RESPONSE OF SOYBEAN TO RHIZOBIUM INOCULATION AND SOME MICRONUTRIENTS ADDED BY SEED COATING Abd El-Kodoos, R.Y.; Fatma A. Sherif, Dawlat Abadi and M.H. Hegazy

Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Institute, Sakha Agric. Res. Station

ABSTRACT

Two field trials on sobyean (*Glycin max* L.) were conducted at Sakha Agricultural Research Station in 2000 and 2001 seasons. This research was designed to study the effect of Rhizobium inoculation and adding Zn, Mn, Fe, separately or as mixture by using seed coating method on sobyean.

The most important findings could be summarized as follows:

- 1. Inoculation with Rhizobia and/or fertilization with micronutrients significantly increased number and weight of nodules and dry matter of plants.
- 2. Nitrogen content of dry matter and seeds recorded significant increases.
- 3. The highest seed yield 1.7 and 1.6 ton/fed. for 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively were obtained by adding the mixture of Zn, Mn, Fe using coating seed method and rhizobium inoculation.
- Results confirm the importance of inoculation soybean seeds since it is cheaper and more effective for ensuring adequate N for soybean than application of combined nitrogen fertilizer.

INTRODUCTION

Sobyean (*Glycin max* L.) is one of the most important leguminous crops in Egypt. Soybean seeds have the higher protein among leguminous crops. It occupies an intermediate position in oil percentage between legumes and oil seeds. So, it serves as a major and excellent source of oil and protein for human and livestock consumption.

Because of sobyean atmospheric fixing capacity, it should play a large role in crop growing systems especially since it is excellent break crop. It is only necessary for its price to be sufficiently higher for it to be on a par with the cereals.

Several workers proved that inoculation of sobyean with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* markedly increased nodulation e.g., El-Essawi *et al.*, 1990, Salama and Ghonema, 1990, Hegazy *et al.*, 1990, Hegazy *et al.*, 1993, Abadi *et al.*, 1995 and Ghobrial *et al.*, 1995. Also, special attention should be given to the status of micronutrients to cover the requirements of sobyean plants.

Alluvial soils of the Northern Delta region in Egypt thought to be deficient to micronutrients and subsequent low productivities of most crops cultivated there.

Application of such elements have historically been made to soil and foliage. additional method recently have been developed including seed coating (Osman et al., 1991).

The current research was conducted to study the single application of Zn, Fe, Mn and mixture application of them using the methods of seed coating in presence of inoculation of rhizobia on sobyean yield in slightly alkaline soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trails on sobyean (*Glycin max* L.) Clarck variety were carried out during the two successive seasons of 2000 and 2001 at Sakha Agricultural Station. The soil was clayey in texture, with organic matter 1.63%, total nitrogen content of 0.097%. The pH of soil-water suspension (1: 2.5) forms of N, P, Fe, Mn and Zn nutrients were found as follows:

Available-N (Amm. sulfate extract. N) 65 ppm Available-P (Olsen extractable-P) 11.51 ppm Available-Fe (DTPA-Fe) 13.50 ppm Available-Mn (DTPA-Mn) 11.61 ppm Available -Zn (DTPA-Zn) 9.17 ppm

All the soil characteristics were determined according to the standard methods mentioned by Black (1965), Chapman and Pratt (1961) and Jackson (1958).

Leghaemoglobin content in nodules was measured as described by Tu et al. (1970).

A split-plot design with 4 replicates was used to study the two factors, rhizobium inoculation occupied the main plots and the treatments of micronutrients application with coating seeds were arranged to the sub-plots. The plot area was $12~\text{m}^2$.

Inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* was carried out by mixing three effective strains (1577, RJG and SB6) while kindly supplied by Department of Soil Microbiology, Sakha Agric. Res. Station, Unit of Biofertilizer. Inoculation with those strains for seeds that treated with micronutrients only, but the control treatment was uninoculated seeds of soybean (coated and non coated, inoculated and non inoculated) were sown in rows 60 cm apart and hills spaced 20 cm. The recommended N-and P rates of (20 kg N/fed. as urea 46% and 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed. as single superphosphate 15% P_2O_5) were added at sowing.

Seed coating treatments were done at the rate of 2 g of the micronutrients compounds per one kg seeds. Triton B material was used as spreadler starker in all treatments.

Data of nodules number and weight, dry matter of plants at 50 and 90 days, from planting, nitrogen content in seeds as well as in shoots after the two stages (50 and 90 days) and seed yield were recorded. The statistical analysis (for all data) was carried out according to Snedecor and Cochran (1971). The mean values for factors studied and significant interaction were compared using L.S.D. (0.05) test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data presented in Table 1 (A) show that the soil of the two sites in both seasons, of experimentation had not planted with soybean before. That is because the uninoculated plots did not form any nodule.

It is also clear from the data that micronutrients significantly affected the number of nodules. Zinc and manganese gave highest values as

compared to the control. Ferrous achieved higher number of nodules than Zn and Mn. While the highest number of nodules was obtained by using Zn + Mn and Fe together. This result had the same trend in both season under this study. Similar findings were confirmed with Hegazy *et al.* (1990) and Hegazy *et al.* (1993).

Table 1 (B) show that dry weight of nodules was significantly increased due to the application of micronutrients. In general data of dry weight of nodules was significantly increased and took the same trend of the number of nodules. This was true in the two periods of sampling (50 and 90 days of planting). These results also were achieved in the two successive seasons of the experimentation.

At seed in Table 2 (A) inoculation of soybean seeds significantly increased the dry weight of shoots as compared to the uninoculated one. These results were obtained in the two ages of plants during the two seasons.

Also, it is clear from the data in Table 2(A) that application of micronutrients significantly increased the dry weight of shoots. Zinc significantly increased the dry weight of shoots over the control. Mn gave higher values over that obtained by Zn and control. Fe gave higher values over the control, Zn and Mn. While the highest values of dry weight of shoots were obtained by using the mixture of the three micronutrients (Zn + Fe + Mn).

Table 2(B) presents the nitrogen content (%) in sobyean shoots at the two ages of plant. It is clear from the data that results took the same trend of that obtained from the dry weight of shoots. Since the inoculated plants which received the mixture of (Zn + Mn + Fe) gave the highest values of N (%) in both seasons in both ages of plants.

Concerning the seed yield which is the most important character data in Table 3 revealed that seed yield was increased due to the application of Zn over the control treatment. Further increase was obtained by using Mn over the control and Zn. Fe application gave higher seed yield more than using Zn or Mn separately and over the control also. The highest seed yield was obtained when Zn + Mn + Fe were used as mixture of them.

The results in both seasons had the same trend (1.70 and 1.63 ton/fed.) in 2000 and 2001 respectively.

It should be mentioned in here, that a significant effect of interaction on seed yield between inoculation and micronutrients application. Since the above mentioned seed yield was achieved by the application of micronutrients (Zn + Mn + Fe) when plants were inoculated by Rhizobia.

Regarding nitrogen content is seed (%) data revealed significant increases due to the application of micronutrients either separately or in mixture.

The highest values were obtained when micronutrients (Zn + Mn + Fe) were used as mixture under the inoculation.

These results were true in both seasons and in harmony with those obtained by several workers i.e. (Hegazy *et al.*, 1990 and Abadi, Dawlat *et al.* (1995).

Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and seed coating with Zn, Fe, Mn on number of nodules at 50 and 90 days in 2000 and 2001 season. Table (1A):

| | First st | First stage (50 days) 2000 First stage | s) 2000 | First sta | First stage (50 days) 20001 | 's) 20001 | Seconds | Second stage (90 days) 2000 Second stage (90 days) 2001 | 1ys) 2000 | Seconds | stage (90 da | ays) 2001 |
|--------------|----------|--|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|---|-----------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean |
| Control | 0 | 35.67 | 17.84 | 0 | 29.33 | 14.67 | 0 | 27.67 | 28.84 | 0 | 51.33 | 25.67 |
| Zu | 0 | 36.00 | 18.00 | 0 | 31.00 | 15.50 | 0 | 52.67 | 26.34 | 0 | 51.0 | 25.50 |
| M | 0 | 34.67 | 17.34 | 0 | 31.67 | 15.84 | 0 | 25.67 | 27.84 | 0 | 47.00 | 23.50 |
| Fe | 0 | 38.67 | 19.34 | 0 | 37.00 | 18.50 | 0 | 59.33 | 29.67 | 0 | . 56.33 | 28.17 |
| Zn + Mn + Fe | 0 | 45.00 | 22.50 | 0 | 42.00 | 21.00 | 0 | 69.67 | 34.84 | 0 | 66.33 | 33.18 |
| L.S.D. | | | 3.765 | | | 2.201 | | | 4.580 | | | 3.920 |

Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and seed coating with Zn, Fe, Mn on dry weight of nodules (g.) at 50 and 90 days in 2000 and 2001 season. Table (1B):

| | | and so adjoin hose and hee socasem | 2001: | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|---|-----------|
| | First st | First stage (50 days) 2000 | 's) 2000 | First S | First stage (50 days) 2001 | ys) 2001 | Second 8 | stage (90 da | ys) 2000 | Second 8 | Second stage (90 days) 2000 Second stage (90 days) 2001 | 1ys) 2001 |
| | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean |
| (B) | | | م | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 0 | 0.157 | 0.079 | 0 | 0.150 | 0.075 | 0 | 0.350 | 0.175 | 0 | 0.313 | 0.157 |
| Zn | 0 | 0.147 | 0.074 | 0 | 0.147 | 0.074 | 0 | 0.337 | 0.167 | 0 | 0.314 | 0.157 |
| Mn | 0 | 0.160 | 0.080 | 0 | 0.153 | 0.077 | 0 | 0.343 | 0.172 | 0 | 0.327 | 0.164 |
| Fe | 0 | 0.177 | 0.089 | 0 | 0.163 | 0.082 | 0 | 0.407 | 0.204 | 0 | 0.357 | 0.179 |
| Zn + Mn + Fe | 0 | 0.197 | 0.099 | 0 | 0.183 | 0.092 | 0 | 0.457 | 0.229 | 0 | 0.407 | 0.204 |
| L.S.D. | | | 0.042 | | | 0.023 | | | 0.016 | | | 0.023 |

| _ | Table (2A): | Dry w | eight of | sobyean | shoots (| g.) in the | two per | Dry weight of sobyean shoots (g.) in the two periods (50 and 90 days) in seasons of 2000 and 2001. | nd 90 day | s) in sea | asons of | 2000 an | 1 2001. |
|----|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | | First st | First stage (50 days) 2000 | 's) 2000 | First st | First stage (50 days) 2001 | ys) 2001 | Second 8 | Second stage (90 days) 2000 | ys) 2000 | | Second stage (90 days) 2001 | ıys) 2001 |
| | | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean |
| | Control | 12.32 | 15.68 | 14.00 | 11.81 | 14.63 | 13.22 | 16.80 | 27.40 | 22.10 | 16.26 | 26.30 | 21.28 |
| | Zn | 12.47 | 15.67 | 14.07 | 11.93 | 14.19 | 13.06 | 17.30 | 27.57 | 22.44 | 16.73 | 26.73 | 21.73 |
| | Mn | 13.23 | 15.90 | 14.57 | 12.42 | 14.60 | 13.51 | 17.50 | 27.87 | 22.69 | 16.87 | 27.43 | 22.15 |
| | F.e | 13.73 | 16.27 | 15.00 | 12.73 | 15.17 | 13.95 | 17.83 | 28.57 | 23.20 | 17.30 | 28.17 | 22.74 |
| | Zn + Mn + Fe | 13.88 | 16.82 | 15.35 | 13.20 | 16.70 | 14.95 | 18.60 | 30.33 | 24.47 | 17.83 | 29.87 | 23.85 |
| 56 | L.S.D. | 0.701 | 0.295 | 0.418 | 0.861 | 0.372 | 0.526 | 0.023 | 0.0612 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 60.0 | 0.013 |
| 53 | Table (2B): | Nitrog 2001. | ten perc | ent in sł | hoots of | soybean | in the tw | trogen percent in shoots of soybean in the two stages (50 and 90 days) in seasons of 2000 and 01. | (50 and 9 | 0 days) | in seaso | ns of 20(| 00 and |
| | | First st. | First stage (50 days) 2000 | 's) 2000 | First St | First stage (50 days) 2001 | lys) 2001 | Second | Second stage (90 days) 2000 | ys) 2000 | Second 8 | Second stage (90 days) 2001 | tys) 2001 |
| | | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | Inoc. | Mean |
| | Control | 1.90 | 2.34 | 2.12 | 1.88 | 2.34 | 2.11 | 2.32 | 2.90 | 2.61 | 2.30 | 2.90 | 2.60 |
| | Zn | 1.91 | 2.36 | 2.14 | 1.88 | 2.34 | 2.11 | 2.32 | 2.91 | 2.62 | 2.31 | 2.91 | 2.61 |
| | Mn | 1.88 | 2.37 | 2.13 | 1.89 | 2.35 | 2.12 | 2.34 | 2.89 | 2.62 | 2.32 | 2.88 | 2.60 |
| | a a | 1.92 | 2.41 | 2.17 | 1.92 | 2.40 | 2.16 | 2.36 | 3.02 | 2.69 | 2.34 | 3.01 | 2.68 |
| | Zn + Mn + Fe | 1.94 | 2.51 | 2.23 | 1.93 | 2.48 | 2.21 | 2.38 | 3.13 | 2.76 | 2.39 | 3.06 | 2.73 |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |

Table (3): Response of seed yield of soybean to inoculation and micronutrients as well as nitrogen content % in

| Seeds of soybean. Seed vield for fed 2000 | | | Seed vield for | rield for | /fe | d 2001 | ź | N, in speds 2000 | 00 | Ž | N. % in seeds 2001 | 1004 |
|--|---------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|------------------|-------|---------|--------------------|-------|
| Seed yield (Olivied: A000 | deid tollited. 2000 | . 2000 | | | year coming | d. 2004 | | ny engae III | 3 | 7 2 1 | coas III e | 200 |
| Uninoc. Inoc. Mean | | Mean | | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean | Uninoc. | lnoc. | Mean |
| 1.080 2.109 1.598 | ┼- | 1.598 | | 1.068 | 2.020 | 1.544 | 4.69 | 6.08 | 5.39 | 4.67 | 6.07 | 5.37 |
| 1.096 2.115 1.603 | | 1.603 | | 1.080 | 2.030 | 1.549 | 4.70 | 60.9 | 5.40 | 4.68 | 60.9 | 5.37 |
| 1.099 2.122 1.611 | 1.611 | | | 1.090 | 2.098 | 1.594 | 4.70 | 6.12 | 5.40 | 4.68 | 60.9 | 5.39 |
| 1.123 2.188 1.656 | _ | 1.656 | | 1.114 | 2.108 | 1.611 | 4.73 | 9.17 | 5.45 | 4.70 | 6.14 | 5.42 |
| Zn + Mn + Fe 1.167 2.237 1.702 | 1.702 | | | 1.133 | 2.125 | 1.629 | 4.77 | 6.27 | 5.52 | 4.77 | 6.21 | 5.49 |
| 0.022 0.065 0.090 | 060.0 | ╁┈ | | 0.018 | 0.034 | 0.048 | 0.035 | 0.351 | 0.497 | 0.031 | 0.347 | 0.491 |

Data of leghaemoglubin content of nodules were determined and presented in Table 4. It is well known that the concentration of these component is correlated with N_2 -fixing ability of nodules. (Bergersen and Turner, 1975). Also, nitrogen fixation consists of iron protein. Data in Table 4 show that leghaemoglubin content increased due to application of micronutrients. It is clear that Zn increased leghaemoglubin over the control. Also, it is obvious that application of the and Fe alone achieved significant increases over zinc alone. While the highest leghaemoglubin content was recorded by the application of the three micronutrients (Zn, Fe and Mn).

Table (4): Legheamoglobin content of root nodules of soybean in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

| una | | 4001.01 | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-------------|------|-----|--------------|------|
| | Af | ter 50 days | 2000 | Aft | er 50 days 2 | 001 |
| | Un. | ln. | Mean | Un. | In. | Mean |
| Control | 0 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0 | 0.98 | 0.49 |
| Zn | 0 | 1.10 | 0.55 | 0 | 1.02 | 0.51 |
| Mn | 0 | 1.18 | 0.59 | 0 | 1.06 | 0.53 |
| Fe | 0 | 1.21 | 0.61 | 0 | 1.15 | 0.58 |
| Zn + Mn + Fe | 0 | 1.39 | 0.70 | 0 | 1.29 | 0.65 |
| | 0 | 1.19 | | 0 | 1.10 | |

L.S.D. at 0.05 = 0.023

REFERENCES

- Abadi, Dawlat; M.H. Hegazy and Faiza K. Abd El-Fattah (1995). The interaction effect of inoculation and zinc application on nodulation, growth and yield of soybean. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 40(1): 107-116.
- Black, C.A. (ed.), (1965). Methods of soil analysis. Part II, Amer. Soc. Agric., Inc. Pub. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Burgersen, F.J. and G.L. Turner (1975). Leghaemoglubin and the supply of O_2 to nitrogen fixing root nodule bacteriods: Presence of oxidase systems and ATP production of free O_2 concentration. J. Gen. Microbiol., 91: 345-354.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1961). Methods of analysis for soil and waters. Univ. of California Division Agric. Sci., 2nd Printing.
- El-Essawi, T.M.; Dawlat Abadi and S. Abd El-Ghaffar (1990). Growth development, nodulation and yield components of soybean C.V. Crawford as affected by N-fertilization and irrigation regime. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 15(10): 1703-1712.
- Ghobrial, W.N.; Dawlat Abadi, R.Y. Abd El-Kodoos and M.H. Hegazy (1995). Nitrogen fixation potential as affected by *B. japonicum* strains and soybean cultivars for maximizing sobyean productivity, Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams, Cairo, 40(10): 117-120.
- Hegazy, M.H.; Fatma El-Hawary and W.N. Ghobrial (1990). Effect of micronutrients application and Bradyrhizobium inoculation on soybean. 3rd Conf. Agric., Dev. Res., Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt, Annals Agric. Sci., Special Tissue, pp. 381-398.

- Hegazy, M.H.; K.M. Sayed; A.A. Hassan and G.N. Gamieh (1993). Effect of seed coating with some micronutrients on the yield of soybean and its relationship with spider mites infestation *Tetranychus cucurbitacearum* (Sayed). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 8(4), pp. 488-195.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958). Soil Chemical Analysis Constable & Co. Ltd., London. Osman, A.; M.H. Hegazy and S. Ghaly (1990). The effect of seed coating with certain micronutrients on the field of faba bean. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 351-357.
- Salama, A.M. and M.H. Ghonema (1990). Response of sobyean cultivars to rhizobium inoculation, molybdenum and some growth regulators. Proc. 4th Conf. Agron. Cairo, 11: 161-175.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1971). Statistical methods, 5th Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Tu, J.C.; R.F. Ford and C.R. .Grawi (1970). Some factors affecting the nodulation and nodule efficiency in soybean infected by soybean mosaic virus. Phytopathology., 60(11): 1653-1656.
- استجابة فول الصويا للتلقيح بالريزوبيا والتسميد ببعض العناصر الصغرى المضافة بطريقة تغليف البذره

رزق يوسف رزق ـ فاطمة أحمد شريف ـ دولت عبادى ـ محمد حسين حجازى معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة ـ محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان على محصول فول الصويا بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا فـــى موسمى ٢٠٠٠ ، ٢٠٠١م لدراسة تأثير التلقيح البكتيرى بالريزوبيا وإضافة عناصر صغرى هــــى الزنك والحديد ، والمنجنيز منفردة أو فى مخلوط يجمع بين العناصر الثلاث ؛ باســـتخدام طريقــة تغليف البذره ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليا كما يلى:

- التلقيح البكتيرى بالسلالات الفعالة لفول الصويا إيجابيا واقتصاديا لتحقيق احتياجات فول الصويا من عنصر النيتروجين و أفضل من إضافة الأسمدة النيتروجينية المعدنية.
- ۲- زادت جميع صفات محصول فول الصويا التي تمت دراستها بتيجة لإضافة عناصر الزنك
 أو الحديد أو المنجنيز منفردة أو إضافتها مجتمعة تحت ظروف التلقيح البكتيري مقارنة
 بمعاملة المقارنة.
- كان أعلى محصول فول الصويا هو ١,٦، ١,٧ طن/فدان لموسمى ٢٠٠١/٢٠٠٠ على التوالى تم الحصول عليه بإضافة خليط من العناصر الصغرى التسلات زنك + حديد + منجنيز بطريقة تغليف البذره تحت ظروف التلقيح البكتيري.
- 3- تؤكد النتائج أهمية التلقيح البكتيرى لمحصول فول الصويا للحصول على أعلى محصول وضرورة إرشاد المزارعين للقيام بهذه العملية الزراعية الناجحة ذات العائد الاقتصادى المفد.