RESPONSE OF SOYBEAN TO PHSOPHOGYPSUM AND SUPERPHOSPHATE APPLICATION UNDER THE EGYPTIAN SOILS CONDITIONS

El-Saady, A. S. M.

Soils, water and environment institute, agric. Res. Center, giza egypt

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out at Sakha Agriculture Research Station Farm during 2002 and 2003 summer seasons using soybean (*Glycine max*) variety Giza 111 to investigate the effect of phosphogypsum (PG) as a source of posphorus and some nutrients, superphosphate (P) and potassium (K) on soybean yields, nutrient status in soil and plants. Split plot design was used in four replicates. The main plots were assigned by four treatments of 1- without PG and P (check treatment), 2-application of 2 ton PG/fed., 3- application of 15 kg P_2O_5 fed as superphosphate 15% (P), and 4- application of PG + P (2 ton PG+ 15 kg P_2O_5 /fed.). The subplots were assigned by three potassium (K) levels of 1- without potassium (Ko), 2- application of 24 kg K_2O fed (K_1) as potassium sulphate 48%, and 3-application of 48 kg K_2O fed (K_2).

The results can be summarized as follow:

Phosphogypsum application led to increase soybean seeds and straw

yields: while PG + P gave the highest oil yield kg fed-1.

Potassium application at the rate of $48\ kg\ K_2O$ fed observed a decrease in oil yield kg fed Addition of PG + P led to 38.77% increase in protein yield kg fed in the first season. In the second season addition of P alone led to 22.51% increase in protein yield kg fed. PG caused a detected increase in soybean nodules number and nodules dry weight comparing with the other treatments. PG application slightly decreased soil pH. On the other hand a slight increase in soil EC due to PG application was obtained. PG application increased the residual available N, P and K in the soil.

INTRODUCTION

The over population in the last ten years in addition to stable cultivated area in Egypt led to big gab of per capeta acreage of the protein and oil. Soybean is one of the most important crops in Egypt contribute in solving this problem. It has a high percentage of oil and protein in seeds (Scott and Aldrich, 1983 and Knany et al., 2000). It is widely cultivated throughout the world because it can be grown in a wide range of soils and climates. The reduction in the area cultivated by soybean might be attributed to one or more of the following reasons:

1-the stagnation of soybean productivity per unit area, 2-the competition between soybean and summer cash crops, soybean is considered a source of cotton leafworm infection. pH of Egypt soils tends to be high, causing problems with *Rhizobium japonicum* inoculant.

Recently more attention has been directed to newly reclaimed lands i.e., sandy, saline and alkaline soils. The phosphorus fertilization is the limiting factor of the soybean production under these conditions. (Seif El-Nasr and Abu Amou, 1999 and Knany *et al.*, 2000).

Phosphogypsum is a by-product of fertilizer plants, inexpensive strongly acidic materials might be used to lower the soil pH (Bayrakli, 1990, Luther et al., 1993, Liang et al., 1995 and El-Saady, 2002). It is an effective

nutrients source, it has a high Ca, Fe, Mn, Mg, K and P content (Bayrakli, 1990, Shahandeh and Sumner, 1993, Carbonell et al., 1999 and El-Saady, 2002).

The present investigation aims to study the effects of using phosphogypsum on soybean yield, protein content, soil pH as well as the status of some nutrients in soil and plants compared with ordinary superphosphate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at Sakha Agriculture Research Station Farm during two successive summer seasons of 2002 and 2003 using soybean (Glycine max) seeds var. Giza 111 to investigate the effects of phosphogypsum, phosphate and potassium application on soybean productivity. The experimental soil characteristics are shown in Table 1. The recommended seeds rate was inoculated by effective strain of Rhizobium japonicum and immediately sown in June 23 and 17 in the first and second season, respectively. Split plot design was used in four replicates. The main plots were assigned by four phosphogypsum and superphosphate treatments of 1- neither phosphogypsum (PG) nor superphosphate (P) (check treatment), 2- application of 2 tons fed PG, 3- application P at the rate of 15 kg P₂O₅ fed⁻¹ on the form superphosphate, and 4- application of 2 tons fed⁻¹ + 15 kg P₂O₅ fed⁻¹. The sub plots were randomly assigned by three potassium levels of 1- without K, 2- application of 24 kg K₂O fed 1 as potassium sulphate 48%, and 3- application of 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹. The other agriculture practices were done during the seasons as recommended. At harvesting total seeds and straw yields were weighted. Soil samples were collected and available N, P and K were determined according to Black et al. (1965) and Jackson (1958). Oil percent of seeds was determined according to A.O.O.A. and calculated as kg fed-1. Protein was calculated by multiplying N% x 6.25. Nodules numbers and its dry weight was recorded during the growth stage. Soil pH, EC and the residual amounts of some nutrients were estimated according to Black et al. (1965) at harvesting.

Table 1: Experimental soil characteristics.

Season	Texture	EC	рН	Availal	ole nutrien	ts ppm
		dSm ⁻¹		N	Р	K
2002	Clayey	2.11	8.04	22.4	11	300
2003	Clayey	3.68	8.03	20	6	280

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4 show the effects of phosphogypsum, and superphosphate treatments on soybean seed yield, straw yield, 100 seeds weight and oil yield, kg fed⁻¹.

1.Seeds yield:

It is quite obvious from the data presented in Table 2 that addition of the phosphogypsum plus superphosphate had the highest soybean seed yield i.e. 1474 and 1252 kg fed⁻¹ in the first and second season, respectively. On

the other hand addition of phosphogypsum alone led to increase soybean seeds yield from 1073 and 1124 kg fed⁻¹ (control) to 1361 and 1163 kg fed⁻¹ in the first and second season, respectively. Such increase was highly significant in both seasons. Addition of superphosphate alone led to highly significant increase in soybean seed yield from 1073 and 1124 kg fed⁻¹ (control) to 1272 and 1147 kg fed⁻¹ in the first and second season, respectively. Such increases of soybean seeds yield as phosphogypsum and superphosphate was applied may be due to phosphorus and calcium elements content as impurities in phosphogypsum materials and due to phosphorus in the other one. These results could be enhanced with those obtained by Abdallah *et al.*, 1989, Gendy *et al.*, 1996 and Carbonell *et al.*, 1999.

Table 2: Effect of phosphogypsum and superphosphate treatments on soybean seeds, straw yields (kg fed⁻¹), 100 seeds weight (g) and oil yield (kg fed⁻¹) in both seasons

	Seeds yield		Straw yield		100 seeds weight		Oil yield	
	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
	season	season	season	season	season	season	season	season
PG ₀ P ₀	1073 c	1124 b	1167 c	1177 c	16.23	13.43	254.2 b	299.9 b
PG ₀ P ₁	1272 b	1147 b	1451 b	1314 b	17.20	13.19	331.8 a	325.3 b
PG ₁ P ₀	1361 b	1163 b	1696 a	1447 b	16.54	13.73	317.4 a	323.3 b
PG ₁ P ₁	1474 a	1252 a	1373 b	1536 a	16.08	13.06	338.9 a	375.6 a
F-test	**	**	**	**	NS	NS	*	*
L.S.D. 0.05	99.73	38.65	194.8	200.7			44.4	31.7

PG₀P₀= Without phosphogypsum, and superphosphate, PG₀P₁= 15 kg P₂O₅/fed. as superphosphate

 $PG_1P_0=2$ ton phosphogypsum/fed., $PG_1P_1=15$ kg P_2O_5 as superphosphate + 2 ton phosphogypsum/fed.

NS = Not sigificant at level 5%, * Significant at level 5%, ** Significant at level 1%

Table 3: Effect of potassium levels on soybean seeds yield (kg fed⁻¹), straw yield kg fed⁻¹, 100 seeds weight (g) and oil (kg fed⁻¹) in both seasons.

Treatments	Seeds	Seeds yield		yield	100 seed	s weight	Oil y	ield
	1 st	2 nd						
	season							
K ₀	1342 a	1216 a	1423	1575	16.28 ab	13.18	327.0 a	331.9 b
K ₁	1321 a	1196 a	1479	1426	17.35 a	13.33		
K ₂	1222 b	1103 b	1362	1555	15.91 b	13.55	277.2 b	310.8 b
F-test	**	**	NS	NS		NS	*	**
L.S.D. 0.05	64.05	48.16			1.16		35.8	22.6

 K_0 = Zero potassium K_1 = 24 kg K_2 O/fed. K_2 = 48 kg K_2 O/fed. NS = Not significant at level 5%, * Significant at level 5%, ** Significant at level 1%

Highly significant decrease of soybean seeds yield in both seasons due to potassium fertilization (Table 3). Values of control treatments were 1342 and 1216 kg fed⁻¹. While the lowest values were 1222 and 1103 kg fed⁻¹ obtained with the highest potassium level 48 kg $\rm K_2O$ per fed. Similar results were obtained by Richard *et al.* (2003) who reported that, when the potassium

soil test was higher than 124 ppm, soybean no need to K fertilization (available K was 300 and 280 ppm for the first and second season). Effects of the interaction between PG, P and K on soybean seeds yield (Table 4) show that the highest yield was obtained with PG $_1$ P $_1$ K $_1$ treatment of 1540 and 1330 kg fed $^{-1}$ in the first and second season, respectively. While the lowest yield was obtained with PG $_0$ P $_0$ K $_2$ in both season.

Table 4: Effect of the interaction between PG, P and K on soybean seeds and straw yields, 100 seeds weight, and oil yield (kg fed-1) in both seasons.

		s yield		yield	100 seed	s weight	Oil y	ield
Treatments	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
	season	season	season	season	season	season	season	season
PG _o P _o K _o	1190 c	1260 abc	1423 bcd	1925 ab	15.7	12.8	252.0	292.7
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₁	1050 d	1120 de	1073 d	1855 ab	17.63	14.07	240.0	278.0
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₂	980 d	991.7 f	1003 d	1552 bcd	15.37	13.43	214.7	284.0
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₀	1260 c	1213bcd	1330 cd	1528 bcd	16.47	12.5	239.3	265.0
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₁	1295 bc	1155 cde	1785 ab	991.7 e	18.07	13.07	292.7	300.7
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₂	1260 c	1073 ef	1237 cd	1423 cd	17.07	14.0	249.3	286.0
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₀	1470 a	1237 abc	1563 abc	1563 bcd	17.1	13.97	250.0	288.7
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₁	1400 ab	1178 bcd			16.97	13.23	236.7	282.7
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₂	1213 c	1073 ef	1890 a	1980 a	15.57	14.0	209.0	260.7
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₀	1447 a	1155 cde	1377 bcd	1283 de	15.87	13.43	234.0	267.3
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₁	1540 a	1330 a	1423 bcd	1762 ab	16.73	12.97	215.3	
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₂	1435 a	1272 ab	1318 cd	1563 bcd	15.63	12.77	The state of the s	308.7
F-test		**	2	**	NS		230.7	294.0
L.S.D. 0.05	128.1	96.32	375.5	292.8	142	NS	NS	NS

2. Straw yield:

Data presented in Table 2 show that soybean straw yield had high significant effects when using PG and P treatments. The highest straw yield were 1696 and 1536 kg fed obtained with PG $_1$ P $_0$ treatment in the first season and with PG $_1$ P $_1$ in the second season. On the other hand, the lowest values were 1167 and 1177 kg fed recorded with PG $_0$ P $_0$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. These findings revealed that PG is considered a good source of phosphorus. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abdallah *et al.*, 1989 and Carbonell *et al.*, 1999.

Potassium fertilization levels for soybean had no significant effect of straw yield in both seasons. The interaction effects between phosphogypsum, superphosphate and potassium on soybean straw yield (Table 4) show that the highest mean values were 1890 and 1980 kg fed $^{-1}$ obtained with PG $_1$ P $_0$ K $_2$ in the first and second season, respectively.

3. Hundred seeds weight:

Data of Table 2 show that both PG and P had no significant effects on the hundred seeds weight in both seasons. On the other hand, potassium fertilization levels had significant effect on hundred seeds weight in the first season only. The highest value was 17.35 with K₁ level (Table 3). Similar results were reported by Svagzdys, 1990 and Gelderman et al., 2002.

4. Oil vield kg fed-1:

Data presented in Table 2 show that PG, P and PG + P treatments significantly affected soybean oil yield kg fed⁻¹. The highest values were 338.9 and 375.6 kg fed⁻¹ obtained by PG₁ + P₁ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. On the other hand, the lowest values were 254.2 and 299.9 kg fed⁻¹ recorded with PG₀ P₀ treatment in the first and second season, respectively.

Potassium fertilization levels significantly affected soybean oil yield in the first season, but the second season showed higher significance (Table 3). The highest values were 327.5 and 350.5 kg fed 1 obtained with $\rm K_1$ treatment. On the other hand, the lowest values were 277.2 and 310.8 kg fed 1 recorded with $\rm K_2$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively.

The interaction effects between PG, P, PG + P and K levels had no significant effects on soybean oil yield kg fed⁻¹ in both seasons. Higher oil yield are combined with high seeds yield in addition soil had enough available potassium content. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Essawi and Abadi, 1989; Seif El-Nasr et al., 1999 and Gelderman et al., 2002.

5. Protein yield:

Protein yield of the soybean is the most important component of the seeds. Data presented in Tables 5, 6 and 7 show the effect of phosphogypsum, superphosphate, potassium and their interaction on the soybean protein percent and yield per fed. in the seeds. Neither PG and P nor potassium significantly affected protein percent of soybean seeds (Tables 5 and 6). Data presented in Table 7 show that the protein yield kg fed⁻¹ of soybean was more different between the interaction treatments.

Table 5: Effect of PG, P and PG + P on soybean protein %, P%, K% and Ca % in both seasons.

	Protei	n %	P	P%		%	Ca	%
Treatments	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season
PG ₀ P ₀	32.32	31.6	0.39	0.44	1.53	1.44	1.93 d	1.78 d
PG ₀ P ₁	34.24	34.14	0.39	0.48	1.47	1.46	3.21 b	2.95 b
PG ₁ P ₀	34.14	33.36	0.42	0.44	1.52	1.49	3.33 a	3.08 a
PG ₁ P ₁	32.91	32.02	0.41	0.52	1.53	1.51	2.95 c	2.71 c
F-test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**
L.S.D. 0.05						1 446555	0.002	0.01

Table 6: Effect of K levels on soybean protein %, P%, K% and Ca% in both seasons.

	20							
Treatment s	Prote	ein %	P%		K	%	Ca %	
	1 st season	2 nd season						
K ₀	33.51	32.96	0.42	0.44	1.50	1.47	3.08 a	2.89 a
K ₁	33.12	32.78	0.39	0.49	1.51	1.48	2.98 b	2.61 b
K ₂	33.53	32.61	0.40	0.49	1.52	1.47	2.50 c	2.41 c
F-test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**
L.S.D. 0.05							0.004	0.006

El-Saady, A. S. M.

The highest Δ % over the control were 38.77% and 22.51% obtained with PG $_1$ P $_1$ K $_0$ and PG $_0$ P $_1$ K $_0$ treatments in the first and second season, respectively. On the other hand, the lowest Δ % were -9.18% and -13.27% recorded with PG $_0$ P $_0$ K $_2$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. Such results may be attributed in part to PG and P bearing P element as well as calcium which increase soybean seeds yield and protein percent in the seeds. These results could be supported with those obtained by Maatouk *et al.*, 1985; Gendy *et al.*, 1996; Seif El-Nasr and Abu-Amou, 1999 and Knany *et al.*, 2000.

Table 7: Effect of PG, P, PG + P and K levels interactions on soybean

protein % and protein yield kg fed-1 in both seasons.

Treatments	Prote	ein %	Protein	kg/fed.	% ∆ in	crease
rreadments	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₀	30.17	29.13	359.02	367.04	-	-
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₁	33.27	33.57	338.84	375.98	-5.62	2.44
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₂	33.27	32.1	326.05	318.34	-9.18	-13.27
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₀	37.07	37.07	467.08	449.66	30.10	22.51
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₁	33.57	33.57	434.73	387.73	21.09	5.64
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₂	32.10	31.80	404.46	341.21	12.66	-7.04
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₀	32.37	31.80	475.84	393.37	32.54	7.17
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₁	33.57	32.67	469.98	382.50	30.90	4.21
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₂	36.50	35.60	442.75	381.99	23.32	4.07
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₀	34.43	33.83	498.20	390.74	38.77	6.46
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₁	32.07	31.30	493.88	416.29	37.56	13.42
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₂	32.23	30.93	462.50	393.43	28.82	7.19

6. Elements concentration of seeds:

No significant effects of phosphogypsum, phosphorus and potassium were detected in both seasons on phosphorus % and potassium % in soybean seeds (Tables 5 and 6).

Results tabulated in Table 5 show that phosphogypsum, superphosphate and phosphogypsum + superphosphate had high significant effect on calcium concentration of soybean seeds. The highest calcium percent were 3.33% and 3.08% obtained with $PG_1 P_0$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. On the other hand, the lowest values were 1.93% and 1.78% detected with $PG_0 P_0$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively.

Potassium fertilizer levels showed high significant effect on Ca% in the seeds (Table 6). The highest values were 3.08% and 2.89% obtained with K_0 treatment in the first and second season, respectively. While the lowest values were 2.5% and 2.41% recorded with K_2 treatment in the first and second season, respectively. This may be due to competitive behavior between Ca⁺⁺ and K⁺. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Gaballa and El-Shaik, 1985; Svagzdys, 1990; Gendy *et al.*, 1996; Carbonell *et al.*, 1999 and Gelderman *et al.*, 2002.

7. Nodulation:

Nodulation in soybean is an important process where, soybean will obtained 25 to 75 percent of plant nitrogen form the soils with the balance supplied from symbiotic fixation (Richard et al., 2003).

Data presented in Table 8 show that PG increased nodules formation as well as nodules dry weight in both seasons. On the other hand increasing potassium fertilization observed decrease of nodules number and nodules weight in both season (Table 9).

The interaction between PG, P and K show clear effects on nodules number and nodules weight in both seasons (Table 10). The highest values of nodules number were 90 and 115 obtained with PG $_1$ P $_0$ K $_0$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. While the highest nodules dry weight were 730 mg and 773 mg obtained with PG $_1$ P $_0$ K $_2$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. The lowest nodules number were 15 and 10 detected with PG $_1$ P $_1$ K $_0$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. While the lowest values of nodules dry weight were 134 mg and 123 mg detected with PG $_0$ P $_1$ K $_2$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. These results are agreed with those obtained by Gendy et al. (1996) who revealed that nodules number and their dry weight responsed significantly to both gypsum and phosphate application alone or together. Similar results were obtained by Abdallah et al. (1989) and El-Essawi and Abadi (1989).

Table 8: Effect of PG and P on nodulation, soil pH and soil EC in both seasons.

		Node	ules			-11		EC		
Treatments	1 st season		2 nd season		p	Н	dSm ⁻¹			
	No.	D.W mg	No.	D.W mg	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	and		
PG ₀ P ₀	42	461	52	522	7.53	8.04	2.07	2.49		
PG ₀ P ₁	17	144	16	122	7.50	7.98	2.26	2.69		
PG ₁ P ₀	53	577	58	542	7.46	7.94	2.57	2.68		
PG ₁ P ₁	16	170	13	141	7.47	7.94	3.10	3.13		

Table 9: Effects of potassium fertilization levels on nodulation, soil pH and soil EC in both seasons.

Treatments		Nodu	les		pl	Н	E	EC		
	1 st season 2 ^r		2 nd	season		100	dSm ⁻¹			
	No.	D.W mg	No.	D.W mg	1st season	2 nd season	1st season	2 nd season		
K ₀	39	343	46	337	7.45	7.99	2.59	2.79		
K ₁	32	339	38	335	7.52	8.02	2.41	2.83		
K ₂	26	333	21	304	7.56	7.96	2.50	2.62		

Table 10: Effects of PG, P and K interactions on nodulation, soil pH and soil FC in both seasons.

		Nodules	plant ⁻¹		p	Н	E	C
Treatments	2002		2003				dSm ⁻¹	
	No	D.W. mg	No	D.W. mg	20	02	20	03
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₀	34	411	40	542.8	7.50	8.05	2.07	2.34
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₁	66	632	98	850.0	7.50	8.09	2.03	2.27
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₂	26	291	18	172.8	7.60	7.97	2.11	2.85
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₀	18	156	17	105.0	7.48	7.98	2.16	2.98
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₁	17	142	16	139.2	7.57	8.02	2.43	2.72
PG ₀ P ₁ K ₂	17	134	15	123.0	7.45	7.95	2.18	2.38
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₀	90	640	115	563.0	7.40	7.91	3.24	3.10
PG1 P0 K1	28	360	22	290.7	7.48	7.98	2.26	2.66
PG ₁ P ₀ K ₂	42	730	37	773.0	7.49	7.93	2.22	2.27
PG1 P1 K0	15	165	10	135.0	7.41	8.0	2.88	2.72
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₁	16	170	15	141.0	7.54	7.98	2.92	2.68
PG ₁ P ₁ K ₂	18	176	15	146.0	7.46	8.0	3.49	2.98

8. Soil pH and soil EC:

Data tabulated in Table 8 show that phosphogypsum and superphosphate application led to slight decrease in soil pH compared to check treatment. The sequence of decreasing soil pH was PG > P > PG + P > PG_0. P_0 in both seasons. On the other hand PG and P application led to weak increase of soil EC in both seasons.

No clear trend of potassium effects on soil pH or soil EC were detected in both seasons (Table 9). The interactions between PG, P and K on soil pH and soil EC (Table 10) show that PG $_1$ P $_0$ K $_0$ treatment had the lowest soil pH values in both seasons, while the highest values of soil pH were recorded with the treatments received potassium without PG or P application. On the other hand, PG application led to weak increase of soil EC, where the highest values were 3.24 dSm $^{-1}$ and 3.10 dSm $^{-1}$ noticed with PG $_1$ P $_0$ K $_0$ treatment in the first and second season, respectively. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Bayrakli, 1990; Luther *et al.*, 1993; Liang *et al.*, 1995 and El-Saady, 2002.

9. Residual effect of some nutrients:

Soybean plant may be rotated with cereal or other non legume crops for rising soil fertility by symbiotic nitrogen fixing. Also, phosphogypsum application lead to decrease soil pH (Liang *et al.*, 1995).

Results tabulated in Table 11 show that PG and P application had significant effect on residual available nitrogen in the second season. The highest available N was detected with PG₁ P₁ treatment (30.8 ppm). This may be due to that PG protect nitrogen from the loss by ammonia volatilization in addition to enhancing effects on the growth and symbiotic nitrogen fixation, This results are agreed with those obtained by El-Saady (2002). On the other hand, no significant effects were detected in the first season. Residual available P in the soil showed high significant increase due to PG or/and P application in the second season (Table 11). The highest residual available P

value was obtained with PG + P application (10.16 ppm). It followed by 9.43 ppm value with PG application. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Saady (2002) who reported that PG contain amount of phosphorus, 0.7-1.0% as P2O5. Similar results were reported by Bayrakli, 1990. Luther et al., 1993, Shahandeh and Sumner, 1993, Liang et al., 1995 and Carbonell et al., 1999.

Table 11: Effect of PG and P on available N, available P, available K and Ca in both seasons.

_	a					
Treatments		able N	Service Control of	able P om	Available K ppm	
	1st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season
PG ₀ P ₀	24.89	26.13 b	9.33	8.7 c	344 b	351.3 b
PG ₀ P ₁	31.73	22.33 c	10.66	9.2 b	345 b	354 b
PG ₁ P ₀	29.24	29.56 a	11.61	9.43 b	358 a	356 b
PG ₁ P ₁	25.51	30.8 a	12.22	10.16 a	364 a	370 a
F-test	NS	**	NS	女士	**	**
L.S.D. 0.05		3.33		0.68	9.31	6.21

Results tabulated in Table 11 showed that PG application led to high significant increase of available K in both seasons. This may be due to Ca exchange with K on the soil particle surface, this lead to increase available K. Similar results were reported by Svagzdys (1990).

Data presented in Table 12 showed that potassium fertilization levels had significant effect on residual available N in the first season. In the second season the effects were highly significant. Increasing potassium fertilization levels led to decrease residual available N.

Table 12: Effect of potassium levels on the residual available N, P and

K (nnm) in both seasons

Treatments 🥳	1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	able N		able P om	Available K ppm		
11	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	
K ₀ 0	35.93 a	33.37 a	11.37	9.55	330 c	336.5 c	
K ₁ 24	18.67 b	25.13 b	11.04	9.88	349 b	354.3 b	
K ₂ 48	28.23 a	23.1 b	10.46	9.88	378 a	382.8 a	
F-test	*	**	NS	NS	**	**	
L.S.D. 0.05	11.58	2.5			5.69	5.28	

No significant effects of K levels were noticed on residual P in both seasons. While increasing potassium fertilization levels increased the residual available potassium. The highest available K values were 378 and 382.8 ppm obtained with K2 treatment in the first and second season, respectively. The interaction effects between PG, P and K on residual available nutrients (Table 13) showed that highly significant effects were obtained on residual available N in the second season. The highest value was 41.07 ppm with PG, Po Ko treatment. The effects on the residual available P were highly significant in both seasons. The highest values were 14.7 and 13.0 ppm with PG1 P1 K0 treatment in the first and second season receptively. This may be due to the addition amount of P in PG as well as the decreasing of soil pH due to PG application. Also, high significant effects were obtained on residual available K in both seasons. The highest values were 401 and 410 ppm with PG $_0$ P $_0$ K $_2$ treatment in both seasons.

Table 13: Effect of PG, P and K levels interactions on residual available nutrients in both seasons.

Treatments	Available N ppm		Available P		Available K	
PG ₀ P ₀ K ₀ PG ₀ P ₀ K ₁ PG ₀ P ₀ K ₁ PG ₀ P ₁ K ₂ PG ₀ P ₁ K ₁ PG ₀ P ₁ K ₂ PG ₁ P ₀ K ₁ PG ₁ P ₀ K ₁ PG ₁ P ₀ K ₂ PG ₁ P ₁ K ₂ PG ₂ P ₃ P ₄ K ₂ PG ₃ P ₄ K ₂ PG ₄ P ₅ P ₅ P ₅ PG ₅ P ₅ PG ₅ P ₅ P ₅ PG ₅ PG ₅ P ₅ PG ₅ PG ₅ P ₅ PG ₅	1st season 29.20 16.8 18.67 29.87 20.53 44.8 42.93 18.67 26.13 31.73 18.67 26.13 NS	28.0 c 25.2 c 25.2 cd 28.0 c 19.4 e 19.6 e 41.07 a 22.4 de 25.2 cd 36.4 ab 33.6 b 22.4 de		2 nd season 8.0 bcd 9.0 bc 9.0 bc 8.0 cd 7.9 d 11.7 a 10.9 b 8.5 cd 8.9 bcd 13.0 a 8.5 d 9.0 bcd	310 c 322 f 401 a 318 fg 366 bc 351 de 351 de 351 de 351 de 351 de 360 cd 390 a	2nd seasor 322 f 322 f 410 a 322 f 480 c 360 de 351 e 366 d 351 e 63640 d 395 b
.S.D. at 0.05		5.002	3.65	1.51	11.38	10.56

REFERENCES

- Abdallah, A.R.; S.Z.Z. Mahmoud; M. Abdel-Nasser; M.S. Safwat Th.El-Dahtory and A.A. Omran (1989). Effect of phosphorus fertilizers on dinitrogen fixation, plant growth and yield of soybeans. Minia J. Agric. 11(2): 859-872.
- A.O.A.C. (1975). Official Methods of Analysis of Association of Official Agriculture Chemists. 12th ed. Published by A.O.A.C. Washington D.C.
- Bayrakli, F. (1990). Ammonia volatilization losses from different fertilizers and effect of several ureas inhibitors, CaCl₂ and phosphogypsum on losses from urea. Fert. Res. 23: 147-150.
- Black, C.A.; D.D. Evans; J.L. Wite; L.E. Ensmingey and F.E. Clark (1965). Methods of Soil Analysis. Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Publ., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Carbonell, A.A.; J.D. Pourthouse; C.K. Mulbah; R.D. DeLaune and W.H. Patrick (1999). Metal solubility in phosphogypsum-Amended sediment under controlled pH and redox conditions. J. Environ. Qual. 28, 232-
- El-Essawi, T.M. and D. Abadi (1989). Quality and yield of soybean seeds as affected by inoculation, NPK fertilization and soil salinity-Arid Soil Research and Rchabilliation, Volume 4, pp. 43-51.
- El-Saady, A.S.M. (2002). Phosphogypsum application in relation to ammonia volatilization; some nutrients availability and corn yield. Ph.D. Thesis, Soil Sci. Dept., Faculty of Agric. Kafr El-Sheikh. Tanta University.

- Gab-Alla, F.I. and F.T.Z. El-Shaikh (1985). Effect of phosphatic fertilizer rates and plant density on soybean 3. Chemical contents of soybean plant. Annals Agric. Sci. Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt. 30(1): 143-161.
- Gelderman, R.; J. Gerwing and A. Bly (2002). Response of corn and soybean to soil test K and tillage. Soil/water research. South Dokata State University (2002) Soil. PR. 02-11.
- Gendy, E.N.; R.A. Derer and KH.M. El-Aseel (1996). Response of soybean plants to gypsum and phosphate application. Monofiya J. Agric., 21(2): 435-441.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall, Englwood Cliffs, New York, U.S.A.
- Knany, R.E.; A.A. Abd El-Magid; H.G. Abu El-Fotoh and A.M. Hamissa (2000). Effect of the addition of sulphur, phosphorus, potassium and some micronutrients on soybean productivity and phosphorus utilization. Proc. IAOPN xth International Colloquium, Plant Nutrition for the Next Millennium: Nutrients, Quality and the Environment April 8-13, 2000 Cairo Egypt Publ. IAOPN-NRC pp. 169-175.
- Liang, J.; R.E. Karamanos and M.E. Moir (1995). The influence of brine contamination and phosphogypsum amendments on soil chemical properties and plant response. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 26: 1033-1057.
- Lulher, S.M.; M.J. Dudas and P.M. Ruthrord (1993). Radioactivity and chemical characteristics of Alberta phosphogypsum. Air, and Soil pollution 69: 277-290.
- Maatouk, M.A.; L.I. Abd El-Latif and N.M. El-Sarangawy (1985). Effect of phosphorus and molybdenum application on nitrogen content and its uptake by soybean at different stages of growth. Bull. Fac. of Agric., Univ. of Cairo, Vol. 36, No. 2.
- Richard, B., Ferguson; A. Charles A., Shapiro; R. Achim and Charle S. Wortmann (2003). Fertilizer recommendations for soybeans. Nebraska cooperative extension G 87-859-A.
- Scott, W.O. and S.R. Aldrich (1983). Modern soybean production. S & A Publication, Champaign, IL. p. 209.
- Seif El-Nasr, F.M. and Maani Z.M. Abou-Amou (1999). Effect of preceding winter crop and phosphorus on four soybean cultivars. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 24(4): 1699-1711.
- Shahandeh, H. and M.E. Sumner (1993). Establishment of vegetation byproduct gypsum materials. J. Environ. Qual. Vol. 22, January-March, 57-61.
- Svagzdys, S. (1990). Use of calcium fertilizers on neutral, and alkaline soils. Acta. Hort. 274.

إستجابة فول الصويا لاضافة الفوسفوجيبسم والسوبر فوسفات تحت ظروف الاراضى المصرية

عاطف صبحى محمود السعدى

معهد بحوث الاراضى والمياه والبينة _ مركز البحوث الزراعية _ الجيزة _ مصر

يعتبر فول الصويا مصدر هام للزيت والبروتين في مصر والعالم. ويعتبر الفوسفور من العناصر الهامة المحدده لانتاجية فول الصويا كما يعد استخدام المصارد الرخيصة والغنية بالعناصر من عوامل زيادة انتاجيه وحده المساحة. من هذه المصادر الفوسفوجييم هو منتج ثانوى من مصانع السماد الفوسفاتي وهو ملاة رخيصة الثمن عالية الحموضة ويمكن استخدامها لتقليل درجة حموضة الارض ومصدر للفوسفور ولبعضض العناصر. الهدف من هذا البحث هو دراسة استخدام الفوسفوجييسم كمصدر للفوسفور لانتاج فصول الصويا وتأثيره على حالة بعض العناصر في الارض والنبات.

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان في مزرعة مركز البحوث الزراعية بسخا خلال صيف موسمى عام الجريت تجربتان حقليتان في مزرعة مركز البحث تاثير الفوسفوجييم ، السوبر فوسفات والبوتاسيوم على محصول فول الصويا وحالة بعض العناصر في الارض والنبات.

استخدم تصميم القطع المنشقة في اربعة مكررات. خصصت القطع الرئيسية لاربع معاملات هي: بدون اضافة فوسفوجييم أوسوبر فوسفات (معاملة الاختبار).

١- بدون اضافة فوسفوجييم اوسوبر
 ٢- اضافة ٢ طن فوسفوجييم/فدان.

- ٣- اضافة ١٥ كجم فو ١٠ أو أهدان في صورة سماد السوير فوسفات ١٥ فو ١٠ فو ١٠.
 - ٤- اضافة الفوسفوجييم (٢ طن/فدان) + الفوسفور (١٥ كجم فو١٥/فدان).

وخصصت القطع الشقية لثلاث مستويات من البوتاسيوم:
 ١- بدون اضافة بوتاسيوم.

٢- اضافة ٢٤ كجم فو ١ /فدان.

اضافه ۱۱ کجم فو۱۱ اودان.
 اضافه ۸۱ کجم فو۱۱ افدان.

واضيف البوتاسيوم في صورة كبريتات بوتاسيوم ٤٨% بو١.

ويمكن تلخيص النتائج كالاتى:

اضافة الفوسفوجيبسم أدى لزيادة محصولي الحبوب والقش لفول الصويا.

٢- اضافة الفوسفوجيبسم + السوير فوسفات (فو) اعطى اعلى محصول زيت كجم/فدان.

٣- اضافة البوتاسيوم بمعدل ٤٨ كجم للفدان ادت لنقص واضح في محصول الزيت كجم/فدان.

اضافة الفوسفوجيبسم + السوبر فوسفات (فو) ادى لزيادة ٣٨,٧٧% فى محصول البروتين كجم/فدان فى الموسم الأول. بينما اضافة السوبر فوسفات منفردا فى الموسم الثانى أدت لزيادة ٢٢,٥١% فــــى محصول البروتين كجم/فدن.

 ٥- سبب الفوسفوجيبسم زيادة واضحة في عدد العقد على جذور فول الصويا والوزن الجاف للعقد مقارنة بالمعاملات الاخرى.

اضافة الفوسفوجيبسم ادت لنقص رقم حموضة التربة وزيادة طفيفة في درجة ملوحة التربة.

اضافة الفوسفوجييسم أدت الى زيادة النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم الميسر المتبقى فى التربة.
 ومن نتائج البحث يمكن التوصية باستخدام الفوسفوجييسم فى اضافات موسميه كمصدر للفوسفور
 والكالسيوم وبعض العناصر الأخرى وتعديل رقم حموضة الارض.