Effect of Magnetic Water on Adult Emergence of *Etiella zinckenella* (Treitschke) Pupa and Root -Knot Nematode (*Meliodogyne incognita*) Chitwood Walaa A. Tawfik ; Wessam Z. Aziz and Marwa M. Shalaby



#### ABSTRACT

A trial study was conducted to evaluate the impact of using magnetized sea water MSW on the adult emergence of *E. zinckenella* and to monitor the effect of MSW on *M. incognita* infecting cowpea plant. A total numbers of *E. zinckenella* pupa were incubation on plastic containers including soil irrigation with MSW in three concentrations. Although results indicated that, the magnetic concentrations were low, the mortality rate of the *E. zinckenella* pupa was high on the other hand, when the concentrations increased, the total mortality was increased. Total mortality of pupal stage was 13.75 %, 32.5% and 41.25 %, respectively when, using of magnetic sea water in concentrations 10, 20 and 40 ml.t compared with untreated treatment. In addition, this experiment was carried out to evaluate the effects of magnetizing irrigation water on naturally growing of cowpea infected with root-knot nematode *M. incognita* in sandy soil at three concentrations 10, 20 and 40 ml.t. The obtained results indicated that irrigation cowpea plants with magnetic water induced positive significant effect on the most of studied parameters. The percentage improvement ranged between 63.33, 78 and 97.97, 94.59 in fresh and dry weight.

Keywords: Magnetism, Etiella zinckenella, Meliodogyne incognita, Pupa, Cowpea

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Lima bean Pod borer, Etiella zinckenella (Treitschke) (Lepidoptera:Pyralidae) is a cosmopolitan pest of worldwide distribution (Qu and Kogan, 1984). It attacks cultivated legumes including cowpea, garden pea (Pisum sativum), Lima bean, mung bean, pigeon pea, common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) and soyabean. Etiella zinckenella causes about 40% yield loss in soyabean in the province of lorestan and in adjacent areasin Iran (Parvin, 1981). Etiella zinckenella caused 40% yield loss in cowpea in Egypt (Copr, 1981). Larvae of E. zinckenella can cause severe damage to many species of beans, feeding inside the pods on the seeds. Each larva can damage several seeds during its development. Studies have reported overall damage to pigeon pea crop at 25-40% in china, and up to 80% in Egypt. On a per seed basis, reported damage rates vary from 12% to 15-44% in Indonesia and Brazil, respectively (The food & Environment Research Agency, 2013).

New approaches for nematode management are to maintain nematode population density at level that does not cause economic damage and/or to increase the plant tolerance to nematode infection. Root-knot nematods are obligate parasites and very damaging plant pests for limiting the agricultural productivity. Most cultivated plant species are susceptible to root-knoot nematode infection. In Egypt, root-knot nematodes, Meloidogyne spp. are becoming a real threat to almost all vegetable crops, especially in the newly reclaimed areas and they have been considered as limiting factor, in crop production (Ibrahim et al. 2000). Because of the lack of resistance in plants to most species of root-knot nematode as well as the environmental restrictions on nematicidal using for controlling plant parasitic nematods; biological control and other ecofriendly disease control measures have gained recently increasing interest. On the other hand, it has been suggested that the use of induced resistance in plants could offer a considerable potential for biological control (Deverall, 1995).

Therefore, scanty information is available concerning effect of magnetic water on *E. zinckenella*, this current research aimed to detect the ability of magnetized sea water on the mortality percentage of *E. zinckenella* pupa and in addition, period of pupal stage and to maintain nematode population density at level that does not cause economic damage.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Etiella zinckenella:

Cowpea pods damage with larvae of E. zinckenella were collected from farm of Agriculture College, Mansoura University (Dakhlia, Egypt). Damage of pods were kept in jars at 26±2°C and 65±5% RH. Then separated E. zinckenella pupae were transferred in plastic containers (0.5 x10 cm) covered with muslin for ventilation until the emergence of the adult. The magnetized sea water was prepaired in physical department faculty of science, Mansoura University. The magnetic flux in the middle center of bottles was measured with the tesla-meter. The magnetic field recorded 10, 20 and 40 milli-tesla (ml.t). Total numbers of 80 E. zinckenella pupae with three replicates in each treatment were incubation on plastic containers including soil irrigation with (MSW) in three concentrations 10, 20 and 40 (ml.t). Investigation and recording results were carried out 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after adult emergence from the pupal stage in the untreated treatment and compared with it then calculated the percentage of pupal mortality.

#### Source of Nematode:

Second stage juveniles (J2) of *M. incognita* were obtained from apure culture initiated by single egg-mass and propagated on coleus plants, *Coleus blumei* in the green house of Nematology Research unit, Agricultural Zoology Department, Faculty of Agricultural, Mansoura university, Egypt, Where this work was carried-out.

# Impact of irrigated with three concentrations of magnetic treatment in comparison with non magnetic water against *Meliodogyne incognita* infecting cowpea plants:

In order to study the effect of irrigated magnetic at three concentrations (10, 20 and 40 ml.t) each in comparison with tap water on controlling M. *incognita* infecting cowpea plants, four kilograms of the sterilized clay loam soil were weighted into perforated plastic post of 20 cm diameter and 30 cm depth. The post were perforated to avoid water logging five seeds of the cowpea were planted into each of the plastic pot and later themed down to one healthy plant per pot. The post was replicated three times in a completely randomized design.

After two weeks of planting each of the cowpea plants was inoculated with 6000 (j2) of *M. incognita* into 5



cm holes in the soil, around the plants as close as possible to the roots. Two weeks after inoculation (4 weeks after planting) 5 mls of the various concentration of the magnetic sea water treatments 10, 20 and 40 ml.t were irrigated.

Untreated plants inoculated with nematodes nonmagnetic water. Data dealing with plant length, fresh weights of shoot and root, shoot dry weight were determined and recorded. Infected cowpea roots of each concentration per each nutrient mineral/replicate and nematode alone were washed with tap water separately fixed in 4% formalin for 24 hrs and stained in acid fuchsin (Byrd *et al.*, 1983) and examined with stereoscopic microscope for the number of galls, eggmasses, developmental stages and females of *M. incognita* recorded. Then data on eggs/eggmasses, root galls, females, eggmasses number per one gram of infected root/replicate of each treatment was calculated and recorded. *M. incognita* (J2) was extracted from soil/ plastic bag in 100g/ replicate through sieving and modified Baermann

technique (Goodey, 1957) counted by Hawksely counting under x10 magnification microscope, recorded and calculated for each bag (4.5 kg) soil.

#### Toxicity test:

A completely randomized experiment with three treatments irrigation the reared container with magnetized sea water with three conc. 10, 20 and 40 ml.t each treatment have three replicates was conducted in laboratory to follow the number of non-emergence adult after 1, 3, 5 and 7 days compared with the control treatment. Death corrected ratio was computed 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after treatment according to Abbott's formula (1925).

#### Data analysis:

Nematoda experimental data were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Comparisons of means of non-emergence adults were made with the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Costat Software, 2004).

Particle size	ysical and c distribution	nemical and	alysis of so	ni. Textural	Ec	РН	Caco3	O.M	Sp
Coarse	Fine	Silt	Clay	Class	Dsm <sup>-1</sup>	1:2.5	(%)	(%)	(%)
Sand 2.97	Sand 19.33	47.16	30.54	Clay loam	1.5 0.88	8.09	3.65	1.79	61.9
Available ele	ment, ppm					DTI	PA extractable	ppm	
N		Р		Κ	Fe	Zn	Cu	C	d
61.8		6.75	Mn	178.2	3.66	1.97	0.29	0.	92

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Toxicity effect of *E. zinckenella* pupae:

Efficiency of magnetic water on *E. zinckenella* pupa:-The date in Table (2) demonstrated that, although the magnetic concentrations were low, the mortality rate of the *E. zinckenella* pupa was high and when the concentrations increased, the total mortality increased. Total mortality of pupal stage was 13.75 %, 32.5% and 41.25 % respectively when, treatment with magnetic water in concentrations 10, 20 and 40 ml.t compared with control treatment.

Table 2. Percentage	mortality of <i>I</i>	E. <i>zinckenella</i> pup	oa treated with m	nagnetic water in	different concentrations
---------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--------------------------

Conc.	Percentag	Total Mortality			
(ml.t)	One day	Three days	Five days	Seven days	%
10	0	0	40	15	13.75%
20	0	80	25	25	325%
40	0	85	45	35	41.25%
	5	0	0	0	5.00%
	Conc. (ml.t) 10 20 40	Conc.         Percentag           (ml.t)         One day           10         0           20         0           40         0           5	Conc. (ml.t)         Percentage of non emerged One day         Three days           10         0         0           20         0         80           40         0         85           5         0	Conc. (ml.t)         Percentage of non emergence of E. zinc.           One day         Three days         Five days           10         0         0         40           20         0         80         25           40         0         85         45           5         0         0         0	Conc. (ml.t)         Percentage of non emergence of <i>E. zinckenella</i> pupae.           One day         Three days         Five days         Seven days           10         0         0         40         15           20         0         80         25         25           40         0         85         45         35           5         0         0         0         0

Hussein *et al.* (2017) reported that there was a clear and significant effect for the magnetism to kill and minimizing mite populations. While, Table (3) and Figure (1) indicated that  $LC_{50}$  was 52.19 and  $LC_{90}$  was 43.19. The probability was 0.215.

Hussein *et al.* (2015) investigated the effect of magnetic field on the physiological aspects of some insects, larvae of three insects which cotton leafwarm, *Spodoptera littoralis*, red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferruginous* and the greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* were rearing under laboratory condition.

Results showed that each of body weight and growth rate as well as the physiological aspects were affected the magnetic field. A pplication rate of growth decreased in cotton leafworm and red palm weevil. The invertase enzyme decreased in the treated larvae of *S. littoralis* and *G. mellonella* with 40.15% and 28.33% respectively lower than the control. Basalova *et al.* (2016) reported that the response to magnetic fields (MFs) in some insects e.g. cockroaches is depending on photosensitive Cryptochromes (cry) and directly affect the visual system through the gene function.



Table 3. Efficiency of magnetic water against E.

Fig.1. LC - P line for magnetized water treated with *E. zinckenella* pupa.

# Effect of magnetized water on *M. incognita* and the tested plant parameters:-

Data listed in Table (4) and Fig. 2 showed the efficacy of three levels of sea water magnetization (10, 20 and 40 ml.t) on the reproduction of root-knotting nematodes *M. incognita* infecting cowpea plants, as compared with control. Results showed that there was a positive correlation between the increase in water magnetization level and the

reduction rate of tested nematode parameters, as the level of 40 ml.t of magnetic water recorded the highest values of the reduction of the developmental stages (93.93%) females (9.0%) nematodes in soil (90.53%) galls (98.04%) and eggmasses numbers (96.95%) respectively as compared to tape water. While the application of low level of 10 ml.t of magnetic water treatment recorded the lower reduction values in this respect.

 Table 4. Parameters of nematode *M. incognita* infecting cowpea plants as influenced by irrigated magnetic water at three concentrations in comparison with tap water.

			e numbe	r of nen	natode in	No. of		No. of					
Treatment			One gr	am root		100~/~~!	Dad0/	Galls	Red%	RGI	eggmasses Red%		E.I*
		D.s	Red%	females	Red%	-100g/son	Keu %	1g/root			1g/root		
Magnetic	10ml.t	12.0	95.04	23.0	92.77	250.0	83.09	34.0	93.35	4.0	22.0	91.60	3.00
	20ml.t	10.0	95.87	15.0	95.28	202.0	86.33	24.0	95.30	3.0	14.0	94.66	3.00
water	40ml.t	5.0	97.93	9.0	97.17	140.0	90.53	10.0	98.04	2.0	8.0	96.95	2.00
Tap water	•	242.0	0.0	318.0	0.0	1478.0	0.0	511.0	0.0	5.0	262.0	0.0	5.0
L.S.D. 0.0	)5	3.04		4.69		225.8		6.17			4.59		
N=6000J <sub>2</sub> 0	of M. incog	nita		D.S =	Develop	ment stages							

Each value is the mean of five replicates.

\*\* Root gall index (RGI) or egg-masses index (EI) was determined according to the scale given by Taylor& Sasser (1978) as follows : 0= no galls or eggmasses, 1= 1-2 galls or eggmasses , 2= 3-10 galls or eggmasses, 3= 11-30 galls or eggmasses, 4= 31-100 galls or eggmasses and 5= more than 100 galls or eggmasses.



Figure 2. Effect of certain concentration of irrigated magnetic water on *Meloidogyne incognita* infecting cowpea plant cv.

(1=Tap water, 2=10 ml.t, 3=20 ml.t, 4=40ml.t)

On the other hand, the results listed in (Table 5) showed the effectiveness of three levels of sea water magnetization (10, 20 and 40 ml.t) on the tested plant parameters of the cowpea plant infected by *M. incognita* nematodes under agricultural conditions. It was evident that there was a positive correlation with increasing the level of magnetization of water and improved plant growth tested criteria. The application of high level of magnetic water (40 ml.t) treatment recorded the highest values in improvement of plant parameters for total plant length (60.5%), total plant fresh weight (97.97%) number of leaves (91.67%) as well as shoot dry weight (78.0), respectively as compared to tape water application.

 Table 5. Plant growth response *M. incognita* infecting cowpea plants as influenced by irrigated magnetic water at three concentrations in comparison with tap water.

					Plant Growth Response								
Treatments		Length (cm)		Total	Dod %	Fresh we	resh weight (g) Total		Dod %	Shoot	Dad 0/	No. of	Dad 0/
	-	Shoot	Root	Total	<b>Keu.</b> /0	Shoot	Root	F.W (g)	<b>Keu.</b> 70	D.W (g)	<b>Neu.</b> 70	leaves	<b>Keu.</b> 70
Magnetic water	10ml.t	29.0	8.5	37.5	8.70	2.89	1.29	4.18	41.22	1.78	18.67	18	50.00
	20ml.t	40.0	12.0	52.0	50.72	3.95	1.81	5.76	94.59	2.45	63.33	21	75.00
	40ml.t	45.0	15.5	60.5	75.36	3.51	2.35	5.86	97.97	2.67	78.00	23	91.67
Tap water		30.0	4.5	34.5	0.0	1.98	0.98	2.96	0.0	1.5	0.00	12	0.0
L.S.D. 0.0	5	1.97	1.21			1.35	1.08			1.06		2.43	

N= 6000 eggs of *M. incognita* - Each value is the mean of five replicates

Means in each column followed by the same letter (s) did not differ at P < 0.05 according to Duncan multiple-range test -Number between parentheses represented the percentage of increase or decrease in plant growth response.

In fact, the use of magnetization technology for irrigation water has had a significant impact on the improvement of tested plant parameters with a clear reduction in the number of root-knot nematodes affecting cowpea yield. On the other hand, the increased concentration of water magnetism had a better effect than other rates. The present findings are in agreement of many researchers (Marinkovic *et al.*, 2002) who recorded an increasing in economic yield regarding application of magnetic water treatments under field condition reached to 144,8% in potato,; pepper by 64,9% (Kordas, 2002), broad bean and pea by 10 and 15%, respectively (Tian *et al.*, 1991).

Also, the root mass, leaf surface and yield of sugar beet treated with magnetized water increased by 94.0%, 52.0% and 12.88%, respectively (Vasilevski, 2003). Similar positive trends were obtained under Egyptian condition by Hozayn *et al.* (2013, 2014 and 2017), as they reported that the economic yield was increased (ton ha-1) in response to magnetized water application reached to 13.71% at wheat, 8.25% at faba bean, 21.8% at chick pea, 36.02% at canola, 22.37% at flax and 19.05% at sugar beet crop as compared to irrigation with tap water application. On the other hand the current findings are confirmed by Hozayn *et al.*, (2017) who stated that yield of peanut infected with nematodes increased in magnetic water treatment compared to that of peanut infected with nematodes and irrigated with non treated water. In other word, yield of peanut increased in magnetic water regardless of nematode infection. This may be improve the nutritional status of cowpea at magnetic water, thus increasing tolerance of cowpea to nematode infection. Increasing the nematode juveniles (J2) at harvest in the soil of magnetic water treatment may be also due to improve the nutritional status of plants supplying nematodes with more food, thus nematode females (parents) lay more eggs

#### REFERENCES

- Abbott, W.S. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol., 18 : 265-267.
- Byrd, D. W.;T, Kirkpatrick and K. Barker (1983). An improved technique for clearing and staining plant tissues for detection of nematodes. J.Nematol., 15(3):142-143.
- Copr. [Center for Overseas pest Research], (1981). Pest control in tropical grain legumes. Chatham, UK: Natural Resources Institute. 99.pp
- CoStat Software. (2004). CoStat. www. cohort.com. Monterey, California, USA.
- Crnobarc J.; Marinkovic B.; Tatic M. and Malesevic M., (2002). The effect of REIS on start up growth and seed yield of sunflower and soybean. Biophysics in agriculture production. University of Novi Sad, Tampograf.
- Deverall, B. J. (1995). Plant protection using natural defense systems of plants in: (Eds. Andrews, J. H. & Tommerup, I. C.) Advances in plant pathol., (11), San Diego, USA, Academic Press, 211-228 PP.
- Goodey, j.B. (1957). Laboratory methods for work with plant and soil nematodes. Tech. Bull. No.2 Min. Agric. Fish Ed.London PP.
- Hozayn M.; Abd El-Monem A.A. and Abdelraouf R.E. (2013). Magnetic technology, a novel tool for correction ground water and improving crops and water productivity. The first international conference "Water desalination in the Arab world", 26 May, 2013, Cairo, Egypt.
- Hozayn M.; Abdel-Monem A. A; Elwial T.A. and Abdalla M.M. (2014). Future of magnetic agriculture in arid and semi-arid regions. Series A. Agronomy, Vol. LVII, 197-204.

- Hozayn M.; Korayem A.M.; Mohamed M.M.M and Abd El Monem A. A. (2017). Effect of magnetic water on growth, Yield and quality of groundnut infected with root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne arenaria*) in sandy soil. Egypt J. Agronematol., Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 115-126.
- Hussein A. M., A.M. Hatem, M.K. Abbas, Ghada E. Abdalla, K.E. Rady, Salwa S.M. Abdel-Samad and M.A. Eweis (2015). Effect of Magnetic field on metabolism and enzyme activity in some harmful insects. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. Vol.40 No. 4(1): 999-1009.
- Hussey, R.S. and Barker, K. R. (1973). A comparison on methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne spp.* including anew technique. Plant Dis. Reptr., 57: 1925-1928.
- Ibrahim, I. K. A.; Z. A. Handoo and A. A. El-Sherbiny (2000). A survey of phytoparasitic nematodes on cultivated and non cultivated plants in Northwestern Egypt. Suppl. J. Nematol., 32(4): 478-485.
- Kordas, L. (2002). The effect of magnetic field on growth, development and the yield of spring wheat. Polish J. of Environmental Studies, 11 (5), 527-530.
- Marinkovic B.; Ilin Z., Marinkovic J.; Culibrk M. and Jacimovic G. (2002). Potato yield in function variable electromagnetic field. Biophysics in agriculture production. University of Novi Sad, Tomograf.
- Parvin, A. (1981). Studies on the biology of Etiella zinckenella (Trit). Entomologia phytopathological Aplication, 49(1):73-88.
- Qu, Y. and Kogan, J. (1984). Abibliography of three lepidopterous pod borers-Etielle zinckenella, leguminivora glycinivorella and Matsumuraeses phaseoli-associated with soybean and other legumes. IIlinois Natural History Survey and International Soybean Program, University of IIlinois, Champaign, USA.
- Tian W.X.; Kuang Y.L., and Mei Z.P. (1991). Effect of magnetic water on seed germination, seedling growth and grain yield of rice. Field Crop Abstracts, 044-07228.
- Vasilevski G. (2003). Perspectives of the application of biophysical methods in sustainable agriculture. Bulg. J. Plant Physiol., Special Issue, 179–186.

## تأثير المياه الممغنطه على عذارى دودة قرون اللوبيا Etiella zinckenella ونيماتودا تعقد الجذور Meloidogyna incognita

### ولاء عَبد المعطى توفيق ، وسام ظريف عزيز بساده و مروه محمد شلبى معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات – مركز بحوث الزراعيه الدقى - الجيزه

تم در اسة تأثير ثلاثة تركيزات من مياه البحر الممغنطه هي ( 10، 20، 40 ملى تسلا ) على كلا من عذارى دودة قرون اللوبيا وتأثير تلك المعاملات علي الإصابه بنيماتودا تعقد الجذور Meloidogyne incognita والنباتات المعامله أوضحت النتائج مايلي:- بمتابعة الفحص وتسجيل النتائج بعد 1 ، 3 ، 5 ، يوم من خروج الحشرات الكامله فى معاملة الكنترول أن هذاك تأثير مميت على خروج الحشرات الكامله من العذارى التى وضعت فى تربه مرويه بماء البحر الممغنط بتركيزت مختلفه من المغنطه وهى 10، 20 ، 100 ، 100 معامله أوضحت النتائج مايلي:- بمتابعة الفحص وتسجيل النتائج بعد 1 ، 3 ، 5 ملى المغنط بتركيزت مختلفه من المغنطه وهى 10، 20 ، 100 ، 100 صيت على خروج الحشرات الكامله داخل العذارى هى 7.13، 2.55 و 12.55 على التوالى و هذا يدل أن جميع التركيز ات لها تأثير مميت على الحشرات الكامله داخل العذارى وكلما ذاد التركيز ذاد معدل موت الحشرات الكامله . كما على التوالى و هذا يدل أن جميع التركيز النصف مميت لحشرات الكامله داخل العذارى هو 2.25% بينما التركيز الذى يقتل 90 % من المعامله هو 13.00 اوضحت النتائج ان التركيز النصف مميت للحشرات الكامله داخل العذارى هو 2.25% بينما التركيز الذى يقتل 90 % من المعامله هو 43.00%. أدت جميع وضحت النتائج ان التركيز النصف مميت للحشرات الكامله داخل العذارى هو 2.25% بينما التركيز الذى يقتل 90 % من المعامله هو 43.00%. أدت جميع وضحت النتائج ان التركيز النصف مميت للحشرات الكامله داخل العذارى هو 2.25% بينما التركيز الذى يقتل 90 % من المعامله هو 9.25%، و 9.79% التركيز 10 المختبره الي تحسن معنوي في مقابيس النمو النباتية المختبره مع خفض واضح في مقابيس النيماتودا المختبره بدرجه كبيرة 2.5% و 9.79% تركيز 40 هي الأفضل يليها المعاملة بتركيز 20 في معدلات الزيادة للمقليس النباتية المختبرة مثل الوزن الجاف والمجوع ولاحي هي 9.30% و 97.9% تركيز 40 هي الأفضل يليها المعاملة بتركيز 10 الأهل في قيمة الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضري بمعدل 18.67% و 17.9% و 97.9% و 94.59% و 94.59% و 97.9% و 10.5% و 10.5% و 9.0% و 9.