Influence of some Host Plants on Reproduction and Biological Aspects of Two Spotted Spider Mite *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) Mahmoud, M. F. R. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum Univ., Egypt



ABSTRACT

Field reproduction under natural conditions and biological aspects of *Tetranychus urticae* under laboratory conditions at 27 ± 1 °C & 60-74 RH% on six different host plants namely; squash, *Cucurbita pepo* & cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* (Fam. Cucurbitaceae), eggplant, *Solanum melongena* & tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Fam. Solanaceae) and bean, *Phasseolus vulgaris* & cowpea, *Vigna sinensis* (Fam. Fabaceae) were occurred and showed that, the number of eggs and moving stages were slowly increased in the beginning of season compared with that at the end. Total number was increased to reach its maximum in the middle of June, then sharply declined thereafter. Cowpea and bean which belonged to family Fabaceae were considered the least preferred host plants due to little reproduction in the field and a few eggs laid were evident under lab. conditions. In general, the ability of *T.urticae* for plant infestation was deferred according to morphological shape of plant leaves which assist to protect different stages of *T.urticae* against environmental factors. So, squash plant considered the suitable plants for *T. urticae* reproduction due to trichomes, spin , gaps and large area of leaves followed by cucumber, eggplant, tomato, bean and cowpea. Cowpea plants was the best host for infestation tolerance because of smoothly and thickness of leaves. Significant positive correlation between population of *T. urticae* and temp. and insignificat negative with RH% were evident.

INTRODUCTION

The two-spotted spider mite, Tetranychus urticae, is a ubiquitous polyphagous arthropod herbivore that feeds on a remarkably broad array of species, with more than 150 of economic value. It is a major pest of greenhouse crops, especially in Solanaceae and Cucurbitaceae (e.g., tomatoes, eggplants, peppers, cucumbers, zucchini) and greenhouse ornamentals (e.g., roses, chrysanthemum, carnations), annual field crops (such as maize, cotton, soybean, and sugar beet), and in perennial cultures (alfalfa, strawberries, grapes, citruses, and plums) (Jeppson, et al. 1975). Plants constitutively emit blends of volatiles that can attract herbivores. In many cases the volatiles are specific for herbivory, or even for the herbivore species that inflicts the damage. Herbivores may also use these induced volatiles during host-plant selection, (Visser, 1986 and Dicke & Vet, 1999). The characteristics of host plant acceptance of the T. urticae are discussed in light of their potential food resource under natural conditions. Host plant acceptance and fecundity were positively correlated across 11 potential host plants, host plant species on which the fecundity was low were also those on which females settled less readily compared to host plants with high fecundity (Shuichi Yano, 1998)...To test for local adaptation of herbivore populations to a given host plant species, a common practice is to compare their fitness components (e.g., life-history traits) on this plant species with those displayed by other herbivore populations that have evolved on a different plant species (Kawecki and Ebert, 2004). Eggplant, Solanum melongen L. is one of the most important solanceous crops it is a good source of nutrients, minerals, antioxidants, vitamins, dietary fiber and body building factors and proteins (Matsubara et al. 2005) . Plants and herbivores exert strong selective pressures upon each other, Their ability to get around host plant defenses is particularly important for polyphagous species, which can feed and reproduce on several plant families (Schoonhoven et al., 2005).. In Fayoum, T. urticae ,was reported as the main mite pest found on marjoram all over the season (Rahil,2006).

Recent studies revealed that adaptation of this herbivore to one host plant could facilitate exploitation of

other host plant species and therefore broaden its host range (Magalhães *et al.*, 2009; Fellous *et al.*, 2014). The spherical black cultvar was the most susceptible to spider mites, while long black cultivar was lowest level infestation (Azouz, *et al* 2014).

The host plant species can affect critical parameters of population dynamics, and most importantly that maternal and environmental conditions can facilitate colonization and exploitation of a novel host in the polyphagous T. urticae, by affecting dispersal behavior (host acceptance) and female fecundity (Cassandra Marinosci, et al, 2015). The in-vitro study on the biology of two spotted spider mite T. urticae. on some of the preferred host plants viz., okra, egg plant, french bean, pumpkin, tomato, tapioca and mulberry revealed that the egg, larval, protonymphal and duetonymphal stages, adult longevity, pre-oviposition period and post-oviposition period were the shortest on okra followed by egg plant, french bean, tomato, tapioca, pumpkin and mulberry. The oviposition period, sex ratio and the fecundity were more and prolonged on okra followed by egg plant, french bean, tomato, tapioca, pumpkin and mulberry. T. urticae showed relatively better performance on okra, which might be attributed to increased level of total chlorophyll, total sugars, protein and lesser wax content, phenol and silica compared to rest of the host plants (Poovizhiraja et al., 2016). The aim of the present work was to study the effect of different host plants on reproduction of T.urticae under natural conditions and biological aspects under lab.conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1- Field reproduction of *T. urticae* under natural conditions:

These investigation including two parts, the first part was carried out in Faculty of Agricultural farm to study the effect of weather factors (°C & RH%) and host plants on reproduction of *T. urticae*. For this purpose 6 host plants of vegetable crops were cultivated in 1200 m², each 200 m²/ one host cultivated and was divided into four replicates. Seeds were planted in March 15 and the first sample was obtained after 15 days of planting and followed by weekly samples. Six

Mahmoud, M. F. R.

host plants namely squash, *Cucurbita pepo* & cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* (Fam. Cucurbitaceae), eggplant, *Solanum melongena* & tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Fam. Solanaceae) and bean, *Phasseolus vulgaris* & cowpea, *Vigna sinensis* (Fam. Fabaceae). Each sample was represented by ten leaves for each replicate.

2- Biological aspects of *T. urticae* under lab.conditions:

The second part was conducted under laboratory conditions 27 ± 1 °C & 60-74 RH% to study the effect of the same previous hosts on biological aspects of *T. urticae.* So females were transferred from leave samples of each crop and reared under laboratory conditions on the same host plants. Biological observations were conducted and recorded such as; incubation periods of eggs, larval, protonymphal, deutonymphal periods , longevity and life span of females and males and fecundity of females were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A)Field reproduction of *T. urticae*: 1- On Cucurbitaceae host plants :

As shown in Table 1, samples obtained in the beginning of sowing plants were indicated that the number of eggs and moving stages were the lowest compared with that at the end of season. On squash plants, numbers were increased gradually from the first sample to reach the highest number (812 eggs and 1288 individuals) at the third week of June then sharply decreased to reach 312 eggs and 1029 individuals at the end of June. The same trend was occurred with numbers on cucumber plants (715 eggs and 1105 individuals). Numbers of *T. urticae* stages on squash plants were higher compared with that of cucumber plants. So squash plants considered more favorable host for *T. urticae* reproduction than cucumber plants.

 Table 1. Total number of T. urticae stages from

 April to June 2017 on cucurbitaceae plants.

| Population of <i>T. urticae</i> on Weather | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|------|---------|--|
| Sampling | - | Cuc | urbita | ceae o | rops | | fa | factors | |
| data | 5 | Squasl | h | C | Cucumber | | | | |
| uate | Е | Μ | Т | Е | Μ | Т | C | RH% | |
| 1/4/2017 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 24.9 | 40 | |
| 8/4 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 21.8 | 34 | |
| 15/4 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 26 | 47 | |
| 22/4 | 15 | 21 | 36 | 7 | 15 | 22 | 24.4 | 24 | |
| 30/4 | 14 | 40 | 54 | 12 | 20 | 32 | 23.9 | 33 | |
| 8/5 | 25 | 56 | 81 | 22 | 34 | 56 | 25.2 | 34 | |
| 15/5 | 30 | 94 | 124 | 31 | 51 | 82 | 28.9 | 26 | |
| 22/5 | 74 | 222 | 296 | 55 | 167 | 222 | 28.6 | 38 | |
| 29/5 | 105 | 297 | 402 | 85 | 259 | 344 | 27.2 | 28 | |
| 5/6 | 205 | 579 | 784 | 180 | 519 | 699 | 28.9 | 28 | |
| 12/6 | 480 | 1048 | 1528 | 404 | 897 | 1301 | 26.2 | 33 | |
| 19/6 | 812 | 1288 | 2100 | 715 | 1105 | 1820 | 30.1 | 33 | |
| 26/6 | 321 | 1029 | 1350 | 192 | 1048 | 1240 | 32.3 | 29 | |
| Total | 2090 | 4692 | 6782 | 1711 | 4135 | 5846 | | | |
| Mean | 161.5 | 345.5 | 507.0 | 131.2 | 317.0 | 448.2 | | | |
| E= Eggs. | | | M=N | loving | stages | . T= Te | otal | | |

2- On Solanaceae host plants :

The obtained results in Table 2, indicated that, moving stages of *T. urticae* were appeared in the second

weekly sample of eggplant and increased gradually to reach the heighest number in June 19, 554 eggs then declined to 425 eggs at finally samples of season but moving stages was increased continuously from second sample (2 individuals) to reach 1437 at the finally samples of season. The same trend of moving stages data was occurred with total number of eggs and moving stages. Total number of T. urticae on tomato plants was increased to reach the heighest (826) at the end of season except in sample of June 12 it was declined to (334). Total numbers of eggs and moving stages were started with few numbers then increased gradually to reach the highest number at the end of season. Total numbers on eggplant (1862) was higher than that on tomato plant (826). So it could be concluded that eggplant considered more favorable host for *T. urticae* reproduction than tomato plants.

 Table 2. Total number of of T. urticae stages from

 April to June 2017 on Solanaceae plants.

| с I. | Ī | Weather factors | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|--------------------|----------------|--------|---------|-------|------|-----|
| Sampling | E | gg plai | nt | 1 | Tomato | | | |
| uate | Е | M | Т | Е | Μ | Т | С | RH% |
| 1/4/2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24.9 | 40 |
| 8/4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 21.8 | 34 |
| 15/4 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 26.0 | 47 |
| 22/4 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 24.4 | 24 |
| 30/4 | 10 | 21 | 31 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 23.9 | 33 |
| 8/5 | 15 | 19 | 34 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 25.2 | 34 |
| 15/5 | 23 | 40 | 63 | 21 | 26 | 47 | 28.9 | 26 |
| 22/5 | 34 | 140 | 174 | 26 | 87 | 113 | 28.6 | 38 |
| 29/5 | 63 | 198 | 261 | 62 | 162 | 224 | 27.2 | 28 |
| 5/6 | 127 | 395 | 522 | 107 | 335 | 442 | 28.9 | 28 |
| 12/6 | 308 | 793 | 1101 | 110 | 224 | 334 | 26.2 | 33 |
| 19/6 | 554 | 1086 | 1640 | 182 | 472 | 654 | 30.1 | 33 |
| 26/6 | 425 | 1437 | 1862 | 253 | 573 | 826 | 32.3 | 29 |
| Total | 1567 | 4146 | 5713 | 786 | 1916 | 2702 | | |
| Mean | 120.5 | 318.0 | 439.5 | 60.54 | 147.4 | 207.8 | | |
| E= Eggs. | | M=N | Iovin 2 | stages | s. T= 1 | otal | | |

3- On Fabaceae host plants :

As shown in table 3, eggs of *T. urticae* on bean plants were appeared with the first sample and moving appeared in the second. On cowpea plants, both eggs and moving stages were appeared in 5^{th} sample at the end of April. Total numbers of *T. urticae* in both 2 crops were started with a few numbers (2 and 4 for bean and cowpea, respectively) then weekly increased to reach the highest number (669 and 276) in June, then decreased gradually at the end of season. Also numbers on bean plants were high compared with that on cowpea crop. So bean plants considered more favorable host for *T.urticae* reproduction than cowpea plants.

Results presented in Table 4, cleared that, among 6 host crops belonged to three families namely, Cucurbitaceae, Solanaceae and Fabaceae. The first family considered more favorable host compared with other families where the mean number of eggs and moving stages on plants of this family were higher than that on other plants. Significantly different were evident except between cucumber and egg plants. Squash plants is the most favorable host plants to *T. urticae*.

Finally, it could be concluded that the ability of T.urticae for plant infestation was deferred according to morphological shape of plant leaves which assist to protect different stages of T.urticae against environmental factors. So, squash plant considered the suitable plants for T. urticae reproduction due to trichomes, spin, gaps and large area of leaves followed by cucumber, eggplant, tomato, bean and cowpea. Cowpea plants was the best host for infestation tolerance because of smoothly and thickness of leaves. Significant positive correlation between population of T. urticae and temp. and insignificat negative with RH% were evident. This is also supported by the findings of Zaher et al.(1978) who found that T.urticae population increased with increasing density to a maximum then decreased thereafter. Also, agreement with studies of Rahil 2006 and Al-Sayed 2014, was occurred.

| Table 3. | Total number of T. urticae st | ages from |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | April to June 2017 on Febree | aa nlanta |

| April to June 2017 on Fabaceae plants. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|-------------|-------|---------|--------|---------|-----|--|
| | Popu | lation | of | Т. | urtica | e 01 | ıWeatl | her | |
| Sampling Fabaceae crops factors | | | | | | | | | |
| date | Bean | | | Cow | oea | | | | |
| | Е | Μ | Т | E | Μ | Т | С | RH | |
| | | | | | | | | % | |
| 1/4/2017 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.9 | 40 | |
| 8/4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21.8 | 34 | |
| 15/4 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 47 | |
| 22/4 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.4 | 24 | |
| 30/4 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 23.9 | 33 | |
| 8/5 | 17 | 24 | 41 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 25.2 | 34 | |
| 15/5 | 31 | 43 | 74 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 28.9 | 26 | |
| 22/5 | 51 | 157 | 208 | 20 | 32 | 52 | 28.6 | 38 | |
| 29/5 | 81 | 238 | 319 | 40 | 78 | 118 | 27.2 | 28 | |
| 5/6 | 134 | 460 | 594 | 91 | 151 | 242 | 28.9 | 28 | |
| 12/6 | 154 | 515 | 669 | 117 | 159 | 276 | 26.2 | 33 | |
| 19/6 | 239 | 214 | 453 | 65 | 119 | 184 | 30.1 | 33 | |
| 26/6 | 98 | 195 | 293 | 42 | 106 | 148 | 32.3 | 29 | |
| Total | 828 | 1882 | 2710 | 381 | 660 | 1041 | | | |
| Mean | 63.7 | 144.8 | 208.5 | 29.3 | 46.9 | 76.2 | | | |
| E= Eggs, | | | M=] | Movir | ng stag | es, T= | = Total | | |

Table 4 Mean total number of *T. urticae* stages from April to June 2017 on different host plants .

| T. urticae stages | | Population of <i>T. urticae</i> on vegetable plant families | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|---|-----------|------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | Cucui | Cucurbitaceae | | Solanaceae | | aceae | | | | |
| | Squash | Cucumber | Egg plant | Tomato | Bean | Cowpea | | | | |
| Е | 161.5a | 131.2ab | 120.5b | 60.54c | 63.7c | 29.3d | | | | |
| М | 345.5a | 317.0a | 318.0a | 147.4b | 144.8b | 46.9c | | | | |
| Т | 507.0a | 448.2b | 439.5b | 207.8c | 208.5c | 76.2d | | | | |
| F= Faas | M= Moving | stages T= Total | | | | | | | | |

Means within a row followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability (Duncon test)

| Family | Crop | Mite stage | Temp. | | RH% | |
|--------------|----------|------------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
| | - | - | r | p | r | р |
| | Squash | Egg | 0.554* | 0.049 | - 0.130 | 0.671 |
| | • | Moving | 0.677* | 0.011 | - 0.208 | 0.494 |
| Cucurbtaceae | | Total | 0.636* | 0.019 | - 0.175 | 0.567 |
| | Cucumber | Egg | 0.501* | 0.081 | -0.111 | 0.718 |
| | | Moving | 0.697* | 0.008 | - 0. 216 | 0.478 |
| | | Total | 0.651* | 0.016 | - 0.187 | 0.541 |
| | Eggplant | Egg | 0.670* | 0.012 | - 0.171 | 0.577 |
| | | Moving | 0.730** | 0.005 | -0.212 | 0.487 |
| Solanaceae | | Total | 0.720** | 0.006 | -0.202 | 0.508 |
| | Tomato | Egg | 0.792** | 0.001 | -0.288 | 0.340 |
| | | Moving | 0.803** | 0.001 | -0.283 | 0.348 |
| | | Total | 0.802** | 0.001 | -0.286 | 0.344 |
| | Bean | Egg | 0.653* | 0.016 | -0.246 | 0.418 |
| | | Moving | 0.668* | 0.013 | - 0.268 | 0.375 |
| Fabaceae | | Total | 0.786** | 0.012 | - 0.332 | 0.383 |
| | Cowpea | Egg | 0.740** | 0.001 | - 0.331 | 0.268 |
| | • | Moving | 0.761** | 0.004 | - 0.333 | 0.269 |
| | | Total | 0.808** | 0.003 | - 0.327 | 0.266 |

| Table 5. Correlation between <i>Lurucue</i> dobulation on uniferent nost diams and cremb. & 76 K | Table 5. | Correlation bet | tween <i>T.urticae</i> n | opulation on | different host | plants and (Te | mp. & %RH |
|--|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
|--|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|

**Significant at 5% level of probability *Significant at 1% level of probability

B) Biological aspects of *T. urticae* on six host plants: 1-Female duration:

As shown in Table 6, the shortest incubation period of eggs was occurred with squash host plant (2.4 days) and was significantly prolonged with cowpea host plant (4.2 days) compared with that at other host plants. Insignificant differences was evident with larval, protonymphal and deutonymphal stages. Total immature duration was significantly prolonged to reach 14.1 days at cowpea compared with other host plants which recorded, 11.1, 11.3, 11.6, 11.8 and 11.4 days at squash, cucumber, eggplant, tomato and bean, respectively.

2- Female longevity and fecundity:

The longest oviposition period and longevity were significantly recorded on squash 14.4 and 20.3 days while the shortest were recorded on cowpea 8.1 and

Mahmoud, M. F. R.

11.9 days. Data obtained, indicated that different host plants significantly affect the fecundity of females as the number of eggs / female was 172.6, 120.5, 87.1, 58.6, 30.4 and 21.4 days on squash, cucumber, eggplant, tomato, bean and cowpea, respectively. From previous

data it could be concluded that, squash was the best favorable host plant as a short total immature period, longer longevity, oviposition period and higher fecundity.

| Table 6. Biological aspects of <i>T. urticae</i> females under laboratory co | onditions 2' | 7±1 °C & | : 62-73 RH %. |
|--|--------------|----------|---------------|
|--|--------------|----------|---------------|

| Fomolo Turtique | | | Different ve | getable plants | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| remaie <i>1.urucue</i> | Cucurbitaceae | | Sola | inaceae | Faba | iceae |
| stages | Squash | Cucumber | Egg plant | Tomato | Bean | Cowpea |
| Easting substitution manifold | 2.4±0.5 a | 2.7±0.3 a | 2.6±0.3 a | 3.1±0.4 a | 3.0±0.5 a | 4.2±0.4 a |
| Egg incubation period | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 3-5 |
| Lamia | 2.2±0.1 a | 2.4±0.2 a | 2.5±0.2 a | 2.5±0.2 a | 2.7±0.2 a | 2.9±0.1 a |
| Larva | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 |
| Destaurunt | 2.7±0.2a | 2.6±0.2 a | 2.9±0.2 a | 3.1±0.2 a | 3.7±0.2 a | 4.0±0.2 a |
| Protonympn | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 3-4 | 3-5 |
| Doutonymph | 3.8±0.1 b | 3.9±0.2 b | 3.9±0.1 b | 3.8±0.1 b | 2.6±0.2 b | 4.8±0.1 a |
| Deutonymph | 3-4 | 3-5 | 3-4 | 3-4 | 2-3 | 4-5 |
| Total immature | 11.1±0.3 b | 11.3±0.4 b | 11.6±0.3 b | 11.8±0.3 b | 11.4±0.4 b | 14.1±0.3 a |
| | 10-12 | 9-13 | 11-13 | 10-13 | 9-13 | 12-15 |
| Dra avinagitian | 1.6±0.2 a | 1.6±0.2 a | 1.5±0.2 a | 1.7±0.2 a | 1.6±0.2 a | 1.8±0.1 a |
| Pie-oviposition | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 1-2 |
| Ovinosition | 14.4±0.5 a | 13.6±0.7 a | 12.4±0.3 b | 13.5±0.3 a | 10.7±0.2 c | 8.1±0.2 d |
| Oviposition | 13-17 | 11-18 | 11-14 | 12-15 | 10-11 | 7-9 |
| Bost organization | 4.2±0.2 a | 3.7±0.2 b | 3.1±0.2 c | 3.0±0.2 c | 3.1±0.2 c | 2.0±0.1 d |
| r ost-oviposition | 3-5 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 1-3 |
| Longovity | 20.3±0.5 a | 18.9±0.8 b | 17.0±0.4 c | 18.2±0.4 bc | 15.5±0.3 d | 11.9±0.2 e |
| Longevity | 18-24 | 15-24 | 15-19 | 16-20 | 14-17 | 11-13 |
| life men | 31.4±0.6 ab | 30.2±0.8 abc | 31.6±3.3 a | 30.0±0.7 abc | 26.9±0.4 c | 26.0±0.4 c |
| me span | 29-35 | 27-35 | 26-61 | 27-33 | 25-29 | 24-27 |
| Fooundity | 172.6±4.3 a | 120.5±7.1 b | 87.1±2.3 c | 58.6±1.8 d | 30.4±1.7 e | 21.4±0.9 e |
| Fecundity | 159-199 | 90-150 | 72-99 | 50-68 | 21-40 | 17-28 |

Means within a row followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability (Duncon test)

3- Male duration and longevity:

The biology of males is slightly faster than the biology of females as showen in Table 7, male duration and longevity were varied according to host plants. Male duration and longevity were varied according to host plants. Total immature period was significantly prolonged compared with other host plants. the shortest total immature period was 10.0 on squash and the longest was 13.9 on cowpea while the feeding on family cucurbitaceae prolonged the longevity to record 18.1, 16.6 days on squash and cucumber

followed by family Solanaceae on eggplant and tomato and decreased when fed on family Fabaceae on bean and cowpea. In this respect, (Poovizhiraja *et al.*, 2016) mentioned that the oviposition period, sex ratio and

mentioned that the oviposition period, sex ratio and fecundity were more and prolonged on okra followed by eggplant, french bean, tomato, tapioca, pumpkin and mulberry. *T.urticae* showed relatively better performance on okra, which might be attributed to increased level of total chlorophyll, total sugars, protein and lesser wax content, phenol and silica compared to rest of the host plants

Table 7. Biological aspects of *T. urticae* males on different host plants under laboratory conditions 27±1 °C&62-73 RH %.

| Male Turticae | | Different vegetable plants | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| stages | Cucurbitaceae | | Solan | aceae | Faba | Fabaceae | | |
| | Squash | Cucumber | Egg plant | Tomato | Bean | Cowpea | | |
| Faa | 2.1±0.4 a | 2.4±0.2 a | 2.3±0.4 a | 2.8±0.4 a | 2.7±0.7 a | 3.9±0.3 a | | |
| гgg | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 3-5 | | |
| Longo | 2.0±0.1a | 2.1±0.3 a | 2.2±0.5 a | 2.2±0.2 a | 2.4±0.5 a | 2.2±0.5 a | | |
| Larva | 1-3 | 1-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | | |
| D | 2.4±0.3 a | 2.3±0.4 a | 2.6±0.4 a | 2.8±0.4 a | 3.4±0.4 a | 3.7±0.7 a | | |
| Protonympn | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-3 | 2-4 | 3-4 | 3-5 | | |
| Doutonumnh | 3.5±0.2 ab | 3.6±0.4 ab | 3.6±0.3 ab | 3.5±0.6 ab | 2.3±0.4 b | 4.1±0.1 a | | |
| Deutonymph | 3-4 | 2-5 | 3-4 | 2-4 | 2-3 | 4-5 | | |
| Total immeture | 10.0±0.2 b | 10.4±0.8 b | 10.7±0.3 b | 11.3±0.8 b | 10.8±0.4b | 13.9±0.6 a | | |
| Total minature | 9-12 | 9-12 | 9-11 | 10-13 | 9-12 | 12-15 | | |
| Longovity | 18.1±0.4 a | 16.6±0.9 ab | 15.0±0.6 b | 15.2±0.6 b | 13.1±0.7 c | 9.9±0.3 d | | |
| Longevity | 16-20 | 15-18 | 14-17 | 13-17 | 11-15 | 7-12 | | |
| life | 28.1±0.7 a | 27.0±0.5 a | 25.7±0.7 b | 26.5±0.6 ab | 23.9±0.8 c | 23.8±0.4 d | | |
| lite | 25-33 | 24-30 | 23-28 | 23-30 | 20-27 | 18-27 | | |

Means within a row followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability (Duncon test)

REFERENCES

- Al-sayed , Ayat, M.A. 2014. Pests and predators inhabiting tomato,eggplant and pepper Plants at Fayoum governorate. M.Sc.Thesis Fac. Agric. Fayuom Univ. 154 pp.
- Azouz,H.A.; E.M.A.Yassin; Mariam, A. El-sanady and Aziza; M.Abou-ziad, 2014. Field and laboratory studies on three eggplant cultivars to evaluate their relative susceptibility to some piercing sucking pests with relation of leaf constituents. J.Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 5 (11): 995-1005.
- Cassandra M.; S. Magalhães; E. Macke; M. Navajas; D. Carbonell; C. Devaux and I. Olivieri (2015).
 Effects of host plant on life-history traits in the polyphagous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*.
 Ecology and Evolution, 5: (15), P.3151–3158
- Dicke, M and L.E.M. Vet (1999). Plant–carnivore interactions: evolutionary and ecological consequences for plant, herbivore and carnivore between Plants and Predators, Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK, pp. 483-520
- Fellous, S, G; A. M. Orsucci; A.Migeon; P. Augerand I. Olivieri (2014). Combining experimental evolution and field population assays to study the evolution of host range breadth. J. Evol. Biol. 27:911–919.
- Jeppson, L.R.; H.H. Keifer; and E.W. Baker (1975). Mites injurious to economic plants. University of California Press.
- Kawecki,T and D. Ebert (2004). Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecol. Lett. 7:1225–1241.
- Magalhães, S.; E Blanchet, M. Egas and I. Olivieri (2009). Are adaptation costs necessary to build up a local adaptation pattern? *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9:182.

- Matsubara,K.;T.aneyuki; T.Miyake and M.mori,2005 Anti angiogenic activity of nasunin, an antioxidant anthocyaninin eggplant peels. J.Agric.Fd.Chem,53: 6272-6275.
- Poovizhiraja, B, C Chinniah, A Ravikumar and P Parthiban (2016). Influence of Host Plants on the Biological Parameters of Two spotted Spider Mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. Madras Agricultural Journal. 103: (7-9), P.250-253.
- Rahil,A.A.R.,2006. Mites in marjoram and chamomile cultivations in Fayoum and relative abundance in twenty six species. J. Agric. Sci. Ain shams Univ., 13(3),1033-1046.
- Schoonhoven, LM, JJA Van Loon and M Dicke (2005). *Insect-plant biology*, edn 2. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- Shuichi Y.; M.Wakabayashi; J.Takabayashi and A. Takafuji (1998). Factors determining the host plant range of the phytophagous mite, *Tetranychus urticae*. (Acari: Tetranychidae): a method for quantifying host plant acceptance. Experimental & applied acarology. 22, (10 , pp.595–601
- Visser,H.(1986).Host odor perception in phytophagous insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 31, pp. 121-144.
- Zaher,M.A.; Shehata,K.K. and El-Katib,H.1978.Population density effects on biology of *Tetranychus arabcus* Alliah, the common spider mite in Egypt. Recent Advances in Acarol.,1:507-509

تأثير بعض العوائل النباتية على التكاثر والمظاهر البيولوجية للعنكبوت الأحمر ذو البقعتين ماهر فؤاد رمضان محمود قسم وقاية النبات – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الفيوم – مصر

تم دراسة تكاثر العنكبوت الاحمر ذو البقعتين في الحقل تحت الظروف الطبيعية و كذلك المظاهر البيولوجية له تحت ظروف المعمل (متوسط درجة حرارة ٢٧ م° و رطوبة نسبية ٢٠-٧٤ %) وذلك على ستة انواع من العوائل النباتية المختلفة وهي الكوسة و الخيار التابعين للعائلة القرعية و الطماطم و الباذنجان التابعين للعائلة الباذنجانية و الفاصوليا و اللوبيا التابعين للعائلة البقولية . وقد أوضحت الدراسة أن تعداد البيض والاطوار غير الكاملة يزداد ببطء في بداية الموسم مقارنة بالتعداد في نهاية الموسم ويزداد التعداد أيضا حتى يصل إلى أعلى معدل له في منتصف شهر يونية ثم ينخفض بعد ذلك. و اثبتت الدراسة ايضا ان نباتات اللوبيا والفاصوليا التابعين التابعين التابعين للعائلة البقولية هما أقل العوائل تفضيلا للعنكبوت الأحمر وظهر ذلك من خلال تكاثره البطيئ عليهما في الحقاض كمية البيض التابعين تم وضعها في المعمل خلال الدراسات البيولوجية و عموما نجد ان قدرة العنكبوت الاحمر على إصابة العوائل النباتية تختلف باختلاف تم وضعها في المعمل خلال الدراسات البيولوجية و عموما نجد ان قدرة العنكبوت الاحمر على إصابة العوائل النباتية تختلف باختلاف الشكل المور فولوجي للنبات من حيث وجود الشعيرات أو الأشواك أو الإنخفاضات من عدمه والتي يساعد وجودها على حماية الأفراد من الطروف البيئية ولذلك تعتبر نباتات الكوسة أنسب العوائل النباتية للإصابة بالعنكبوت الأحمر على إصابة العوائل النباتية تختلف باختلاف الطروف البيئية ولذلك تعتبر نباتات الكوسة أنسب العوائل النباتية للإصابة بالعنكبوت الأحمر على إصابة العوائل النباتية تختلف باختلاف ومعها من عدم ولولوجي للنبات من حيث وجود الشعيرات أو الأشواك أو الإنخفاضات من عدمه والتي يساعد وجودها على حماية الأخراد من الطروف البيئية ولذلك تعتبر نباتات الكوسة أنسب العوائل النباتية للإصابة بالعنكبوت الأحمر يليها الخيار بينما كانت الطروف البيئية ولذلك تعتبر نباتات الكوسة إلى من المعيرات ونعومة ملمسها وقد أوضحت الدراسات البيئية أن هناك ارتباط