Influence of Different Plantations on the Main Piercing-Sucking Insect Pests Attacking Faba Bean and Soybean Plants

Awadalla, S. S.¹; F. D. AbdAllah²; Hala A. K. El-Serafi¹ and Walaa B. F. Badawy²
¹Economic Entomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University.
²Plant Protection Research Institute, Sakha Agriculture Research Station, Agriculture Research Center.



ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted in the farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during 2015/ 16 and 2016/ 17 to determine the influence of sowing dates on the major piercing-sucking insect pests which infested faba bean and soybean plants. On faba bean plants, Emposca spp. ranked in the first category with the highest average number in October cultivated (60.35 ± 12.08 and 89.55 ± 11.29 indiv.) followed by M. persicae (37.30 ± 12.08 and 61.85 ± 12.74 indiv.) in both seasons, respectively. Meanwhile, in November implanted A. craccoivora was recorded the highest average number (72.85 ± 23.86 and 270.45 ± 80.49 indiv.) followed by Emposca spp. (51.15 ± 7.76 and 69.25 ± 12.73 indiv.) in the two successive seasons, respectively. While, in December grown Emposca spp. came in the first category (53.30 ± 10.90 indiv.) in the first season and M. persicae observed the first category (63.35 ± 10.95 indiv.) during the second season. P. solenopsis was found the lowest average number in the different planting dates at the two successive seasons. On the other side, on soybean plants, A. gossypii arranged in the first category in the three plantations and observed the highest average number in the June plantation with values (248.80 ± 48.58 and 332.45 ± 67.77 indiv.) followed by April plantation(149.05± 36.08 and 172.10 ± 38.93 indiv.) and May plantation (117.35 ± 26.67 and 167.4 ± 34.44 indiv.) in both seasons, respectively. Number of B. tabaci came in the second category in the three cultivated with the highest values in the June plantation with (216.50 ± 45.11 and232.5 ± 53.36 indiv.) followed by April plantation(143.85 ± 30.77 and 172.00 ± 32.97 indiv.) and May plantation (63.05 ± 15.69 and 87.05 ± 19.10 indiv.) during the two successive seasons, respectively. Emposca indiv.) and May plantation dates, piercing-sucking pests, faba bean, soybean.

INTRODUCTION

Leguminous plants are considered an important agricultural crop which used as human feed among these plants faba bean and soybean. The faba bean, (Vicia fabaL.) it is the most important commercial crop grown worldwide. It is one of the promising pulse crops, which can play an important role in increasing legume production in Egypt. Seeds are used for people nutrition as seeds have 28% protein, 58% carbohydrate and 2%fat (Köpke and Nemecek2010). It can be used as vegetables, green or dried, fresh or canned. Faba bean has been comes on the main menu as a meat extender or substitute (Ebadahet al., 2006). It has also useful the new reclaimed land (Al-Antaryet al., 2007). Plants faba bean are infestation by many from insect pests which cause serious damage, e.g. Aphis craccivora (Koch.), Emposaca spp. and Nezara viridulaL. Which cause economic losses to the crop (Abdallah et al., 2000 Mohamed and Slman, 2001and Awadalla et al., 2014).

Soybean, Glycine max (L.) is one of the bulk important leguminous food crop in many countries. It plays a major role in many food industries for people and animals. It is source of major plant protein sources, has a good nutritional quality and considers as one of the richest sources of vegetable oils (18-22%). (Badenhopf and Kackler, 1971). Soybean plants attract numerous insect species during their growing seasons. These insects cause economic damage as a result of feeding (Shataa. 2010). The most serious insect pests are the whiteflies, (*Bemisia* spp.), Aphids (Aphis gossypii Glover), Jassids (Empoasca lybica), the green stink bug (Nezara viridula L.) which cause significant losses in Soybean yield, quality and germination percentage (Salem 1999). However, the whiteflies, Bemisia tabaci, (Genn) causes serious damage on Soybean plant juice or indirectly by viral diseases transition (Gamieh and El-Basuony. 2001, Salman et al., 2002, Magouzetal., 2006 and El-Samahy and Saad, 2010). The preferred host plants and planting dates are achieves benefit in the field of pest insects control especially in IPM programs for different insect pests infesting faba bean or soybean plants (Awadalla *et al.*, 2011, Awadalla *et al.*, 2014). So, the objective of this experimental was for known the effectiveness of cultivation dates on the population numbers of the major piercing-sucking insect pests infestation faba bean and soybean plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Effect of sowing dates on the main piercing-sucking insect pests

1. On faba bean crop

The present experiments were conducted in the farm of Sakha Agriculture Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh region to study impact of sowing dates on the major insect pests and their associated predatory insects. Seeds of sakha 4 faba bean variety were implanted in three consecutive dates at 30 days intervals i.e. the first of September, the first week of October and the first week of December in the two grown seasons (2015/2016 and 2016/17). The area was about 1600 m² divided to three parts (each part 400 m²) divided to four replicates, each replicate was 100 m². Samples were taken weekly after sowing dates about one month until the harvesting time:

The impact of sowing dates on dinestey of aphids, leafhoppers and the cotton mealybug on the involved varieties, weekly sample of 100 leaflets (25 leaflets from each replicate) of each variety were chosen at random and number of this insect were directly recorded in the field.

The impact of sowing dates on number of the green stink bug and predators on all of varieties weekly sample of 100 plants (25 plants from each replicate) of each variety were chosen at random and number of this insect were directly recorded in the field. Numbers of pests and predators were identified, recorded, and counted.

2. on soybean crop

Seeds of Gizall soybean variety grown in three different times at 30 days intervals i.e. the first of April, the first week of May, the first of June in the two successive

seasons (2016 and 2017). The area was about 1600 m² divided to three parts (each part 400 m²) divided to four replicates, each replicate was 100 m². The agricultural practices were without any insecticidal treatments in both seasons. Samples were taken weekly from sowing dates about one month until the harvesting time:

The population numbers of aphids and leafhoppers on the involved varieties, weekly sample of 100 leaflets (25 leaflets from each replicate) of each variety were chosen at random and number of this insect were directly recorded in the field.

Impact of sowing dates on density of the green stink bug and predators on the involved varieties weekly sample of 100 plants (25 plants from each replicate) of each variety were collected randomly and number of this insect were directly recorded in the field. Numbers of pests and predators were identified, recorded, and counted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Effect of different plantation on the main piercing - sucking insect pests attacking sakha 4 faba bean variety

Results illustrated in Table (1) indicated that the average numbers of the major piercing -sucking insect pests infestation sakha 4 faba bean variety in different sowing dates in the first season 2015/16. Data showed that, *Empoasca spp.* and *M. persicae* observed with highest numerical intensity in October cultivated and represented by $(60.35 \pm 12.08 \text{ and } 37.30 \pm 12.37 \text{ indiv., respectively})$. While, *A. craccivora* and *Empoasca spp.* obsreved the highest number in November plantation $(72.85 \pm 23.86 \text{ and } 51.15 \pm 7.76 \text{ indiv., respectively})$. Meanwhile, *Empoasca spp.* and *M. persicae* come in the first category in December plantation $(53.30 \pm 10.90 \text{ and } 38.65 \pm 6.94 \text{ indiv., respectively})$. Statistical analysis indicated that there were significant differences between the different sowing dates in the first season.

Table 1. Effect of planting dates on the average numbers of the main Piercing -sucking insect pests attacking sakha 4 faba bean variety during the first season 2015/16.

insect	Average number of pests \pm SEM			
pests	October	November	December	
	plantation	plantation	plantation	
A. craccivora	$20.15 \pm 4.16 \mathrm{b}$	72.85 ± 23.86 a	$15.45 \pm 5.78 \mathrm{b}$	
M. persicae	37.30 ± 12.37 a	36.80 ± 10.63 a	38.65 ± 6.94 a	
Empoasca spp.	60.35 ± 12.08 a	$51.15 \pm 7.76 \mathrm{b}$	$53.30 \pm 10.90 \mathrm{b}$	
N. viridula	$10.10 \pm 2.14 \mathrm{b}$	19.5 ± 2.69 a	$10.50 \pm 2.18 \mathrm{b}$	
p. solenopsis	5.15 ± 1.09 a	$1.55 \pm 0.51 \mathrm{b}$	$4.30 \pm 0.85 a$	

Values bearing the same small letters in a raw are not significantly different, according to Dauncan's Multiple Rang Test at 0.05 probability level.

The data arranged in Table (2) mentioned the average numbers of the main piercing -sucking insect pests attacking sakha 4 faba bean variety in different plantation dates at the first season 2016/17. These results indicated that, *Empoasca* spp. and *M. persicae* found the highest average number in October grown with values (79.55 \pm 11.29 and 61.85 \pm 12.74 indiv., respectively). While, *A. craccivora* and *Empoasca* spp. observed the highest average number in November plantation (270.45 \pm 80.49 and 69.25 \pm 12.73 indiv., respectively). Meanwhile, *M.*

persicae and Empoasca spp. ranked the first category in December sowing (63.35 ± 10.95 and 61.25 ± 12.45 indiv., respectively). Data analysis indicated that there were significant differences between the different sowing dates in the second season.

Table 2. Effect of planting dates on the population numbers of the main piercing -sucking insect pests infestation sakha 4 faba bean variety at the second season 2016/17.

insect	Average number of pests \pm SEM			
pests	October	November	December	
	plantation	plantation	plantation	
A. craccivora	21.85 ± 5.33 b	270.45 ± 80.49 a	$20.70 \pm 7.05 \mathrm{b}$	
M. persicae	61.85 ± 12.74 a	62.95 ± 19.57 a	63.35 ± 10.95 a	
Empoasca spp.	79.55 ± 11.29 a	69.25 ± 12.73 ab	61.25 ± 12.45 b	
N. viridula	$13.20 \pm 2.78 b$	21.15 ± 4.01 a	$16.80 \pm 3.03 \mathrm{b}$	
p. solenopsis	5.65 ± 1.23 a	2.50 ± 0.69 a	4.60 ± 0.91 a	

Values bearing the same small letters in a raw are not significantly different according to Dauncan's Multiple Rang Test at 0.05 probability level.

As a conclusion, the obtained data ranked in Tables (1 and 2) refers to, *Emposca* spp. ranked in the first category and observed the highest average number in October grown (60.35 \pm 12.08 and 89.55 \pm 11.29 indiv.) followed by M. persicae (37.30 \pm 12.08 and 61.85 \pm 12.74indiv.) during the two seasons, respectively. Meanwhile, in November plantation A.craccoivora came in the first category and recorded the highest average number (72.85 \pm 23.86 and 270.45 \pm 80.49 indiv.) followed by *Emposca* spp. $(51.15 \pm 7.76 \text{ and } 69.25 \pm 12.73 \text{ indiv.})$ in the two successive seasons, respectively. While, in December sowing Emposca spp. observed in the first category (53.30 \pm 10.90indiv.) in the first season and M. persicae recorded the first category (63.35 \pm 10.95 indiv.) in the second season. p. solenopsis showed in the last category and recorded the lowest average number in the different planting dates in both the seasons. Statistical analysis indicated that there were significant differences between the different sowing dates in the two successive seasons.

These results are in consistent with those of Awadalla et al. (2011) who indicated the population density of E. discipiens (46.57 ± 2.21) and 59.07 ± 3.72 nymphs / 50 leaflets) was highest in the summer cultivated. in the two seasons of study, respectively. Beside that there are significant differences among plantation dates and the population fluctuation of A. craccivora and Empoasca spp. while non-significant differences were found among sowing dates and the average number of N. viridula. El-Mashaly (2013) in Egypt who mentioned that in the second season the maximum average number of A. craccivora was observed in first of November implanted. Beside, N. viridula observed the highest population number in the mid of November at two seasons. The maximum average number of Empoasca spp. was showed in the first of October planation in the first season.

2. Impact of different plantation on the main piercing sucking insect pests attacking Giza 111 soybean variety:

Results illustrated in Table (3) indicated that the population numbers of the important piercing -sucking insect pests attacking Giza111 soybean variety in different

plantation dates in the first season 2016. These results indicated that, *A. craccivora* and *B. tabaci* observed the highest population number in the three sowing dates (April& May&June) and represented by (149.05 \pm 36.08 and 143.85 \pm 30.77indiv. & 117.35 \pm 26.67 and 63.05 \pm 15.69 & 248.80 \pm 48.58 and 216.50 \pm 45.11 indiv., respectively). There were significant differences between the different sowing dates at the first season.

Table 3. Impact of planting dates on the average numbers of the main piercing -sucking insect pests attacking Giza111soybean variety during the first season 2016.

ingot	Average number of pests ± SE			
insect pests	April plantation	May plantation	June plantation	
Aphis gossypii	149.05± 36.08 b	117.35 ± 26.67 b	$248.80 \pm 48.58 a$	
Bemisia tabaci	143.85 ± 30.77 b	$63.05 \pm 15.69 \mathrm{c}$	216.50 ± 45.11 a	
Empoasca spp.	25.30 ± 5.21 a	43.45 ± 8.06 a	36.35 ± 8.05 a	
Nezara viridula	12.65 ± 2.72 a	14.15 ± 3.11 a	12.45 ± 2.73 a	

Values bearing the same small letters in a raw are not significantly different according to Dauncan's Multiple Rang Test at 0.05 probability level.

Data arranged in Table (4) showed the population numbers of the main piercing -sucking insect pests attacking Giza111 soybean variety in different plantation dates at the second season 2017. These results revealed that, *A. craccivora* and *B. tabaci* observed the highest population density in the three planting dates (April& May&June) and represented by (172.10 ± 38.93) and 172.00 ± 32.97 indiv. & 167.4 ± 34.44 and 187.05 ± 19.10 & 187.05 ± 19.10 & 187

Table 4. Impact of planting dates on the average numbers of the main piercing -sucking insect pests attacking Giza111soybean variety during the second season 2017.

insect pests	Average number of pests ± SE			
	April plantation	May plantation	June plantation	
Aphis gossypii	172.10 ± 38.93 b	167.4 ± 34.44 b	332.45 ± 67.77 a	
Bemisia tabaci	$172.00 \pm 32.97 \mathrm{b}$	$87.05 \pm 19.10 c$	232.5 ± 53.36 a	
Empoasca spp.	$23.86 \pm 5.34 a$	$38.10 \pm 7.05 a$	$31.50 \pm 7.10 a$	
Nezara viridula	12.75 ± 2.86 ab	16.35 ± 3.50 a	$9.5 \pm 1.59 \mathrm{b}$	

Values bearing the same small letters in a raw are not significantly differentaccordingto Dauncan's Multiple Rang Test at 0.05 probability level.

As a conclusion, the obtained results arranged in Tables (2 and 4) showed that, $A.\ gossypii$ arranged in the first category and observed the highest average density in the June sowing and represented by (248.80 \pm 48.58indiv. and 332.45 \pm 67.77indiv.) followed by April plantation (149.05 \pm 36.08 and 172.10 \pm 38.93indiv.) and May plantation (117.35 \pm 26.67 and 167.4 \pm 34.44indiv.) in the two successive seasons, respectively. While, $B.\ tabaci$ observed in the second category and recorded the highest average number in the June plantation and represented by (216.50 \pm 45.11 and 232.5 \pm 53.36indiv.) followed by April plantation (143.85 \pm 30.77 and 172.00 \pm 32.97) and May plantation (63.05 \pm 15.69 and 87.05 \pm 19.10indiv.) at the two successive seasons, respectively. Data analysis

revealed that there were significant differences between the different sowing dates at both seasons.

These results are in similar with those of Meena and Sharma (2006) in India found that the population numbers of pod borers increased in late soybean cultivation. Helalia *et al.* (2011) observed that cowpea cultivation late on April 15 had the lowest population numbers and infestation rate with *Etiella zinckenella (Tr.)*; while recorded the highest infestation rate in late plantation (May 15). Abdallah (2012) in Egypt who found that soybean grown late on April 15 had the lowest population abundance and infestation percentage with *B. tabacia* and aphid while the highest infestation percentage recorded in late plantation (June 1) during the two seasons 2007 and 2008.

REFERENCES

Abdallah, F. E., Bleih, S. B. El-Naggar, M. A. and Gamieh, G. N.(2000). Susceptibility of some faba bean varieties, insecticides alternatives and yield loss estimation due to *Liriomyza congesta* (Beck.) and and *Aphis craccivora* (Koch.). Minufiya J. Agric. Res.,25(4): 999-1016.

Abdallah,Y E.Y.(2012). Effect of plant traps and sowing dates on population density of major soybean pests . The Journal of Basic & Applied Zoology. 65, 37–46.

Al-Antary, T., Mazen Ateyyat and T. Thalji, (2007). Effect of eight cultivars of faba bean, Vicia faba L., on the black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae* Scopoli (Homoptera: Aphididae), in the field. Agricultural Sciences. 34(1 and 2): 34-38.

Awadalla, S. S.; L. M. Shanab; M. E. El-Naggar and S. M. Abo-Zaid (2011). Influence of different varieties and planting dates on the main pests infesting kidney beans. J. Plant Prot. and Pathology, Mansoura Univ., 2(4): 519-531.

Awadalla, S. S.; F. E. Abdallah and Nora R. El-Mashaly (2014). Population density of main insect pests attacking faba bean plants as influenced by sowing dates. Glob. J.Agric. food safety Sci.1 (2):169-177.

Ebadah, I. M. A., Y.A. Mahmoud and S. S. Moawad, (2006). Susceptibility of some faba bean cultivars to field infestation with some insect pests. Res. J. Agric. and Biol. Sc., 2(6):537-540.

El-Mashaly, N. R. (2013). Influence of some agricultural practices on the main insect pests attacking faba bean and their natural enemies. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 199 pp.

El-Samahy, M.F.M and I.A.I. Saad (2010) Population density of piercing -sucking pests on three varieties in relation to some leaf characteristic. J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 1(10): 767-773.

Gamieh, G. N. and A. A El-Basuony (2001): Population density of piercing-sucking pests attacking in soybean fields influenced by varieties, predators and leaf physical and chemical properties. J. Agric.Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(2): 1089-1099.

- Helalia, A.A.R., Ali, F.A.F., Hegab, M.F.A. and K.H.A. Kamal (2011). Effect of sowing dates of three cowpea cultivars on their infestation rate with cowpea pod borer, *Etiella zinckenella*. Arab Univ. J. Agric. Res. 19 (1), 247–259.
- Köpke, U. and Nemecek, T. 2010. Ecological services of faba bean. Field Crops Research 115: 217-233.
- Magouz, R.I.E., S.E. Saadoon and S.A.A. Kassem (2006). Population density of *Tetranychus cucurbitacearum* (Sayed) and *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) on certain soybean varieties in relation to some weather factors and leaf chemical contents. J.Agric. Res. Tanta. Univ., 32(1): 95-102.
- Meena, N.L., and U.S. Sharma (2006). Effect of sowing date and row spacing on incidence of major insect pests of soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. Soybean Res. 4, 73–76.
- Mohamed, A. M. and F. A. A. Slman (2001). Susceptibility of some broad bean varieties to natural infestation with *Aphis craccivora* (Koch.) and *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) at upper Egypt. Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 32(1): 167-173.

- Salem H.A. (1999). Levels of infestation and damage by certain insect pests of soybean and sun flower crop. Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University 123 pp.
- Salman, F. A.A., A.M. Mohamed, H.A. Mohamed and M.L.S. Gad El-Rab (2002). Evaluation of some soybean varieties to natural infestation with whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch.) in Upper Egypt. Egyptian J. of Agric. Res., 80: 619-629.
- Santhosh, M.N., 2008. Evaluation of ITK Components Against Major Insect Pests of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci, Dharwad (India), pp. 70–82.
- Shatta, F. A. I. (2010). Studies on soybean stem fly, *Melanagromyza sojae* (Zehntner) in Kafr El-Sheikh Region. M. Sc. Economic Entomology, Kafr El-Sheikh Univ. 123.

تأثير مواعيد الزراعة المختلفة علي أهم الافات الحشرية الثاقبة الماصة التي تهاجم نباتات الفول البلدي وفول الصويا سميرصالح عوض الله أمهمي الدكروري عبدالله 2 ، هالة أحمد كامل الصيرفى 1 و ولاء بسيونى فتوح بدوى 2 أقسم الحشرات الاقتصادية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة 2 معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات ، محطة بحوث سخا ، مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت هذه الدراسة في المزرعة البحثية لمحطة بحوث سخا محافظة كفر الشيخ خلال موسمي الدراسة 16/2015 و 17/2016 بهدف دراسة تاثير مواعيد الزراعة علي أهم الافات الحشرية الثاقية الماصة التي تصيب نباتات الفول البلدي وفول الصويا. اظهرت النتائج أن حشرة نطاطات الاوراق إحتات المرتبة الاولي وسجلت أعلى متوسط للتعداد علي نباتات الفول البلدي في ميعاد اكثوبر بمتوسط تعداد (60,35 ± 17/2018 و 17/2016 و 17/2016 و 17/2015 و 17/2018 في ميعاد نوفمبر إحتات حشرة الأخضر بمتوسط تعداد (17,000 على البقوليات المرتبة الاولي وسجلت أعلي متوسط التعداد (72,85 ± 18,000 و 18/2015 على التوالي بينما في ميعاد نوفمبر إحتات حشرة من البقوليات المرتبة الاولي وسجلت أعلي متوسط التعداد (17,000 ± 19,000 فردا) يليها حشرة نطاطات الاوراق المرتبة الاولي بمتوسط تعداد (17,000 في ميعاد ديسمبر تصدرت حشرة نطاطات الاوراق المرتبة الاولي بمتوسط تعداد (18,000 في ميعاد ديسمبر تصدرت حشرة نطاطات الاوراق المرتبة الاولي بمتوسط تعداد (19,000 فرد). وعلي الجانب الاخر تصدرت حشرة بق القطن الدقيقي المرتبة الاخيرة وسجلت اقل متوسط التعداد في مواعيد الزراعة المختلفة خلال موسمي الزراعة المختلفة خلال موسمي الزراعة وأظهرالتحليل الإحصائي وجود فروق معنوية بين مواعيد الزراعة المختلفة خلال الموسم للتعداد (17,000 في 18,500 في 19,000 في 18,000 في ميعاد زراعة يونيو (18,000 في 18,500 في 19,000 في 18,000 في 18,000 في ميعاد الرياب بمتوسط تعداد (18,000 في ميعاد مايو سجلت بمتوسط تعداد (17,000 في ميعاد مايو سجلت بمتوسط تعداد (17,000 في 18,000 في 18,000 في 18,000 في ميعاد مايو سجلت بمتوسط تعداد (17,000 في 18,000 في 19,000 في 19,000 في 18,000 في 18,000 في 18,000 في 19,000 في 18,000 في 19,000 في 18,000 في