Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, Vol. 51, No. 1, April 2020 J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. (JESP), 51(1), 2021: 213 - 226 (Online: 2090-2549)

### IN VITRO EVALUATION OF THIOREDOXIN REDUCTASE INHIBITOR (AURANOFIN) ACTIVITY IN COMPARISON WITH TRICLABENDAZOLE **ON ADULT FASCIOLA GIGANTICA**

# By AMANY A. RADY<sup>1</sup>, NASHAAT E. NASSEF<sup>1</sup>, OMAIMA K. EL-SHAFEY<sup>1</sup>, ENGY V. BESHAY<sup>1\*</sup>, SHEREEN F. MAHMOUD<sup>2</sup>, DOAA I. M. ABOUGALALAH<sup>1</sup>, and SAMAR A. EL- REFAI<sup>1</sup>

Departments of Medical Parasitology<sup>1</sup>, and Pathology<sup>2</sup>, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Egypt (\*Correspondence: engyvicor@med.menofia.edu.eg ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3556-2156)

#### Abstract

Fasciola gigantica causes a worldwide waterborne/foodborne zoonotic disease in which humans are incidental hosts. Fascioliasis has a major impact on human health and its control mainly depends on triclabendazole (TCBZ). Unfortunately, the effectiveness of this drug is decreased because of indiscriminate use resulting in development of resistance. Therefore, the search for another effective anthelmintic is now compulsory. This work aimed to evaluate the *in vitro* anthelmintic effects of auranofin (a thioredoxin reductase inhibitor) on adult F. gigantica in comparison with the drug of choice; TCBZ. This study involved in vitro petri dish incubation of seventy-five adult F. gigantica worms of nearly equal size with the tested drugs and classified into five groups (fifteen worms each) as follows; G1 served as a control group, G2 was exposed to TCBZ (20µL/mL), G3, G4, & G5 were exposed to auranofin (3, 5, & 10µg/mL, respectively). All adult worms were incubated and observed for three hours and subjected to motility and egg hatchability assays, histopathological and ultrastructural studies, glutathione-S-transferase and superoxide dismutase assay, and cathepsin-L gene expression analysis. Auranofin in all concentrations significantly decreased adult motility and egg hatchability. It induced histopathological and ultrastructural deformities including apoptosis. Auranofin in higher concentrations significantly suppressed the activity of the detoxifying enzyme; glutathione-S-transferase, and significantly stimulated superoxide dismutase enzyme activity reflecting the oxidative stress. At all concentrations, it suppressed the expression of the cathepsin-L gene responsible for Fasciola invasive function.

Key words: Auranofin<sup>®</sup>, Fasciola gigantica; In-vitro, Triclabendazole<sup>®</sup>.

#### Introduction

Fasciola hepatica (F. hepatica) and Fasciola gigantica (F. gigantica) are two parasite species that cause a foodborne zoonotic disease named fascioliasis (Rokni et al, 2018). Fascioliasis is an emerging/re-emerging trematodal disease in many countries with major impact on human health and development (Mas-Coma et al, 2005), including Egypt (Abo-Madyan et al, 2004). Animal and human fascioliases constitute major economic and health problems in tropical and subtropical areas (Sarkari et al, 2017). Epidemiological data proved that fascioliasis endemic areas are expanding (Sarkari and Khabisi, 2017). The disease causes hepatic lesions and chronic inflammation as well as fibrosis of bile ducts (Ashrafi, 2015) with the development of gall bladder stones (Mas-Coma et al, 2019). It is also associated with helminth-induced hepatic cancer (Machicado and Marcos, 2016). Besides, fascioliasis caused ectopic lesions elsewhere with tissue da-mage and fibrosis (Taghipour et al, 2019). The neurological and ocular disorders were recorded in hepatic fascioliasis patients (Gonzalez-Miguel et al, 2019).

The control of fascioliasis relies on an anthelmintic drug, triclabendazole (TCBZ), a member of benzimidazole derivatives (Fairweather, 2009). This drug is the most effective treatment for fascioliasis in humans and animals. It penetrates the worm tegument by diffusion, then, becomes metabolized by the worm into an active sulfoxide metabolite that binds to  $\beta$ -tubulin inhibiting the formation of microtubules which are components of the Fasciola cytoskeleton. The resistance of TCBZ is recently reported in humans and animals in many regions of the world due to reduced diffusion and metabolism with a change in efflux activity that reduces its efficacy (Merachew and Alemneh, 2020). Therefore, alternative effective fascioliasis treatment became the research targets (Marques et al, 2020). Among these targets, cathepsin-L cysteine proteases, glutathione-S-transferases (GST), superoxide dismutases (SOD), and thioredoxin reductases (TrxR) were recommended (Saccoccia et al, 2014). These enzymes have different vital functions in Fasciola worms including host tissue penetration, worm survival and virulence, detoxification reactions, and antioxidant defense mechanisms (Ullah et al, 2017).

Redox enzymes are important in controlling the intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species which are essential for the prevention of DNA damage with subsequent survival of all cell types, including parasites. Auranofin is an FDA-approved treatment for rheumatoid arthritis with a well-studied safety for humans (AbdelKhalek et al, 2018). Auranofin acts mainly through the inhibition of reduction/oxidation (Redox) enzymes as thioredoxin reductase. The cells which express redox enzymes show an increase of auranofin affinity towards them (Caroli et al, 2011; Tejman-Yarden et al, 2013). Inhibition of redox enzymes by auranofin changes the redox state of the cell with increased production of reactive oxygen species, hydrogen peroxide, and oxidative stress causing intrinsic apoptosis of affected cells (Roder and Thomson, 2015).

Fortunately, the auranofin proved effective against different parasites in previous studies (Tejman-Yarden *et al*, 2013; da Silva *et al*, 2015; Capparelli *et al*, 2016). However, to the best of the authors' knowledge, it was not tested before against adult *F. gigantica*.

This study aimed to evaluate *in-vitro* anthelmintic effects of different concentrations of Auranofin<sup>®</sup> on *F. gigantica* adults as co-

## mpared with the on drug TCBZ<sup>®</sup>. **Materials and Methods**

*Fasciola* worms: *F. gigantica* adult worms were obtained from infected livers and bile ducts of buffaloes slaughtered at El Bagur Governmental Abattoir, Menoufia Governorate. The liver was cut over the bile duct and the flukes were collected in a laminar flow cabinet under sterile conditions using nontraumatic thumb forceps. Worms were put in a warm 0.9% NaCl (37°C). They were cleaned with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) in small sieves & placed in sterile RPMI-1640 medium (supplemented with (100µl/mL) Penicillin<sup>®</sup>, (160µ/mL) Gentamycin<sup>®</sup>, (100µl/ mL) Streptomycin<sup>®</sup> and (30%) fetal calf serum (Diab *et al*, 2010).

Tested drugs: Triclabendazole<sup>®</sup> was provided by Egaten, Novartis Pharma AG (USA). Auranofin<sup>®</sup> was provided by Tocris Bio-Techno Brand (USA) as a stock solution, and was freshly prepared by dissolving 1mg auranofin in 100ml dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO).

Experimental design: Anthelmintic effects of the tested drugs were studied by in vitro petri dish incubation method using RPMI-1640 medium (Githiori et al, 2006). Seventy-five adult F. gigantica worms of nearly equal size were selected and classified into five groups (fifteen worms each) as follows: G1: Non-drug-exposed worms (Control). G2: Worms were exposed to TCBZ at a concentration of 20µL/mL (TCBZ-exposed) after Nassef et al. (2014). G3: Worms were exposed to auranofin at a concentration of  $3\mu g/$ ml (Aur 3µg/ml) after Song et al. (2012). G4: Worms were exposed to auranofin at a concentration of 5µg/ml (Aur 5µg/ml). G5: Worms exposed to auranofin at a concentration of 10µg/ml (Aur 10µg/ml). All groups were incubated for 3hrs at room temperature. Tested drugs were evaluated through motility assay, egg hatchability test, histopathological study for pathological and apoptotic changes, ultrastructural scanning and transmission electron microscopic studies, evaluation of the antioxidant state by GST and SOD assays, and finally, determination of cathepsin-L gene expression by real-time PCR (RT-PCR).

Parasitological study: a- Motility assay: Motility of Fasciola adults of all groups was recorded for 3hrs post-incubation at half an hour intervals (Ullah et al, 2017). The motility was scored into the following (Jiraungkoorskul et al, 2005) score 3: Moving whole body, score 2: Moving only parts of body score 1: Grossly immobile but microscopically alive, and score 0: Microscopically dead. b- Egg hatching study: Eggs of each group were collected, washed five times with dechlorinated water and then incubated in 50ml tap water at 25°C in the darkness for 15 days. Exposure to day light for 2hr were done to test egg hatchability, where percentage of hatched and unhatched eggs were assessed using dissecting microscope (Hanna *et al*, 2006)

Histopathological study: From each group, five worms were randomly picked and assigned for thistopathological studies. a- Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining: Adults from each group were fixed in 10% formaldehyde, dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol, cleared with xylene, and then embedded in paraffin, for 5µm longitudinal sections to be stained in H&E stained (Carleton et al, 1980). They were examined and photographed under an Olympus CX41 light microscope at Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University. b- Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining for caspase- 3: Serial sections (4µm) were cut from paraffin-embedded flukes of all groups and mounted on positive slides (Sigma Aldrich, UK), stained by IHC technique according to the datasheet (Cat. #RP-096-05, Diagnostic Biosystems, 6616 Owens drive Pleasanton, CA, 94588). Finally, Mayer's hematoxylin was used as a counterstain (Sigma Aldrich, UK).

Caspase-3 expression was confirmed in the examined cells by cytoplasmic and/or nuclear stain. Pattern of expression was categorized as a patchy pattern in the form of irregular distribution or diffuse pattern in form of uniform distribution. While cellular localization was assessed as cytoplasmic or nucleo-cytoplasmic localization. The cells stained intensity was evaluated using Histoscore (H-score) with a score of 0-300 calculated according to the following equation:

H-score = (% of mildly stained cells  $\times 1$  + % moderately stained cells  $\times 2$  +% of strongly stained cells  $\times 3$ )/300 and mean  $\pm$  SD of H-score was calculated for each group (Sm-yth *et al*, 2007).

Electron microscopic study: From each group, 5 worms were randomly picked and assigned for the electron microscopic studies. a- SEM study: Following incubation, control and drug-exposed flukes were rinsed in fresh RPMI- 1640 medium, flat fixed for 30 minutes at room temperature in the freshly prepared 4% glutaraldehyde and thereafter free-fixed for another 3.5 hours in fresh fixative at 4°C. Worms were then washed 4 times with sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol, critically point dried, mounted on aluminum stubs, and sputter-coated with 20nm gold (Shareef et al, 2014). They were examined on JEOLSEM, (Japan) at 5 kV at Electron Microscope Unit, El- Mansoura University. b- TEM study: Flukes from all gro-ups were fixed overnight in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). They were sliced into transverse strips (1-2mm width). Strips were transferred to fresh phos-phate buffer for the rest of the fixation period and washed in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) then again re-fixed in 1.0 % osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in ethanol, and embedded in a low-viscosity resin. Ultrathin sections were cut using an ultra-microtome, mounted, double-stained with aqueous lead citrate, and alcoholic uranyl acetate (Soliman and Taha, 2011), and viewed on JEOLSEM at EMUnit, Mansoura University.

Antioxidant activity assays: From each group, 5 worms were randomly picked and assigned for the antioxidant activity assays and determination of cathepsin-L gene expression by real-time PCR (RT-PCR). a- Glu-

tathione-S-transferase (GST) assay: Glutathione- S- transferase assay kit (Biodiagnostic, Egypt) was used. Five µl of each sample was added to a reagent cocktail [(0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) (880µL), 100 mM reduced glutathione (10µl) and one-chloro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene (10µl)] and PBS (5µl) and mixed well. Absorbance was recorded for 3 minutes at 340 nm using a spectrophotometer (GENESYS 10S UV-Vis, USA) after Habig et al. (1974). b- Superoxide dismutase (SOD) assay: It was measured using a superoxide dismutase assay kit (Biodiagnostic, Egypt). Fifty µl of the sample were added to 2.85 mL of Triscacodylate buffer (pH 8.5) followed by the addition of 0.13 mMpyrogallol (100µl). Change in absorbance was recorded for 3min at 420nm (Marklund and Marklund, 1974).

Determination of cathepsin-L gene expression by real-time PCR (RT-PCR): Total RNA from adult F. gigantica worms was isolated using Directzol<sup>TM</sup> RNA Miniprep Plus (Zymo Research) then reverse transcribed using Ouanti-Tect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Applied Biosystems, USA). Briefly, 10µl of extracted RNA were added to the reverse transcription master mix to achieve a reverse-transcription reaction of 20µl total volume to obtain complementary DNA (cDNA) needed for further amplification. Reverse transcription reactions were stored at -20°C. Amplification of the resulting cDNA was done using the Quanti-Tect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). Gene expression of the cathepsin-L gene was determined using forward and reverse primers (Clinilab, Egypt) according to Ullah et al. (2017). The forward primer was GATCGTTTGAGCCATGGAGT, and the reverse primer was CACATGTTTCCTCGG TTCCT. Forward and reverse primers were used as an endogenous reference control. Primers were reconstituted before use in the labeled amount of Tris-EDTA buffer. For each reaction, a mixture of 5µl of cDNA, 1 µl of each primer, 12.5µl of SYBR Green master mix, and 5.5µl of RNase-free water was prepared in an Eppendorf. An initial denaturation step at 95°C for 15 min was done followed by 35 PCR cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 58°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. PCR was then terminated by a final extension at 72°C for 15 min with the cooling of samples down to 4°C. Melting curve analysis of the PCR yield was done using software version 2.0.1 incorporated in the cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Statistical analysis: Data were collected, tabulated and analyzed by Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) version 20 and Epi Info 2000 programs, where the following statistics were applied. Quantitative data were presented in the form of the mean (x), standard deviation (SD), range, and qualitative data were presented in the form of numbers and percentages (%). Chi-square test, ttest, Mann-Whitney, one-way ANOVA, and Kruskal, Wallis tests were also used. P values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval: The study was conducted following the International Animal Ethics Committee, the Ethical Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, and the current Egyptian regulations for dealing with experimental animal.

#### **Results**

Worm motility: Adult F. gigantica exposed to either TCBZ (G2) or auranofin (G3, G4, & G5) were less motile with time, whereas no major loss of motility was observed in control (G1). Both control (G1) and TCBZ-exposed (G2) showed a non-significant decrease in adult motility with time throughout the study (P=0.863 & 0.216, respectively) while auranofin in all concentrations (3, 5 & 10µg/ml) produced a highly significant (P < 0.001) inhibition of the worms motility over the incubation time in time and concentration-dependent manners. After incubation for 2hrs and at study end (3hrs), all auranofin-exposed worms died (Fig. 1).

Egg hatchability: There was a significant

decrease in the percentages of hatched *F*. gigantica eggs with the increase in the duration of incubation in control (G1), TCBZexposed (G2), auranofin-exposed (G3, G4, & G5) (P= 0.001, 0.003, 0.041, 0.013 & 0.010, respectively). There was a significant difference in *Fasciola* egg hatching between all the studied groups after half an hour, one hour, two hours, and three hours of incubation (P= 0.001, 0.002, 0.012, and 0.032, respectively).

Hematoxylin and eosin staining: The tegumental morphology of Fasciola adults of the control specimens (G1) showed intact tegument, spines, muscular layer, and parenchyma. The cytoplasmic syncytial layer of the tegument showed numerous spines embedded throughout its matrix, intact reticular lamina, and deeply stained two muscular layers. Regarding TCBZ-exposed flukes (G2); they showed tegumental swelling with the appearance of numerous vacuoles in the tegument syncytium and mild apoptosis. Some spines were dislodged with empty sockets while others appeared embedded in the swollen tegument. All flukes exposed to different concentrations of auranofin (3, 5, & 10µg/ml) showed tegumental swelling and vacuolations with severe apoptosis in the tegument syncytium with sloughing and detachment of parts of the tegument. Partial separation of some spines was also detected. At highest concentration of auranofin (10µg/ ml) showed a complete separation of a large number of spines with severe apoptosis and necrosis. Tegument severity alterations in auranofin-exposed worms (G3, G4, & G5) were concentration-dependent (Fig. 2).

Immunohistochemical staining: Caspase-3 IHC staining of control (G1) showed mild patchy cytoplasmic expression of caspase-3 of the tegumental cells (brown-stained bodies) with a very mild expression in the spermatocytes of the testes. Some worms showed a negative cytoplasmic expression of caspase-3. The tegument of TCBZ- exposed flukes (G2) showed a mild patchy cytoplasmic expression of caspase-3 and mild expression in the spermatocytes of the testis. All F. gigantica worms exposed to low auranofin concentrations (G3) showed a moderate patchy cytoplasmic expression of caspase-3 and moderate expression in spermatocytes of the testis. At higher auranofin concentration (5µg/ml), there was a strong patchy expression of caspase-3 in the cytoplasm in the ductal cells. At 10µg/mL auranofin, there was a strong diffuse expression of caspase-3 in both cytoplasm and ductal cells (Fig. 3). Only higher concentrations of the auranofin (5 & 10µg/ml) induced significant increases in caspase-3 expression H-scores when compared to control (GI) (p3 & p4: 0.008, respectively) and the TCBZ (G2) (p6 & *p*7:0.009, respectively).

SEM: Control (G1) exhibited normal surface morphology, both ventrally and dorsally. Tegument was covered with uniformly distributed, tightly packed, posteriorly directed, broad, and serrated tegumental fungiform spines. The apical cone spines showed finger-like protrusions at their tips. Oral and ventral suckers were smooth with normal tegumental infoldings with intact and sharply pointed spines. Regarding TCBZ-exposed flukes (G2), oral and ventral suckers were smooth but surrounded by swollen tegumental in-folding. There were disrupted spines in some regions. However, some of the spines showed distortions of the upper surfaces. Some areas showed swelling and furrowing of the tegument. All F. gigantica worms exposed to auranofin different concentrations G3, G4, & G5) showed obvious damages everywhere. At an auranofin concentration of 3µg/ml, tegument was severely swollen. A distorted ventral sucker was seen. Some spines were swollen with a bullous appearance. Others were dislodged from their sockets leaving pits. Exposure to 5µg/ml of auranofin caused swelling of oral and ventral suckers, extensive tegumental damage with complete loss of the spines in some areas. Moreover, other regions appeared sunken in swollen tegument with blebbing. Exposure to 10µg/ml of auranofin resulted in a marked disruption of tegumental surface with appeaarance of deep furrows, extensive spine loss on the ventral surface of oral cone with loss of finger-like spines, and sloughing damaged tegument with a widening of anterior ventral sucker's ring (Fig. 4).

TEM: Control (G1) exhibited a normal tegumental syncytium, intact apical plasma membrane, and normal tightly close basal in-folds. Secretory bodies T1 & T2 were normal in shape and numbers of muscle layers beneath the basal lamina were intact. Adult Fasciola worms incubated with TCBZ (G2) showed areas with a normal tegumental syncytium and other areas showed swelling of the tegumental cells with accumulation and swelling of T1 secretory bodies. Basal lamina was swollen with swollen basal infolds. The muscle layers were surrounded by large spaces. Some mitochondria were swollen and rounded. Adults exposed to different concentrations of auranofin (G3, G4, & G5) showed swollen basal in folds with swollen mucopolysaccharide masses in between, swollen rounded mitochondria, numerous T1 & T2 secretory bodies, and electron-lucent vacuoles in syncytium below apical plasma membrane. At higher auranofin concentrations (5 & 10µg/ml), there were severe swelling and disintegration of basal in-folds, small blebs projecting from tegumental surface, some separated blebs, and multiple large autophagic vacuoles filling tegumental syncytium (Fig. 5).

Glutathione S-transferase: Exposure to auranofin initially stimulated activity of detoxifying enzyme; GST at low concentration (G3) and inhibited at higher concentrations (G4 & G5). Auranofin at a concentration of  $3\mu$ g/ml (G3) caused a non-significant increase in GST activity when compared to control (G1) (*p*2: 0.076). Higher auranofin concentrations (G4 & G5), induced a significant decrease in enzyme activity when compared to control (G1) (*p*3 and *p*4: 0.009, respectively)) and to TCBZ (G2) (*p*6 & *p*7: 0.009, respectively).

SOD activity increased significantly in higher concentrations of auranofin (G4 & G5) when compared to control (G1) (p3 & p4: 0.009, respectively) and when compared to TCBZ-exposed worms (G2) (p6 & p7: 0.009, respectively).

Cathepsin-L gene expression by RT-PCR showed a significant inhibition of cathepsin-L gene expression in a concentration dependent manner in auranofin-exposed worms. All concentrations (G3, G4 & G5) were reduced significant when compared to control (G1) (p2&p3:0.009 & p4:0.008, respective-ly) and to TCBZ-exposed worms (G2) (p5 & p6: 0.009 & p7: 0.008, respectively).

Details were given in tables (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6) and figures (1, 2, 3, 4, & 5).

	Mean motility/hours						
Studied groups	¹∕₂ hour	1 hour	1.5 hours	2 hours	2.5 hours	3 hours	
G1 (Control)	$2.66 \pm 0.48$	$2.66 \pm 0.48$	$2.60 \pm 0.50$	2.33±1.04	2.20±1.21	2.13±1.18	
% of decrease		0 %	2.20 %	12.4 %	17.3 %	19.9 %	
G2 (TCBZ-exposed)	$2.66 \pm 0.48$	2.53±0.83	2.20±1.21	2.13±1.18	1.93±1.33	1.60±1.29	
% of decrease		4.88 %	17.3	19.9 %	27.4 %	39.8 %	
G3 (Aur 3 µg/mL)	2.13±1.24	$1.40{\pm}1.24$	1.13±1.18	$0.40 \pm 0.82$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	
% of decrease		34.3 %	46.9 %	81.2 %	100 %	100 %	
G4 (Aur 5 µg/mL)	$1.60 \pm 1.29$	0.46±0.63	0.13±0.35	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	
% of decrease		71.2 %	91.8 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	
G5 (Aur 10 µg/mL)	$1.40{\pm}1.24$	0.27±0.45	$0.06 \pm 0.25$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
% of decrease		80.7 %	95.7 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	

Table 1: Mean worm motility score over the incubation time among the studied groups

\* Significant, \*\*Highly significant, K: Kruskal Wallis test (K1: 1.89, p1: 0.863; K2: 7.06, p2: 0.216; K3: 39.9, p3: <0.001\*\*; K4: 38.4, p4: <0.001\*\*; K5: 39.9, p5: <0.001\*\*)

	Duration of incubation							
Studied groups	Half an hour		One hour		Two hours		Three hours	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
G1 (Control)	27	90.0	18	60.0	15	50.0	12	40.0
G2 (TCBZ-exposed)	21	70.0	11	36.7	10	33.3	8	26.7
G3 (Aur 3 µg/mL)	15	50.0	9	30.0	8	26.7	5	16.7
G4 (Aur 5 μg/mL)	14	46.7	7	23.3	5	16.7	4	13.3
G5 (Aur 10 µg/mL)	12	40.0	4	13.3	4	13.3	3	10.0
$X^2$	20.83		16.67		12.76		10.57	
<i>p</i> . value	0.0	01*	0.0	02*	0.0	12*	0.0	32*

Table 2: Comparison between the studied groups regarding egg hatching

 $X^2$  Chi-square, p value for each group ( $X^2$ 1: 17.5, p1: 0.001\*;  $X^2$ 2: 13.85, p2: 0.003\*;  $X^2$ 3: 8.25, p3: 0.041\*;  $X^2$ 4: 10.84, p4: 0.013\*;  $X^2$ 5: 11.35, p5: 0.010\*)

Table 3: Comparison between groups regarding H-score of caspase-3 immunohistochemical study

Studied groups	H-score		% of increase	K
(n = 5)	Mean ±SD	Range		
G1 (Control)	10.0±17.3	0 - 40		
G2 (TCBZ-exposed)	74.0±42.1	0 - 100	6.40%	
G3 (Aur 3 µg/mL)	84.0±58.5	0 - 140	7.40%	19.9
G4 (Aur 5 μg/mL)	186.0±43.3	140 - 230	17.6%	
G5 (Aur 10 µg/mL)	228.0±52.1	150 - 270	21.8%	

Post hoc test *p*-values: *p*1: Comparison of G1 Vs G2 (0.052); *p*2: G1 Vs G3 (0.052); *p*3: G1 Vs G4 (0.008\*\*); *p*4: G1 Vs G5 (0.008\*\*); *p*5: G2 Vs G3 (0.525); *p*6: G2 Vs G4 (0.009\*\*); *p*7: G2 Vs G5 (0.009\*\*); *p*8: G3 Vs G4 (0.015\*); *p*9: G3 Vs G5 (0.009\*\*); *p*10: G4 Vs G5 (0.140)

Table 4: Comparison	between groups rega	rding Glutathione	S-transferase	activity
1		0		

	1 0 1	5 5	5	
Studied groups	Glutathione	% of decrease	K	
(n = 5)	Mean ±SD	Range		
G1 (Control)	1927.8±484.8	1614.1 - 2775.4		
G2 (TCBZ-exposed)	1445.2±161.1	1265.4 - 1625.1	25%	
G3 (Aur 3 µg/mL)	2401.9±593.8	1912.3 - 3388.4		
G4 (Aur 5 µg/mL)	976.1±151.0	807.0 - 1209.5	49.4%	21.1
G5 (Aur 10 µg/mL)	930.7±664.4	762.0 - 1100.3	51.7%	

Post hoc test *p*-values: *p*1(0.016\*); *p*2: (0.076); *p*3 (0.009\*\*); *p*4 (0.009\*\*); *p*5 (0.009\*\*); *p*6 (0.009\*\*); *p*7 (0.009\*\*); *p*8 (0.009\*\*); *p*9 (0.009\*\*); *p*10 (0.754)

Table 5: Comparison between the studied groups regarding superoxide dismutase activity

Studied groups	SOD		% of	K
(n = 5)	Mean ±SD	Range	increase	
G1 (Control)	0.09±0.01	0.08 - 0.11		
G2 (TCBZ-exposed)	0.12±0.02	0.11 - 0.15	33.3%	10.0
G3 (Aur 3 µg/mL)	0.11±0.04	0.08 - 0.19	22.2%	18.9
G4 (Aur 5 µg/mL)	0.19±0.02	0.17 - 0.25	111.1%	
G5 (Aur 10 µg/mL)	0.24±0.01	0.23 - 0.28	166.6%	

Post hoc test *p*-values: *p*1 (0.036\*); *p*2 (0.338); *p*3 (0.009\*\*); *p*4 (0.009\*\*); *p*5 (0.341); *p*6 (0.009\*\*); *p*7 (0.009\*\*); *p*8 (0.028\*); *p*9 (0.009\*\*); *p*10 (0.036\*)

Table 6: Comparison between groups regarding Cathepsin–L gene express	ion
---	-----

Studied groups	RT	RT-PCR		K
(n = 5)	Mean ±SD	Range		
G1 (Control)	17.6±0.80	16.4 - 18.5		
G2 (TCBZ-exposed)	15.7±0.41	14.2 - 16.7	10.8%	
G3 (Aur 3 µg/mL)	11.3±1.56	10.1 –14.1	35.8%	22.9
G4 (Aur 5 μg/mL)	8.73±1.85	5.59-10.0	50.4%	
G5 (Aur 10 $\mu$ g/mL)	1.94 + 2.66	0.00 - 5.11	88.9%	

Post hoc test *p*-values: p1 (0.016\*); p2 (0.009\*\*); p3 (0.009\*\*); p4 (0.008\*\*); p5 (0.009\*\*); p6 (0.009\*\*); p7 (0.008\*\*); p8 (0.009\*\*); p9 (0.008\*\*); p10 (0.008\*\*)

#### Discussion

Fascioliasis is more common in animals, but also zoonotic worldwide parasite exceeded two million. It causes serious economic loss, with public health consequences

#### (Yamson et al, 2019).

In the current study, auranofin caused a significant reduction in worm motility compared to the control worms. After 2.5 hours and at the end of the experiment (3 hours), auranofin showed a mortality rate of 100% even at the lowest concentration. The antiparasitic activity of auranofin was directed against the critical cellular enzyme TrxR resulting in its inhibition and subsequent disruption of the cellular redox state of the parasite (Fan et al, 2014) and increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) intracellularly resulting in DNA damage and death of the parasite (Pessetto et al, 2013). These results were in accordance with many researchers who studied the effects of auranofin on several parasitic species including Echinococcus granulosus (Bonilla et al, 2008), P. falciparum (Sannella et al., 2008), Schistosoma mansoni (Caroli et al, 2011), L. major (Ilari et al, 2012), Entamoeba histolytica (Debnath et al, 2013), Giardia lamblia (Tejman-Yarden et al, 2013), Toxoplasmsa gondii (Andrade et al, 2014), Leishmania infantum (Sharlow et al, 2014), and Naegleria fowlerias (Peroutka-Bigus and Bellaire, 2019) who reported a significant, dose-dependent reduction in the metabolic activity and viability of the parasite.

In the present study, egg hatchability, exposure to auranofin caused time and dosedependent reduction in percentage of the hatched Fasciola eggs with a significant difference between all groups at all incubation periods. The highest reduction of egg hatching was seen in the higher concentrations of auranofin (5 &10µg/ml) after 3 hours of incubation (13.3% & 10%, respectively). But, some eggs detected in culture media were deformed, disintegrated and others were collapsed. These effects of auranofin on Fasciola eggs could be attributed to the induction of apoptotic changes in spermatocytes of the testes of the exposed worms as revealed in histopathological examination. Lakshmi and Sudhakar (2010) reported antioxidant inhibitory effects on eggs (ovicidal effects) using methanolic extract of ginger (Zingiber officinale) on F. hepatica eggs, Also, Hegazi et al. (2018) and El Shenawany et al. (2019) who confirmed the ovicidal effects of Moringao leifera aqueous extract on F. gigantica eggs.

In the present study, H&E histological examination, TCBZ-exposed flukes showed tegumental swelling with the appearance of numerous vacuoles in the tegument syncytium and mild apoptosis in spermatocytes in the testis. This agreed with Hanna (2015) who showed degenerative changes in *Fasciola* testis as multiple pyknotic nuclei, eosinophilic cytoplasm with rounded profiles of the spermatocytes suggesting apoptosis.

In the present study, Auranofin exposure of adults by different concentrations showed tegumental swelling, vacuolations with severe apoptosis in tegument syncytium. At the highest auranofin concentration of 10µg/ ml, there was complete separation of a large number of spines and severe necrosis of the tegument. Also, Sharlow *et al.* (2014) found that anti-*Leishmania* activity of auranofin induced apoptosis of promastigote.

In the present study, caspase-3 activity was assessed as being a marker of apoptosis. It was found that TCBZ-exposed adults F. gigantica showed a weak patchy cytoplasmic expression of caspase-3 in the form of brown staining bodies in the cytoplasm and the spermatocytes of the testis. This agreed with Hanna (2015) who reported positive labeling of caspase-3 with the TUNEL method. This proved the occurrence of endonuclease-induced DNA breakdown which is a marker of apoptosis. Regarding auranofin, exposure of adult Fasciola to different concentrations resulted in moderate patchy expression of caspase-3 (brown staining bodies) in the cytoplasm and the spermatocyte of the testis. At the highest auranofin concentration of 10µg/ml, there was a strong caspase-3 expression in the testis and ductal cells. This agreed with Sharlow et al. (2014) who found that auranofin possesses an apoptotic activity in the culture of Leishmania promastigotes.

No doubt, the tegument of trematodes has an important defensive role in the protection of the parasite and also performs an interface between the parasite and the host. It is considered a primary drug targeting site (Halton, 2004). SEM has a beneficial application to study and evaluate surface tegumental changes after exposure to anthelmintic agents (Fairweather and Boray, 1999).

In the present study, the effects of auranofin on adult F. gigantica were assessed by SEM and TEM. Regarding the SEM results, adult worms exposed to different concentrations of auranofin showed great damage in the form of swollen tegument with bullous appearance and disturbed ventral sucker in addition to dislodgement of some spines from their sockets leaving pits. At the highest concentration of 10µg/ml, extensive spine loss occurred on the ventral surface of the oral cone with loss of finger-like appearance of the spine and sloughing with the appearance of furrows in some areas of the tegument and widening of anterior ventral sucker's ring. The results of tegumental deformity after auranofin exposure coincide with those of Tejman-Yarden et al. (2013) who tested the in vitro effects of auranofin on Giardia lamblia using phase-contrast microscopy. They observed that auranofin-exposed trophozoite had a distorted shape with numerous blebs extending from the cell membrane and slowing of flagellar beating. Auranofin also induced ultrastructure changes in adult worms of Brugia pahangi and Onchocercus ochengi. The hypodermal area of the exposed worms was highly vacuolated with remnants of swollen mitochondria containing dark bodies (Bulman et al, 2015).

It is known that targeting the antioxidant enzyme system of helminths renders them more vulnerable to the reactive oxygen radicals generated by the host, hence facilitate their elimination. The activity of GST; the major detoxification enzyme in all helminthes was assessed in this work. Auranofin at the low concentration caused a nonsignificant increase in GST activity when compared to control group. At the higher auranofin concentrations, it induced a significant decrease in GST activity when compared to both control and TCBZ groups. This effect of the initial increase of GST in low-concentration exposure and subsequent increase in high-concentration exposure was typically recorded by Ullah *et al.* (2017) who recorded the same results by exposing adult *F. gigantica* to curcumin.They stated that this initial increase of GST at the low concentration of treatment could be possibly because of the parasite protective responses that could not be maintained by the adult *Fasciola* worms at the higher treatment concentrations.

In the current study, the SOD activity was also assessed. Auranofin in higher concentrations significantly stimulated SOD levels in Fasciola worms when compared to both control and TCBZ-exposed groups. The increase of SOD activity by auranofin reflects increased oxidative stress. Oxidative stress and generated reactive oxygen species cause oxidative DNA damage in flukes (Slupphaug et al., 2003). Similarly, enhancing SOD enzyme activity was obtained after exposing the adult filarial worm to curcumin (Nayak et al, 2012). This agreed with Morawietz et al. (2020) who assessed the fasciolicidal activity of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor; imatinib, they recorded an up-regulation of SOD genes and contributed this effect to the anthelmintic activity of imatinib.

Considering the essential involvement of cathepsins in the virulence of Fasciola worms, the effect of auranofin on cathepsin-L gene expression of F. gigantica was investigated in this work. Auranofin induced a significant inhibition of the cathepsin-L gene expression in a concentration-dependent manner. This clearly indicated the effect of auranofin in the reduction of virulence of F. gigantica mainly by interfering with the invasive capacity resulting from inhibiting the cysteine protease activity as cathepsin-L gene silencing studies revealed the loss of invasive potential of the worms (McGonigle et al, 2008). Similar results were obtained by exposing adult Fasciola to thymoquinone caused a significant cathepsin-L gene expression inhibition (Ullah et al, 2017).

#### Conclusion

Auranofinwhich targeted the TrxR enzyme and playeds a central role in controlling the intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species was compared with TCBZ against adult *F. gigantica in vitro*. Auranofin significantly inhibited worm motility, decreased egg hatchability, caused apoptosis and disruption of the tegumental surface, marked ultrastructure changes in tegument and testis. It also suppressed free radical scavenging ability and detoxification of worms, and inhibited potential invasive ability by suppressing cathepsin-L gene expression. Thus, Auranofin is a promising fasciolicidal drug.

#### References

AbdelKhalek, A, Abutaleb, NS, Elmagarmid, KA, Seleem, MN, 2018: Repurposing auranofin as an intestinal decolonizing agent for vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Sci. Rep.8: 8353. Doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-26674-0

Abo-Madyan, AA, Morsy, TA, Motawea, SM, Morsy, ATA, 2004: Clinical trial of Mirazid<sup>®</sup> in treatment of human fascioliasis in Ezbet El-Bakly (Tamyia Center) Al-Fa-youm Governorate. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasit-ol. 34, 3:807-18.

Andrade, RM, Chaparro, JD, Capparelli, E, Reed, SL, 2014: Auranofin is highly efficacious against *Toxoplasma gondii in vitro* and in an *in vivo* experimental model of acute toxoplasmosis. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 8,7: e2973. Doi: 10.1371 journal.pntd.0002973

Ashrafi, K, 2015: The status of human and animal fascioliasis in Iran: A narrative review article. Iran. J. Parasitol. 10, 3:306-28.

Bonilla, M, Denicola, A, Novoselov, SV, Turanov, A, Protasio, *et al*, 2008: Platyhelminth mitochondrial and cytosolic redox homeostasis is controlled by a single thioredoxin glutathione reductase and dependent on selenium and glutathione. J. Biol. Chem. 283:17898-907.

Bulman, C, Bidlow, C, Lustigman, S, Cho Ngwa, F, Williams, D, *et al*, 2015: Repurposing Auranofin as a Lead Candidate for Treatment of Lymphatic Filariasis and Onchocerciasis. PLoS

Negl. Trop. Dis.9:e0003534.10.1371/journal.pn-td. 0003534.

Capparelli, EV, Bricker-Ford, R, Rogers, MJ, McKerrow, JH, and Reed, SL, 2016: Phase I clinical trial results of auranofin, a novel antiparasitic agent. Antimicrob. Agents chemotherp. 61, 1:e01947-16.

Carleton, HM, Drury, RAB, Wallington, EA, 1980: Carleton's Histological Technique. Oxford University Press, USA.

Caroli, A, Simeoni, S, Lepore, R, Tramontano, A, Via, A, 2011: Investigation of a potential mecha-nism for the inhibition of Sm TGR by auranofin and its implications for *Plasmodium falciparum* inhibition. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 417, 1:576-81.

da Silva, M, Silva-Jardim, I, Portapilla, G, Lima, G, Costa, F, *et al*, 2015: *In vivo* and *in vitro* auranofin activity against *Trypanosoma cr-uzi*: Possible new uses for an old drug. Exp. Parasitol. 166:189-93.

**Debnath, A, Ndao, M, Reed, SL, 2013:** Reprofiled drug targets ancient protozoans: drug discovery for parasitic diarrheal diseases. Gut Microbes 4, 1:66-71.

**Diab, TM, Mansour, HH, Mahmoud, SS, 2010:** *Fasciola gigantica*: Parasitological and scanning electron microscopy study of the *invitro* effects of ivermectin and/or artemether. Exp. Parasitol. 124:279-84.

El Shanawany, EE, Fouad, EA, Keshta, HG, Hassan, SE, Hegazi, AG, *et al*, 2019: Immunomodulatory effects of *Moringa oleifera* leaves aqueous extract in sheep naturally co-infected with *Fasciola gigantica* and *Clostridium novyi*. *yi*. J. Parasit. Dis. 43, 4:583-91.

**Fairweather, I, 2009:** Triclabendazole progress report, 2005–2009: An advancement of learning? J. Helminthol. 83:139-50.

**Fairweather, I, Boray, JC, 1999:** Fasciolicides: Efficacy, actions, resistance and its management. Vet. J. 158:81-112.

Fan, C, Zheng, W, Fu, X, Li, X, Wong, YS, *et al*, 2014: Enhancement of auranofin-induced lung cancer cell apoptosis by selenocystine, a natural inhibitor of TrxR1 *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Cell Death Dis. 5:e1191.DOI: 10.1038/cddis. 2014.132.

**Githiori, B, Athanasiadou, S, Thamsborg, M, 2006:** Use of plants in novel approaches for control of gastrointestinal helminths in livestock with emphasis on small ruminants. Vet. Parasitol. 139:308-20.

González-Miguel, J, Valero, M, Reguera, M, Mas-Bargues, C, Bargues, M, *et al*, 2019: Numerous *Fasciola* plasminogen-binding proteins may underlie blood-brain barrier leakage and explain neurological disorder complexity and heterogeneity in the acute and chronic phases of human fascioliasis. Parasitol. 146:284-98.

Habig, WH, Pabst, MJ, Jacoby, WB, 1974: Glutathione S-transferases: The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. J. Biol. Chem. 249:7130-9.

Halton, DW, 2004: Microscopy and the helm-inth parasite. Micron 35:361-90.

Hanna, R, 2015: *Fasciola hepatica*: Histology of the reproductive organs and differential effects of triclabendazole on drug-sensitive and drug-resistant fluke isolates and on flukes from selected field cases. Pathogens 4, 3:431-56.

Hanna, R, Cromie, L, Taylor, S, Couper, A, 2006: The effect of a parenteral ivermectin/ closantel combination on the growth and reproductive development of immature *Fasciola hepatica* in cattle. Vet. Parasitol. 142:78-90.

Hegazi, A, Abdel Megeed, K, Hassan, S, Abdelaziz, M, Toaleb, N, *et al*, 2018: Comparative ovicidal activity of *Moringao leifera* leaf extracts on *Fasciola gigantica* eggs. Vet. World DOI: 10.14202/ vetworld.215-20.

Ilari, A, Baiocco, P, Messori, L, Fiorillo, A, Boffi, A, *et al*, 2012: A gold containing drug against parasitic polyamine metabolism: The X-ray structure of trypanothione reductase from *Leishmania infantum* in complex with auranofin reveals a dual mechanism of enzyme inhibition. Amino Acids 42, 2/3:803-11.

**Jiraungkoorskul, W, Sahaphong, S, Tansatit, T, Kangwanragsan, N, Pipatshukiat, S, 2005**: *Eurytremapan creaticum*: The *in vitro* effect of praziquantel and triclabendazole on the adult fluke. Exp. Parasitol. 111, 3:172-7.

Lakshmi, BVS, Sudhakar, M, 2010: Attenuation of acute and chronic restraint stress-induced perturbations in experimental animals by *Zingiber officinale* Roscoe. Food Chem. Toxicol. 48: 530-5.

Machicado, C, Marcos, LA, 2016: Carcinogenesis associated with parasites other than *Schistosoma*, *Opisthorchis* and *Clonorchis*: A systematic review. Int. J. Cancer138, 12:2915-21.

**Marklund, SL, Marklund, G, 1974:** Involvement of the superoxide anion radical in the autoxidation of pyrogallol and a convenient assay for superoxide dismutase. Eur. J. Biochem., 47: 469. DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1974.tb03714.x.

Marques, LT, Guedes, RA, Rodrigues, WD, Archanjo, AB, Severi, JA, *et al*, 2020: Chemical composition of various plant extracts and their *in vitro* efficacy in control of *Fasciola hepatica* eggs. Ciência Rural 50, 5: e20190363. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20190363

Mas-Coma, S, Bargues, M, Valero, M, 2005: Fascioliasis and other plant borne trematode zo-onosis. Inter. J. Parasitol. 35, 3:1255-78.

Mas-Coma, S, Valero, MA, Bargues, MD, 2019: Fascioliasis. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1154: 71-103.

McGonigle, L, Mousley, A, Marks, NJ, Brennan, GP, Dalton, JP, *et al*, 2008: The silencing of cysteine proteases in *Fasciola hepatica* newly excysted juveniles using RNA interference reduces gut penetration. Int. J. Parasitol. 38:149-55.

Merachew, W, Alemneh, T, 2020: Review on triclabendazole resistance in *Fasciola*. J. Vet. Sci. Med. 8, 1: 1-8.

Morawietz, CM, Houhou, H, Puckelwaldt, O, Hehr, L, Dreisbach, D, *et al*, 2020: Targeting kinases in *Fasciola hepatica*: Anthelminthic effects and tissue distribution of selected kinase inhibitors. Front. Vet. Sci. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389 /</u> fvets.2020.611270.

Nassef, NE, El-Kersh, WM, El Sobky, MM, Harba NM, Khalil, SA, *et al*, 2014: *In-vitro* assessment of the effect of soybean extract on *Fasciola gigantica* infection in comparison with triclabendazole. Menoufia Med. J. 27:93-102.

Nayak, A, Gayen, P, Saini, P, Mukherjee, N, Babu, SP, 2012: Molecular evidence of curcumin-induced apoptosis in the filarial worm *Setaria cervi*. Parasitol. Res. 111, 3:1173-86.

**Peroutka-Bigusa, N, Bellairea, BH, 2019:** Antiparasitic Activity of Auranofin against *Naegleria fowleri*. J. Eukar. Microbiol. 66:684-8.

**Pessetto, ZY, Weir, SJ, Sethi, G, Broward, M A, Godwin, AK, 2013:** Drug repurposing for gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Mol. Canc. Ther. 12, 7:1299-309.

**Roder, C, Thomson, MJ, 2015:** Auranofin: Repurposing an old drug for a golden new age. Drugs RD. 15, 1:13-20.

Rokni, MB, Bozorgomid, A, Heydarian, P, Aryaeipour, M, 2018: Molecular evidence of human fasciolosis due to *Fasciola gigantica* in Iran: A case report. Iran. J. Pub. Hlth. 47, 5: 750-4.

Saccoccia, F, Angelucci, F, Boumis, G, Carotti, D, Desiato, G, *et al*, 2014: Thioredoxin reductase and its inhibitors. Curr. Prot. Peptide Sci. 15, 6:621-46.

Sannella, AR, Casini, A, Gabbiani, C, Messori, L, Bilia, AR, *et al*, 2008: New uses for old drugs. Auranofin, a clinically established antiarthritic metallodrug, exhibits potent antimalarial effects *in vitro*: Mech. Pharmacol. Impli. FEBS Lett. 582, 6:844-7.

Sarkari, B, and Khabisi SA, 2017: Immunodiagnosis of Human Fascioliasis: an update of concepts and performances of the serological assays. J. Clin. Diag. Res. 11, 6:OE05-10.

Sarkari, B, Parhoode, M, AbdolahiKhabisi, S, Shafiei, R, Mohammadi-ghalehbin, B, 2017: Genetic diversity of *Fasciola* spp. isolates from northern part of Iran: Comparison with southwestern isolates. J. Parasit. Dis. 41, 2:768-72.

Shareef, PA, Brennan, G, Mcveigh, Khan, M A, Morphew, R, *et al*, 2014: Time-dependent tegumental surface changes in juvenile *Fasciola gigantica* in response to triclabendazole treatment in goat. Acta Trop. 136:108-17.

Sharlow, E, Leimgruber, S, Murray, S, Lira, A, Sciotti, R, *et al*, 2014: Auranofin is an apoptosis-simulating agent with *in vitro* and *in vivo* anti-leishmanial activity. ACS Chem. Biol. 9, 3: 663-72.

**Slupphaug, G, Kavli, B, Krokan, H, 2003:** Slupphaug G, Kavli B, Krokan HE: The interacting pathways for prevention and repair of oxidative DNA damage. Mutat. Res. 531:231-51.

Smyth, JF, Gourley, C, Walker, G, MacKean, MJ, Stevenson, A, *et al*, 2007: Antiestrogen therapy is active in selected ovarian cancer cas-

es: the use of letrozole in estrogen receptorpositive patients. Clin. Canc. Res. 13,12:3617-22.

**Soliman, M, Taha, H, 2011:** Tegumental alterations of *Fasciola gigantica* due to *in vitro* treatment with Ro-354. Trop. Biomed. 28:283-92.

Song, L, Li, J, Xie, S, Qian, C, Wang J, *et al*, 2012: Thioredoxin glutathione reductase as a novel drug target: Evidence from *Schistosoma japonicum*. PloS One 7:e31456.https://doi.org/ 10. 1371/journal.pone.0031456.

Taghipour, A, Zaki, L, Rostami, A, Foroutan, M, Ghaffarifar, F, 2019: Highlights of human ectopic fascioliasis: A systematic review. Infect. Dis. 51, 11/12:785-92.

Tejman-Yarden, N, Miyamoto, Y, Leitsch, D, Santini, J, Debnath, A, *et al*, 2013: A reprofiled drug, auranofin, is effective against metronidazole-resistant *Giardia lamblia*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57, 5:2029-35.

Ullah, R, Rehman, A, Zafeer, M F, Rehman, L, Khan, YA, 2017: Anthelmintic Potential of Thymoquinone and Curcumin on *Fasciola gigantica*.PLoS One. 2:1-19.

r of ox-31-51. **Yamson, EC, Tubalinal, GA, Viloria1, VV, Mingala, CN, 2019:** Anthelmintic effect of betel nut (*Areca catechu*) and neem (*Azadira chtaindica*) extract against liver fluke (*Fasciola* spp.). J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res. 6, 1:44-9. **Explanation of figures** 

Fig. 1: Mean worm motility over incubation time among groups.

Fig. 2: H &E stained cut sections of adult *F. gigantica*: (a&b): Adult from control (G1) showed intact tegument (T), spine (s), muscular layer (m), and parenchyma (p) (a:×200,b: ×400). (c&d): Adult exposed to TCBZ (G2) showed numerous vacuoles (red arrows), mild apoptosis (yellow circles), dislodged spines (yellow arrow), and a swollen tegument (green arrow). (c:×400, d:×200). (e&f): Adult from G3 (Aur  $3\mu$ g/mL) showed vacuolations in tegument syncytium (red arrows) and severe apoptosis (yellow circle) (×400). (g&h): Adult from G4 (Aur  $5\mu$ g/mL) showed severe vacuolations in tegument syncytium (red arrows) with sloughing and detachment (yellow arrows) and severe apoptosis (yellow circles) (g:×200, h:×400). (i&j): Adult from G5 (Aur  $10\mu$ g/mL) showed numerous vacuolations in tegument syncytium (red arrows), severe apoptosis (yellow circles), and necrosis (blue circles) (i: ×200, j:×400).

Fig. 3: Caspase-3 immunohistochemically stained cut sections of adult *F. gigantica*: (a): Adult from control (G1) showed mild patchy cytoplasmic expression of caspase -3 in tegumental cells (red arrows) ( $\times$ 200). (b): Adult from G1 showed negative expression in testes spermatocytes (red arrows) ( $\times$ 200). (c): Adult from TCBZ-exposed (G2) showed mild patchy cytoplasmic expression in tegumental cells (red arrows) ( $\times$ 400). (d): Adult from G2 showed mild caspase-3 expression in testes spermatocytes (red arrows) ( $\times$ 400). (e): Adult from G4 (Aur 5µg/mL) showed strong patchy cytoplasmic expression in tegumental cells (red arrows) ( $\times$ 200). (f): Adult from G5 (Aur 10µg/mL) showed strong diffuse cytoplasmic expression in tegumental and ductal cells ( $\times$ 200).

Fig. 4: SEM of adult  $\overline{F}$ . gigantica: (a): A control (G1) showed smooth oral sucker (OS) and ventral sucker (VS), intact uniformly distributed tegumental spines (s) with ridged tegument (arrows) ( $\times$ 50). (b): A high power SEM of spines (s) on an apical cone of a control adult worm (G1) showed finger-like protrusions at tips (arrow) ( $\times$ 1000). (c): A SEM of a TCBZ-exposed adult from (G2) showed smooth oral sucker (OS) surrounded by sensory papillae (b), moderately swollen tegumental infoldings (a), disrupted spines in some regions in apical part (s) arrows ( $\times$ 150). (d): A high power of apical cone of adult from G2 showed swelling (a) and furrowing (b) of tegument ( $\times$ 1000). (e): A SEM of a uranofin-exposed worm (G3) showed severe tegumental swelling (a,b) around ventral sucker (VS) with dislodgement of some spines from their sockets leaving pits (c) ( $\times$ 200). (f): A high-power of spines on apical cone of auranofin-exposed worm (G3) showed severe tegumental swelling (a), swollen spines with bulbous appearance (s), and some blebs in tegument (c) ( $\times$ 1000).

Fig. 5:TEM of adult *F. gigantica*: (a): Adult from control (G1) showed a full depth of tegumental syncytium, from apical plasma membrane (APM) to basal lamina (BL), normal and tightly closed basal infolds (BI), normal muscle layer (Mu) beneath basal lamina, and normal numbers of secretory bodies (T1 & T2). (b): Adult from TCBZ-exposed (G2) showed basal lamina with swollen basal infolds (BL), normal muscle layer (Mu) surrounded by large spaces (arrow). (c): Adult from G2 showed accumulated swollen type-1 secretory bodies (T1) within a cell with a normal and round nucleus (N) and swollen rounded mitochondria (M). (d): Adult from G3 (Aur 3µg/mL) showed electron-lucent vacuoles (arrows a) in cell syncytium just below apical plasma membrane (APM) apex and swelling of mucopolysaccharide masses (arrow b). (e): Adult from G4 (Aur 5µg/mL) showed large electron-lucent vacuoles (Vc) in cell syncytium just below apex of apical plasma membrane (APM) and numerous large autophagic vacuoles (AV). (h): Adult from G5 (Aur 10µg/mL) showed large tegumental defect (D) and separated





