# RESPONSE OF GARLIC PRODUCTIVITY AND STORABILITY TO APPLICATION TIMES OF PHOSPHORUS AND CERTAIN MICRO-NUTRIENTS

Abdel-Fattah, A.E.; Z.S. El-Shal and E.M. Awad Vegetable Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

## **ABSTRACT**

In two field trials during the winter seasons (2000/2001 and 2001/2002), garlic plants were grown at the Hort. Res. Farm of El- Bramoon, Dakahlia Governorate, to evaluate the effects of application times of P-fertilizer, either a single or in combinations with some micro-nutrients on plant growth, yield and its components as well as chemical constituents and bulb storability during five months of storage period. Phosphorus was applied as a soil dressing at 90 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed in four different times, i.e. 100 % before planting (BP), 75% (BP) + 25% at 30 days after planting (AP), 50% (BP) + 50% in 2 equal doses 30 and 60 days (AP) and 25% (BP) + 75% in 3 equal doses 30, 60 and 90 days (AP). Micro-nutrients were used in mixture, 100 ppm each of Fe, Zn and Cu sprayed on plants once or twice 30 and 60 days (AP), at the level of 400 liters / fed.

Results showed that application of P in splits was generally more beneficial than the single dressing. The applied-P as 25% (BP) + 75% (AP) exerted significant increases on plant height, leaf area/plant and dry weight/plant as well as total yield, bulb weight and diameter, clove weight and P concentrations in plant foliage and cloves. Moreover, storability of bulbs was markedly improved as a result of reducing the total weight loss percentage during the storage period. Most of the studied parameters of the plants sprayed with micro-nutrients were more better than those of the untreated. Application of micro-nutrients at 30 and 60 days after planting was more useful than the application once. The positive interactions between application times of P-fertilizer and micro-nutrients were often observed. The best results were generally obtained by applied-P in doses 25% (BP) + 75% (AP) with foliar spray of micro-nutrients at 30 and 60 days (AP). Therefore, this treatment could be recommended for raising productivity and improving bulb quality of garlic under such conditions of this study.

## INTRODUCTION

Garlic (Allium sativum L.) is one of the most commercially important bulb crops in Egypt which is cultivated for both local consumption and export. It is used as spice or condiment or for many medicinal purposes. Therefore, increasing productivity and improving bulb quality of garlic are essential aims for both growers and consumers. Yet, the increase in yields is usually dependent on many factors that influence the plant growth throughout the growth period (Mann, 1952). Optimize the use of P-fertilizers and micronutrients by plants is among the major factors affecting garlic productivity especially nowadays after that Egyptian soils become deficient in one or more of trace-elements needed by garlic plants due to the building of the High Dam. Moreover, most Egyptian soils are alkaline in reaction where soil pH surpasses 7 value consequences of the high calcium levels in soils. Under such conditions, in spite of the huge additions of P-fertilizers to

cultivated soils, the available P-level for plants is usually low, since it rapidly converts to unavailable form and this becomes unaccessible by plants (Mahmoud and Abd El-Hafez, 1982). Thus, application of P-fertilizers or some micro-nutrients in a single treatment may make some problems. On the contrary, split dressing at regular intervals during the growth period of garlic plants increased bulb yield and its components (Osman et al., 1991, Wang et al., 1992, Ashok et al., 1996, Verma et al. 1996, Wankhade et al., 1996 and Sadaria., et al., 1997). In addition, Mahmoud (2001) reported that the highest yield quantity and bulb quality of garlic were obtained by application of 150 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>/fed, 50% was applied at planting and 50% one month later.

With regard to micro-nutrients, several investigators indicated that soaking cloves or spraying garlic plants with mixtures of Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cu enhanced plant growth, stimulated dry matter accumulation and increased bulb yield and quality (Eid et al., 1991, Ibrahim et al., 1991, Saravanan and Nambisan, 1994, Phor et al., 1995 and Abdel-Hamied, 1997).

Thus, this study was planned to determine the effects of application times of P-fertilizer and some micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu), in addition to their interactions on garlic productivity and storability under the conditions of Dakahlia District.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two field experiments were conducted at the Horticultural Research Farm of El-Bramoon, Dakahlia Governorate, during the two successive winter seasons (2000/2001 and 2001/2002), to study the effect of application times of phosphorus and certain micro-nutrients, in addition to their interactions on productivity and storability of garlic (Sids-40). The experimental field soil was clay loam in texture with pH 7.8, available N 21.1 ppm, P 13.5 ppm, K 320 ppm, Fe 0.19 ppm, Zn 0.29 ppm and Cu 4.6 ppm (standard method of Jackson, 1967 was used for soil analysis). Each experiment included 12 treatments which were 4 times of P-application and 3 treatments of micronutrients. Phosphorus was applied as calcium superphosphate (15.5%  $P_2O_5$ ) at the rate of 90 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed as follows:-

- 1- 100 % before planting (BP).
- 2- 75 % (BP) + 25 % at 30 days after planting (AP).
- 3- 50 % (BP) + 50 % in 2 equal doses 30 and 60 days (AP).
- 4- 25 % (BP) + 75 % in 3 equal doses 30, 60 and 90 days (AP).

Chelated micro-nutrients of iron, zinc and copper were used (100 ppm Fe + 100 ppm Zn + 100 ppm Cu). Plants were sprayed once or twice with mixture 40 gm each of element added to 400 liters water / fed 30 and 60 days after planting. The control treatment was sprayed with tap water.

The experimental design was a split-plot with 4 replicates. The main plots were devoted to times of P-application, while micro-nutrient treatments were randomly distributed in the sub-plots. The sub-plot area was 15  $\rm rp^2$  (5 rows, each 60 cm width and 5 m long). Garlic cloves were soaked in running water for 24 h prior to sowing and planted at 10 cm apart on the two sides of each row in the first week of October, for both seasons of the study. At soil

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27 (9), September, 2002 preparation (pre-ridging). 15 m<sup>2</sup> farmyard manure and 72 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed were in farmyard manure and 72 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed were farmyard manure and 72 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed were in plants in plots were fertilized with 120 kg N/fed which added in the other adricultural farmyard manure and 72 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed were properties and 72 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed were farmyard manure and 72 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/fed were properties and 72 kg applied All plants in plots were fertilized with 120 kg N/fed which added in the district. The other agricultural applied All plants 30, 60 and 90 days after planting in the district. The three Equal doses 30, out as commonly followed in the district applied three carned out as commonly followed in the district. three Equal doses 30, 60 and 90 days after planting. The other agricultural in the district. The commonly followed in the district. The practices were carried week of Anril for both seasons time was in the first week of Anril for both seasons. practices were was in the first week of April, for both seasons harvesting time was in the first week of April. sative growth:

Representative samples (Each of 10 plants) were taken from each number of Representative samples (Fach of measuring plant height number of Representative from planting for measuring plant height number of after 120 days. Representative samples (Each of 10 plants) were taken from each of 10 plants) were taken from each of 10 plants plant height, number of measuring plant height, number of measuring plant height, number of measuring plant height, number of the plant of t Sub-plot after 120 days from planting for measuring plant height, number of weight and bulbing ratio as mentioned leaves/plant, leaf area/plant, plant dry weight and bulbing ratio as mentioned weight and bulbing ratio as mentioned area/plant, plant dry weight and bulbing ratio as mentioned and its components:

At harvest time, all plants of each treatment were harvested and the calculated after curing for a dave also a random cample at harvest time, all plants after curing for a dave also a random cample. Data recorded:-1- Vegetative growth: At harvest time, all plants of each treatment were harvested and the weight time, all plants of each treatment were harvested and the harvest time, all plants of each treatment to determine hulb weight, bulb total yieldlifed was calculated after curing for 3 days. Also, a random sample to determine hulb weight, bulb total yieldlifed was taken from each treatment to determine hulb was taken from each treatment. total yieldifed was calculated after curing for 3 days. Also, a random sample weight, bulb weight, bulb weight, bulb weight, bulb weight, bulb was taken from each treatment to determine bulb weight, bulb (10bulbs) was taken from each treatment to determine bulb weight, bulb and clove weight 2- Yield and its components: nical constituents:

Random samples from plant foliage and cloves of each treatment of the plant foliage and cloves of each treatment of the plant foliage and cloves of each treatment of the plant foliage and cloves of each treatment of the plant foliage and cloves of each treatment of the plant of the by Mann (1952). Random samples from plant toliage and cloves of each treatment to the were dried, ground and wet digested to determine nitrogen (Cu) according to the the large dried, ground and (Fe). Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to the large dried, ground (K), iron (Fe). (10bulos) was taken from each freatment to de diameter, number of cloves/bulb and clove weight. were dried, ground and wet digested to determine nitrogen (N), phosphorus to the Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to to the Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to to the Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to to the Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to the Zinc (Zn) and copper (Zn) for P. Brown and (P). potassium (K), by pread (1945) for N. John (1970) for P. Brown and (P). potassium (K), by pread (1945) for N. John (1970) for P. Brown and (Zn) according to the Zinc (Zn) and copper (Zn) according to the Zinc (Zn) according to the Zi (P). potassium (K), iron (Fe), Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to to the John (1970) for P, Brown and Since (Zn) and copper (Cu) according to to the according to the Accord methods described by Pregl (1945) for N, John (1970) for P, Brown and Lilleland (1946) for K and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, Lilleland (1946) for K and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, 2007) and Cullet (1946) for K and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, 2007) for Manual Cullet (1946) for K and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, 2007) for P, Brown and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, 2007) for P, Brown and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, 2007) for M, John (1970) for P, Brown and Chapman and Pratt (1961) for micro-nutrients (Fe, 2007) for M, John (1970) for M, John ( 3. Chemical constituents: **ability:**After curing, random samples (each 10 kg) were taken from each
conditions (Table 1) Total weight loss
after stored at the normal room conditions (Table 1) After curing, random samples (each 10 kg) were taken from each 10 kg) were taken 10 kg) were taken from each 10 kg treatment, stored at the normal room conditions (Table 1). Total weight the normal room conditions (Table 1) age was recorded monthly during rive months of storage period.

All obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis according to subjected to statistical analysis according to statistical analysis according to subjected to statistical analysis according to subject and cochran (1968) Zn and Cu) Table (1): Average (maxi. + min.) of air temperature and relative min.) of air temperature and relative and relative min.) of air temperature and relative and z002 seasons.

Hable (1): Average (maxi. + room during 2001 and 2002 seasons. humidity in store room during 2001 and 2002 seasons. 4. Storability. Snedecor and Cochran (1968). Temp. Co 20.1 1 63 Months 14.6 225 გნ 22.7 163 April 69 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 19.1 MSY. 21.3 June 22.9 July

egetative growth:

Table (2) show the effect of application

Data presented in Table their interestings on growth as a present of their interestings on growth as a present of their interestings. Uata presented in Table (2) snow the effect of application on growth as fertilizer, micro-nutrients and their interactions on growth as fertilizer. August 1. Vegetative growth:

plants.

# Abdel. Fattah, A.E. et al.

Concerning the effect of p-application times, it is clear from such data in the such data i Concerning the effect of p-application times, it is clear from such data in weight/olant in the second season only were significantly increased with Table (2) that plant height and leaf area/plant in both seasons as well as dry weight/plant in the second season only were significantly increased with season only increased with season only increased with the season only were significantly increased with the season only increased with the season on the se Weight/plant in the second season only were significantly increased with the sinnle dressing (100 %, PR). applied-P in splits 25 % before planting + 75 % after planting followed by 50 However. application times of P-fertilizer had no significant increase on % PB
However, application as compared with the single dressing (100 % PB).

Inumber of leaves/nlant in both seasons and dry weight in the first season. However, application times of p-fertilizer had no significant increase on significant increase on hulbing ratio. These results Also, no significant in both seasons and dry weight in the first season.

May be due to the fact that annihed-p in doses at regular intervals during plant Also, no significant decrease was obtained in bulbing ratio. These results around it is less subject to loss from the may be due to the fact that applied-P in doses at regular intervals during plant conversely. application of P-fertilizer in a single treatment pre-sowing is growth periods is accessible by plants and it is less subject to loss from the intimely. The obtained results concerted with those of Osman et al. (1991). untimely. The obtained results concerted with those of Osman et al. (1991).

Benarding the effect of micro-nutriants the same data in Table (2) reuse. Regarding the effect of micro-nutrients, the same data in Table (2) reveal Regarding the effect of micro-nutnents, the same data in Table (2) reveal studied narameters of venetative arouth excent number of that foliar application of micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn +Cu) exerted significant leaves/nlant and hulbing ratio in both seasons of the study as compared with increases on all studied parameters of vegetative growth, except number of the check treatment in this connection plants soraved with micro-nutrients leaves/plant and bulbing ratio in both seasons of the study as compared with and formal and bulbing ratio in both seasons of the study as compared with and formal and formal and formal and study as compared with micro-nutrients and healthy in the check treatment. In this connection, plants sprayed with micro-nutrients appearance than plants sprayed once at 30 days after planting. These results in controlling appearance than plants sprayed once at 30 days after planting. These results and photosynthetic ninments formation various enzymes activities and photosynthetic pigments formation, those reported by Ibrahim et al. (1991) Phor et al. (1995) and Ahdel-Hamied e attributed to the great role of such micro-nutrients in controlling and photosynthetic pigments formation, harmony with consequently affecting plant growth. The obtained results are in harmony with [1997]. Phor et al. (1995), and Abdel-Hamied

As for the interaction effects, it is obvious from the same data in Table (2) As for the interaction effects, it is obvious from the same data in Table (2)

that all treatments of p-fertilizer were generally more effective in the presence of micro-nutrients. In this regard, plants received p. that all treatments of p-fertilizer were generally more effective in the presence of micro-nutrients. In this regard, plants received p. after planting) and sprayed with microthan in the absence of micro-nutrients. In this regard, plants received partial days after planting and sprayed with micro-nutrients and solve the highest values of planting. fentilizer in doses (25 % before + 75 % after planting) and sprayed with microarouth in both seasons. Similar results were reported by Yamanishi and nutrients 30 and 50 days after planting gave the highest values of plant results were reported by Yamanishi and Častellane (1987) 2- Yield and its components:

fertilizer Micro-nutrients and their interactions on yield and its components of particles of the series of the se Data illustrated in Table (3) show the effect of application times of p. fertilizer, micro-nutrients and their interactions on yield and its components of the single dressing Moreover applied in splits was generally beneficial than applied in doses 25 % hefore garlic. Such data indicate that applied-p in splits was generally beneficial than planting + 75 %, after planting significantly increased total vield brills weight. the single dressing. Moreover, application of P-fertilizer in doses 25 % before and diameter as well as clove waight than with annitad\_D in a cincle dose Pianting + 75 % after planting significantly increased total yield, bulb weight than with applied-p in a single dose and diameter as well as clove weight than with applied-p in a single dose about 5.51 % and 5.30 % in the first and second seasons recond yield was about 5 61 % and 5 30 % in the first and second seasons, respectively about 5 67 % and 5 30 % In the first and second seasons, respectively abolication in both seasons. The positive effect of annied-p in solits at However, application in both seasons. The positive effect of applied-p in splits at imputed to the fact that availability of p-nutrition application in both seasons. The positive effect of applied-p in splits at through nariod of narlic plants is known to control its account. different times may be imputed to the fact that availability of p-nutrition coordance with those of Verma et al. (1996) and Mahmoud (2001).

Table (2): Vegetative growth characteristics of garlic plants as affected by application times of phosphorus, some micro-nutrients and their interactions during 2000/2001 (S1) and 2001/2002 (S2) seasons.

seasons.											
Characters		Piant height (cm)		lea	o, of ves/ ant	Plant leaf area (cm²)		plant dry weight (gm)		Bulbing ratio	
Treatments	3	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> appli. (BP and AP):											
100 % BP	anglingungangan, angan anyan anyan a		70.98	10 97	111 43	531	527	27 05	24.29	0.51	0.49
175 % BP +	25 % AP	73.49	73.98	11.50	11.96	544	537	27.42	25.67	0 48	0 46
50 % BP +	50 % AP	74.42	75.10	11.74	12.74	545	548	28 31	27.43	0.44	0.45
125 % BP +	75 % AP				12.80			28 94	28.74	0.41	0.42
լ L.S.D.	at 5%	01,13	1.06	NS	N.S	3.12	4.11	N.S	0.06	NS	N.S
Micronutri	ents appli. (	AP):									
Control		72.23	72.93	11.15	11.84	534	530	26.92	25.85	0.48	0.47
Once, 30 d					12.34		541	27 92	26.57	0.45	0.45
Twice, 30 a	and 60 days								27.17	-	0.44
LSD	at 5%	00.36	00.41	N.S	N.S	1 69	1.19	00 03	00.02	N.S	N.S
Interaction											
P2O5	Micro.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			_					
1	Control				10.57		,		23.79		
100 % BP	Once		1		11.83				23.91		* -
	Twice	-	ha		11.89				25.16		
75 % BP	Control	ſ	t 1		11.61				25.12		
i	Once		, .		11.96				25.69		
1.25 % AP	Twice				12.30				26.21		-
50 % BP	Control	1	t 1		12.57			( - 1	26.86		
+	Once	75.21	74.89	11.61	12.79	542	547	28.61	27.51	0.43	0.45
50 % AP	Twice	75.73	76.91	12.19	12.86	557	559	29.12	27 91	0.43	0.43
25 % BP	Control	1			12.63				27.62		0.45
<b>!+</b>	Once	75.11	78.66	12.21	12.80	557	551	28.89	29 19	0.41	0.40
75 % AP		82.70	80.73	12.39	12.97	578	567	29.97	29.41	0.39	0.41
L.S.D.	The state of the s			N.S	NS	N.S	N.S	0.06	0.04	N.S	N.S
DD 1 1D -	- hafara and	. ft 1	A*								

BP and AP = before and after planting.

As for the effect of micro-nutrients, data in Table (3) indicate that total yield and its components, with exception of the number of cloves/bulb were better with spraying the plants with mixture of micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) comparing with the untreated plants Moreover, application of micro-nutrients at 30 and 60 days after planting was more useful than the application once Total yield was increased by about 8.26 % and 6.95 % (with two sprays over the control treatment) in the first and second seasons, respectively. These increases might be ascribed to the favourable role of the used micro-nutrients in pigments formation, photosynthesis activation and carbohydrates assimilation diverted to the bulbs which reperseent the economic part of plant (Hilman and Asandhi, 1987). Similar results were reported by Guadi et al. (1988) and Eid et al. (1991).

Table (3): Yield and its components of garlic as affected by application times of phosphorus, some micro-nutrients and their interactions during 2000/2001 (S1) and 2001/2002 (S2) seasons.

Characters		Total vield		Bulb		Bulb		No. of		Clove	
			(ton/fed)		ight	į .	diameter		vesi	weight	
Treatments				(gm)		(cm)		bulb		(gm)	
		S1	S2	S1	S2	S1	S2	\$1	<b>S2</b>	S1	S2
	oli. (BP and Af		<del>, </del>								
100 % B		7.40	7.50	107	120	5.24	I .	3	28.89		1 .
	+ 25 % AP	7.53	7.55	119	130	5.32			27.98		3.83
	+ 50 % AP	7.69	7.68	129	137	5.46	4		29.95		3.34
	+ 75 % AP	7.84		140	143	5.64			28.21	4.23	4.51
L.S.D.	at 5%	0.36	0.33	3.11	4.06	0.16	0.21	N.S	N.S	0.20	0.15
-	trients appli. (										
Control		7.22	7.36	112	122	5.29	5.45	27.95	29.76	3.57	3.95
Once, 30	days :	7.75	7.72	126	134	5.41	5.61	29.54	29.68	3.97	4.08
Twice, 30 and 60 days		7.87	7.91	133	141	5.54	5.73	28.99	26.83	4.08	4.21
L.S.D. at 5%		0.16	0.11	1.53	2.17	0.03	0.02	N.S	N.S	0.13	0.11
Interaction	ons :										
$P_2O_5$	Micro.										
	Control	7.03	7.19	96	111		5.26				
100 % BP	Once	7.51	7.63	109	121	5.29	5.39	30.17	29.21	3.56	3.63
100 % DP	Twice	7.66	7.69	115	129	5.31	5.45	27.15	25.35	3.61	3.71
75 % BP	Control	7.19	7.28	108	119	5.20	5.31	29.11	27.27	3.53	3.69
+	Once	7.69	7.59	121	131	5.31	5.59	29.36	30.21	3.79	3.83
25 % AP	Twice	7.71	7.77	128	139	5.46	5.68	31.41	26.46	3.86	3.96
0 % BP		7.27	7.41	117	126	5.36	5.51	26.21	33.51	3 60	4.17
ا ا	Once	7.83	7.75	133	139	5.43	5.60	33.29	28.13	4.13	4.36
60 % AP	Twice	7.96	7.89	137	146	5.59	5.78	29.15	28.21	4.31	4.49
25 % BP	Control	7.39	7.56	126	133	5.49	5.73	31.12	26.15	3.73	4.36
-	Once	7.96	7.91	142	145	5.63			31.19		
75 % AP		8.16	8.29	151	150	5.79	6.02	28.26	27.29	4.56	4.67
L.S.D.	at 5%	0.34		3.09	3.69	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S		
3P and AP = before and after planting.											

or and Ar - before and area planting.

Regarding the interaction effects, it is clear from data in Table (3) that bulb diameter and number of cloves/bulb were not significantly influenced in both seasons there were significant interactions between application times of P-fertilizer and micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) on total yield, bulb weight and clove weight in both seasons. In general, plants fed by P-fertilizer in splits 25 % PB + 75 % AP and sprayed with micro-nutrients at 30 and 60 days after planting produced the highest values. These results coincide with those of Yamanishi and Castellane (1987).

### 3- Chemical constituents:

Data in Table (4) show the effect of application times of P-fertilizer, micronutrients and their interactions on element concentrations of N, P, K, Fe, Zh and Cu in plant foliage and cloves of garlic. From such data, it is evident that application times of P-fertilizer did not reflect significant variations on all element concentrations in both plant foliage or cloves. Yet, P-content was

significantly increased with the applied-P in doses 25 % PB + 75 % AP followed by 50 % PB + 50 % AP as compared with a single dose (100 % PB). It is thought that applied-P in doses during the plant growth period increasing available-P in root zone of established plants and hence enhance root sorption and P-uptake (Asandhi, 1989). These results are in agreement with those of Wang et al. (1992) and Sadaria et al. (1997).

Concerning the effect of micro-nutrients data in Table (4) show that all concentrations of elements, except N and K in both plant foliage and cloves were significantly increased due to spraying the plants with mixture of micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) comparing with the untreated plants. Such increments were positively connected with the application times. These results agree with those reported by Abed et al. (1988) and Saravanan and Nambisan (1994).

Table (4): Chemical constituents in plant-foliage and cloves of garlic as affected by application times of phosphorus, some micronutrients and their interactions (average two seasons).

nutrients and their interactions (average two seasons).															
Characters			Macro-elements (%)							Micro-elements (ppm)					
		Leaves			Cloves			Leaves			Cloves				
Treatmer		N	P	K	N	P	K	Fe	Zn	Mn	Fe	Zn	Mr		
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> app	ili. (BP and Al	P):													
100 % B	P	2.86	0.81	1.28	1.79	0.62	1.17	182	233	75	167	123	45		
75 % BP	+ 25 % AP	2.77	0.86	1.25	1.71	0.64	1.23	180	225	74	171	127	53		
50 % BP	+ 50 % AP					0.67				76	165	128	51		
25 % BP	+ 75 % AP	2.91	0.91	1.27	1.71	0.70	1.26	192	226	75	179	126	46		
L.S.D.	at 5%	N.S	0.03	N.S	N.S	0.02	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S		
Micronu	trients appli. (	(AP):													
Control		2.76	0.78	1.27	1.67	0.59	1.19	162	189	66	158	115	36		
Once, 30 days						0.67				73	166	127	49		
Twice, 30 and 60 days		3.04	0.94	1.27	1.73	0.71	1.25	206	251	86	188	137	61		
L.S.D.	at 5%	N.S	0.02	N.S	N.S	0.01	N.S	1.65	2.63	1.79	2.27	1.13	1.9		
Interacti	ons:														
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Micro.														
100 %	Control	2.72	0.74	1.34	1.79	0.56	1.16	163	196	69	153	113	38		
BP /6	Once	2.79	0.81	1.27	1.83	0.61	1.15	186	240	71	150	126	41		
	Twice	3.08	0.89	1.24	1.76	0.69	1.19	197	263	86	198	129	56		
75 % BP	Control	2.77	0.72	1.26	1.63	0.60	1.25	159	188	58	161	117	41		
+	Once	2.70	0.89	1.27	1.71	0.68	1.17	193	239	69	173	129	56		
25 % AP	Twice	2.83	0.96	1.23	1.80	0.65	1.26	189	247	96	179	136	63		
50 % BP	Control	3.01	0.78	1.19	1.59	0.59	1.19	167	191	65	150	111	35		
+	Once	2.76	0.86	1.27	1 62	0.69	1.22	179	226	75	163	131	51		
50 % AP	Twice	2.91	0.95	1.36	1.66	0.72	1.25	216	239	89	182	143	69		
25 % BP	Control	2.56	0.87	1.30	1.69	0.61	1 18	161	181	71	167	120	32		
+	Once	2.81	0.91	1.24	1.75	0.70	1.31	192	241	79	178	121	49		
75 % AP	Twice	3.36	0.96	1.27	1 69	0.79	1.29	222	256	74	193	139	57		
L.S.D.	at 5%	N.S	0.03	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	2.81	N.S	N.S	NS	N.S	N.S		

SP and AP = before and after planting.

As for the interaction effects, it is obvious from data in Table (4)that the interaction between application times of P-fertilizer and micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) had no significant effects on all concentrations of studied elements, with exception of the P and Fe in plant foliage which were significantly affected. Applied-P in splits 25 % before + 75 % after planting with foliar application of micro-nutrients achieved the highest concentrations. Similar results were obtained by Wankhade et al (1996)

## 4- Storability:

Data in Table (5) show the effect of application times of P-fertilizer, micronutrients and their interactions on storability of garlic bulbs. Such data reveal that the total weight loss percentage of bulbs was not significantly affected during the first two months of storage period in both seasons. However, it increased by increasing the storage period and reached its maximum values at the fifth month. It is notable that, at the end of five months the most interesting observation was the enhancing of storability by applied-P in splits than a single dressing. The lowest total weight loss percentage was obtained by P supply in doses 25 % before planting + 75 % after planting These results may be due to increase dry matter in plants (Table 1) and P-element in cloves (Table 4). Similar results were reported by Osman et al. (1991) and Mahmoud (2001).

Regarding the effect of micro-nutrients, data in table (5) indicate that bulb storability of plants sprayed with micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) was better than that of the untreated plants. Moreover, application of micro-nutrients at 30 and 60 days after planting was more beneficial than the application once. These results are in harmony with those of Abdel-Hamied (1997).

Concerning the interaction effects, it is clear from data in Table (5) that the positive interactions between application times of P-fertilizer and micronutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) were often observed on storability of bulbs. Application of P-fertilizer in doses (25 % before + 75 % after planting) with foliar spray of micro-nutrients at 30 and 60 days after planting gave the lowest total weight loss percentage during storage period. These results agree with those of Abed et al. (1988) and Ashok et al. (1996).

From the results of this study, it could be concluded that, application of P-fertilizer in splits 25 % before planting  $\pm$  75 % at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting with spraying the plants with mixture of micro-nutrients (Fe + Zn + Cu) at 30 and 60 days after planting are the recommended treatments for increasing productivity and improving bulb quality of garlic under such conditions of this study.

Table (5): Total weight loss percentage in garlic bulbs during the storage period as affected by application times of phosphorus, some micro-nutrients and their interactions during 2000/2001 (S1) and 2001/2002 (S2) seasons.

			11 10 1) and 2				<del></del>		
Characters		At 30		At 60		At 90	At 120	At 150	
1		days		days		days	days	days	
Treatment	s	S1	S2	S1	S2	S1 S2	S1 S2	S1 S2	
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> appli.	(BP and Af	3):		<del></del>	J	da angangangang digita piantanga			
100 % BP		30.60	29.68	47.97	46.73	56.95 54.75	60.97 59.39	63.2561.44	
75 % BP +	25 % AP	28.88	30.83	46.14	46.10	54.37 52.6	57.92 56.83	60.61 58.55	
50 % BP +	50 % AP							60 34 55.02	
25 % BP +	75 % AP	27.27	26.92	43.02	42.54	50.04 48 37	53.82 51.65	55.5552 93	
L.S.D.	at 5%	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	3.21 1.66	2.10 1.96	3.15 2.06	
Micronutri	ents appli. (							_	
Control								65.3861.11	
Once, 30 c	tays							59.28 57.07	
Twice, 30 a	and 60 days							55.14 52.77	
L.S.D.	at 5%	0.26	0.54	1.17	1.28	1.39 0.63	1.30 1.08	1.13 1.01	
Interaction	s:								
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Micro.	_		yaaaaa.		,			
100 %	Control							67.1762.75	
BP	Once	27.73	31.25	47.33	47.76	56.40 57.27	60.6361.96	62.8964.29	
	Twice	30.51	28.19	45.81	44.58	54.43 51.30	57.75 55.42	59.6857.29	
75 % BP	Control	30.91	32.66	50.12	48.62	58.07 57.23	63.23 61.84	65.64 63.97	
+	Once	29.13	30.71	45.64	46.24	55.17 52.03	56.46 55.95	60.41 57.58	
25 % AP	Twice	26.61	29.13	42.67	43.45	49.88 48.57	54.06 52.70	55.78 54.11	
50 % BP	Control		1					67.9160.89	
+	Once	30.25	27.11	45.76	42.36	52.01 48.42	55.92 52.59	58.0353.98	
50 % AP	Twice							55.0950.19	
25 % BP	Control	1				. ,	58.26 55.18		
1	Once						54.45 51.34		
bearing the second	-						48.74 48.44		
L.S D.	at 5%				N.S	3.05 N.S	2.13 1.66	2.27 2.11	
BP and AP = before and after planting.									

## REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hamied, A.M. (1997). Influence of sulphur application and som micronutrients on growth and productivity of garlic (*Allium sativum L.*). Menofiya J. Agric. Res., 22 (2), 445-458.
- Abed, T.A.; F.A. Abo-Sedera and T.O.A. El-Orabi (1988). Effect of soaking cloves in some micro-nutrients solutions and nitrogen fertilizer soil addition on growth, yield and chemical composition of garlic plants Annais Agric Sci. Moshtohor, 26 (4): 2675-2693.
- Asandhi, A.A. (1989). Application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers in lowland garlic farming. Indonesia, Buletin-Penelitian Hort., 13 (2). 1-17 (C.F. Soils and Fert., 54-10723, 1991).

- Ashok, K.: G.N. Singh and A. Kumar (1996). Effect of N. P and K on growth, yield and quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Recent Hort., 3 (1): 118-121.
- Brown, J.D. and O. Lilleland (1946). Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extract by flam photometry. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 48: 341-346.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1961). Methods of analysis for soil, plants and water. Univ. of Calif. Div. Agric. Sci. Calif. U.S.A.
- Eid, S.M.; N.S. Shafshak and F.A. Abo-Sedera (1991). Effect of potassium fertilization and foliar application of certain micro-nutrients combinations on growth, yield and chemical composition of garlic plants. Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 29 (2), 981-993.
- Guadi, N.; R. Suwandi and Y. Hilman (1988). The effect of application of stable manure and different trace elements on garlic Indonesia, Buletin-Penelitian Hort., 16 (4): 5-13 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 61-1898, 1991).
- Hilman, Y. and A.A. Asandhi (1987). Effect of several kinds of foliar fertilizer and plant growth regulator on the growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum L.*). Indonesia, Buletin-Penelitian Hort., 151 (2): 267-272 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 61-7874, 1991).
- Ibrahim, D.M.; A.R. Ahmed and A.M. Abd El-Hameid (1991). Influence of zinc and manganese fertilization treatments on bulb components and chemical composition of Balady and Chinese garlic cultivars. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 18 (2): 511-519.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentic-Hall of India Private Limited Newdelhi, p.115.
- John, M.K. (1970). Colorimetric determination of phosphorus in soil and plant material with ascorbic acid. Soil Sci., 109: 214-220.
- Mahmoud, S.A.Z. and A.M. Abd El-Hafez (1982). The role of phosphate mobilizing bacteria in plant nutrition. The 1st OAU/STRC Inter. African Conf. on Biofertilizers, Cairo, Egypt, 22-26 March, 1982.
- Mahmoud, S.H. (2001). Response of garlic plants cv. "Chinese" to rate and time of phosphorus application. The fifth Arabian Hort. Conf., Ismailia, Egypt, March 24-28, 2001.
- Mann, L.K. (1952). Anatomy of the garlic bulb and factors affecting bulb development. Hilgardia, 21: 195-228.
- Osman, A.Z.; M.N.M. Hassan and A.M. Abdel-Hameid (1991). Effect of NPK fertilizers application dates on growth, yield and quality of two garlic cultivars. Minia J. Agric. Res. & Dev., 13 (2): 577-596.
- Panchal, G.N.; M.M. Modhwadia; J.C. Patel; S.G. Sadaria and B.S. Patel (1992). Response of garlic (*Allium salivum* L.) to irrigation, nitrogen and phosphorus, Indian J. Agron. 37 (2): 397-398.
- Phor, S.K.; U.C. Pandey; V. Urmil and U. Verma (1995). Effect of zinc on the growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Crop Res. Hisar, 9 (2): 286-291 (C.F. CAB-International Abst. Computer Res. 7/98, 1996).
- Pregl, E. (1945). Quantitative organic micro-analysis 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. J. Chundril. London.

- Sadaria, S.G.; D.D. Malavia; V.D. Khanpara; and G. Dudhatra (1997). Effect of irrigation, nitrogen and phosphorus on garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Indian j. Agron., 42 (4): 653-656.
- Saravanan, A. and K.M.P. Nambisan (1994). Effect of fertilizer application on soil available nutrients, yield and nutrient uptake of garlic. India Madras Agric. J., 81 (8): 434-436 (C.F. CAB international Abstracts, Computer research, 7/98, 1996).
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1968). Statistical Methods. The Iowa State Univ. Press. Amer. Iowa, U.S.A. 6th Ed. 593 pp.
- Verma, D.P.; B.R. Sharma; A.P.S. Chadha; H.K. Bajpai and U.P.S. Bhadauria (1996). Response of garlic (Allium sativum L.) to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels. Plant Sciences., 9 (2): 37-41.
- Wang, X.Y.; J.W. Hou, X.M. Zhang and W.C. Xu(1992). Study on the pattern of fertilizer requirement for garlic bulbs. China Northern Hort., No. 1, 10-12. (C.F. Hort. Abst., 64 (5): 3542,1994).
- Wankhade, R.S.; M.H. Choudhari and B.H. Jadhao (1996). Effect of graded doses of phosphorus and potash fertilizers on growth and yield of garlic (Allium sativum L.). J. Soils and Crops., 6 (1): 36-39.
- Yamanishi, R.A. and P.D. Castellane (1987). Effect of phosphorus, boron and zinc on the garlic. Brazil Hort. Brasileira, 5 (2): 38 pp. (C.F. Hort Abst., 55 (4): 2858, 1988).
- استجابة إنتاجية وقابلية تخزين الثوم لمواعيد إضافة سلماد الفوسسفور وبعلض العناصر الصغرى

## أحمد إبراهيم عبد الفتاح - زيدان شهاب أحمد الشال - السيد محمد محمد عوض قسم بحوث الخراعية (الجيزة - مصر)

ففنت تجربتان حقوقان على محصول الثوء (ملاته مدس ٢٠٠٠) في مزرعة بحوث اليدائين بالدرامون - محافظة الدقهاية خدائل موسمي الزر اعة ٢٠٠١/٢٠٠٠ و ٢٠٠١/٢٠٠ م تقيم تأثير مواعب استعمال سمك الموسقور كل منها متغربا أو مع الرش الورقسي يحلوط بعض العناصر الصغرى على نمو العاتات والمحصول الكلي ومكوناته وكذلك المحتوسسات الكيماويسة فحسي أوراق العائسات والقصوص وقابلية التغزين خلال فترة حمدة الهر، وقد اصيف سماد الفوسفور (تسميد ارصي) في صورة موبر فوسفات الكائسسيوء معدل مراح كحم فوء أداف الكائل

١٠٠٠٪ قبل الزراعة.

۲- ۲۵ ٪ قبل الزراعة + ۲۵ ٪ بعد ۳۰ بوم من الزراعة

٣٠٠٠ / قَلَ الزراعة ٣٠٠ / في هرعتين متساويتين بعد ٢٠ و ١٠ يوم من الزراعة.

٤- ٢٥ ٪ قبل الزراعة + ٧٥ ٪ في ثلاث جرعات متساوية بعد ٢٠، ١٠ و ٩٠ يوم من الزراعة.

ويتما استخدمت العناصر الصغرى (الحديث + الزمك + المحاس) بمعنل ١٠٠ هز م/مليون من كل عنصر في مخارط والل علسمي المنبئات مرة واحدة بعد ٢٠ يوم من الرواحة أو مرنين بعد ٣٠ و ١٠ يوم من الزراعة وذلك بالإضافة إلى معاملة الكنترول (رشت بمساء الصنبور) و ذلك بمعنل ٤٠٠ لكر / فدان . وقد وزعب المعاملات في قطع منشقة مرة واحدة في ارمعة كررات ويمكن تلخيص الفتانج المتحصل عليها فيما يلي:

استممثل ممثر الفوسفور في جرعات كان مصفة عامة اكثر فائدة من الاستعمال مرة واحدة قبل الزراصية. وقيد أيت اصافية الفوسفور ٢٥ % قبل الزراعة + ٧٠ % بعد الزراعة التي حدوث ويدات معلوبة في ارتفاع السات والمساحة الورقية والورن المساقد للمات والمحصول الكلي ومكوناته (ورز وقطر المصنة - وزن المصر)، كما انت التي ربادة تركير الفوسفور في كل من أوراق المسالت والفصوص وحسلت بوصوح قاتلية التخرين وذلك نشجة شفقاص بسنة النفص الكلي في وزن الاصال حلال فقرة النجرين.

ً رَمَّى رَمَّى الله الله مُخْلُوطُ العناصر الصغرى (الدين – الرك – اللهاس) إلى هنوث ريادات طموسة فنسس معظمه الصفيات المحتفرة مقارنة مع معاملة الكنترول. وقد لوحظ أن الرش بالعناصر الصغرى مرتين بعد ٣٠ و ١٠ يوه من الزراعة كان اكسش بفعينا وفاعلية من الرش مرة ولعدة.

التفاعلات الموجبة بين مواعيد ستعمال سماء الفوسفور والعناصل الصغرى لوحظت في حالانه تثبرة وقد كانت افصل النفسفج المشعصل عليها واستعداد الفوسفور المصاف في حرعات ٢٥ % فيل الزراعة ٢٥ % بعد الرزاعة مسلع رش المدتسات بالعاساصل الصعري مرتين بعن ٣٠ و ٢٠ يوم من الزراعة. لذلك يعكن التوصية بالتشخذاء هذه المعاملة لرفع الناهية وحودة ابصال النسوء تحسب المظاروب المشابهة لطروف هذا البحث.