J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. (JESP), 46(1), 2016: 209-216

STUDIES ON AEDES AEGYPTI RESISTANCE TO SOME INSECTICIDES IN THE JAZAN DISTRICT, SAUDI ARABIA BV

ADEL A. ALSHEIKH^{1*}, W. S. MOHAMMED¹, E. M. NOURELDIN^{1*}, O. M. DAFFALLA¹, Y. A. SHRWANI¹, K.J. HOBANI¹, F. A. ALSHEIKH², M. H. ALZAHRANI³ AND A. A. BINSAEED⁴

National Center for Vector-Borne Diseases, Jazan 45142¹, College of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Jazan University²; Malaria Program Director³, and Minister Deputy for Public Health⁴, MOH, Riyadh^{3,4}, Saudi Arabia.

(*Correspondence: adelalsheikh@gmail.com and siddignoureldin@hotmail.com) **Abstract**

The present study provided information on the susceptibility status of the adult and larvae of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in Jazan region of Saudi Arabia. Bioassay tests were performed on adults and larvae by using WHO recommended concentrations and test kits. Adults of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes were exposed to test papers impregnated with Lambda-cyhalothrin (0.05%), Cyfluthrin (0.15%), Deltamethrin (0.05%), Permethrin (0.75%), Fenitrothion (1%), Bendiocarb (0.1%) and DDT (4%) insecticides. *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes were found to be susceptible only to Cyfluthrin; (mortality rate was 100 %), whereas variable resistances were observed from the rest of the other insecticides tested (mortality rates ranged between 93.6 and 17%). Larvae were subjected to different concentrations of Diflubenzuron, Methoprene (IGRs) and Temephos (Organophosphate). Adult emergence inhibition (IE₅₀ & IE₉₅) values for the IGRs and the (LC₅₀ & LC₉₅) for Temephos were determined by log-probit regression analysis. *Ae. aegypti* larvae were resistant to Temephos (LC₅₀ 61.8 - LC₉₅ 35600.1 mg/l) and showed high susceptibility to Methoprene than Diflubenzuron (IE₅₀ 0.49 - IE₉₅ 10.9 mg/l) and (IE₅₀ 0.86 and IE₉₅ 93.8 mg/l), respectively. Larvae were more susceptible to Methoprene than Diflubenzuron by 1.8 folds.

Key words: Jazan Region, Saudi Arabia, Insecticides, Resistance, *Aedes aegypti*.

Introduction

Dengue virus (DENV, Flaviviridae, Flavivirus) and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV, Togaviridae, Alphavirus) are the most significant human viral pathogen spread by the bite of an infected mosquito in most tropical regions. The annual reported cases are estimated to be 50-100 million, including 500,000 severe cases of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) or dengue shock syndrome (WHO, 2009). DENV are the most prevalent mosquito-borne human virus worldwide (Gubler, 2002). Globally, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* are the main epidemic vectors of DENV and CHIKV (Kow *et al*, 2001; Peyrefitte *et al*, 2007).

Without vaccine or antiviral therapy currently available, disease prevention relies largely on surveillance and mosquito control by using insecticides.

Pyrethroids are still the mainstay of vector control program (El-Bahnasawy *et al*, 2014a). Nowadays, use of pyrethroid how-

ever, increased and that of organochlorines and some of more toxic organophosphate compounds decreased.

The extensive use of insecticide in agriculture, house spraying and space spraying result in the appearing of insect that can survive and make resistance to insecticides. Appropriate monitoring of vector resistance to insecticides is an integral part of planning and evaluation of insecticide uses in control programs.

Generally, many vector control programs were threatened by the development of insecticide resistance (<u>Adasi and Hemingway</u>, 2008). This is also the case with Ae. aegypti and *Ae. albopictus* (Marcombe *et al*, 2009; Chediak *et al*, 2016). Besides, resistance to multiple insecticides (pyrethroids & organophosphates) was also reported in *Ae. aegypti* (Marcombe *et al*, 2009; Sivan *et al*, 2015).

Sharma et al. (2004) in India studied the susceptibility status in aquatic and adult

stages of Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus at International Airports of Thiruvananthapuram and Cochin located in southern India using WHO standard test kits to conduct insecticide susceptibility tests against various organophosphates, organochlorines, carbamates and synthetic pyrethroids. They found that adult Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus were resistant to DDT and dieldrin, but susceptible to propoxur, fenitrothion, malathion, deltamethrin, permethrin and lambdacyhalothrin. The susceptibility test conducted on immature stages of Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus revealed that they are susceptible to the larvicides commonly used under the National Vector Borne Diseases Control Programme viz. Temephos (0.02 ppm), Fenthion (0.05 ppm) Malathion (1.0 ppm) and Fenitrothion (0.06 ppm).

Kushwah et al. (2015a) stated that control of Ae.aegypti, the mosquito vector of dengue, chikungunya and yellow fever was a challenging task. Pyrethroid insecticides had emerged as a preferred choice for vector control but are threatened by the emergence of resistance. They reported a focus of pyrethroid resistance and presence of two kdr mutations--F1534C and a novel mutation T1520I, in Ae. aegypti from Delhi, India. They concluded that Ae. aegypti population of Delhi is resistant to DDT, deltamethrin and permethrin. Two kdr mutations, F1534C and a novel mutation T1520I, were identified in this population. This is the first report of kdr mutations being present in the Indian Ae. aegypti population. Highly specific PCR-RFLP assays were developed for discrimination of alleles at both kdr loci. A positive association of F1534C mutation with DDT and deltamethrin resistance was confirmed. Kushwah et al. (2015b) reported insecticide susceptibility status of Ae. albopictus to DDT and pyrethroids in some Indian populations and status of presence of knockdown resistance (kdr) mutations. They concluded that Ae. albopictus developed resistance against DDT and that there was emergence of incipient resistance against pyrethroids in some populations. So far, there was no evidence of presence of knockdown resistance (kdr) mutation in *Ae. albopictus*.

Jirakanjanakit et al. (2007) in Thailand used the diagnostic dose subsequently to evaluate the susceptibility/resistance status in F1 progenies of field-collected samples from Bangkok and allover Thailand. They found that Ae. aegypti of one collection site each in Bangkok, Nakhon Sawan (northcentral), and Nakhon Ratchasrima (northeast) were resistant to temephos, with mortality ranging from 50.5 to 71.4%. Also, there was a trend of resistance to temephos among Ae. aegypti populations of all studied districts. They added that various levels of temephos susceptibility were however, found in Bangkok populations, including resistance and incipient resistance. They added that in Chonburi Province, all were susceptible to temephos with an indication of tolerance in one sample. Besides, Aedes from Songkhla (south), Chiang Rai (north), Kanchanaburi (west), and Chanthaburi (east) remained susceptible to temephos during the sample collecting period. Bioassay tests on Ae. albopictus populations from Nakhon Sawan, Nakorn Ratchasima, Songkhla, and Kanchanaburi showed high susceptibility to temephos. They concluded that although temephos was potentially effective in many areas of the country, a noticeable trend of resistance indicated that alternative vector control methods should be periodically applied.

Bisset *et al.* (2013) in Costa Rica studied the levels of insecticide resistance and metabolic resistance mechanisms involved in two *Ae. aegypti* strains collected from Puntarenas and Limon. Bioassays with larvae were performed according to WHO guidelines and adult resistance was measured by standard bottle assays. Beta-esterases, cytochrome P450 monooxygenases, and glutathione S-transferases (GST), were as-

sayed by synergists and biochemical tests, wherein the threshold criteria for each enzyme using the susceptible Rockefeller strain. The results showed higher resistance levels to organophosphate; temephos and pyrethroid; deltamethrin in larvae. Efficacy of commercial formulations of temephos against Ae. aegypti populations was 100% mortality up to 11 & 12 day post-treatment with daily water replacements in test containers. Temephos and deltamethrin resistance in larvae were associated with high esterase activity, but not to cytochrome P450 monooxygenase or GST activities. Adult mosquitoes were resistant to deltamethrin, and susceptible to bendiocarb, chlorpyrifos, and cypermethrin. Because temephos and deltamethrin resistance are emerging at the studied sites, alternative insecticides should be considered. The insecticides chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin could be good candidates to use as alternatives for Ae. aegypti control. Besides, Ae. aegypti resistance to temephos was reported in the Americas (WHO, 1992), Brazil (Lima et al, 2003; Macoris et al, 2003; Braga et al, 2004), Bolivia and Argentina (Biber et al, 2006), Cuba (Magdalena et al, 2004). and incipient resistance to temephos in Argentina (Seccacini et al, 2007).

In Malaysia, *Aedes* larvae tolerance against temephos was reported and exhibited moderate resistance toward methoprene and low resistance toward pyriproxyfen (Lee and Lime, 1989; Chen *et al*, 2005), but susceptible to diflubenzuron, cyromazine, and novaluron (Lau *et al*, 2015).

During the past two decades, considerable progress in the development of natural and synthetic compounds; as insect growth regulators (IGRs) interfered with the process of growth, development, and metamorphosis of the target mosquitoes. Two types of IGRs are available, one inhibited the larval growth (Methoprene and proxyfen) by the juvenile hormone-like action and known as JH mimics or analogs and the second (Diflubenzuron) interferes with

chitin production leading to moulting disturbances and death.

In Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, the LC₅₀ of five insecticides against Ae. aegypti larvae were evaluated (Alghamdi et al, 2008). The larvae proved to be more susceptible to Lambda-cyhalothrin (0.01 ppm) than Snap (Permethrin 11% + Tetramethrin 1% + Piperonyl butoxide 11%; 0.048ppm) and Bacilod (Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis; 0.3ppm) by about 4.8 and 30 folds, respectively. Moreover, Baycidal (Triflumuron 25WP; 0.0007ppm) proved to be more effective against Ae. aegypti than sumilary (Pyriproxyfen 0.5g; 0.003ppm) by about 4.3 folds. In Makkah City, the Lambdacyhalothrin was the most effective larvicide against Ae. aegypti with LC50 values of 0.007ppm & 0.012ppm for lab and field strains, respectively (Althbiani et al, 2011).

In Jazan Region, non-data on pesticide resistance for *Ae. aegypti* against IGR and chemical insecticides used. In order to implement effective and sustainable arbovirus vector control measures, and there was an urgent need to determine susceptibility of the major vector of dengue, Rift Valley fever and Chikungunya to insecticides commonly used for mosquito control.

The present study aimed to evaluate the insecticide susceptibility for adult and larvae of *Ae. aegypti* populations in Jazan region by using WHOPES-approved procedures (WHO 2005; WHO, 2006).

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in Jazan region which is located in Southwest Saudi Arabia, lies between 16°-12, and 18°-25, N latitude. The Jazan region is situated in the subtropical zone and has an average monthly temperature ranging between 25.8°c in January to 33.4°c in July and average relative humidity ranges between 55% and 72.5%., with an average rain of 77 - 56.7 mm, (August and October), respectively (Al-Sheikh, 2011).

Aedes aegypti larvae were collected from different areas allover Jazan and immediately transported to the insectory of the National Center for Vector-Borne Disease. The larvae were kept under control conditions of 25±2C° and relative humidity; 70-80% with a photo period of 12-hour darkness and 12-hour light. Emerging pupae were transferred to mosquito rearing cages (30x30cm³). The emerging adults were provided with 10% glucose solution supplemented with 1% vitamin B complex soaked in cotton wool.

Females 5 days old were feed on clean laboratory-bred pigeons, three days later, a piece of moist filter paper in a porcelain bowl half-filled was introduced for egg deposition. Eggs from filial generation (F₁) hatched and larvae were reared in plastic trays and fed every other day with a powdered mixture of wheat, yeast and milk (1:1:1). Larvae were used for bioassay testing. Three-days sugar-fed adults from wild larvae after one generation under laboratory conditions were used for bioassay.

Insecticides used were diagnostic dosages (WHO, 1981) obtained from WHO Collaborating Centre in Malaysia. Larvae were tested against 2 insect growth regulators (IGRs); Diflubenzuron (20mg/l, 4mg/l, 0.8 mg/l, 0.16 mg/l & 0.032 mg/l); Methoprene (20 mg/l, 4 mg/l, 0.8 mg/l, 0.16 mg/l & 0.032 mg/l) and Temephos (0.005, 0.025, 0.125 & 0.625 mg/L). Adults were tested against DDT 4%, Fenitrothion 1%, Permethrin 0.75%, Lambdacyhalothrin 0.05%, Deltamethrin 0.05%, Cyfluthrin 0.15%, and Bendiocarb 0.1%.

Larval Bioassay: Twenty 3rd & 4th larvae were placed in labeled Pyrex glass beakers filled with 249ml of distilled water and 1ml of each of Diflubenzuron, Methoprene and Temephos, using five replicates of 20 larvae for each concentration and for control. For Temephos, dead larvae were recorded after 24 hours (Abbott, 1925). While for the two IGRs, the duration of the observation period was that required for complete adult emergence in the control batches.

Inhibition% of adult emergence (IE) was calculated and IE_{50} and IE_{95} values (effective concentration required for 50 and 95% emergence inhibition) were calculated for each of Diflubenzuron and Methoprene, whereas, lethal concentration (LC) was calculated for Temephos (LC₅₀ & LC₉₅).

Adult bioassay: Sugar-fed, 3-5 days oldfemale mosquitoes were tested. A batch of 25 adults was introduced into holding tube before being exposed to the insecticideimpregnated papers. Equal numbers of control tests were also carried out by exposing mosquitoes to insecticides-free papers. The experiment was replicated four times. After the respective period of exposure, all mosquitoes were transferred to new tubes, provided with 10% sugar solution and held for 24 hrs recovery period. Mortality was recorded and resistance status was determine; a population is susceptible if mortality rate is 98-100%, possibility of resistance (80-97%) and resistant (<80%).

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using computerized Probit analysis program (Biostat 5) to determine the LC_{50} & LC_{95} and IE_{50} & IE_{95} in larval bioassay.

Results

The results are shown in tables (1, 2 & 3).

Table 1. Efficacy of Temephos against Ae. aegypti larvae (95% Confidence limit).

Insecticide	Concentration	Larval mortality	LC ₅₀ & LC ₉₅	Lower	Upper
Hisecticide	(mg/l)	(%)	(mg/l)	$LC_{50} (LC_{95})$	$LC_{50} (LC_{95})$
Temephos	0.005- 0.625	0 - 10	61.8 (35600.1)	3.2 (101.9)	8.2 (1.31E+46)

Table 2. Efficacy of Diflubenzuron and Methoprene against Ae, aegypti larvae (95% Confidence limit).

	Insecticides	Concentration	Larval Mortali-	*IE ₅₀ (IE ₉₅)	Lower	Upper	
	(IGRs)	(mg/l)	ty (%)	(mg/l)	IE_{50} (IE_{95})	$IE_{50} (IE_{95})$	
	Diflubenzuron	0.032 - 20	17 - 87.5	0.86 (93.8)	0.48 (30.9)	1.52 (583.1)	
	Methoprene	0.032 - 20	18 - 100	0.49 (10.9)	0.0002 (1.49)	81.9 (7.57)	

*IE = Inhibition of adult Emergence

Table 3: Mortality of adult Aedes aegypti 24 hours after exposure to Deltamethrin, Permethrin, Cyfluthrin,
Lambda-cyhalothrin, Fenitrothion, Bendiocarb, and DDT.

Editional Cytationism, Territorism, Bendiocard, and BBT.						
Insecticide	Concentration	Exposure period	Mosquitoes	Mortality	Resistance	
Hisecticide	(%)	(Hours)	Tested	(%)	status	
Deltamethrin	0.05	1	120	93.3	Possibility	
Permethrin	0.75	1	120	86.8	Possibility	
Cyfluthrin	0.15	1	120	100	Susceptible	
Lambda-cyhalothrin	0.05	1	120	76.5	Resistant	
DDT	4	1	120	56.3	Resistant	
Fenitrothion	1	1	100	93.6	Possibility	
Bendiocarb	0.1	1	100	17	Resistant	

Discussion

No doubt, the development of a new class of synthetic insect growth regulators (IGRs) Benzoylphenylureas (Chitin synthesis inhibitors) was a successful step forward towards non-hazardous and ecosafe Integrated Pest management (IPM) (Tunaz and Uygun, 2004).

In the present study, \larvae high resistance was found to Temephos (LC₅₀= 61.8 mg/l., with best mortality %, but at diagnostic dose of 0.625 mg/l was 10%). Prolonged use of Temephos as a larvicide in Jazan region since 1986 could be a possible reason. Resistance to Temephos was detected in the region at 2003. Resistance to Temephos was reported in Havana (Magdalena *et al*, 2004), Costa Rica (Bisset *et al*, 2013), Brazil (Lima *et al*, 2003; Macoris *et al*, 2003; Braga *et al*, 2004), and Thailand (Jirakanjanakit *et al*, 2007), as well as in Bolivia and Argentina (Biber *et al*, 2006; Seccacini *et al*, 2007).

In India, Ae. aegypti larvae were susceptible to Temephos (Singh et al., 2013), but in Malaysia, Ae. aegypti larvae showed tolerance to Temephos (Lee and Lime, 1989; Chen et al, 2005).

In the present study, larvae at dose of 20 mg/l, Methoprene and Diflubenzuron produced (100% & 87.5%) mortality respectively. IE₅₀ Methoprene and Diflubenzuron were 0.49 & 0.86 mg/l, respectively indicated that larvae were more susceptible to Methoprene than Diflubenzuron by 1.8 folds. The present efficacy of Diflubenzuron disagreed with Nusrat *et al* (2011) and Seccacini *et al* (2008) who found

Diflubenzuron was highly effective for adult emergence inhibition and 100% adult emergence inhibition was at 0.1 ppm.

In the present study, with low concentration (0.032mg/l), Methoprene was less effective to reduce adult emergence, which agreed with Nayar et al. (2002). The IE₅₀-IE₉₅ ranged (0.86- 93.8mg\l) post exposure against Diflubenzuron indicated less effect at larval stages as compared with Methoprene (0.49-10.9mg/l). The effectiveness of Methoprene against larvae in Jazan District agreed with Seccacini et al. (2008), Baraga et al. (2005), Garg and Donahue, (1989), Sulaiman et al. (2004), Silva et al (2007) and Chen et al. (2008). Ae. aegypti larvae exhibited less resistance to Methoprene and to Pyriproxyfen with ratios of 12.7 & 1.4, respectively, but susceptible to Diflubenzuron (Lau et al, 2015). In the present study, Methoprene was more effective and can be used as an alternative larvicide in areas with Diflubenzuron low efficacy & high resistance to organophorous.

In the present study, as to mortality 24 hours after exposure to Deltamethrin, Permethrin, Cyfluthrin, Lambda-cyhalothrin, and DDT, the adults from different parts of Jazan were 100% susceptible to Cyfluthrin and with some resistance to Fenitrothion, Deltamethrin, and Permethrin (93.6%, 93.3% & 86.8%, respectively). Aedes were resistant to Lambda-cyhalo-thrin (76.5%) and DDT (56.3%) but highly resistant to Bendiocarb (17%). Ae. aegypti showed some resistance to Deltamethrin and Permethrin (mortality 93.3%, 86.8%, respectively). This agreed with Jirakanjanakit et

al (2007a) in Thailand who reported the resistance to Permethrin and Deltamethrin.

In the present study, Deltamethrin and Permethrin could result from the crossresistance with DDT. The use of household aerosol insecticides where the main active ingredient is Permethrin might contribute to the resistance.

The development of high resistance against Bendiocarb (17%) and possibility of resistance against Fenitrothion (93.6%) could be due to mosquito selection for resistance resulting from municipal and agricultural applications (Lines, 1988). Also, Fenitrothion was used as an interdomicilary residual spray between 1987 and 1994. However, the high resistance to Bendiocarb in the present study was contrasted with Bisset *et al.* (2013) in Costa Rica, where *Ae. aegypti* was susceptible to it

In the present study, *Ae. aegypti* showed resistance to Lambda-cyhalothrin and to DDT, which agreed Sharma *et al* (2004) in India, except in the susceptibility of *Ae. aegypti* to Lambda-cyhalothrin. Conversely, when taking the LC₅₀ into consideration. Alghamdi *et al.* (2008) in Jeddah found the larvae of *Ae. aegypti* was susceptible to Lambda-cyhalothrin at a 0.01 ppm. In Jazan region, the mosquito larvae exhibited resistance to DDT as early as 1987 where the insecticide was longer used to control of *Anopheles*.

In the present study, resistance to multiple insecticides (Pyrethroids & Organochlorines) in *Aedes* existed also between Pyrethroids and Organophosphates as reported in South-East Asia (Jirakanjanakit *et al*, 2007a), South America and the Caribbean (Rawlins *et al*, 1998; Marcombe *et al*, 2009). The extensively successive use of Pyrethroids (Lambda-cyhalothrin, Deltamethrin, and Permethrin) in the region to control Malaria, Dengue and Rift valley mosquito vectors by the Ministries of Health, Municipality and Agriculture, respectively, might contributed to accelerating such resistance. Generally speaking, all

hydrocarbon insecticides: are risky for environment and human health (El-Bahn-asawy *et al*, 2014b)

In the present study, 100% mortality of Cyfluthrin against adults of *Ae. aegypti*, may be due to the fact that Cyfluthrin is newly introduced insecticide. Resistance in descending order was Bendiocarb> DDT> Lambda-cyhalothrin> Per-methrin> Delta methrin> Fenitrothion> Cyfluthrin.

Besides, Ae. aegypti in Saudi Arabia (Gandhi et al, 2016), it was reported in Yemen (Fah-my et al, 2015), in Southern Egypt (Shoukry et al, 2012) mainly Aswan Governorate (Saleh, 2012) and Ae. aegypti, Ae. cretinus were reported in Lebanon (Knio et al, 2005). Besides, Al-Qahtani et al. (2016) reported that Zika virus is an emerging arbovirus of Flaviviridae family and related to dengue, Chikungunya, West Nile, yellow fever, and Japanese encephalitis virus. Generally, all these zoonotic viral hemorrhagic fevers are transmitted by Aedes aegyptii and other Aedes species.

Conclusion

Cyfluthrin proved to be the only effective Pyrethroid used in Jazan region against adult *Ae. aegypti*. Methoprene proved to be an effective IGR against local larvae. Continuous resistance monitoring should be conducted regularly to identify the efficacy of compounds for dengue control. Wellmanaged rotation of the effective insecticides, community awareness and participation, and public health campaigns to reduce larval breeding sites are recommended strategies to control dengue infections. IGRs should be an alternative when the larvae develop resistance to conventional insecticides.

Undoubtedly, the regional collaboration to control the spreading and prevalence of *Aedes aegypti* is a must.

Conflict of interest: The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Adasi, K, Hemingway, J, 2008: Susceptibility to three pyrethroids and detection of knock-

- down resistance mutation in Ghanaian Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto. J. Vector Ecol. 33, 2:255-62.
- Al-Ghamdi, K, Al-Fifi, ZI, M.S.Saleh, M, Al-Qhtani, HA, Mahyoub, JA, 2008: Insecticide susceptibility of *Ae. aegypti*, the vector of dengue fever, in Jeddah governorate, Saudi Arabia. Biosci. Biotechnol. Res. Assoc. 5, 2:501-6.
- Al-Qahtani, AA, Nazir, N, Al-Anazi, MR, Rubino, S, Al-Ahda , I MN, 2016: Zika virus: A new pandemic threat. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries 10, 3:201-7.
- **Al-Sheikh, AA, 2011:** Larval habitat, Ecology, Seasonal abundance and vectorial role in malaria transmission of *An. arabiensis* in Jazan region of Saudi Arabia. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 41, 3:615-34.
- **Biber, P, Rondan-Duen** as, **J, Duduen** AF, **Gardenal, C, Almiron, W, 2006:** Laboratory evaluation of susceptibility of natural subpopulations of *Aedes aegypti* larvae to temephos. J. Am. Mos. Cont. Assoc. 22:408-11.
- **Bisset, JA, Marín, R, Rodríguez, MM, Severson, DW, Ricardo, Y, et al, 2013:** Insecticide resistance in two *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) strains from Costa Rica. J. Med. Entomol. 50, 2:352-61.
- **Braga, IA, Lima, JBP, Soares, SS, Valle, D, 2004:** *Aedes aegypti* resistance to temephos during 2001 in several municipalities in the states of Rio de Janeiro, Sergipe and Alagoas, Brazil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 99:199-203.
- Braga, IA, Mello, CB, Montella, IR, Lima, JB, Martins, AJ, et al, 2005: Effectiveness of methoprene, an insect growth regulator, against temephos-resistant *Aedes aegypti* populations from different Brazilian localities, under laboratory conditions. J. Med. Entomol. 42, 5:830-7.
- Chediak, M, Pimenta, FJr, Coelho, GE, Braga, IA, Lima, JB, *et al*, 2016: Spatial and temporal country-wide survey of temephos resistance in Brazilian populations of Aedes aegypti. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 2016 Apr 29. pii: S0074-02762016005006101.
- Chen, CD, Nazni, WA, Lee, HL, Sofian-Azirun, M, 2005: Susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* to temephos in four sites in Kuala Lumpur City Center and Selangor State, Malaysia. Trop. Bio-med. 22:207-16.
- Chen, CD, Seleena, B, Chiang, YF, Lee, HL, 2008: Field evaluation of the bioefficacy of diflubenzuron (Dimilin®) against container-br-

- eeding *Aedes* sp. mosquitoes. Trop. Bio-med. 25, 1:80-6.
- **El-Bahnasawy, MM, Abdel Fadil, EE, Morsy, TA, 2014a:** Bedbug: is it a missed vector of medical importance or just an annoying and irritating insect? Egyptian Military Medical Journal (EMMJ) 69, 1:74-81.
- **El-Bahnasawy, MM, Mohammad, AE, Morsy, TA, 2014b:** Hydrocarbon insecticides: Their risks for environment and human health. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 44, 2:361-72
- Fahmy, NT, Klena, JD, Mohamed, AS, Zayed, A, Villinski, JT, 2015: Complete genome sequence of Chikungunya Virus Isolated from an *Aedes aegypti* mosquito during an outbreak in Yemen, 2011. Genome Announc. 2015 Jul 16;3(4). pii: e00789-15.
- Gandhi, MR, Reegan, AD, Ganesan, P, Sivasankaran, K, Paulraj, MG, et al, 2016: Larvicidal and pupicidal activities of alizarin isolated from roots of Rubia cordifolia Against Culex quinquefasciatus Say and Aedes aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae). Neotrop. Entomol. 2016 Mar 23. [Epub ahead of print]
- **Garg, R.C, Donahue, WA, 1989:** Pharmacologic profile of methoprene, an insect growth regulator, in cattle, dogs, and cats. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 194:410-2.
- **Gubler, DJ, 2002:** Epidemic dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever as a public health, social and economic problem in the 21st century. Trends Microbiol. 10:100-3.
- **Jirakanjanakit, N, Saengtharatip, S, Rongnoparut, P, Duchon, S, Bellec, C, et al, 2007:** Trend of Temephos resistance in Aedes (Stegomyia) mosquitoes in Thailand during 2003-2005. Environ. Entomol. 36:506-11.
- Knio, KM, Markarian, N, Kassis, A, Nuwayri-Salti, N, 2005: A two-year survey on mosquitoes of Lebanon. Parasite 12, 3: 229-35.
- Kow, CY, Koon, LL, Pang, FY. (2001: Detection of dengue viruses in field caught male *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Singapore by type-specific PCR. J. Med. Entomol. 38, 475–479.
- Kushwah, RB, Dykes, CL, Kapoor, N, Adak, T, Singh, OP, 2015a: Pyrethroid-resistance and presence of two knockdown resistance (kdr) mutations, F1534C and a novel mutation T1-520I, in Indian Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015 Jan 8:9(1):e3332.
- Kushwah, RB, Mallick, PK, Ravikumar, H, Dev, V, Kapoor, N, et al, 2015b: Status of

- DDT and pyrethroid resistance in Indian Aedes albopictus and absence of knockdown resistance (kdr) mutation. J. Vector Borne Dis. 52, 1:95-8.
- Lau, KW, Chen, CD, Lee, HL, Norma-Rashid, Y, Sofian-Azirun, M, 2015: Evaluation of insect growth regulators against field-collected *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Malaysia. J. Med. Entomol. 52, 2:199-206.
- **Lee, HL, Lime, W, 1989:** A re-evaluation of the susceptibility of field collected *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* (Linnaeus) larvae to temephos in Malaysia. Mos. Borne Dis. Bull. 4:91-5.
- Lima, JBP, Pereira da Cunha, M, Silva, RC S, Galardo, AKR, Soares, SS, et al, 2003: Resistance of *Aedes aegypti* to organophosphates in several municipalities in the states of Rio de Janeiro and Espirito Santo, Brazil. Am. Lines JD, 1988: Do agricultural insecticides select for insecticide resistance in mosquitoes? a look at the evidence. Parasitol. Today 4, 7:
- Macoris MLG, Andrighetti, MTM, Takaku, L, Glasser, CM, Garbeloto, VC, *et al*, 2003: Resistance of *Aedes aegypti* from the state of S. Paulo, Brazil, to organophosphates insecticides. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 98:703-8.

S17-20.

- Magdalena Rodríguez M, Bisset, JA, Fernández, D, Omayda P, 2004: Resistance to insecticides in larvae and adults of *Aedes aegypti*, Havana City: prevalence of A4 esterasa associated with resistance to temephos. Rev. Cubana Med/ Trop. 56, 1:54-60.
- Marcombe, S, Carron, A, Darriet, F, Etienne, M, Agnew, P, *et al*, 2009: Reduced efficacy of pyrethroid space sprays for dengue control in an area of Martinique with Pyrethroid resistance. Am. J. Trop. Med Hyg. 80:745-51.
- Nayar, JK, Ali, A, Zaim, M, 2002: Effectiveness and residual activity comparison of granular formulations of insect growth regulators Priproxifen and s-Methoprene against Florida mosquitoes in laboratory and outdoor conditions. J. Am. Mos. Cont. Assoc. 18:196-201.
- **Peyrefitte, CD, Rousset, B, Pastorino, R, Pouillot, M, Bessaud, F,** *et al***, 2007:** Chikungunya virus, Cameroon. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 13: 768-71.
- **Saleh, NM, 2012**: *Aedes* mosquito in Aswan Governorate, Egypt. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 42, 1:233-8.

- Seccacini, E, Alejandro, L, Harburguer, L, Zerba, E, Licastro. S, *et al*, 2008: Effectiveness of Pyriproxyfen and Diflubenzuron formulations as larvicides against *Aedes aegypti*. J. Am. Mos. Cont. Assoc. 24, 3:398-403.
- Seccacini, E, Lucı'a, A, Licastro, S, Zerba, E, Masuh, H, 2007: Resistencia a temefos de *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) en localidades del norte argentino. 5th Regional Mosquito Meeting (Argentina). Rev Biol. Acuat. 23: 48-52.
- Sharma, SN, Saxena, VK, Lal, S, 2004: Study on susceptibility status in aquatic and adult stages of *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* against insecticides at international airports of south India. J. Commun. Dis. 36, 3:177-81.
- **Shoukry, NM, Elwan, MA, Morsy, TA, 2012:** *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus) re-emerging in southern Egypt. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 42, 1:41-50.
- Sivan, A, Shriram, AN, Sunish, IP, Vidhya, PT, 2015: Studies on insecticide susceptibility of Aedes aegypti (Linn) and Aedes albopictus (Skuse) vectors of dengue and chikungunya in Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India. Parasitol. Res. 114, 12:4693-702. 7.
- Sulaiman Sallehudin; Siti Hajar, A. S.; Hidayatulfathi Othman (2004: Residual efficacy of insect growth regulators pyriproxyfen, triflumuron and smethoprene against Aedes aegypti (L.) in plastic containers in the field. Trop. Bio-med. 21, 1:97-100.
- **Tunaz, H, Uygun. N, 2004:** Insect growth regulators for insect pest control. Turk. J. Agri. 28:377-87.
- WHO, 1981: Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of mosquito larvae to insecticides. Geneva.
- **WHO, 1992:** Vector resistance to pesticides. 15th report of Expert Committee on Vector Biology and Control. Geneva.
- **WHO, 2005:** Guidelines for laboratory and field testing of mosquito larvicides, Geneva.
- **WHO, 2006:** Guidelines for Testing Mosquito Adulticides for Indoor Residual Spraying and Treatment of Mosquito Nets (Document WHO/CDS/NTD/WHOPES/GCDPP/3, Geneva.
- **WHO, 2009:** Dengue: Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment, Prevention and Control. New edition. WHO/HTM/NTD/DEN/2009.