DIAPAUSE AND PARASITISM OF THE SUGAR BEET LEAFMINER, PEGOMYA MIXTA VILLENEUVE (DIPTERA: ANTHOMYIIDAE), PUPAE REARED FROM CERTAIN CHENOPODIACEOUS HOST PLANTS AT GIZA

EL-SERWY, S. A.

Plant Protection Research Institute, ARC, Dokki, Giza

(Manuscript received 16 August 2007)

Abstract

Leaves infested by the sugar beet leafminer, Pegomya mixta Villeneuve (Diptera: Anthomyiidae) were collected from untreated sugar beet, Beta vulgaris L., spinach, Spinacia oleracea L., goosefoot, Chenopodium murale L. and wild beet Beta vulgaris var. perennis during the period from November, 2004 to April, 2005 and with Chard, Beta vulgaris var. cicla L. and mangold, Beta vulgaris var. vulgaris during the same period in 2005 and 2006 in the farm of the Agric. Exp. Sta., at Giza. Rate and incidence of diapause and parasitism and emergence of flies and parasitoids were determined. The overall mean of diapause was higher 49.3% (2004-2005) opposed to 38.5% (2005-2006). Parasitism followed the same trend with 45.6% versus to 42.1% in the same seasons. Rate and incidence of diapause and parasitism were highly variable among puparia reared from the different chenopodiaceous host plants. The prevalence of higher general rates of diapause (67, 39.6 and 29.3%) and parasitism (77.3, 41.1 and 40.3%) were recorded on puparia reared from wild beet, goosefoot and sugar beet, whereas 55.1% (diapause) and 34.5% (parasitism) were attained on spinach puparia. Rate of diapause ranged between (0- 36.9%) and (10.6- 42.5%) on pupae developed from infested leaves of sugar beet and goosefoot in 2004- 2005 and 2005- 2006, but decreased from 64.6 to 45.7% and from 67.6 to 64.7% for those reared from spinach and wild beet in the same period. However, parasitism ranged between (31.9- 37.2%), (38.7- 64.9%) and (52.9-83.1%) on parasitized puparia collected from spinach, goosefoot and wild beet in 2005- 2006 and 2004- 2005, but increased from 39.1% to 40.6% on sugar beet puparia from the first to the second season one. In both seasons, puparia went into aestivation (summer diapause) during February- April, but 1.3%entered hibernation (overwinter diapause) during November-January in 2005- 2006. Aestivated puparia started at rates of 21.9 and 41.8% in February, increased to 27.6% and slightly decreased to 37.5% in March and reached the highest rates 84.8 and 70.7% in April of 2005 and 2006, respectively. Synchronization was found between the timing of the highest percentages of emerged flies about (92 and 91%) and parasitoids (91 and 79%) in November of 2005 and 2006. The huge numbers of emerged parasitoids occurred with the high rates of parasitism 78.9 and 62.8% in November, 2004 and 2005. Such synchronization was found in 2004- 2005 active season, but was lost in the second one. In April of 2005, the highest

percentages of emerged parasitoids and flies were 70.8 and 43.2%, but were 34.2 and 49.4% in January and April in 2006. To prevent and promote parasitoids, insecticides must be entirely avoided, agricultural practices i. e. deeply plowing, destruction alternative host weeds, hand pick and destroying infested mined leaves weekly before the larvae drop to the soil and dropping kerosene into water irrigation in a rate of 30 liter / feddan (4200 m²) reduce the population size of *P. mixta* and largely solving the likelihood and severity of leafminers problems in sugar beets.

INTRODUCTION

The sugar beet leafminer, Pegomya mixta Villeneuve (Diptera: Anthomyiidae), attacks sugar beet, Beta vulgaris L., spinach, Spinacia oleracea L., chard, Beta vulgaris var. cicla L., mangold, Beta vulgaris var. vulgaris and many weeds including goosefoot, Chenopodium murale L. and wild beet, Beta vulgaris var. perennis at Giza in Egypt. The activity period of the flies extending from mid November to the end of May and had two overlapping generations in a year (El-Saeady and Shaheen, 1987). Three pupal parasitoids, Opius nitidulator (Nees) (Braconidae), Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae (Rondani) (Pteromalidae) and Phygadeuon sp. (Ichneumonidae) were recorded. Both healthy and parasitized puparia by the braconid parasitoid entered diapause during the growing season (Hafez et al., 1970, El-Serwy, 2007 a and 2007 b). Several authors contributed to parasitism of O. nitidulator on active puparia (Ewais, 1990, El-Agamy et al., 1994, Zawrah, 2000). To avoid insecticide application, the repellent oils of butyl acetate and mustard as well as the mangold , B. vulgaris var. vulgaris as trapping plants were used against the beet leafminer, Pegomyia betae Curtis and the spinach leafminer, Pegomyia hyoscyami (Panzer) in sugar beet fields (Metzger and Trier, 1973, Marei, 2004). P. mixta is one of eight P. hyoscyami species complex. Two of these, the beet and the spinach leafminers are now considered as one species P. hyoscyami (Steyskal, 1977). Both species infested spinach, S. oleracea, beets, sugar beets, swiss chard and mangels (B. vulgaris), Dianthus sp., Datura metel L. and several weeds including Arctium lappa L., Chenopodium album L. and Atriplex bastata (L.) Gray (Chillcott, 1959). Puparia overwinter in the soil and emerge as adults in late May to early June and can produce up to three generations per year (Gratwick, 1992). In order to achieve a sustainable control of this polyphagous pest, the level of the fly population must be decreased at the beginning of the sugar beet growing season. Elaboration studies in the present work were carried out on diapause, parasitism and emerged adults of host and parasitoids among puparia reared from some chenopodiaceous host plants as the sources of the new infestation and parasitism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Untreated plants of sugar beet, *Beta vulgaris* L. and mangold, *Beta vulgaris* var. *vulgars* as root crops, spinach, *Spinacia oleracea* L and chard, *Beta vulgaris* var. *cicla* L, as leaveed vegetables and goosefoot, *Chenopodium murale* L. and wild beet, *Beta vulgaris* var. *perennis* as wild weeds were chosen from the farm of Agric. Exp. Sta., at Giza.

To determine the number and size of the collected samples from the infested chenopodiaceous plants by the beet fly, weekly inspections were made during the growing seasons. Seven and nine samples were collected from sugar beet fields from December 26, 2004 and January 2, 2006 to March 27, 2005 and April 10, 2006, respectively. Whereas, the taken samples 9, 7 and 4 were obtained from infested goosefoot, spinach and wild beet plants from November 21, 2004 and February 13 or 20, 2005 to April 24 and 17, 2005, respectively. Twelve and eleven samples were collected from infested goosefoot and spinach from November 14 and 21, 2005 to April 10, 2006. However, 5 and 3 samples were taken from infested chard and mangold from November 21, 2005 to January 30, 2006. A sample was taken from infested wild beet on February 20, 2006.

On each collection date, the infested leaves were visually examined and the mined areas were placed into separated circular plastic containers (50 \times 10 cm) provided with a sandy layer at the bottom. The pupae were collected and placed into petri dishes, 10 cm diameter each. The dried leaves were kept in plastic sacs fitted with a rubber band. Daily inspection was made and the emerged adults of flies and parasitoids were collected, identified and recorded. At the end of emergence season, puparia were dissected and healthy (emerged or failed emerged flies) or parasitized pupae (emerged parasitoids from pores or failed emerged parasitoids) were counted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Diapause

The majority of full grown larvae of the sugar beet fly *P. mixta* pupate in the soil, rarely in mines of the infested leaves—and went into aestivation (summer diapause) in rates of 98.7% - 100%, except 1.3% entered hibernation (overwinter diapause). During the growing seasons of sugar beet in this study, diapause rates of puparia reared from the different chenopodiaceous host plants highly fluctuated. The overall mean was 49.3% (2004- 2005) and 38.5% (2005- 2006). In both seasons, the highest rates 67.0 and 55.1% were attained on puparia reared from wild beet and spinach, respectively followed in the descending order as 39.6% on goosefoot and 29.3% on sugar beet (Table 1). It increased from 0 to 36.9% on sugar beet and from

10.6 to 42.5% on goosefoot, but abruptly declined from 64.6 to 45.7% and from 67.6 to 64.7% on spinach and wild beet, respectively from 2004- 2005 to 2005- 2006 seasons. Puparia reared from sugar beet and mangold not entered diapause in the first and the second seasons, respectively whereas 4.1% of puparia reared from chard went into diapause in the second season (Table 1).

1-1 Incidence of diapause

In 2004- 2005, aestivated puparia reared from spinach, wild beet and goosefoot began in rates of 25.6, 61.7 and 81.3% in mid February and early or mid April, respectively (Table 2). It increased gradually reaching 96.1 and 86.4% on early and mid April, respectively but abruptly declined to 42.9% a week later. In November of 2005, less than 1% of the puparia reared from goosefoot entered hibernation at the third week, increased to 8.3% after three weeks (Table 3). However, puparia of chard, spinach and sugar beet hibernated in high rates of 32, 27.3 and 83.3% at the third and the fourth weeks of December and early January and declined to 9.1, 12 and 50% after two weeks, but extended a week for spinach puparia, respectively (Table 3). At mid February of 2006, puparia reared from sugar beet and goosefoot went into aestivation in rates of 42.9 and 43.7%, respectively whereas 54.9 and 64.7% were attained a week later on those reared from spinach and wild beet, respectively (Table 3). Aestivated puparia of goosefoot and spinach decreased to 32 and 25% at early March, increased progressively reached higher rates of 83.3 and 97.8% after four and five weeks, respectively, but abruptly declined to 47.4% after a week on goosefoot puparia. Aestivated puparia of sugar beet increased to 52.4% at early March, but drastically lowered to 14.3% after five weeks.

These results indicate that, puparia reared from different chenopodiaceous host plants varied in diapause rate. According to larval feeding, diapaused puparia can be assigned into three descending levels: high rates ranged between (39.6- 67%) on goosefoot and wild beet [weeds], medium rates (4.1- 55.1%) on chard and spinach [leaved vegetables] and low rates (0- 29.3%) on mangold and sugar beet [root crops]. Larval feeding may be a role in the behavior of diapaused puparia. In France, females of *P. betae* and *P. hyoscyami* preferred sugar beet than *Chenopodium* host plants under field and choice conditions where larvae pupated in the soil and leaves, only that entered the soil underwent diapause (D'Aguilar and Missonnier, 1957). Diapaused puparia reared from sugar beet varied according to location with a rate of 29.3% in the present study at Giza, but ranged between 21.6- 41.8% at Dakahliya and Kafr El-Sheikh Governorates (El-Serwy, 2007 b). It is clearly appeared that, *P. mixta* pupae entered hibernation (winter diapause) in a few numbers during November- January, whereas the majority went into aestivation (summer diapause) from February to the end of sugar beet growing season. In China, the same behavior was found on the

leaf-mining fly *Pegomyia bicolor* Wied. where summer diapause induced by long day length and mild temperature and winter diapause induced mainly by low temperatures, especially in the 10 days after pupation (Xue *et al.*, 2001).

2- Parasitism

Data in Table (1) show that the overall mean of the total parasitism was 43%. During the growing season the total parasitism of *P. mixta* fluctuated from 45.6% (2004- 2005) to 42.1% (2005- 2006). Parasitism was markedly varied with chenopodiaceous host plant, 77.3, 41.1, 40.3 and 34.5% in case of wild beet, goosefoot, sugar beet and spinach, respectively. In 2004- 2005, parasitism rates of 37.2, 64.9 and 83.1% were recorded on puparia of spinach, goosefoot and wild beet, they abruptly decreased to 31.9, 38.7 and 52.9% in 2005- 2006, respectively, but lightly increased from 39.1% to 40.6% on those of sugar beet. High rates of 88.2 and 80.6% were recorded on active parasitized puparia of mangold and chard, but about 4% of chard puparia went into diapause.

2-1 Incidence of parasitism

Parasitic activity by O. nitidulator began in rates of 42.1, 64.3 and 15.9% at the third week of November, late December and mid February on puparia of goosefoot, sugar beet and spinach in 2004- 2005 season, respectively (Table 2). It increased to 81.6, 83.3 and 72.1% at early March, but abruptly declined to 25% at late March on sugar beet puparia as well as 68.8 and 41.7% on those of goosefoot and spinach, three weeks later, respectively. Parasitized puparia of the wild beet followed a similar trend which decreased from 100% to 77.2% during early Marchmid April. In 2005- 2006, parasitism began in a high rate of about 82% at mid November on puparia reared from goosefoot and one week later on those of chard and mangold, respectively, whereas this figure was recorded after five weeks on spinach. The initial rate was 100% recorded at early January on parasitized puparia of sugar beet (Table 3). In early March of 2006, the parasitism declined to 4.4 and 10.7% on puparia from spinach and goosefoot, respectively as well as to 12.3% two weeks later on sugar beet puparia. Then, the parasitism increased progressively reaching high rates of 58, 86 and 100% after 5, 4 and 3 weeks, respectively. It decreased to 63.6%, but increased to 100% at early and late January on puparia from chard and mangold, respectively (Table 3). In the third week of February, a rate of 52.9% parasitism was recorded on puparia from the wild beet.

Aforementioned results show that, the parasitism was highly fluctuated in rate and incidence over the term of this study. The highest rates were about 88 and 81% on puparia of mangold and chard followed in the order of prevalence by that reared from the wild beet (77.3%), goosefeet (41.1%), sugar beet (40.3%) and spinach 34.5%. The parasitism decreased about 5, 26 and 30% on puparia of spinach,

goosefoot and wild beet, respectively from 2004- 2005 to 2005- 2006, but slightly increased less than 2% on the puparia of sugar beet in the same period. As a result, parasitized puparia from sugar beet was markedly higher about 29% at Giza opposed to 19 and 20% at Dakahliya and Kafr El-Sheikh, respectively (El-Serwy, 2007 b). Parasitized puparia of sugar beet began in a high rate of 64.3% in December of 2004, declined to 21.3% in January 2005 and increased again to 42% in March (Table 2). In January 2006, a rate of 74.3% was attained, but declined to 54.7% in February and 25.7% in March and increased again to 44.7% in April. The initial rates of goosefoot parasitized puparia ranged 42.1- 46.9% in November of 2004 and 2005, increased to 80- 100% in January, but declined to 67.9- 18.3% in March, whereas high rates of 78.2- 83% were observed in April of 2005 and 2006. Competition between O. nitidulator and P. vindemmiae resulted in an obvious decrease in parasitism by O. nitidulator mainly in March and partially in April. It reported that parasitic activity by the pteromalid parasitoid resulted in high rates about 77 and 69% on puparia reared from sugar beet in March of 2005 and 2006, whereas ranged between about 72-97% in March and April of 2005, but was about 88% in April, 2006 on puparia reared from goosefoot at Giza (El- Serwy, 2007 a). Spinach parasitized puparia had no an obvious incident trend. It began in low rate 15.9% in February, increased to 58.7% in March, but declined to 27.7% in April, 2005. In December of 2005, the initial rate was 31.1%, decreased to 10.1% in March and reaching 51.1 % in the next month in 2006. Generally increasing parasitism in April may be due to the low level of the host population. Babushkina (1996) reported that parasitism on P. betae by O. nitidulator increased in warm and dry summers which retarded more on the host.

3- Emergence of adults:

3-1 Flies: the total number of emerged flies were 492 and 1591 represented 54.4 and 57.9% of the total number of emerged flies and parasitoids in 2004- 2005 and 2005- 2006 seasons, respectively (Table 1). Of these, 227 and 1017 emerged from the active puparia represented 64.1 and 63.9% of all flies emerged from active and diapause puparia, respectively. In 2005- 2006, the total number of emerged flies from aestivated and hibernated puparia were 559 and 15 in percentages of about 97 and 3%, respectively.

In 2004- 2005 active season, flies emergence started in mid December and late January from puparia of goosefoot and sugar beet and continued until mid May and the third week of April and peaked at the third and the second weeks of April, respectively (Table 4). Huge numbers were emerged from spinach puparia at mid March and continued until early May. In mid December of 2005, flies reared from mangold, chard and spinach began its emergence in relatively high numbers and continued till early and late February as well as early May in 2006, respectively (Table

4). Three peaks in the population of flies reared from spinach occurring in early January, late March and three weeks later. It emerged from goosefoot a week earlier and emergence continued until early May with three peaks in mid December as well as mid and late March. Emergence from sugar beet puparia beginning in early January and ending at mid March with a distinct peak in late February.

The flies first emerged from spinach aestivated puparia in late October in 2005 and a week early in 2006 and emergence continued until mid January and the third week of December with a distinct peak in the second and the first weeks of November in 2005 and 2006, respectively (Table 6). Flies reared from sugar beet and goosefoot emerged at the first and the second weeks of November and emergence continued until late December with a distinct peak during the third week of November in 2006. Individual numbers were emerged from chard puparia during late November- early December in 2006, whereas emergence from wild beet puparia occurred during the first half of November (2005) and in the second and the third weeks in November (2006). In the third week of November of 2006, majority of flies emerged from hibernated puparia and emergence continued until early December.

3-2 Parasitoids: the total number of emerged parasitoids were 413 and 1159 represented 45.6% and 42.1% of the total number of emerged flies and parasitoids in 2004- 2005 and 2005- 2006 seasons, respectively (Table 1). Of these, 232 and 675 were emerged from the active puparia represented 56.2% and 58.2% of the total emerged parasitoids from active and diapause puparia, respectively. In 2005- 2006, the total number of emerged parasitoids from aestivated and hibernated puparia were 462 and 22 emerged in percentages of 95.5 and 4.5%, respectively.

In mid December of 2005, parasitoids emerged from active puparia reared from chard, mangold and goosefoot and peaked in the next week where its emergence continued until the second and the third weeks of March and late April with a second peak in their population occurring in the third week of January and two months later for wasps emerged from goosefoot parasitized puparia, respectively (Table 5). In late December of 2004, parasitoids emerged from goosefoot parasitized puparia and emergence continued until mid May with a distinct peak during early April. Wasps emerged from sugar beet parasitized puparia in early February of 2005 and a week later in 2006 and continued until the third week of April and two weeks later with a distinct peak during early April in both years. Parasitoids emerged from spinach parasitized puparia at mid January in 2006 and the third week of March in 2005 and continued until the third week of April and early May with a peak during early February and April in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The emergence period of parasitoids of wild beet parasitized puparia beginning in early April and ending in the third week of May with a distinct peak in mid April in 2005.

In 2005- 2006 aestivation season, parasitoids emergence began in the third and the fourth weeks of October and mid November from puparia reared from spinach, wild beet and goosefoot and emergencies continued until the third week of January, early December and late November with a distinct peak in their population occurring in mid November (Table 6). In 2006- 2007, parasitoids emerged from goosefoot aestivated puparia in late October 2006 and two weeks later from sugar beet and spinach puparia where emergence ending in late and mid December, but the parasitoids emerged from spinach parasitized puparia extended until the third week of January with a distinct peak in late November, respectively. Individual number of parasitoids emerged from chard puparia during early November- early December. The parasitoids emerged from sugar beet and chard hibernated parasitized puparia in the third week of November and continued until mid December in 2006

Percentage of progress of emergence of hosts or parasitoids shows the progress of emergence during the season. It showed a similar trend of emerged flies and parasitoids from diapause puparia in both seasons. The highest percentages of flies 91.7 and 91.1% and parasitoids 90.6 and 78.9% were emerged from diapaused puparia during November in 2005 and 2006 (Table 6). Such synchronization between the flies and parasitoids emerged from active puparia was found in 2004–2005 active season , but lost in the second one. In April of 2005, the highest percentages of emerged parasitoids and flies were 70.8 and 43.2%, but were 34.2 and 49.4% in January and April in 2006 (Tables 4 and 5).

These results indicate that the emergent periods of flies and parasitoids lasted 21 and 20 weeks in both active seasons, whereas ranged 10- 11 weeks and 12- 13 weeks for those emerged from diapaused puparia in the second and the first diapause seasons, respectively (Tables 4 and 5). but its emergence periods from aestivated puparia shortened to 10 and 12 weeks in 2006- 2007 and Flies emerged earlier than parasitoids from active and diapause puparia in both seasons, except in the first diapause season. The first emergence of flies from active goosefoot puparia started at early and mid December, whereas parasitoids emerged 1 and 2 weeks later in 2005 and 2004. In 2005- 2006 diapause season, parasitoids and flies emerged from spinach puparia in the third and the fourth weeks of October, whereas in the 2006- 2007 flies emerged from puparia of the same host plant on the third week of October and parasitoids emerged a week later from goosefoot puparia (Table 6). In the third week of November of 2006, flies and parasitoids emerged from hibernated puparia 5 and 3 weeks earlier than aestivated one, emergence periods continued until the first and the second weeks of December. The longest hibernation period lasted a year, whereas the shortest period was 7 months on puparia entered aestivation in April. Synchronization was found between the timing of the highest percentages of the emerged flies and

parasitoids from active and diapaused puparia in both seasons, but lost in the second active season. The emerged flies about (92 and 91%) and parasitoids (91 and 79%) were recorded in November of 2005 and 2006, respectively. In both seasons, majority (>91%) of flies emerged in November. Hafez, *et al.*, (1970) reported that catches of *P. hyoscyami* (= *P. mixta*) reached its peak in November 79% and December 82.6%.

In conclusion, healthy puparia of P. mixta and parasitized with O. nitidulator went into aestivation (summer diapause) during February- April in 2005 and 2006, whereas less than 2% entered hibernation (overwinter diapause) during November-January in the second season. Diapause and parasitism were higher in 2004-2005 than 2005- 2006. It was highly variable in rate and incidence among puparia reared from the different chenopodiaceous host plants. The highest overall means of diapause in order of prevalence were: 47.1, 41 and 25.4% on puparia collected from leaved vegetables (spinach & chard), weeds (goosefoot & wild beet) and root crops (sugar beet & mangold) host plants. The corresponding total rates of parasitism were 46.6% 42.9 and 41.8% on parasitized puparia of root crops, weeds and leaved vegetables, diapused parasitized puparia represented about 44, 45 and 30%, respectively. It is apparently that puparia reared from mangold plants entered diapause in low rate and received the highest parasitism. Mangold reported as trapping plants for the beet leafminer P. hyoscami (= P. mixta) in sugar beet fields (Marei, 2004). Synchronization was found between the timing of the highest percentages of emerged flies and parasitoids from diapaused puparia in November of 2005 and 2006. Such high numbers of emerged parasitoids occupied with the high rates of parasitism at the beginning of the sugar beet growing season..

As a result of this study, the full grown larvae of *P. mixta* on chenopodiaceous plants pupated in the soil and puparia entered hibernation three months earlier than aestivated. one. Majority of flies (> 91%) and parasitoids (> 82%) emerged from diapaused puparia during November laying the eggs of the first generation and high rates of parasitism on the pupated full grown larvae took place. To prevent and promote these parasitoids, insecticides must be entirely avoided and reduce the population size of the emerged flies. Deeply plowing, destruction alternative host weeds, hand pick and destroying infested mined leaves before the larvae drop to the soil must be carried weekly and dropping kerosene in a rate of 30 liter / feddan (4200 m²) into water irrigation may reduce the likelihood and severity of leafminers problems in sugar beets.

Table 1. Total no. of collected alive pupae and emergencies of Pegomya mixta and Opius nitidulator adults from active and diapaused puparia reared from six host plants during 2004- 2005 and 2005- 2006 seasons.

	Parasitism %		39.1	40.6		40.3	64.9	38.7		41.1	37.2	31.9		34.5	83.1	52.9		77.3	80.6	88.2	45.6	42.1		43.0
	Total no. of parasitized pupae	(emerged parasitoids)	36	144	180		86	576	674		220	191	411		59	6	68		179	09	413	1159	1572	
	.gg	%	0.0	36.9		29.3	10.6	42.5		39.6	64.6	45.7		55.1	9'29	64.7		67.0	4.1	0.0	49.3	38.5		41.1
_	Diapaused puparia	Paras- itoids	0	71	71	15.9	13	270	283	17.3	127	127	254	21.3	41	6	20	56.8	7	0	181	484	665	18.2
No. of adults emerged from	Diap	Flies	0	09	09	13.4	3	363	366	22.3	255	147	402	33.8	7	2	6	10.2	2	0	265	574	839	22.9
f adults e	8		100	63.1		70.7	89.4	57.5		60.4	35.4	54.3		44.9	32.4		35.3	33.0	95.9	100	50.7	61.5		58.9
No. c	Active puparia	Paras- itoids	36	23	109	24,4	85	306	391	23.8	93	64	157	13.2	18	0	18	20.5	172	09	232	675	206	24.8
	Flies		56	151	207	46.3	50	550	909	36.6	116	261	377	31.7	5	9	11	12.5	41	8	227	1017	1244	34.1
	Total no. of collected alive	20 collected alive pupe pupe 92 355 447 Mean % 151 1489 1640		Mean %	591	599	1190	Mean %	71	17	88	Mean %	222	89	905	2750	3655							
	Host plant Season		2004-2005	2005-2006	Total	Me	2004-2005	2005-2006	Total	Me	2004-2005	2005-2006	Total		2004-2005	2005-2006	Total /	Me	2005-2006	2005-2006	2004-2005	2005-2006		Overall mean %
				Sugar beet				Goosefoot				Spinach			Wild beet				Chard	Mangold	Total	local	Grand total	

Table 2. Total no. of collected alive puparia and emerged adults of *Pegomya mixta* and *Opius nitidulator* from active and diapaused puparia reared from four host plants during November- April in 2004- 2005 season.

			Total no		No. of adu	ılts eme	erged fro	om	9		E
lant	Date of coll	ection	Total no. of	Activ	e pupae	Dia	paused	pupae	d pupa	% H:	rasitisr
Host plant	Month	Day	collected alive pupae	Hies	Paras-itolds	Hies	Paras-itoids	%	Total no. of parasitised pupae	Parasitism %	Monthly parasitism
	December	26	14	5	9	0	0	0.0	9	64.3	64.3
		9	13	7	6	0	0	0.0	6	46.2	
eet	January	23	15	15	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21.3
Sugar beet		6	18	3	15	0	0	0.0	15	83.3	
Suc		13	10	8	2	0	0	0.0	2	20.0]
	March	20	6	6	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42.0
		27	16	12	4	0	0	0.0	4	25.0	
	Novembe	21	19	11	8	0	0	0.0	8	42.1	42.1
	December	26	7	1	6	0	0	0.0	6	85.7	85.7
	January	9	5	1	4	0	0	0.0	4	80.0	80.0
oot	February	13	13	8	5	0	0	0.0	5	38.5	38.5
Goosefoot		6	38	7	31	0	0	0.0	31	81.6	
હ	March	13	9	9	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	67.9
		20	37	11	26	0	0	0.0	26	70.3	
	April	17	16	2	1	3	10	81.3	11	68.8	70.2
	April	24	7	0	4	0	3	42.9	7	100	78.2
	February	13	82	56	5	13	8	25.6	13	15.9	15.9
		6	86	6	48	18	14	37.2	62	72.1	
5	March	13	59	12	13	23	11	57.6	24	40.7	58.7
Spinach		20	68	12	26	17	13	44.1	39	57.3	
V)		3	106	22	1	63	20	78.3	21	19.8	
	April	10	178	7	0	115	56	96.1	56	31.5	27.7
		17	12	1	0	6	5	91.7	5	41.7	
++	February	20	1	_ 1	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
beet	March	6	11	0	1	0	0	0.0	1	100	100
Wild		10	47	4	14	2	27	61.7	41	87.2	
	April	17	22	0	3	5	14	86.4	17	77.2	84.1

Table 3. Total no. of collected alive puparia and emerged adults of *Pegomya mixta* and *Opius nitidulator* from active and diapaused puparia reared from six host plants during November- April in 2005- 2006 season.

	Date of col	llection	Total		No. of ac	lults emer	ged from:		2.0	%	
yant			no. of	Activ	e pupae	T	paused pu	pae	tal no. o irasitizec pupae	E .	ylc ism
Host plant	Month	Day	collected alive pupae	Flies	Paras- itoids	Flies	Paras- itoids	%	Total no. of parasitized pupae	Parasitism	Monthly parasitism
		2	6	0	1	0	5	83.3	6	100	
	January	16	22	5	: 6	1	10	50.0	16	72.7	74.3
بيد		30	7	3	4	0	0	0.0	4	57.1	
bee	February	13	42	12	12	9	9	42.9	21	50.0	54.7
Sugar beet	-	27	22	7	6	1	8	40.9	14	63.6	31.7
N,	March	6	63	14	15	11	22	52.4	37	58.7	25.7
		20	155	107	- 6	29	13	27.1	19	12.3	
	April	3	31	2	17	9	3	38.7	20	64.5	44.7
		10	. 7	1	6	0	1	14.3	. 7	100	10121
	November	14	11	2	9	0	0	0.0	9	81.8	46.9
		21	151	83	67	1	0	0.7	67	44.4	
	December	12	24	9	13	2	0	8.3	13	54.2	
	December	19 26	9	7	2	0	0	0.0	2	22.2	44.1
Goosefoot	January	30	8	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
ose	January	13	215	69	8	0	0	0.0	8	100	100
ဇိ	February	20	502	187	52	79	15	43.7	67	31.2	30.3
		6	197	124	120	165	30	38.8	150	29.9	-
	March	20	130	40	10 6	52 51	33	32.0 64.6	21	10.7	18.3
		3	222	19	18	12	173	83.3	39 191	30.0 86.0	- 1
	April	10	19	9	1	1	8	47.4	9	47.4	23.0
	November	21	5	5	0	0	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
	TTOTELLIDE	5	6	6	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
		19	17	3	14	0	0	0.0	14	82.4	31.1
	December	26	22	16	0	6	0	27.3	0	0.0	31.1
£	January	16	25	18	4	3	0	12.0	4	16.0	
Spinach	,	30	2	1	1	0	0	0.0	1	50.0	18.5
용	February	20	51	14	9	21	7	54.9	16	31.4	31.3
		6	136	101	1	29	5	25.0	6	4.4	31.5
	March	20	71	41	4	15	11	36.6	15	21.1	10.1
		3	83	53	30	0	0	0.0	30	36.1	51.1
	April	10	181	3	1	73	104	97.8	105	58.0	
	November	21	119	22	97	0	0	0.0	97	81.5	81.5
ą	Docombor	5	66	12	54	0	0	0.0	54	81.8	
Chard	December	19	25	2	15	2	6	32.0	21	84.0	82.4
٦	January	2	11	4	6	0	1	9.1	7	63.6	
		30	1	1	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	58.3
Man-	November	21	34	. 6	28	0	0	0.0	28	82.4	82.4
gold	December	12	33	2	31	0	0	0.0	31	93.9	93.9
goiu	January	30	1	0	1	0	0	0.0	1	100	100
Wild beet	February	20	17	6	0	2	9	64.7	9	52.9	52.9

Total	Mdy	N.		8	A Section 1			Maicil	Manch			rebludiy	Fohrusa			January	1			December	7		MOMU		Emergence weeks	•
<u>m</u>	2 nd	1 st	4#	3 rd	2 nd	1 st	4 th	3 rd	2 nd	1 st	4 th	3 rd	2 nd	1 st	4 th	3 rd	2 nd	1 st	4 th	3 rd	2 nd	1 st	Week		weeks	•
56	0	0	0	ω	15	8	2	1	0	0	4	13	4	1	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	beet	Sugar		
50	2	0	0	11	9	0	4	6	5	0	0	0	0	_	-	0	0	0	-	9	1	0	efoot	Goos-		
116	0	6	14	21	0	13	-	15	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Spirideri	2	2004- 2005	
Сī	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	beet	Wild	2005	
227	2	6	14	35	24	25	7	22	52	0	4	13	4	2	6	0	0	0	jes.	9	1	0	1000	1		
	3.3	υ π		10.2	42 3			30.7	35 7			10.1	5			2.0	2			;	4 0		% Progress	2		
151	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	96	12	0	9	10	ω	0	ۍ.	0	0	0	0	beet	Sugar		Vo. of emerg
550	0	5	6	16	9	36	120	84	118	58	0	,	_	1	4	23	51	œ	2	7	44	2	efoot	Goos-		No. of emerged flies during:
261	0	1	1	49	œ	35	84	UП	11	0	0	1	17	0	4	6	4	25	5	0	5	0	Spillderi			ij.
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	1	ω	7	5	0	19	0	Cildin	2	2005- 2006	
œ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	0	-	0	5	0	Mail-goid		006	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	beet	Wild		
1017	0	6	7	65	17	71	204	89	131	78	98	14	18	13	20	33	13	45	13	7	73	2	iotal			
	0.0	מ		13.7	15.7			75.7	202			17.71	1			10.5	100			ij	D N		% Progress	2		

Table 4. Weekly no. of flies emerged from active puparia reared from six host plants during December- May in 2004- 2005 and 2005- 2006 active seasons.

DIAPAUSE AND PARASITISM OF THE SUGAR BEET LEAFMINER, PEGOMYA MIXTA VILLENEUVE (DIPTERA: ANTHOMYIIDAE), PUPAE REARED FROM CERTAIN CHENOPOL ACEOUS HOST PLANTS AT GIZA

% progress 16.2 34.2 9.0 16.6 0.1 Total Table 5. Weekly no. of parasitoids emerged from active Pegomya mixta puparia reared from six host plants during two successive seasons. Man-gold Chard Spinach 0 2 Goos-efoot Sugar beet % progress 11.9 70.8 1.7 8.4 1.7 5.5 Total Wild 2004-2005 Spinach Goos-efoot Sugar Week Emergence weeks Month December February January March April May

Table 6. Weekly no. of flies and parasitoids emerged from diapaused Pegomya mixta puparia reared from five host plants during two successive seasons.

om obdobban	2/0		2	2005-2006						2006- 2007	7		
Ciliei gerke weeks	cks						_	No. of flies					
Month	Week	Goos- efoot	Spinach	Wild	Total	% prodress	Sugar	Goose	Spinach	Chard	Wild beet	Total	% progress
October	3 rd	0	0	0	0	,	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Atobei	4 th	0	11	0	111	4.2	0	0	14	0	0	14	5.6
	1 st	1	73	2	9/		1	0	115	0	0	116	
November	2 nd	1	106	5	112	5	2	11	10	0	1	24	
	3 rd	1	32	0	33	21.7	39	301	4	0	1	345	91.1
	4 th	0	22	0	22		11	25	1	,,	0	38	
	1 st	0	1	0	1		2	16	0	1-1	0	19	
December	2 nd	0	1	0	1		4	7	1	0	0	12	,
	3 rd	0	0	0	0	7.0	0	2	1	0	0	3	6.3
	4 th	0	0	0	0		1	н	0	0	0	2	
Janiah	1 St	0	8	0	8	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	_	0	7	0	1	5.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total		3	255	7	502		09	363	147	2	2	574	
						No. o	No. of parasitoids						
October	3 rd	0	2	0	2	ננ	0	0	0	0	0	0	
cooci	4th	0	9	2	8	6.0	0	1	0	0	0	11	7.0
	1 st	0	39	3	42		0	3	0	1	0	3	
November	2 nd	10	46	15	71	9 00	1	27	8	3	0	36	i
2000	3 rd	1	20	13	34	20.0	14	71	27	1	0	112	/8.9
	4th	2	8	7	17		38	126	59	. 8	0	224	
	1 st	0	2	1	3		13	34	23	1	0	73	
December	2 nd	0	П	0	1	c	2	7	2	0	0	17	;
	3 rd	0	0	0	0	6.2	0	0	1	0	0	1	19,4
	4 th	0	1	0	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	
	1 st	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	0	0	-	
January	2 nd	0	1	0	1	1.1	0	0	4	0	0	4	1.5
	3 rd	0	П	0	1		0	0	2	0	0	2	
Total		13	127	41	181		71	270	127	6	0	477	

REFERENCES

- 1- Babushkina, N. G. 1996. Natural regulators of beet leafminer fly numbers. Zash. Rast. (Moskva), 1: 14- 15 (English abstract).
- 2- Chillcott, J. G. 1959. The *Pegomyia hyoscyami* (spinach leaf miner) complex in North America (Diptera: Muscidae). Cana. Ent., 91 3 pp:167-170.
- 3- D'Aguilar, J. and J. Missonnier. 1957. Différences biologiques et morphologiques entre *Pegomyia betae* Curt. et *P. hyoscami* Panz. (Dipt. Muscidae). Bull. Soc. Ent. Fr., 62 5-6 pp:124-131.
- 4- El-Agamy, F. M., S. M. I. Metwally, R. El-Sufty and A. Youssef. 1994. Incidence of parasitism in the sugar beet fly *Pegomyia mixta* Villenuve and the sugar beet moth *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* Boyd in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control 4 (2): 27-32.
- 5- El-Saeady, A. A. and A. I. Shaheen. 1987. Preliminary study on seasonal abundance of *Pegomyia mixta* Vill. infesting sugar beet. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 13 (2):389-395.
- 6- El-Serwy, S. A. 2007 a. *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani), a new record parasitoid (Hymenoptera, Pteromalidae) on pupae of the beet fly *Pegomya mixta* Villeneuve (Diptera: Anthomyiidae), and the beet moth, *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* (Boyd) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Egypt. J. Agric. Res: (In press).
- 7- El-Serwy, S. A. 2007 b. A study on the incidence of diapause and parasitism of *Pegomya mixta* Villeneuve (Diptera: Anthomyiidae) in Kafr El-Sheikh and Dakahlia Governorates. Egypt. J. Agric. Res: (Un published).
- 8- Ewais, M. A. 1990. Studies on the natural enemies of the beet leaf-miner *Pegomya mixta* Villenuve (Diptera: Anthomyiidae). M sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Suez Canal Univ.: 81 pp.
- 9- Gratwick, Marion (ed), 1992. Crop pests in the U K. In Collected Edition of MAFF leaflets. Chapman and Hall. London:233-236.
- 10- Hafez, M., S. El-Ziady and N. Z. Dimetry. 1970. Ecological studies on the beet fly Pegomyia hyoscyami (Panz.) (Diptera: Anthomyiidae). Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypte, LIV:511-527.
- 11- Marei, S. S. 2004. First use of mangold plants for trapping the beet fly, *Pegomyia hyoscyami* (Panzer) in sugar beet fields in Egypt. Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control 14 (2): 327-329.

- 12- Metzger, R. and K. H. Trier 1973. Studies on the attractant and repellent effects of various substances on the beet fly *Pegomyia betae* Curtis. Archiv Phyto. Pflanz., 9 (5):325-333.
- 13- Steyskal, G. C. 1977. Beet leafminer and spinach leafminer now considered as one species *Pegomyia hyoscyami* (Panzer) (Diptera: Anthomyiidae). Cooperative Plant Pest Report U. S. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USA) v. 2 (41) p: 818.
- 14- Xue, F. S., X. F. Zhu and Z. Y. Shao. 2001. Control of summer and winter diapause in the leaf-mining fly *Pegomyia bicolor* Wied. (Dipt., Anthomyiidae). J. Appl. Ent., 125 (4): 181- 187.
- 15- Zawrah, M. F. M. 2000. Studies on some insect pests infesting sugar beet and their natural enemies. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.: 74.

السكون والتطفل فى عذارى صانعة أنفاق أوراق البنجر Pegomya mixta Villeneuve على بعض عوائل العائلة السوسبية المختلفة فى الجيزة

سمير عوض السروى

معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الدقى -جيزة

درس معدل و سير السكون والتطفل لصانعة أنفاق أوراق البنجر Pegomya mixta مـن رتبــة ذات الجناحين وعائلة Anthomyiidae على نباتات بنجر السكر ،السبانخ ،السلق البرى والـزربيح غير معاملة بالمبيدات جمعت خلال الفترة من نوفمبر ٢٠٠٤ الى أبريل ٢٠٠٥ ومع كلا مِنْ السلق وبنجر المائدة خلال نفس الفترة في عامي ٢٠٠٥ و٢٠٠٦ من مزرعة محطة التجـــارب الزراعيــــة بالجيزة. تشير النتائج المتحصل عليها الى ارتفاع المتوسط الكلى للسكون الى ٤٩٤٣ في موسم ٢٠٠٥ - ٢٠٠٥ في مقابل ٣٨،٥% في ٢٠٠٥ - ٢٠٠٦ وكذلك التطفل الى ٤٥،٦% على عكس ٤٢،١% ، على التوالي. كما اختلف معدل وسير السكون والتطفل وبدرجة عالية بين العذارى المرباة على عوائل العائلة السوسبية المختلفة . وجد أن أعلا المتوسطات العامة للسكون تبعا لترتيبها التنازلي : ۲۷، ، ۳۹،۲ ، ۳۹،۲ % على العذاري المرباة على السلق البري ، الزربيح و بنجر السكر حيث تتو افق مع معدلات النطفل وهي ٧٧،٣ ، ٢١،١ و ٤٠،٣% ، بينما بلغ معــدل الســكون ٥٥،١% و التطفل ٣٤،٥ % على العذاري المرباة على السبانخ. تراوحت معدلات السكون مابين -- ٣٦،٩% و ٣٠٠١- ٢٠٠٤% ، على العذارى التي تم جمعها من بنجر السكر و الزربيح في موسمي ٢٠٠٤-٢٠٠٥ و ٢٠٠٥– ٢٠٠٦، لكنها انخفضت من ٢٤٠٦% الى ٤٥٤٧% ومن ٢٧٢٦% الى ٦٤،٧% على تلك المرباة على السبانخ والسلق البرى في نفس الفترة. أما معدلات التطفل فتراوحـــت مـــابين ٣١،٩ - ٣٧،٢ و ٣٨،٧ - ٣٤،٩ وكذلك ٥٢،٩ - ٨٣،١ على العذارى المتطفل عليها والتي تم جمعها من السبانخ ، الزربيح والسلق البرى في موسمي ٢٠٠٥– ٢٠٠٦ و ٢٠٠٤– ٢٠٠٥، لكنها ازدادت من ٣٩،١ الى ٤٠،٦% على العذاري المرباة على بنجر السكر من الموســم ألأول الـــي الموسم الثاني. في كلا الموسمين، تدخل العذارى في بيات صيفى خلال فبرايسر - أبريال، لكن ١٠٣% تدخل في بيات شتوي خلال نوفمبر - يناير في موسم ٢٠٠٥ - ٢٠٠٦ . بلغت معدلات الدخول في البيات الصيفي ٢١،٩ ، ٢١،٨ في فبراير وازدادت الى ٢٧،٦% بينما انخفضت قليلا الى ٣٧،٥% في مارس ووصلت اعلا معدلاتها ٨٤،٨ و ٧٠٠٧% في أبريل في كلا مــن عـــامي ٢٠٠٥ و٢٠٠٦، بنفس الترتيب. وجد تزامن بين توقيت خروج أعلا نسب حــوالى ٩٢ و ٩١% للذباب و ٩١ و ٧٩% للطفيليات في نوفمبر من عامي ٢٠٠٥ و ٢٠٠٦، على التوالي. وتتوافق تلك الأعداد العالية الخارجة من الطغيليات مع معدلات التطفل المرتفعة ٧٨،٩ و ٢٢،٨% في نوفمبر من عامى ٢٠٠٤ و ٢٠٠٥. وجد هذا التزامن في موسم النشاط ٢٠٠٤- ٢٠٠٥ ولكنة فقد في الموسم الثاني. بلغت أعلا النسب المؤوية لخروج الطفيليات والذباب ٧٠٠٨ و ٣٠٢٤% في أبريل مــن عـــام ٢٠٠٥ وكذلك ٣٤،٢ و ٤٩،٤% في فبراير وابريل من عام ٢٠٠٦. لحفظ وإدامة تلك الطغيليـــات ينبغي تفادى الاستخدام الكلى للمبيدات وخفض أعداد الذباب الخارجة باستخدام بعصض العمليات الزراعية مثل الحرث العميق، وتدمير العوائل البديلة كالحشائش وجمع الأوراق المصابة يدويا قبل خروج اليرقات التعذير بالتربة وتدميرها وعلى فترات أسبوعية. كذلك تتقيط الكيروسين بمعـــدل ٣٠ لتر / فدان إلى ماء الري ربما تقلل من تأرجح وشدة مشاكل صانعات أنفاق ألأوراق في بنجر السكر.