{C}\$ is non-elidable; in (2) its informal variant \$\mathbb{C}\$ inn 'that' is used (line 10), though the speaker retained its elidable \$\mathbb{C}\$.
- 4.2.2 The following observations are not irrelevant:

  (1) It has been shown (2.2.1) that initial clusters in

  'simple' verb forms (e.g. perfect fixlisna (line 15)/xilisna,

  'geminate' imperfect fithawwil/thhawwil) are obviated in

  two ways: either by initial fi or by the introduction of

  a vowel between the first and second radical consonants of

  the form.

# Three variants

Another (and equally important) variant of the above forms (e.g. Sinzilna/nizilna) emerges as a result of (highly) educated speakers introducing the vowel patterns or schemas

of the written language into speech, especially in formal situations. Thus a continuum of variants is being built up comprising forms which are cognates but not in free variation, since they serve different styles of speech; thus,

speech event	blography of speaker	variable forms
informal chat	rural (Upper Egypt),	a. <u>Sinzilna</u> or <u>nzilna</u> bilmaTaar
	urban or rural (Levant)	<ul> <li>SizliSna or xliSna mn ižžawazaat</li> </ul>
	less educated	c. Sithawwil or thawwil mcSccri
ditto	urban (Egypt and the Levant)	a. <u>nizilna</u> bi/fi <sup>3</sup> ImaTaar b. xiliSna mn
	educated	ižžawazaat c. tihawwil moSocri/ gumla
formal conversation	either urban or rural (Egypt and the Levant)	a. <u>nazalnaa</u> fi lmoToor b. <u>xaluSnoo</u> mina lžawazaat
	highly educated	c. tuhawwil zumla(h)

<sup>(2)</sup> In the casual conversation of Syrian and Lebanese speakers, the prefix Sa (or Si) of the first person singular imperfect tense is elided if preceded by a form ending in an open syllable or one of the type CVC, as in:

<sup>-</sup> biddi gallaf gala kalaamak (Lebanesa 58, 2, 52) (biddi fagallaf " " ) 'I'd like to comment on what you say'

<sup>-</sup> Sanaa hwiytii Sinnii kasdir (Extract 2 above, 1.10)
(Sanaa hwiytii Sinnii 'my hobby is to go for a stroll'
Sakasdir)

<sup>-</sup> biddi kammil gamali (Syrian)
(biddi Yakammil gamali) 'I'd like to complete my work'

A speaker adjusts, of course, to hearer.

<sup>2.</sup> Both forms occur in Upper Egypt and the Levant (see ft. p. 7).

<sup>3.</sup> bi used in the bevant, fi in Egypt.

- laazim kammil gamali (Syrian) (laazim Sakammil gamali) 'I have to complete my work'
- yagni biûdir ûuul gan nafsi (Lebanese 23, 2, 192) ("" îaûuul "")'that is, I can say about myself.

If \$a/\$i is followed by a consonant cluster, or preceded by a form ending in a consonant cluster, only \$\mathbf{S}\$ is elided, as in :

- kunt agallim luya frinsiyyi (Lebanese 58, 2) (kunt fagallim " 'I was teaching French'
- mafruuD ifham galeeh (Lebanese 23, 2) (mafruuD ifham ") 'I am supposed to understand him'

This is in contrast with Egyptian practice where f is elided (together with preceding vowel) and the vowel following it is retained in comparable contexts, as in

- bidd agallaS gala kalaamak
- laazim akammil gamali
- kunt agallim luya
- (3) Some (highly) educated speakers are socially motivated to introduce into their speech the written language's passive forms for stylistic effect and to sound cultured/educated above the ordinary. In contrast with elidable \$\mathbb{C}\$ of passive verbs formed on the pattern \$\mathbb{S}itC\_1^{ac} \mathbb{Q}VC\_3\$ or \$\mathbb{C}inC^2CaC\$ (see 3.1.2) which characterizes less educated style, \$\mathbb{C}\$ of passive perfect verbal forms in this style (e.g. as that in extract 1), characterized by the pattern \$\mathbb{C}u(CC)i(C)\$ (e.g. \$\mathbb{C}unzil 'was revealed', \$\mathbb{C}ursil 'was sent') may not be elided. Passage (1) contains an example of the latter:

Sannahu <u>SussiS</u> fahmihaa (line 7)
More examples are:

- Silmudiir Suqiil 'the manager was sacked'
- Sams Sugiima lhafl 'yesterday the party was given'
- -Silfilm Sugiid corDu(h) 'the film was repeated'

The following rules seem to account for the elision of the glottal stop in ESA:

### Nominals

(a) 
$$[\mathfrak{S}V_1] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V \\ V_1 / C \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -C_1C_2(V) \\ -bn \\ -bna \\ (-xt) \\ (-mm) \\ (-sm) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -mra\mathfrak{S}a \\ -\Theta nayn \\ -\Theta neen \\ -tneen \end{bmatrix}$$

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts initial  $\mathfrak C$  of the sub-class of nouns of structure  $\mathfrak CVC_1C_2(V)$  and of  $\mathfrak Cimra\mathfrak Ca$ ,  $\mathfrak Cieneen/\mathfrak Citneen/\mathfrak Cienayn$  is elided either together with the following vowel (if preceded by a vowel) or alone (if preceded by a consonant).

(b) 
$$[Si-] \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V & --- \\ i / C & --- \end{cases}$$
 
$$\begin{bmatrix} -c_1 tic_2 aac_3 \\ -stic_1 c_2 aac_3 \\ -nc_1 ic_2 aac_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 VN

(VN verbal nouns)

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts initial  $\Omega$  of nominals of structure  $\Omega C_1 = \Omega_3$ ,  $\Omega C_2 = \Omega_3$ , or  $\Omega C_2 = \Omega_3$  is elided if preceded by a vowel,,  $\Omega$  alone is elided if  $\Omega$  is preceded by a consonant.

(c) 
$$[Sa-] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \emptyset / V - \\ a-/C - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [-c_1c_2ac_3] \end{bmatrix}_N$$

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts, initial  $\Omega$  of nouns and names formed on the 'elative' pattern  $\Omega C_1 C_2 = C_3$ 

is elided either alone (if preceded by a consonant) or together with the following vowel (if preceded by a vowel) (see p. 16).

### Verbals

$$\begin{cases} [-C_{1}C_{2}a(a)C_{3}] \\ [-C_{1}taC_{2}iC_{3}] \\ [-staC_{1}C_{2}iC_{3}] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (imp )}}$$

$$(i) \quad [Si-] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset \text{ / } V \text{ ------} \\ [-C_{1}taC_{2}aC_{3}] \\ [-staC_{1}C_{2}aC_{3}] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (perf)}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [-tC_{1}aC_{2}VC_{3}] \\ [-nC_{1}aC_{2}VC_{3}] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (passive)}}$$

$$(ii) \quad [Sa-] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset \text{ / } V \text{ -------} \\ [-c_{1}C_{2}VC_{3}] \end{bmatrix}_{V \text{ (imperf)}}$$

(V verb, imp imperative, perf perfect, imperf imperfect)

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts;)initial <u>Si-</u>
is elidable in the following forms: imperative forms of

structure SiC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>a(a)C<sub>3</sub>, SiC<sub>1</sub>taC<sub>2</sub>iC<sub>3</sub>, and SistaC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>iC<sub>3</sub>;

perfect tense of verbs of structure SiC<sub>1</sub>taC<sub>2</sub>aC<sub>3</sub> and SistaC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>aC<sub>3</sub>;

passive verbs of structure SitC<sub>1</sub>aC<sub>2</sub>VC<sub>3</sub> and SistaC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>aC<sub>3</sub>;

(ii) initial Sa-ciselidable in the first person singular imperfect

# Pronouns

(i) 
$$[\mathfrak{SV}_1^{-}] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V \longrightarrow \\ V_1 / C \longrightarrow \begin{cases} [-na] \\ [-nta] \\ [-hna] \\ [-hna] \end{cases} \end{cases}_{\text{Pron.}}$$

(ii) 
$$[\mathfrak{SV}_1^{-}] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} [-1]i] \\ [-1]a\delta i \end{bmatrix} \\ [Pron pronominal) \end{cases}$$
 Pron.

Rule (i) specifies that in non-pausal contexts initial f is elidable in all informal forms of pronouns, either alone (if preceded by a consonant) or together with the following vowel (if preceded by a vowel).

Rule (ii) specifies that initial I is elidable in all relative pronominal forms, informal and high flown.

### Adjectives

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts initial f is elidable in the subclass of 'elative' or 'comparative' adjectives in the presence of a following guttural radical (C<sub>1</sub>), either alone (if preceded by a consonant) or together with the following vowel [a] (if preceded by a vowel).

# The article

$$[\mathfrak{SV}_{1}^{-1}] \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset / V & -- \\ V / C & -- \end{cases} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} [-1] \end{bmatrix}$$
 the article

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts initial \$\mathbf{S}\$ of the article is elidable either alone (if preceded by a consonant) or together with the following vowel (if preceded by a vowel).

### Particles

$$[ \mathfrak{T} \mathsf{v}_1 - ] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \emptyset & / & \mathsf{v} & - \\ \mathsf{v}_1 & / & \mathsf{c} & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [ -\mathsf{CC}(\mathsf{v})(\mathsf{v})(\mathsf{c}) ] \end{bmatrix}$$
 particle

This rule specifies that in non-pausal contexts initial  $\mathfrak C$  of particles which contain 2 or more consonants besides  $\mathfrak C$  is elidable either together with the following vowel (if preceded by a vowel) or alone (if preceded by a consonant).

#### Note:

Research is based on a corpus of unscripted, unprepared conversations and discussions covering a wide range of topics and interpersonal relationships recorded in Egypt and the Levant. The speakers are educated men and women of different origins and occupations, aged (25-65). For a definition of Educated Spoken Arabic, see Mitchell 1978 and El-Hassan 1977.

Brief conventions for reading the transcribed material employed are as follows:

# Consonant-letters

i a half-close to close front spread vowl; u a halfclose to close back rounded vowel; a, dfront and back open vowels; e, e mid- to half-close front and back vowels, spread and rounded respectively. Long vowels are indicated by doubling the appropriate vowel letter (ii, ee, aa, aa, oo, uu).

### References

Greenberg, J.H.,

Harrell, Richard S.,

Khalafallah, A.A.,

Mitchell, T.F.,

Labou, W.,

Anis, Ibrahim, "The grammatical characteristics of the spoken Arabic of Egypt", Ph.D. thesis, SOAS, 1941.

Bailey, C-J. N., Variation and Linguistic Theory,
Center for Applied Linguistics, 1973.

Botha, R.P., "The phonological component of a generative grammar", E.C. Fudge, Phonology, Penguin, 1973, pp. 213-31.

El-Hassan, S.A., "Phonological aspects of Syllabication and the syllable in a Jordanian Arabic dialect", M.Phil. dissertation, Leeds Univ., 1969, esp. Chapt. 2.

'Educated spoken Arabic in Egypt and the Levant:a critical review of diglossia and related concepts'. Archivum Linguisticum Vol. VIII, No. 2(New Series), 1977. II2-32.

Firth, J.R., "Linguistics and the functional point of view", English Studies 16, 1, 1934, pp. 18-24.

"The patterning of root morphemes in Semitic", Word, 6, 1950, pp. 162-81.

The Phonology of Colloquial Egyptian
Arabic, American Council of
Learned Societies, 1957, esp. pp. 28-29.

A Descriptive Grammar of Sa'idi Egyptian Colloquial Arabic, Mouton, 1969.

Sociolinguistic Patterns, Univ. of Pennsylvania Press, 1972.

Review of Harrell, <u>BSOAS</u>, XXI, 1958, pp. 635-37.

"Long consonants in phonology and phonetics", Studies in Linguistic Analysis, Special volume of the Philological Society, Oxford, 1962, esp. pp. 182-92.

Colloquial Arabic, The Teach Yourself Books, 1962.

This PDF was created using the Sonic PDF Creator.
To remove this watermark, please license this product at <a href="https://www.investintech.com">www.investintech.com</a>

"Some preliminary observations on the Arabic Koine", British Society for Middle Eastern Studies Bulletin, Mitchell.T.F. 2,2,1975,PP.70-86. "Educated spoken Arabic in Egypt and the Levant... "Journal of Linguistics, xlv, 1978.227-58. "Speech act diversity", Archivum Pride, J.B., Linguisticum, vll (New Series), 1,1976,pp.66-89. Sallam, A.M. "Phonological variation in Educated spoken Arabic:a study of the uvular and related plosive types"BSOAS, Vol.XLIII, Part I, 1980. 77-100. A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic, Wehr, Hans, Spoken Language Services, Inc., 1976. A grasmer of the Arabic Language. Wright, W., Cambridge, 1962, esp. PP. 19-21.