Effect of glutathione on antimicrobial activity of levofloxacin

AmalA.AbdEl-Aziz¹, WafaaA.Zahran², HodaMahrous^{3*},HanyKhalil¹,Amira H.El-Khayat²,SaadiyaA. El-Nahas¹

¹Molecular Biology Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute (GEBRI), University of Sadat City, Egypt

²Microbiology and Immunology Department, faculty of Medicine, Menofiya University ³Industerial Biotechnology Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute (GEBRI), University of Sadat City, Egypt

Corresponding author: HodaMahrous email:hmahrous7@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Overuse of antibiotics has become the major factor for the emergence and dissemination of multi-drug resistant strains of several groups of microorganisms and this lead to search for agents that may be asolution, Fluoroquinolones are a group of antibiotics widely because of their broad spectrum activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

In this study We report the effect of glutathione on the antibacterial action of levofloxacin on E-coli and *S. aureus as*levofloxacin is an important and commonly used members of the fluoroquinolonesantibiotics.Itinhibit DNA topoisomerase II and DNA topoisomerase IV activities, eventually leading tobacterial cell death. In addition, an increase of reactive oxygen species in the bacterial cells in response to levofloxacin has been shown.

Keywords: Fluoroquinolones, side effects, microbial activity and antioxidants

1. INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics are weapons of choice in fightagainst infectious bacterial diseases.Fluoroquinolonesare anti-microbial agents. withbroad spectrum bactericidal activity against both Gram- positive, Gramnegative bacteria, anaerobic bacteria, and even Mycobacterium(Shenoy, et al., 2011).

The mechanism of antibacterial action of quinolones is not completely understood; however, it has been proposed that the initial event is the inhibition of DNA synthesis by interference with thenick sealing activity of DNA topoisomerase II (DNA gyrase) and DNA topoisomerase IV. In the presence of these antibiotics, the enzyme is trapped on the DNA, resulting in the formation of quinolone-enzyme-DNA complexes, and the subsequent release of DNA ends from this

complex leads to the generation of "cellular poison" which ultimately leads tocell death(Kumar et al.,2011).

They haveusefulpharmacokinetic properties, achieve high tissue and serum levels, and have chemical biological and stability.Severalfluoroquinolones have been developed, andmany derivates have been improve bactericidaland synthesized to metabolic properties (Alba et al.. 2008).Fluoroquinolones are well tolerated in patients but their useshave been associated with effects. some adverse includinggastrointestinal discomfort, cutaneous reactions e.g.phototoxicity, juvenile joint toxicity and adverse centralnervous system effects. Although the incidence of these side effects is relatively low, the high prescriptionrates of these antibiotics may pose serious health effects on thegeneralpopulation(Naeem et al., 2016). Fluoroquinolones. including levofloxacin,have been demonstrated to stimulate the production of reactiveoxygen bacterial species (ROS) cells. in Reactiveoxygen species are reactive byproducts formed by the partialreduction of molecular oxygen. Redox cycling of various chemical substances, including fluoroquinolones, affects the reactiveoxygen species produced by cells during the oxidation process (Goswami et al., 2006).

Fluoroquinolones are known to induce the formation of singlet oxygen and superoxide anion, which are responsible for the phototoxic effect of the fluoroquinolones. A number of diverse cellular processes that lead to cell death are also mediated through ROS (Kohanski et al., 2010)

Antioxidant systems prevent the uncontrolledformationof free radicals, and inhibit ROS and its reaction withbiological Antioxidant molecules, structures.. for example reduced glutathione, actagainst several oxidant compounds, such as hydrogen peroxide superoxide anion, hydroxyl radical and reactive species of carbon(Manfredini et al., 2005) The small molecules as glutathione and cysteine can reduce a wide rangeof oxidized proteins, and protect against direct and indirectoxidation of lipid membranes and

proteins as an adaptiveresponse to increased basal oxidative damage caused by superoxide anion(**Cexiong et al., 2009**). Glutathione can also be oxidized spontaneously in the presence of ROS and thus neutralize them by its antioxidantcapacity. Furthermore, glutathione protects cells from the effects of the free radicals generated during metabolism and isconsidered to be a biological marker of the levels of antioxidantactivity (**Pa'ez et al., 2010**)

Aim of the work:

To determine whether the addition glutathione can modify the susceptibility of *S. aureus* and *E-coli* to levofloxacin.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains: Five urine samples were collected from patients. The specimens were processed according to standard microbiological methods. Two clinical bacterial isolates were obtained and identified by conventional techniques [Koneman, 2006] Antimicrobial susceptibility test:

It was done for the two isolates (*S. aureus* and *E-coli*) by disk diffusion method against levofloxacin (Oxoid). Procedures were performed and results were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute guidelines(*CLSI*, 2016).

Antibiotic	Disc content	Resistant	Intermediate	Sensitive
Levofloxacin	5µg	≤15	16-18	≥ <i>19</i>

Table 1. Zone diameter interpretive charts inhibition measurements for S. aureus(CLSI, 2016)

Table 2. . Zone diameter Interpretive standards for disc diffusion susceptibility testing for E. coli(CLSI, 2016)

Antibiotic	Disc content	Resistant	Intermediate	Sensitive
Levofloxacin	5µg	≤13	14-16	≥17

Determination of the minimum inhibitoryconcentration (MIC) in the presence of glutathione

The effect of exogenous glutathione on the antibacterial activity of levofloxacin was investigated in two clinical bacterial isolates (*S. aureus* and *E-coli*) which were provided by Urology department at MenoufiyaUniversityHospital.The

determination of the MIC for levofloxacin was performed using the brothmacrodilution test, according to the Clinical and LaboratoryStandards Institute (**CLSI**, 2016).

Preparation of antioxidant: antioxidant was freshly prepared before use. Stock solutions (10mM) of glutathione was prepared in sterile distilled water

Preparation of antibiotic dilution range:

Dilution ranges for levofloxacin ($0.03\mu g/ml - 128 \mu g/ml$) for levofloxacin - glutathione .

Preparation of inoculum:

The inocula were adjusted to 10^5 CFU (equal to 0.5 McFarland standard). Inoculation Sufficient 75×12 mm sterile capped tubes were arranged in two rows for each antibiotic to cover the range of antibiotic dilutions chosen in duplicate. One ml volumes of levofloxacin dilution in broth were transferred to the tubes.

A final inoculum of 10^5 CFU/ml was required and therefore suspensions were diluted 1:100 in broth medium for preparing the antibiotic dilutions. One ml aliquots of test organism to one set of tubes and 1 ml of control organism to the other.Contents of thetubes were mixed thoroughly, incubated for 18-20 hours at 35° C

Reading and interpretation:

the MIC endpoint was read as the lowest concentration of antibiotic that prevented bacterial growth after 18 h of incubationwas the MIC, both in the presence and absenceof glutathione.

table3. Levofloxacin susceptibility of *S. aureus* by MIC tube dilution method (*CLSI*, 2016)

Antibiotic	Resistant	Intermediate	Sensitive
Levofloxacin	≥4	2	≤1

Table 4. Levofloxacin susceptibility of *E. coli* by MIC tube dilution method (*CLSI*, 2016)

Antibiotic	Resistant	Intermediate	Sensitive
Levofloxacin	≥2	0.25–1	≤ 0.12

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regarding antibiotic susceptibility of isolated *S. aureus and E-coli*, bothisolates were sensitive to levofloxacin.

In S. aureus, the values of MIC obtained for levofloxacin was 1μ g/ml. When the sensitivity to antibiotics was determined in the presence of

glutathione, there were no significantchanges in the MIC(**Table 1**).

In *E-coli*, the values of MICwas0.12 μ g/ml for levofloxacin.

In the presence of glutathione, there were no significant changes in the MIC (**Table 2**).

MIC (μg/ml)	S. aureus MIC (µg/ml)	<i>E-coli</i> MIC (µg/ml)	P value
Levofloxacin	1	0.12	P >0.05
Levofloxacin and glutathione	1	0.12	1 / 0.00

Table 5. Effect of addition of glutathione on the susceptibility of S. aureus and E-colito levofloxacin

P>0.05 =not significant

This study showed that the antibacterial activity of levofloxacin wasnot affected by presence of glutathione as it was previously shown that synthetic quinolone antibioticspromoted the formation of the hydroxyl radical that contributed

to cell death (Kohanski et al., 2007), and it wasproposed that oxidative damage contributes to bactericidalcell death following gyrase poisoning with an oxygendependentdeath pathway appearing to amplify the primary effect on gyrase(Dwyer et al., 2007) and Glutathione was chosen because it is a scavenger of ROS, which has been shown to be involved in protecting the celleither directly or indirectly. This might constitute an adaptiveresponse to oxidative damage, which is known to increase in the presence of the antibiotic (Prinz et al., 1997; Pomposiello&Demple, 2002).

A previous study conducted on E. coli suggests that glutathione modulates the effect of antibiotics (Goswami&Jawali, 2007). These authors reported a reduction inMIC for ampicillin and penicillin, from 8 to 4 mgmL_1 andfrom 64 to 48 mgmL_1, respectively.also this result come in line with (Goswami.,et al 2011). as they reported that GSH can act as an importantmodulator of antibiotic susceptibility for bacteria asitaugments the efficacy of β lactams such s penicillin and ampicillin on E. coli cellswhich become more susceptible towards themin presence of GSH(Goswami.,et al 2011).

4.CONCLUSION

On the basis of our studies it can be concluded thatantibacterial action of therapeutically relevantantibiotics could be augmented by the presence of antioxidants like GSH and these findingsare of immense values for further investigations surrounding the intake of antioxidants on antibacterial effect of differentantibiotics for treatment of various infections arewarranted in future.

5. REFERENCES

- Albesa, I.;Becerra,MC.;Batta'n,PC.;Pa'ez PL (2004) Oxidative stressinvolved in the antibacterial action of different antibiotics.BiochemBiophys Res Commun 317: 605–609.
 - Becerra,MC.andAlbesa.I.(2002). Oxidative stress induced byciprofloxacin in Staphylococcus aureus. BiochemBiophys ResCommun 297: 1003–1007.
 - Cexiong, F.; Changgong ,W.; Tong, L.; Tetsuro ,A.; Peiyong, Z.; Junichi, S.; Hong,B. (2009) Elucidation of thioredoxin target Protein networks in mouse.JBacteriol 191: 3403–3406.
 - Goswami, M.; Mangoli, S.; &Jawali, N.(2011). Antibiotics andAntioxidants: Friends or Foes During Therapy? BarcNewesltter.Issue NO. 323.

- Goswami, M.;Mangoli,SH.;Jawali, N. (2006) Involvement of reactiveoxygen species in the action of ciprofloxacin against Escherichiacoli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50: 949–954.
- Kohanski,MA.; De Pristo,MA.; Collins, JJ. (2010) Sublethal antibiotictreatment leads to multidrug resistance via radicalinducedmutagenesis. Mol Cell 37: 311–320.
- Koneman, EW.; Winn, WJ.; Allen , Janda, W.; Procop, G.; Wood.G, et
- al. Koneman's Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology. London: Lippincott Williams &Wikins; 2006. PP.
 211-264.
- Miller ,P. and Sulavik, MC. (1996) Overlaps and parallels in the egulation f intrinsic multiple-antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli. MolMicrobiol 21: 441– 448.

- Naeem ,A.; Badshah ,S.; Muska ,M.; Ahmad ,A.; Khan ,KH.(2016) The Current Case of Quinolones: Synthetic Approaches and Antibacterial Activity Molecules, 21, 268; Doi:10.3390/molecules21040268
- Oethinger, M.; Podglajen, I.; Kern, WV.; Levy, SB. (1998) overexpression of the marA or soxS regulatory gene in clinicaltopoisomerase mutants of Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agentschemother 42: 2089–2094.

Pa'ez,PL.; Becerra, MC .;Albesa, I. (2010) Effect of theassociation of reduced glutathione and ciprofloxacin on theantimicrobial activity in Staphylococcus aureus. FEMSMicrobiolLett 303:101–105.

- Wick,LM.andEgli,T. (2004)Molecularcomponents of physiologicalstress responses in Escherichia coli.
 - AdvBiochemEngBiotechnol89: 1–45.