Nurses' Workload in Trauma and Post Operative Intensive Care Units at Assiut University Hospital

Ragab, O., G; Al Torky, M., A; Abdalla, S., M.

Assistant Lecturer of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing Sohag University Professor of Community Health Faculty of Medicine Sohag University Assistant Professor of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing-Assiut University

Abstract:

The patient expects to receive high quality nursing care, delivered promptly and efficiently by nurses who appear to be satisfied with their workload .This **study aims** at measuring nurses' workload at trauma and post operative intensive care units. **Methods**: the subjects consisted of the total number of admitted patients, 112 patients at trauma ICU and 111 patients at post operative ICU and total number of nurses was 68 at trauma ICU and 23 at post operative ICU. Data collected for the period of 3 months by observation of nursing care given to all admitted patients at the selected sites for 24 hours from admission to discharge and **Results**: Trauma ICU patients had a workload. **Conclusions and Recommendations:** As NAS is a new workload measurement system at Assiut University Hospital and almost at Egypt it should be significantly used routinely to identify nurses' workload and to quantify staffing for effective use of nursing resource so: it is important to applying (NAS) to retain staff, and avoid waste of time and Inform staff with patient care results.

Key Words: Staffing - Nurses Workload.

Introduction:

Nurses coordinate the care they provide to patient, and the patient's stay in hospital by integrating a wide variety of information in the hospital from many sources (Registered Nurses Association of Ontario Hospital, 2005). Accurate definition and quantification of the nursing work is critical to the identification of appropriate nursing resource requirements (Graf, et al., 2003). Workload can be defined as the time spent on patient care by health care worker during a specific period of time (Nijssen, et al., 2002). Also workload can be defined as the amount of care allocated to patients based on an assessment of their nursing needs and the care they require (Hadley, et al., 2005). The use of actual workload can facilitate calculation of staff ratio that is, done by dividing the actual numbers of staff on the expected staff number, which results in the actual workload pressure on health workers (Yoder-Wise, 2007; Ministry of health and social welfare, 2008). Factors that influence nursing workload and organizations' response include: patient demand: environmental complexity; medical complexity; quality; nursing sensitive outcomes (a standard of measurable outcomes that can be used to evaluate nursing practice in all settings); nursing intensity; nursing skill mix; and work systems. These factors illustrate the complexity of measuring nursing workload, indicating that only some of these factors are likely to be measured by a well developed tool (George, 2003; Walker and Hendry, 2009).

A nursing workload measurement system is a key component of any process to measure nursing resource intensity (Canadian Nurses Association, 2003). The workload measurement can be broadly categorized into two types: activity based (Hughes, 1999; Siew and Ghani, 2006) and dependency based (Hurst, et al., 2008; Bray, et al., 2010).

By reviewing international literature a study of **Hegney, et al., (2003)** revealed that nurses experienced difficulties in meeting patient needs because of insufficient staffing. The outcomes of that study suggest that the majority of nurses perceive current workload to be unacceptable. **Pink, et al., (2004)** revealed that nurses' shortage imposed real economic costs. The results of nurses' shortage were unavailability of nursing staff, increased sick time, injuries, disability and other forms of productivity loss.

Nationally in Egypt, a study done on two of Menoufiya hospitals revealed that the workload at the operating theatre at University of Menoufiya hospital was greater than that at Shebin El-Kom teaching hospital (El-Salam, et al., 2008). El-Mehrezy, (2001) demonstrated that nurses' workload in Sohag governorate was as the following, 81% in the rural health unit, 56% in the combined unit, 33% in the integrated hospital and 56% in the maternal and child health division of the general medical center.

Measurement of nurses' workload helps to enhance effective use of human resources. So it is important to measure nurses' workload in trauma and post operative intensive care units at Assiut University Hospital.

Aim Of The Study

The study aims to: Measuring nurses' workload at Trauma and post operative intensive care units.

Subject And Methods

A descriptive and observational design was followed to measure nurses' workload at trauma and post operative Intensive Care Units (ICUs) at Assiut University Hospital. Trauma ICU had 16 beds; post operative ICU had 8 beds.

Subjects included in this study are: **Patients**: total coverage of nursing care given to all patients

admitted to ICUs was carried out by observation for 24 hours from admission to discharge throughout the period of data collection (3 months). **Nurses**: total number of nurses was 68 at trauma ICU and 23 at post operative ICU.

Tool of data collection: NAS aimed to estimate nursing workload by determine the percentage of time spent in patient care during 24 hours and collectively during the period of data collection, adapted from (**Miranda**, et al., 2003). The following table shows NAS:

	Basic activities of NAS	Score		
1.	. Monitoring and titration			
	1a Hourly vital signs, regular registration and calculation of fluid balance			
	1b Present at bedside and continuous observation or active for 2 hrs or more in any shift,	12.1		
	for reasons of safety, severity, or therapy such as noninvasive mechanical ventilation,			
	weaning procedures, restlessness, mental disorientation, prone position, donation			
	procedures, preparation and administration of fluids or medication, assisting specific			
	procedures			
	1c Present at bedside and active for 4 hrs or more in any shift for reasons of safety,	19.6		
	severity, or therapy such as those examples above (1b)			
2.	Laboratory, biochemical and microbiological investigations	4.3		
3.	Medication, vasoactive drugs excluded	5.6		
4.	Hygiene procedures			
	4a Performing hygiene procedures such as dressing of wounds and intravascular	4.1		
	catheters, changing linen, washing patient, incontinence, vomiting, burns, leaking			
	wounds, complex surgical dressing with irrigation, and special procedures (e.g. barrier			
<u> </u>	nursing, cross-infection related, room cleaning following infections, staff hygiene)	1.5.5		
<u> </u>	4b The performance of hygiene procedures took >2 hrs in any shift	16.5		
<u> </u>	4c The performance of hygiene procedures took >4 hrs in any shift	20.0		
5.	Care of drains, all (except gastric tube)	1.8		
6.	Mobilization and positioning, including procedures such as: turning the patient;			
	mobilization of the patient; moving from bed to chair; team lifting (e.g. immobile patient,			
	traction, prone position)			
	6a Performing procedure(s) up to three times per 24 hrs	5.5		
	6b Performing procedure(s) more frequently than 3 times per 24 hrs, or with two nurses,	12.4		
	any frequency			
	6c Performing procedure with three or more nurses, any frequency	17.0		
7.	Support and care of relatives and patient, including procedures such as telephone			
	calls, interviews, counseling; often, the support and care of either relatives or patient			
	allow staff to continue with other nursing activities (e.g., communication with patients			
	during hygiene procedures, communication with relatives while present at bedside, and			
L	observing patient)			
	7a Support and care of either relatives or patient requiring <i>full dedication</i> for about 1 hr	4.0		
	in any shift such as to explain clinical condition, dealing with pain and distress, difficult			
<u> </u>	The form and non- of either relations are activated as in the full list of the form	22.0		
	10 Support and care of either relatives or patient requiring <i>full dedication</i> for 3 hrs or	32.0		
	more in any sinit such as death, demanding circumstances (e.g., large number of relatives language problems hostile relatives)			
- e	Administrative and managerial tasks			
<u> </u>		i		

Basic activities of NAS	Score			
8a Performing routine tasks such as processing of clinical data, ordering examinations,	4.2			
professional exchange of information (e.g., ward rounds)				
8b Performing administrative and managerial tasks requiring <i>full dedication</i> for about 2	23.2			
hrs in any shift such as research activities, protocols in use, admission and discharge				
procedures				
8c Performing administrative and managerial tasks requiring <i>full dedication</i> for about 4	30.0			
hrs or more of the time in any shift such as death and organ donation procedures,				
Coordination with other disciplines				
Ventilatory support	1.4			
9. Respiratory support: any form of mechanical ventilation/assisted ventilation with or without positive and expiratory pressure, with or without muscle relevants, spontaneous	1.4			
breathing with or without positive end-expiratory pressure with or without endotracheal				
tube supplementary oxygen by any method				
10. Care of artificial airways: endotracheal tube or tracheostomy cannula	1.8			
11. Treatment for improving lung function: thorax physiotherapy, incentive spirometry,	4.4			
inhalation therapy, intratracheal suctioning				
Cardiovascular support				
12. Vasoactive medication, disregard type and dose	1.2			
13. Intravenous replacement of large fluid losses. Fluid administration >3 L/m2/day,	2.5			
irrespective of type of fluid administered				
14. Left atrium monitoring: pulmonary artery catheter with or without cardiac output	1.7			
measurement				
15. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation after arrest, in the past period of 24 hrs (single precordial thump not included)	/.1			
thump not included)				
16 Homofiltration tachniquos, dialusis tachniquos	77			
17. Quantitation techniques, dialysis techniques	7.7			
Neurologic support	7.0			
18. Measurement of intracranial pressure	16			
Metabolic support	110			
19. Treatment of complicated metabolic acidosis/alkalosis	1.3			
20. Intravenous hyperalimentation	2.8			
21. Enteral feeding through gastric tube or other gastrointestinal route (e.g., jejunostomy)	1.3			
Specific interventions				
22. Specific intervention(s) in the intensive care unit: endotracheal intubation, insertion of	2.8			
pacemaker, cardioversion, endoscopies, emergency surgery in the previous 24 hrs, gastric				
lavage; routine interventions without direct consequences to the clinical condition of the				
patient, such as: radiographs, echography, electrocardiogram, dressings, or insertion of				
venous or arterial catheters, are not included				
23. Specific interventions outside the intensive care unit: surgery or diagnostic procedures	1.9			

Scoring system: The weights of nursing activities score represent the percentage of time spent by one nurse on the activity mentioned in the item, if performed. Items 1, 4, 6, 7, and 8, only one sub item and the score calculated when chose (a, b, or c). Performed items were coded as "1" and un-performed items were coded as "2". Total score (workload) calculated by the actual NAS score for each item as mentioned in the tool, to calculate the mean of workload for each patient and then collectively to all patients during data collection period. A total score of

100.0% indicates the work of one nurse over a 24 hours period. The sum of the 23 items ranges between 0 and 177%.

Translation of tool into Arabic was done. Pilot study to assess tools clarity and applicability, was carried out for two days to all patients admitted in the designated sites. Data collection was carried out from May to July 2011. Official approval to carry out this study obtained. Ethical considerations were considered. Data entry and statistical analysis were done using a computer software package (SPSS version 16), descriptive statistics done in the form of means, frequencies, and percentages. Qualitative variables compared using T test applied to compare means values.

Results:

The results of the following study reveals that (75.9%) of trauma ICU patients were males compared to (63.1%) for patients of post operative ICU and the difference was statistically significant. As regards mean age for trauma ICU was (31.52 ± 16.41) , and for post operative ICU was (39.80 ± 17.56) .

Table (1) Workload at tra	auma and post operative	intensive care units for	the period of data collection.
---------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------

Variable	Trauma ICU (patients' days=1026)	Post operative ICU (patients' days=651)	
Mean of workload ± SD per 24 hours	62.40 ± 11.20	54.17 ± 12.29	
T test	13.82		
P value	0.000***		
Median of workload	60.89	53.23	
Range of workload	18.60-113.80	11.10-110.00	

**** The difference is very highly significant at P < 0.001.

Table (2) Mean time spent to provide care for one patient during 24 hours at trauma and post operative intensive care units for the period of data collection.

Variable	Trauma ICU (patients' days=1026)	Post operative ICU (patients' days=651)	
Mean time ±SD per 24 hours	14.98 ± 2.69	13.00 ± 2.95	
T test	13.82		
P value	0.000***		
Median time	15.17	12.98	
Range of time	4.46-27.31	2.66-26.40	

Table (1) shows that the mean of workload was (62.40 ± 11.20) and (54.17 ± 12.29) for trauma and post operative ICUs respectively. The difference was statistically highly significant. As regards the median of workload it was (60.89%) for trauma ICU and (53.23%) for post operative ICU.

Table (2) shows that the mean time spent to providecare for one patient during 24 hours was (14.98 ± 2.69) for trauma ICU and (13.00 ± 2.95) for post operativeICU. The difference was statistically highlysignificant.

Discussion:

Regarding to patients' demographic data the present study findings are consistent with the findings of **Padilha, et al., (2007)** study who found that about two quarters of the patients were males and their mean age was about fifty years. **Kiekkas, et al.,** (2008) study who revealed that more than two quarters of patients were males and the mean age was about fifty years. While the present study results contradict **Padilha, et al., (2008)** study which reported, the patients' age ranged between sixteen and ninety nine years and about fifty five percent were males. In addition **Stafsetha**, et al., (2011) study who revealed that the patients' mean age was about fifty years.

The results for workload of the present study are almost consistent with Silva, et al., (2011) study who revealed that the mean NAS was 61.92%. Ducci and Padilha, (2008) study who found that mean NAS was 59.6%. Padilha, et al., (2010) study who found that patients workload was 57.4%. Debergh, et al., (2012) study who revealed that nurses' workload per 24 hours was 54.7 %. However, the study findings are dissimilar with the results of Goncalves, et al., (2006) study who revealed that mean NAS was 69.3%. Conishi and Gaidzinski, (2007) study which found mean NAS of 65.5%. Dias, (2006) study which found that mean NAS was 74.62%. Stafsetha, et al., (2011) study which found that each nurse is capable of performing NAS of 75-90% per shift, depending on which unit is investigated.

As regards the time to provide care by nurses, the present study findings are consistent with **Raj, et al.**, (2006) study who reported, the average time spent by

a nurse on each patient during the first 24 hours of their stay was 16 hours.

Conclusions:

The study results concluded that trauma ICU patients had about sixty percent of workload, while post operative ICU patients had more than fifty percent of workload. The mean time spent by one nurse to provide care for one patient during twenty four hours was about fifteen and thirteen hours for trauma and post operative ICUs respectively.

As NAS is a new workload measurement system at Assiut University Hospital and almost at Egypt it should be significantly used routinely to identify nurses' workload and to quantify staffing for effective use of nursing resource. So, the following recommendations could be suggested:-

- **1.** Applying NAS to retain staff, and avoid waste of nurses' time.
- **2.** Training for nurses about NAS, application and the value of its application.
- **3.** Regularly inform staff with patient care results to motivate and to improve them skills.

References:

- 1. Bray, K., Wren, I., Baldwin, A., Gibson, V., Goodman, S. and Walsh, D. (2010): Standards for nurse staffing in critical care units determined by: The British Association of Critical Care Nurses, The Critical Care Networks National Nurse Leads, Royal College of Nursing Critical Care and In-flight Forum. *Nursing in Critical Care*; 15:109–111.
- Canadian Nurses Association, (2003): Measuring nurses' workload. Ottawa. NURSING NOW, Issues and trends in Canadian nursing; 2003(15): 1-4
- **3.** Conishi, R. and Gaidzinski, R. (2007): Evaluation of the Nursing Activities Score (NAS) as a nursing workload measurement tool in an adult ICU. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP*; 41(3):346-54.
- 4. Debergh, D., Myny, D., Herzeele, I., Maele, G., Miranda, D. and Colardyn, F. (2012): Measuring the nursing workload per shift in the ICU. *Original*; 38(9): 1438–1444.
- Dias, M. (2006): Application of the Nursing Activities Score (NAS) as a nursing workload measurement tool in a Cardiac Surgery Intensive Care Unit. MS Dissertation. University of Sao Paulo, School of Nursing. Retrieved October 20 2011 from:

http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/7/7131 /tde-17102006-131800/pt-br.php

- 6. Ducci, A. and Padilha K. (2008): Nursing activities score: a comparative study about retrospective and prospective applications in intensive care units. *Acta Paul Enferm*; 21(4): 581-587.
- 7. El-Mehrezy, E. (2001): Maternity care evaluation and prenatal outcome, a prospective study in some districts of Sohag governorate. Ph.D. thesis. Faculty of Medicine. Sohag University. Egypt.
- 8. El-Salam, G., Ibrahim, M., Mohsen, M. and Hassanein, S. (2008): Relationship between organizational climate and empowerment of nurses in Menoufiya hospitals, Egypt. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*; 14 (5): 1173-1184.
- George, M. (2003): The Nursing Outcomes Classification (NOC), an Overview of its Development and Features. MSN Informatics Program. Duke University School of Nursing. Durham. Retrieved May 12 2011 from: <u>http://faculty.ksu.edu.sa/Maryam%20AL%20An</u> <u>azi/Article/nursind%20outcome%20classificatio</u> <u>n.pdf</u>
- Goncalves, L., Garcia, P., Toffolleto, M., Padilha, K. and Telles, S. (2006): Nursing care needs in an intensive care unit: daily patient assessment according to the NAS. *Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem – REBEn*; 59: 56-60.
- 11. Graf, C., Millar, S., Feilteau, C., Coakley, P. and Erickson, J. (2003): Patients' needs for nursing care: Beyond Staffing Ratios. *Journal of Nursing Administration*; 33(2):76-81.
- 12. Hadley, F., Graham, K. and Flannery, M. (2005): Workforce Management Objective A: Assess use, compliance and efficacy nursing workload measurement tools. Pp. 7-60.Canadian Nurses Association. Ottawa, Ontario. Canada.
- 13. Hegney, D., Plank, A. and Parker, V. (2003): Nursing workload: the result of a study of Queensland Nurses. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. *Journal of Nursing Management*; 11(5): 307-314.
- 14. Hughes, M. (1999): Nursing workload: an unquantifiable entity, *Journal of Nursing Management*; 7: 317-322.
- 15. Hurst, K., Smith, A., Casey, A., Fenton, K., Scholfield, H. and Smith, S. (2008): Calculating staffing requirements. *Nursing Management*; 15(4): 26-34.
- 16. Kiekkas, P., Sakellaropoulos, G., Brokalaki, H., Manolis, E., Samios, A., Skartsani, C. and Baltopoulos, G. (2008): Health Policy and Systems, Association between Nursing Workload and Mortality of Intensive Care Unit

Patients. *Journal of nursing scholarship*; 40(4): 385–390.

- **17.** Marquis, B. and Huston, C. (2009): Leadership roles and management function in nursing, Theory and application. 6th ed. staffing needs and scheduling policies, chapter 17, Pp. 397-420 by Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Hong Kong. China.
- 18. Ministry of health and social welfare, (2008): Human resource for health, strategic plan 2008 – 2013. Pp. 1-83 by Ministry of health and social welfare. Dar es Salaam. The United Republic of Tanzania.
- 19. Miranda, D., Nap, R., de Rijk, A., Schaufeli, W., Iapichino, G. and the members of the TISS Working Group (2003): Nursing activities score. *Critical Care Medicine*; 31(2): 374-382.
- 20. Nijssen, S., Bonten, M. and Hoepelman, I. (2002): Can the therapeutic intervention severity score-28 (TISS-28) be used as a tool to determine contact rates between healthcare workers and ICU-patients? 42nd International Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 30; 42: abstract no. K-1357. San Diego. California. USA.
- 21. Padilha, K., Sousa, R., Garcia, P., Bento, S., Finardi, E. and Hatarashi, R. (2010): Nursing workload and staff allocation in an intensive care unit: A pilot study according to Nursing Activities Score (NAS). *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*; 26(2): 108–113.
- 22. Padilha, K., Sousa, R., Kimura, M., Miyadahira, A., Cruz, D., Vattimo, M., Fusco, S., Campos, M., Mendes, E. and Mayor, E., (2007): Nursing workload in intensive care units: A study using the Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System-28 (TISS-28). Intensive and Critical Care Nursing; 23(3): 162-169.
- 23. Padilha, K., Sousa, R., Queijo, A. and Miranda, D. (2008): Nursing Activities Score in the intensive care unit: Analysis of the related factors. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*; 24: 197-204.
- 24. Pink, G., McGillis Hall, L. and Leatt, P. (2004): Canadian-Trained Nurses in North Carolina. Longwoods Review. *Healthcare Quarterly*; 7(3): 1-12.
- 25. Raj, J., Sen, N. and John, K. (2006): Factors influencing nursing care in a surgical intensive care unit. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine*; 10(1): 15-20.
- 26. Registered Nurses Association of Ontario Hospital (RNAOH), (2005): Reporting on Nursing Workload Measurement Systems-A

Discussion of the Issues. Pp.1:16 by Registered Nurses Association of Ontario Hospital. Retrieved December 22 2010 from: <u>http://rnao.ca/sites/rnaoca/files/storage/related/15</u> 54 RNAO Workload Measurement.pdf

Ragab et al.,

- 27. Siew, C. and Ghani, N. (2006): An Overview of Nurses Workload Measurement Systems and Workload Balance. Proceeding of the 2nd IMT-GT Regional Conference on Mathematics, Statistics and Applications Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. Malaysia.
- 28. Silva, M., Sousa, R. and Padilha, K. (2011): Factors associated with death and readmission into the Intensive Care Unit. *Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem*; 19(4): 911-919.
- 29. Stafsetha, S., Solmsa, D. and Bredalb, I. (2011): The characterisation of workloads and nursing staff allocation in intensive care units: A descriptive study using the Nursing Activities Score for the first time in Norway. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*; 27(5): 290–294.
- **30.** Walker, J. and Hendry, C. (2009): A review of literature on the measurement of patient dependency, workload and workload planning and allocation within the context of nursing in the community. District Nursing Section: Community Nursing Workload literature review. Pp. 11-31 by the New Zealand Institute of Community Health Care. Zealand.
- **31. Yoder-Wise, P. (2007):** Leading and managing in nursing. 4th ed. staffing and scheduling, chapter 13, Pp. 269-288 by Elsevier Mosby. Canada.