

A large, ornate decorative frame in shades of brown and gold, featuring intricate scrollwork and floral motifs, surrounding the central text.

**English for Specific Purpose:
An Approach to Learning Purpose
and Language Use**

Dr. Tamer Hamed Mohamed

Department of Humanities, Al Obour High Institutes

E-mail: tamerh@oi.edu.eg





Abstract

The combination of the theory and glibness of ESP (English for Specific Purposes) is agitated from intertribal viewpoints. In the headmost segment neoteric research in pivotal style analysis and interdiscursivity is employed to pick out some prime rising affairs with modulations for the incorporation of ESP and verbatim practice, on the one hand, and the academy and the kingdom of work, on the other. The one more department assays the gauntlets of applying large-scale ESP programs in the context of incubating a university program for science and engineering students and submitting the motif of 'general ESP' for learners from a capacious ambit of precisions. To vest connections as relationships with career trajectories after academia, the third section argues the procurement of systemic literacy (the ability to read and write) to brace for life-long learning experiences, practice, and the accuracy of pragmatic targets for pounding functionally and literally explicit in pertinent areas



1. Introduction

The induction and the evolution of a newfangled offshoot in the schooling of English, English for specific purposes or ESP from now on, exemplify the upshot of the extensive availability in this language. Inter alia the circumstances facilitating the tendency for the origination of this academic subject, Kennedy and Bolitho (1984: 1) include: 1. The inlet of administrative surfeit Learning programs "with English as the first, and usually only, foreign language; 2. The necessity of English as a mutual ambience of intercourse as a ramification of the dilation of business and accreted vocational mobility (the ability to be moved freely and easily); 3. The simplification of loophole to scientific and technical literature. Hutchinson and Waters (1987:6) bid a long-range retrospective visibility on purposes conducting in the birth of E.S.P., when they civilize roughly the selfsame elements, which, in turn, point out a cause-effect linkage: 1. The tremendous and matchless amplification in scientific, technical and economic bounce on an ecumenical scale. Two splendors were gripping the novel post-war world: technology and commerce, whose intransigent headway soon hatched a rush ordering for an international language and, due to unforeseen circumstances the economic vigor of the U.S. it had been English which was granted and allowed this function. 2. The emergency of a modern quantity of people running out to impart/ English; the clef to international deliberations of technology and commerce. This ream of people bunched altogether essentially modernistic progeny of learners who acquainted more specifically what they were to pick up and wherefore. 3. The Oil Seizure of the premature 1970s entangled an immense influx of chattels and western proficiency into the oil-rich countries. Moreover, English overnight shaped into 'big business' and, on the other hand, commercial potentials commenced to extend a leverage in the cleverness of this lan-

guage. Frankly, Time and money bands inspired a deficiency for cost-effective courses with strictly particular. 4. The destitution for sundry countries to comprise their familiarity. Therefore, E.S.P. derived into being and gradually inculcated into a multi-layered language system mostly instituted on learners' fixed circumstances obliged by their careers or occupations.

2. Corpus analysis:

WHAT IS ESP (ENGLISH FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSE)

A) The Origins of ESP

Like most expansion in human activity, ESP has not been a streaked and tenacious activity, but rather an episode that vegetated out of a grist of assembled currents. These strains have influenced in assortment of directions around the world, but here we can set apart three essential grounds concerted to the efflorescence of all ESP.

ESP is a branch of applied linguistics that focuses on relating the teaching & learning process to learners' needs, if a group of learners' needs for a language can be accurately specified, then this specification can be used to determine the content of a language program that will meet these needs (Widdowson; 1981). Previous studies showed that the risks and dangers of ignoring requires a sense of analysis in designing English for specific purposes (ESP) courses.

1. The Instance of an Audacious New World

The end of the Second World War in 1945 was a tick for an epoch of formidable and splendid elongation in scientific, technical, and economic sphere on cosmopolitan scale. This dilation differentiated a universe consolidated and prevailed by two compels: technology and commerce, which in their sclerotic improvement soon produced a request for an international language.



Fundamentally, it is important to design an ESP syllabus according to the needs of the workplace. For example, ESP courses for engineering, tourism, and banking undergraduates need to specify their workplace communication needs. This can be attained successfully through investigating the English language skills needed by different groups to accomplish their work successfully in order to uncover whether these courses are compatible with the needs or not. Also, professional schools may need to re-assess whether the requirements of their degrees are fulfilled by the existing language programs.

2. A Revolution in Linguistics

Traditionally, the target of linguistics had been to portray the principles of language usage, that is, the grammar. However the new knowledge waged alertness away from acquainting the persnickety characteristics of language usage to finding out the methods in which language is de facto employed in veritable connection. In English language teaching, this conferred altitude to the concept that there have been major discrepancy between, say, the English of commerce and that engineering. These motifs evoked up surely with the outgrowth of English courses for specific groups of learners. The motif was soft: if language alters from one occurrence of usage to another, it should be available to define the systemic characteristics of specific events and then make these lineaments the basis of the learners' course.

In short, the idea obtained ground that the English required by a special team of learners could be determined by analyzing the linguistic features of their particular way of work or study.

B) The Development of ESP

First, it should be indicated that ESP has not been a monolithic secular original sin. ESP has elevated at various velocities at differential countries, and instance of all the tactics we shall

examine can be necessitated surgical somewhere in the universe at the current time.

1. The Notion of Special Language

Register Analysis

Sinking on the basic rubric, English of Electrical Engineering, for example, comprised a particular shackle disparate from that of Biology, or of General English; the sake of the dissection was to correspond the grammatical and lexical merits of these rosters. In fact, gage vivisection disclosed that there was very modicum that was peculiar in the sentence grammar of Scientific English beyond an inclination to bolster nominated structures such as the present simple tense, the passive voice, and nominal compounds. It did not, for example, expose any styles that were not necessitated in general English. But we must be prudent of creating oppressive quibble. Although there had been a theoretical concern in the stain of fetters of English perseverance, the major impetus behind entity analyses was the didactic one of elevating the ESP Diagram more pertinent to learners' deficiencies.

The concept of special language

It hypothesizes that a beamy ambit of matters (from enrolling examination to sentence grammar) was substantive in the late 1960s and early 1970s, in the urbanity aforesaid preceding. The requisite norm of the overhead evoked scholars was that the English of a specific realm framed a specific model distinctive from another.

The language teachers' purpose at the time was to characterize lexical and grammatical generations of these limitations. The teaching munitions converged on these linguistic items which demonstrated the circumstances. Now that a first juncture in the reconnaissances of English has accomplished its ultimate apex, specifically the treatise of the word structure down to its



smallest lexical synthesis, the E.S.P. teachers determine it is time to progress to a modern linguistic scale, the sentence. Therefore, the outline should not only confer primacy to language modalities which had an intoxicated recurrences of occurrence in science; however, they should also transact with passives, conditionals and irregular finite as (modals).

2. Further side of the Sentences: Rhetorical or Discourse Analysis

In its initial expansion, ESP has distilled on language at the sentence scale the surpassing band of germination metamorphoses solicitude to the leverage above the sentence, as ESP began to be painstakingly connected to the rising scope of discourse or rhetorical analysis. The 1980s memorialized a transaction ahead in the method to E.S.P, with Louis Trimble's (1985) *EST: A Discourse Approach*, CUP. The seniorities, for this decade, indicate: a) Grasping how sentences were collective in discourse to turn out concept b) To recognize the organizational systemic structures in texts c) To designate the linguistic wherewithals by which these manners are alluded, which all exemplified the ordinary.

3. Target conditions analysis

The phases that we resuscitate to gaze now did not really compile anything novel to the tactile of understanding about ESP. What it endeavored to perform was to occupy the upright familiarity and set it on a more scientific backbone, by fixing practicality for interrelating language analysis more nearly to-learners' cause of competition. Given that the aim of an ESP circulation is to cement learners to facilitate adequately in occasional incidents, that is, the circular events in which the learners will harness the language they are imparting, then the ESP course resolve procedures should wag by initial resemblance the purpose situation



and then finding out the delicate dissatisfaction of the linguistic terms of this situation.

Through the most demonstrations of objective situational permission is the framework set out to generate a detailed outline of the learners' run out in terms of explicit applications, societal location, the intermediaries of intercourse, language skills, functions, structures etc. The target situation analysis stage highlighted a particular 'coming of age' for ESP. What had previously been preferable very much in imperceptible way, was now well-ordered and learner's need was obviously designed at the focus of the course bunds approach.

4. The technology of Skills and Strategies

The fourth phase of ESP has been a visible endeavor to theorize below the exterior and to contemplate not the language itself but the reasoning operations that are situated language exercise. There is no predominant icon in this locomotion, although presumed endorsements are activated to elaborate reading skills. The fundamental concept behind the skills-fastened system is understanding all language significance; there are collective thinking and expanding practicalities, which vest learners excavate to import from discourse. The converge should be on the implied illustrative delineations which qualify learners to match the surface forms: a) estimation of the scope of words form context; b) harnessing visual geography to limit the kind of text; c) availing adjacent (i.e., words which is familiar in the mother tongue and the target language). This process commonly condenses on reading and listening strategies, the discriminatory workout get the learners to celebrate on and analyze how tenor is extracted in and recaptured from written or spoken communication.

5. A knowing - settled approximation:



Our apprehension is with language scholarship. We cannot frugally postulate that depicting and idealizing what people perform with language will qualify someone to sense it. If that were so, we would demand to spend no more than tutor a grammar book and a dictionary in order to apprehend a language. An actually veracious tactic to ESP must be established on a conception of the procedures of a language experiences.

C) ESP: Approach not Offspring

The mopping above presents that in its comparatively compact chronicle there have been sundry considerable mutations in the expansion of ESP both in theory and expertise. However, we have scabbed to portray that, in spite of their divergences the sequential junctures have all focused on the linguistic feature of ESP: they are all ultimately language-fastened systems. Here are the identifications of ESP:

1. ESP is not a case of school teaching 'specialised assortments' of English. The verity that language is employed for a specific purpose does not glimpse that it is an individual act of the language, distinguished in kind from other forms.
2. ESP is not just an issue of Science words and grammar for scientists, Hotel words and grammar for Hotel ensembles and so on.
3. ESP is not different in kind from any other states of language teaching, in that it should be founded in the initial instance on runic of dynamic and functional learning.

Thus, ESP must be recognized as an approach not as a product. ESP is not a special sort of language or methodology, nor does it make up of a general kind of teaching material. Dedicated duly, it is an accession to language learning, which is instituted on learner's accuracy.

Theoretical Framework:



Psycholinguistics or psychology of language has been a survey of the psychological and neurobiological operators that cement people to obtain, utilize, sense, and display language. Initial incursions into psycholinguistics were broadly philosophical or pedagogical schools of reflexion, fundamentally to their settings in segments other than applied sciences (e.g., consistent data on how the human brain fagged). Modern research hired biology, neuroscience, cognitive science, linguistics, and information science to discuss how the brain analyzed language, and less so the famed operations of affable sciences, human outgrowth, connexion theories and minor development, among others. There is a figure of sub-disciplines with non-trespassing mechanism for speculating the neurological fermentation of the brain; for example, neurolinguistics has been an approach in its own right.

The term psycholinguistics was picketed in 1936 by Jacob Robert Kantor in his book 'An Objective Psychology of Grammar' and commenced being exercised among his combination at Indiana University, but its application ultimately turned into periodic thanks to the 1946 article "Language and psycholinguistics: a review," by his student Nicholas Henry Pronko. It was exiling for the first time to talk about an interdisciplinary knowledge "that could be symmetrical" as well as in the designation of Psycholinguistics: A Survey of Theory and Research Problems, a 1954 book by Charles E. Osgood and Thomas A. Sebeok.

Psycholinguistics has partitions in studying philosophy, and enshrouds the "cognitive processes" that create its contingent to produce a lexical and significative sentence out of vocabulary and grammatical structures, as well as the operations that inspire it available to deduce utterances, words, text, etc. Pervasive developmental systemic psycholinguistics examines children's' capacity to pick up language.



This approach concentrates the following selective sequences of using lingual manner in paradoxical depictions.

Language Production Errors

The anatomy of methodical inaccuracies in speech, writing and typing of language because it is ejected can tool up directory of the operating which has produced it. Misconceptions of speech, in a definite manner, confer prudence into how the mind remedies language expulsion while an interlocutor is in the waist of an enunciation. Speech errors pasture to roll in the lexical, morpheme, and phoneme encryption strides of language utterance, as found by the methods of evolutions can portray. The sorts of speech stumbles and some examples, are:

- Substitutions (phoneme and lexical) — superseding a sound with an irrelevant sound, or a word with an antonym, and enunciating "verbal outfit" instead of "verbal output", or "He hiked his bike tomorrow "instead of "...yesterday", individually".
- Blends — commingling two synonyms altogether and urging "my stummy gushes in post of either "stomach" or "tummy.""
- Exchanges (phoneme [a.k.a. Spoonerisms] and morpheme) — reciprocating two incipience sounds or two ancestry words, and stating "You hissed my steer lessons" instead of "You missed my historic lessons", or "We are Turking talkish" instead of "We are talking Turkish", particularly".
- Morpheme shifts — proceeding an assignment morpheme such as "-ly" or "-ed" to a various word and evoking "facile enoughly" instead of "facilely enough".
- Perseveration — persisting to initiate a word with a sound that had been in the articulation formerly and recognizing "Ahmed gave the guy a ball" instead of "Ahmed gave the bugger a ball", and



•Anticipation — exchanging a sound with one that is turning up posterior in the speech and estimating "He drank a coach cup of green tea" instead of "He drank a hot cup of green tea."

Speech perversities will generally happen in the phases that encompass lexical, morpheme, or phoneme encoding, and sometimes not the headmost procedure of semantic encoding. This can be notarized to how a mouthpiece is still adjusting the thought of what to utter, and unless he modifies his mind, can not be erroneous in what he desired to vocalize.

Foreshadowing Study

Language acquisition is the practicability whereby children understand their mother tongue language. It is made up of recapitulating thematic information from the language they hear strike around them and underlying this information for ultimate purpose. This visualization of language acquisition can elucidate why one can manufacture a technical unbounded series of sentences in one's mother tongue language. This fettle is cognized as the nativist notion and chasms with a previously empiricist notion.

Linguists for the time being reckon that a considerable frame of common awareness of regarding the texture of language in inclusive is genetically converted into a coded form. (in what is sometimes summoned the Language Acquisition Device) thus that when a speaker make vulnerable to a special language children can apprehend very fast what rectifies this language has for private characteristics — so-known as a parameter setting. There are totally fixed steps which a child gangs through during premature language acquisition. These shape an alternation from the loquacious period to that of the multi-word sentence. The initial lucid word is ordinarily divorced between nine months and one year. By the age of 6 or 7, a child has obtained all the constitutional lineaments of his/her mother tongue language.



In the precocious steps, children manifest a juvenile recognized as overextension in which they employ words with too gigantic a domain. This explicate a precept of untimely language acquisition: children shift from the overall to the nominated removing impurities of their familiarity of their language as they progressed. Over and above, one can purport that those aspects and countenances which surface before the usual are inbred and overlooked in a statistical systematic circulations across the world's languages. This stratifies for request to syntax where senior lexical denomination evidence former or to phonetics where vowels and sonorants transpire before obstruents.

A stringent singularity subsists between first and second language acquisition inasmuch as the ultimate is obtained after pubescence England (the bordered for taking on a language with native-like purview). Second language acquisition is generally navigable (also known as barred) as reluctant to that of the first language which is inbred. The information which children calculate up is very broadly lifeless. For instance, it is not conceivable for a child to express in his/her knowledge about syntactic circumstances of structures although he/she is totally well ambidextrous to enforce this when utilizing sentences.

Language acquisition is analogized by other linguistic conditions, especially by that of creolization where speakers with modicum or no lingual acquaintances administers to make a novel language is a very scrubby duration. Moreover, it may be that characteristics of early language acquisition such as metanalysis in the chronicle of English — are relocated over into puberty and become incessant in an approaching language. There are several patterns of second language acquisition which contemplate the modality in which learners acquire recognition of the neoteric language, either in an identical mode to their mother tongue lan-



guage the symmetry supposition or against the surroundings of this the intrusion thesis. There are also epitomes which underline how a second language is generated (monitor symbol) or which squeeze the function of exterior elements (harangue and assimilation paradigms).

Bibliography

- Bloor, M. and T. Bloor, (1986) "Languages for Specific Purposes: Practice and Theory", *CLCS Occasional Paper*, No 19, Dublin: Trinity College.
- Charles, E. Osgood. On the combination of associative probabilities in linguistic contexts. *The American Journal of Psychology*. 67: 241-58.
- Corder, S.P. (1973). *Introducing Applied Linguistics*. Baltimore: Penguin Books.
- Douglas, D. (2000) *Assessing languages for specific purposes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dudley-Evans, T. and St John, M.J. (1998). *Developments in English for Specific Purposes: A Multi-Disciplinary Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holden, S. (1977) *English for Specific Purposes*, Modern English Publications.
- Hutchinson, T. & Waters, A. (1987). *English for Specific Purposes: A Learning Centered Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jacob, R. K. (1936). *An Objective Psychology of Grammar*. Indian University.
- Johns, A. M. (1997). *Text, role, and context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jordan, R.R. (1989). English for Academic Purposes. In *Language Teaching* 22/3, 150-164.
- Kennedy, C. & Bolitho, R. (1984). *English for Specific Purposes*. London: Macmillan.



- Leckie-Tarry, H. (1995) D. Birch (ed.) *Language and context: A functional linguistic theory of register*. London and New York: Pinter.
- Leech, G. (1997). Teaching and Language Corpora: a Convergence. In Wichmann, A., Fligelstone, S., McEnery, T. & Knowles, G. (eds) *Teaching and Language Corpora*. Harlow: Longman.
- Louis Trimble (1985). *English for science and technology: A discourse approach*: Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 180
- McDonough, J. (1984) *ESP in Perspective: A Practical Guide*. London and Glasgow: Collins ELT.
- Mackay, R. and A Mountford, (1977) *English for Specific Purposes*, Harlow: Longman.
- Robinson, P. (1980). *ESP English for Specific Purposes*. Oxford: Pergamon Institute of English.
- Stevens, P. (1977). Special-Purpose Language Learning: A Perspective. In *Language Teaching and Linguistics: Abstracts*. 10/3, 145-163.
- Swales, J. (1985) *Episodes in ESP*, Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Swales, J and H. Mustafa, (1984) *English for Specific Purposes in the Arab World*, Birmingham: Language Studies Unit, Aston University, Oxford University Press.
- Terauchi, H. (2001) *English for Academic Purposes*. Tokyo: Liber Press.
- Widdowson, H. G. (1983) *Learning Purpose and Language Use*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.