EFFECT OF DIFFERENT ZINC LEVELS AND AV MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI AND THEIR COMBINATIONS ON FLAX. Zahana, Afaf E. A.; H.M.H. Abo-Kaied and Naglaa, A.Ashry "*Fiber Crops Res. Section, Field Crops Res. Inst., A.R.C. **Cell Res. Section, Field Crops Res. Inst., A.R.C.

ABSTRACT

Two filed experiments were carried out at Giza Agric Res. Station, Agric Res. Center, Giza, Egypt, during 2000/2001 and 2001/2002 seasons to investigate the effect of four levels of Zinc (0, 5. 10 and 20 kg / fed) and mycorrhizal fungi on yield and quality traits of three flax varieties (Sakha 1,Belinka and Bombay).

Data revealed significant differences among flax varieties of straw, seed yields and their components as well as quality characters. Sakha 1 flax variety significantly produced the highest values of straw yield and its components as well as the highest fiber length, capsules number /plant, seed index, seed yield / plant, seed yield /fed oil percentage and oil yield /fed. The application of 20 kg Zn /fed significantly increased straw yield / plant and per fed, capsules number /plant, oil percentage, fiber percentage, fiber fineness and fiber yield /fed. Whereas, seed yield / plant, seed yield /fed, seeds number/ capsule, seed index, oil yield / fed and fiber length were significantly increased by increasing Zinc level up to 10 kg / fed Varieties x Zinc levels interaction had a significant effect on straw yield, seed yield, fiber yield and their components. Also, varieties x inoculation interaction had significant effect on straw yield, seed yield and their components and fiber yield. Varieties x Zn levels x inoculation interaction had a significant effect on all studied characters. In general, it must be concluded that cultivation of flax after maize or inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi with the application of 10 kg Zn / fed gave the highest straw yield, seed yield and oil yield. Keywords: Zinc, fertilizer, inoculation, VA mycorrhizal fungi, Flax.

INTRODUCTION

Flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) is an important crop in Egypt for its oil and Improved yield and quality of flax could be achieved by using high yielding cultivars as well as suitable cultural practices. Varietal differences among flax varieties has been studied by many authors. EL Sweify and Mostafa (1996) found that S.2419/1 (oil type) surpassed Giza 8 (dual purpose type) and Belinka (fiber type) in straw and seed yields/ fed, while Belinka cultivar gave higher mean values of technical length than Giza 8 and S.2419/1. Also, Abo-Zaied (1997) evaluated four genotypes i.e., Giza 7 and Giza 8 (dual purpose types) and Viking and Ariane (fiber types). He found that Viking was significantly superior to the other cultivars in plant height, technical length, fiber length, fiber percentage, fiber fineness and straw yield/fed, while Giza 8 was significantly superior in capsules number/plant, seeds number/capsule, seed index, seed yield/plant and seed yield/fed. Whereas, Salama (1996) found that Giza 7 was the best genotype in plant height and technical length, while Giza 8 (dual purpose type) gave higher mean values of straw yield, capsules number, 1000 seed weight and seed yield/fed than Giza 7 (dual purpose type) and Ariane (fiber type). The effect of zinc (ZnSO₄) fertilizer on yield and its components of

different flax varieties has received little attention in the literature. Abo-El Soad et al., (1975) revealed that ZnSO4 significantly increased seed and straw yields/fed., capsule number per plant, 1000-seed weight and fiber fineness when compared with the control treatment. Mourad et al., (1988) reported that foliar spray with 900 ppm of ZnSO₄ increased plant height, length of fruit zone. straw and seed yields/plant and per fed, seed number per capsule, and seed index. Plants sprayed with 900 ppm ZnSO₄ produced the highest fiber quality characters i.e., fiber length, fiber percentage and fiber fineness. Concerning the effect of bio-fertilization with VA mycorrhizal fungi, Smith and Daft (1977) noticed that when P and N were limiting, plant growth depended on uptake assisted by mycorrhizal fungi, Pacovesky et al., (1986) showed that plant infected with mycorrhizal fundi may lead to decrease fertilizer use. Kawai and Yamamato (1986) and Koreish et al., (1998) observed that plants treated with VA mycorrhizal had higher uptake of P in their stems and leaves compared with untreated ones. Massoud (1999) reported that P uptake by wheat and sorghum plants increased with inoculation by Azo + VA mycorrhizal. Reda (2001) found a positive effect of VA mycorrhizal on shoot dry weight, plant height, grain vield and 100 grains weight of maize compared with un-inoculated treatments. Therefore, the present research was carried out to study the effect of inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi and ZnSO4 on yield, yield components and fiber quality characters of some flax cultivars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two experiments were carried out at Giza Agric Research Station. Agric Research Center, Giza, Egypt, during 2000/2001 and 2001/2002 seasons. Flax was sown on Nov. 19 th in both seasons. A split - split plot design with four replications was used in both seasons. Each experiment included 24 treatments which were the combinations of three flax cultivars viz, Belinka (fiber type), Sakha 1 (dual purpose type) and Bombay (American introduction) as main plots. four zinc rates (0,5.10, and 20 kg Zn/fed.) in the from of ZnSO₄ as subplot and two inoculation treatments with VA mycorrhizal fungi (+M) and un-inoculated (-M) as sub-sub plots. Each sub -sub plot area was 6 m2 (10 rows, 3m long and 20 cm apart). The inoculum used in this experiment was propagated on maize plant grown in glasshouse for 12 weeks. The seed inoculation was done before sowing. Zinc sulphate (ZnSO₄) was applied before the first irrigation (21 days after sowing). The soil type was clay loam with organic matter of 0.38 and 0.35 %, available nitrogen 28.1 and 25.5, CaCO3 of 7.5 and 7.11% and pH value of 8.11 and 8.01, Zn of 0.75 and 0.85 ppm in the first and second seasons. respectively. Other agrcnomic practices were carried out as usual. At harvest, ten random guarded plants were taken from each sub plot for determining the following characters:

I) Straw yield and its components:

(1) Straw yield (ton / fed (ton), (2) straw yield/ plant (g), (3) plant height (cm),

(4) technical length (cm) and (5) fruiting zone length (cm).

II) Seed yield and its components:

(1) Seed yield /fed (Kg) (2) Seed yield/plant (g), (3) capsules number /plant, (4) seeds number / capsule, (5) seed index (g), (6) oil yield /fed. (Kg) and (7) oil percentage was determined using the procedure described by A.O.A.C. (1957).

III) Fiber yield and its quality characters:

Fiber yield /fed (Kg), (2) fiber length (cm), (3) fiber percentage and (4) fiber fineness (Nm) were determined according to the technique described by Radwan and Momtaze (1966).

Analysis of variance was carried out according to Sendecor and Cochran (1967) and means were compared by Least Significant Difference (L.S.D.) at 0.05 level was used. The combined analysis of variance over the tow seasons was performed for all characters (Le Clerg *et al.*, 1966).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I): Straw yield and its components:

a): Varietal effects:

Data in Table (1) revealed significant differences among flax cultivars in plant height, technical length and fruiting zone length straw yield per plant and per fed Sakha 1 significantly surpassed the other varieties in plant height (88.45cm), technical length (72.54 cm) and fruiting zone length (15.92 cm), and straw yield per plant (2.06 g) and per fed (2.369 ton), followed by Belinka and Bombay in a descending order. These results are in agreement with Salama (1996). On the other hand, Abo- Zaied (1997) found that the fiber type of flax was significantly superior over the other cultivars regarding plant height, technical length and straw yield/fed.

b): Zinc effects:

Data in Table 1 showed that increasing Zinc level up to 10 kg Zn/fed significantly produced the highest values of plant height (81.85 cm), technical length (66.63 cm) and fruiting zone length (15.23 cm). Increasing Zinc level from 10 to 20 kg Zn /fed did not cause significant increase in the aforementioned traits. Mean while the application of 20 kg Zn /fed significantly increased straw yield / plant and per fed, reflecting the increase in plant height; accompanying Zn application as a result of acceleration of both cell division and cell elongation in flax plant. As reported by Porokhnevich and Bykov (1972), Abo-EL Soad et al., (1975) who found that ZnSO₄ increased plant height and straw yield/fed. Similarly, Zedan et al., (1986) noticed that the application of 10 kg Zn /fed gave the highest straw yield /plant as well as per fed. Mourad et al., (1988) found that foliar spray with 900-ppm ZnSO₄ increased total length, fruiting zone length, and straw yield per plant as well as per fed.

c): Effect of seed inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi:

Data in Table (1) indicate that the seed inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi significantly increased plant height (82.34 cm), technical length (67.02 cm) and fruiting zone length (15.31cm) and this was reflected in higher yield of straw yield per plant and per fed. In this connection Reda (2001) found that inoculation

by mycorrhizal fungi had a positive effect on plant height and mycorrhizal shoot dry weight of maize.

d): Effect of the interactions:

Data presented in Table (1) reveled that all interactions between the studied factors were significant except for V x M and Zn x M for plant height and technical length as well as V x Zn for fruiting zone length. It is clear that the tallest plants (92.40 cm), the highest of technical length (74.57 cm) and fruiting zone length (17.83 cm) as well as the highest straw yield per plant (2.7g) and per fed (2.578 ton) resulted from cultivar Sakha 1 fertilized with 20 kg Zn and treated with inycorrhizal fungi, while the lowest values for the aforementioned traits resulted from cultivar Bombay with control treatment.

2): Seed yield and its components:

a): Varietal effects:

Data presented in Table (2) revealed significant differences among flax varieties in seed yield and its components. Sakha 1 cultivar significantly produced the highest values of capsules number/ plant (10.34), seed index (9.70 g), seed yield / plant (0.62 g), seed yield /fed (600 kg) and produced the highest oil percentage (39.03%) and the highest oil yield /fed (242 kg). The superiority of Sakha1 in oil production may be due to its higher seed yield /fed as well as higher oil seed content. It is worthy to mention that Belinka cultivar produced the lowest capsules number / plant. These results are in harmony with those reported by EL - Sweify and Mostafa (1996) and Abo - Zaied (1997).

b): Zinc effects:

The results in Table (2) showed significant increase in capsules number /plant (7.08) and oil percentage (37.066%) by increasing Zinc level up to 20 kg Zn /fed. The data indicated that seed yield / plant (0.49 g), seed yield /fed. (511 kg), seeds number / capsule (9.40), seed index (7.00), and oil yield/ fed (190 kg) significantly increased by increasing Zinc level up to 10 kg Zn / fed. The significant increase in seed yield /fed exhibited by Zinc application may be attributed to the increase of seed yield components. These results are in agreement with Abo-El Soad et al., (1975), Zedan et al., (1986) and Mourad et al., (1988).

c): Effect of seed inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi:

Data in Table (2) showed that flax plants treated with mycorrhizal fungi produced higher seed and oil yield /fed and were superior in seed yield components and seed oil percentage compared to untreated ones. These findings are in harmony with those obtained by Elwan and Sharawy (1994).

d): Effect of the interactions:

The first and the second order interactions between the studied factors exhibited significant effects on seed yield and its components, except the interaction between V x M for seeds number /capsule and oil yield / fed as well as Zn x M and V x Zn x M for oil percentage. The highest Values of capsules number / plant (12.50), seed index (9.97 g), seed yield / plant (0.84 g), seed yield /fed (703 kg), oil percentage (39.53%) and oil yield /fed (278 kg), were obtained from flax plants of Sakha 1 cultivar fertilized with 20 kg of Zn and treated with mycorrhizal fungi, while the highest seeds number / capsule resulted from Belinka with 20 kg Zn and mycorrhizal fungi.

3): Fiber yield and its quality characters:

a): Varietal effects:

Data in Table (3) showed that cultivars were significantly different in fiber length, fiber percentage, fiber fineness and fiber yield /fed. Belinka significantly surpassed Sakha 1 and Bombay in fiber percentage (19.89%), fiber fineness (217.70) and fiber yield /fed (436 kg). Sakha 1 gave the highest fiber length (70.9 cm) but, Bombay was the lowest one. It is worth to mention that the lower straw yield of Belinka was compensated by the higher percentage of fiber and finally it produced the highest fiber yield /fed. These results are in harmony with those reported by EL - Sweify and Mostafa (1996) and Abo - Zaied (1997).

b): Zinc effects:

Data in Table (3) indicated that increasing Zinc level of up to 20 kg /fed significantly increased fiber percentage (19.55%), fiber fineness (193.71) and fiber yield /fed (463 kg), while fiber length (64.99 cm) was significantly increased as Zn level was increased up to 10 kg Zn /fed. These results are in harmony with those reported by Abo-El Soad et al., (1975) and Mourad et al., (1988).

c): Effect of seed inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi: Data in Table (3) showed that inoculated seed with mycorrhizal fungi significantly increased fiber length, fiber percentage, fiber fineness and fiber yield /fed compared to those without inoculation. These results are in harmony with those reported by Elwan and Sharawy (1994).

d): Effect of the interactions:

Data in Table (3) revealed that all interactions between the studied factors were significant with respect to fiber yield and fiber quality characters, except for V x M for fiber percentage which was not significant. The highest fiber yield / fed (503 kg), fiber percentage (20.36) and fiber fineness (224.57) were obtained from Belinka received 20 kg Zn/fed and with mycorrhizal fungi treatment, while the tallest fibers resulted from Sakha 1 with the same mentioned treatments.

General conclusions:

In general, it can be concluded that cultivation of flax after maize or inoculation with VA mycorrhizal fungi with Zinc level of 10 kg Zn /fed gave the highest straw yield, seed yield and oil yield with Sakha 1 as dual purpose type in Egypt while, application of 20 kg Zn/fed gave the highest fiber yield, fiber percentage and fiber fineness with Belinka (fiber type).

Table 1.Effect of Zinc levels and AV mycorrhizal on plant height, technical length, fruit zone length, straw yield /plant and straw yield/fed. (combined analysis of the two seasons).

Belinka 0 5		רומוון נוב	Plant neight (cm)		echnic	ai Leng	1110) 111	Lechnical Length (chirruit zone length(cm) jouraw yieluplandg)	ie lengt	n(cm)	Sildw y	le la pla		Suaw y	Straw yield/red.(ton)	1.(ton)
1	Zn levels	(+W)	(-14)	Means	(+W)	(-M)	Means	(+W)	(-M)	Means	(+N1)	(-t4)	Means	(+ht)	(-M)	Means
	10 kg zn	0	74.47	76.34	64.98	62.70	63.84	13.22	11.77	12.50	1.39	1.03	1.21	2.050	1.762	1.906
2 7	5 kg zn		76.48	78.57	66.12		64.96	14.53	12.68	13.61	1.84	1.27	1.55	2.267	2.040	2.154
2	10 kg zn		78.70	80.73	67.23	64.95	60.99	15.52	13.75	14.64	2.33	1.69	2.01	2.440	2.230	2.335
	20 kg zn	83.13	78.92	81.03	67.30	64.95	66.13	15.83	13.97	14.90	2.38	1.74	2.06	2.467	2.240	2.354
Σ	Means	81.18	77.14	79.16	66.41	64.10	65.25	14.78	13.04	13.91	1.99	1.43	1.71	2.308	2.068	2.187
Sakha 1 0	0 kg zn	87.73	83.48	85.61	72.35	69.97	71.16	15,38	13.52	14.45	1.81	1.40	1.61	2.252	2.052	2.157
5	5 kg zn	90.20	85.62	87.91	73.50	70.97	72.24	16.70	14.65	15.68	2.26	1.73	2.00	2.402	2.253	2.328
+-	10 kg zn	92.18	87.90	90.04	74,53	72.18	73.36	17.65	15.72	16.69	2.59	1.93	2.26	2.560	2.412	2.486
2	20 kg zn	92.40	88.12	90.26	74.57	72.22	73.40	17.83	15.90	16.87	2.70	2.02	2.35	2.578		2.505
2	Means	90.63	86.28	88.45	73.74	71.34	72.54	16.89	14.95	15.92	2.34	1.77	2.08	2.451	2.287	2.369
Bombay	10 kg zn	72.47	68.58	70.53	59.63	57.77	53.70	12.83	11.82	12.33	1.25	0.92	1.09	1.927	1.623	1.775
	5 kg zn	74.63	70.55	72.59	90.60	57.88	59.24	14.08	12.67	13.38	1.49	1.12	1.31	2.162	1.840	2.001
	10 kg zn	76.72	72.87	74.80	51.68	59.20	60.44	15.03	13.67	14.35	2.01	1,45	1.74	2.328	2.095	2.212
<u>C1</u>	20 kg zn	76.97	72.98	74.98	61.77	59.32	60.55	15.20	13.67	14.44	2.12	1.53	1.83	2.353	2.122	2.238
15	Means	75.20	71.25	73.22	60.92	58.54	59.73	14.29	12.96	13.62	1.72	1.26	1.49	2.193	1.920	2.055
Mean for mycorrhizal	rrhizal	82.34	78.22	80.28	67 02	64.66	65.84	15.32	13.65	14,48	2.02	1.49	1.75	2.316	2.092	2.204
Mean for Zinc levels	c levels															
O	0 kg zn	79.47	75.51	77.49	65.65	63,48	64.57	13.81		13.09		1.12	1,30	CI		1.946
4)	5 kg zn	81.83	77.55	79.69	66.74	64.22	65.48					1.37		CA		2.161
+-	10 kg zn			81.86		65			14.38	15.23	2	1.69		N	N	2,344
2	20 kg zn	84.17	80.01	82,09	57.88	65.50	69 99	16.29	- 11	- 11	2 40	176	2.08	2 465	2,265	2,355
L.S.D. 5% level of significance for:	vel of sig	unificance	for:	1						4			000			0
	es			0.21			0.13			0.13			0.40			0 0
1.4	Zinc ((Zu)		0.26			0.19			0.16			0.03			0.0
	Mycorrhizal (M	al (M)		0.23			0.18			0.15			0.24			0.0
-	V×Zn			0.53			0.32			0.3			0.09			0.0
	N× N			SN			SN			SZ			0.15			0.012
. 4	Zn x M			NS			SN			0.17			0.16			0.032
	V×ZN×M	V		0.56			0.77			0.73			0.12			0.038
(+M),(-M) =with and without mycorrhizal fungi, respectively	with and	without	mycorrh	unj lezic	gi ,resp(sctively.										

74

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28(1), January, 2003

11.1 12.2 14.2 NS 6.0 5.0

0.30 0.23 0.09 0.31 NS

31.1 22.1 80.2 85.2 53.4

0.14 0.08 0.03 0.18 NS

0.10 0.19 0.10 0.04 0.23 0.21

0.40 0.12 0.40 0.10 NS

0.17 0.17 0.34 0.32 0.54

Mycorrhizal (M

V × Zn

(+M), (-M) =with and without mycorrhizal fungi ,respectively NS = Non- Significant

Zn×M V×Zn×W

Table 2. Effect of Zinc levels and AV mycorrhizal on No.of capsules/plant, No.of seeds/capsule, seed index, seed yield/plant, seed yield/fed., oil percentage

varience	_	No of capsules/plant	ipsules/	plant	No.of s	No.of seeds/capsule		Seed index	dex		Seed yield/plant(g)	eld/plar		seed ye	Seed yield'fed (kg)		Dil perce	Oil percentage (%)	(9)	Oil vie	Oil vield/led (kg)	1
	Zn levels	(+W)	(M-)	Means	(+W)	(-M)	Means	(+W+)	(-M)	Massa (+M)	(H)	(-M)	y	(+14)	(30) A	1 5	1000				100.0	6
Belinka	0 kg zn	7.23	6.27	6.75	7.18	6.59	689	5 00	477	4 80		-1	٠,	000		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	(101.)	(M-)	Means (+M)	(+IM)	(W-)	Means
	A 100 am	0 10	20 2	100			0 0	00.0		0.		0.50	0.44	303	200	335	34.60	34.12	34.36	128	111	120
	117 By C	0.40	0.00	1.03	10.7	45.0	87.7	5.29	5.08	5.19	0.38	0.25	0.32	431	381	406	34.98	34.51	34.75	151	132	142
	10 kg zn	9.32	7.48	8.40	8.02	7.29	7.66	5.50	5.30	5.40	0.48	0.33	0.41	461	411	436	35.46	35.01	35 24	164	147	4 4
	20 kg zn	9.58	7.78	8.68	8.08	7.32	69.7	5.50	5.36	5,43	0.49	0.35	0.42	466	422	444	35 52	15 14	26.24	-		0 0
	Means	8.63	7.10	7.86	7.72	7.04	7.38	5.32	5.13	5.23	0.41	0.28	0.34	432	379	405	35 15	24.70	24.00	190	249	158
Sakha 1	0 kg zn	10.20	8.00	9.10	5.60	5.22	5.41	9.59	9.38	9.49	0.57	0.41	0.49	481	425	453	38 70	38 38	28 5.4	2000	650	144
	5 kg zn	11.42	8.82	10.12	5.97	5.49	5.73	9.79	9.53	99.6	0.70	0.50	0.60	647	584	616	39 18	28.67	2000		202	213
	10 kg zn	12.25	9.63	10.94	6.32	5.84	6.08	9.97	19.6	9.82	0.77	0.58	0.68	694	639	667	39.52	39 11	20.00	_	277	240
	20 kg zn	12.50	9.93	11.22	6.43	5.95	6.19	9.97	9.73	9.85	0.84	090	0.72	703	624	66.4	20.00	000	20.00	_	544	259
	Means	11.59	9.10	10.34	6.08	5.63	5.85	9.83	9.58	9.70	0.72	0.52	0.62	631	868	600	30 32	00000	00.00		245	259
Bombay	0 kg zn	6.67	5.52	6 10	717	62.9	6 78	5.62	F. 4.5	6.63		0,0		1			23.60	20.00	39.03	556	229	242
	5 to 20	4 7 7 7	6 2 3	1 .0	1 40			1		20.0		0.13	0.24	326	545	340	35.76	35.20	35.48	127	114	121
	12.64.0	11.1	0.00	7.18	90.7	0.70	7.16	5.73	5.57	5.65	0.35	0.24	0.30	409	385	387	36.03	35.58	35.81	147	130	139
	10 kg zn	0.70	1.23	65.7	7.92	7.03	7.50	5.89	5,6€	5.78	0.46	0.31	0.39	447	405	426	36.51	36.11	36.31	163	146	155
	20 kg zn	8.92	7.47	8.20	7.97	7.21	7.59	5.93	5.7:	5.82	0.47	0.33	0.40	451	415	433	35.64	36 18	36 41	165	180	0 4
	Means	8.03	6.70	7.36	7.66	6.86	7.26	5.79	5.60	5.69	0.39	0.27	0.33	416	377	396	36.24	35.77	36.00	151	126	440
an for n	Mean for mycorrhizal	9.42	7.63	8.52	7.15	6.51	6.83	86.9	6.77	6.87	0.51	0.36	0 43	293	441	467	78 25	26.43	20.00		2	2
Mean for	for Zinc levels												!				10.00	20.40	20.02	185	166	176
	0 kg zn	8.03	6.60	7.32	6.65	6.07	6.36	6.74	6.5	6.64	0.37	0.27	0.32	402	349	376	36.35	35 90	26 13	150	143	U
	5 kg zn	9.20	7.42	8.31	7.05	6.40	6.72	6.94	6.7	6.83	0.48	0.33	0.41	496	243	470	25 72	36.36	200		74.	0
	10 kg zn	10.11		6	7.42	6.74	7.08	7.12	6.8	7.00		0.41	0 49	534	28.5	510	27.75	20.00	30.30		163	1/4
	20 kg zn	10.33	8.39	9.37	7.49	6.83	7.16	7.13	6.90	7.03	9	0.43	0.51	540	487	514	37.24	36.83	37.02	200	1/6	190
L.S.D 57	5% level of significance	nificance	e for:																	11		-
	Varieties (V	()		0.14			0.40			0.10			0 11			21 1			000			
	1															1.10			0.30			* * *

75

Table 3.Effect of Zinc levels and AV mycorrhizal on fiber yield/fed., fiber length, fiber percentage and fiber fineness(combined

analysis of the two seasons).

Zn levels (+M) (+M) (+M	Varieties		Fiber yi	Fiber yield/fed.(kg)	.(kg)	Fiber	Fiber length (cm)	(ma	Fiber p	Fiber percentage (%)	ie (%)	Fiber fineness	suess	
0 kg zn		Zn levels	(HH)	(-M-)	Means	(HM)	(-M-)	Means	(H+)	(M-)	Means	(W+)	(M-)	Means
5 kg zn 451 401 426 64.67 62.23 63.45 19.91 19.64 19.78 216.63 218.38 2 20 kg zn 450 445 470 65.75 63.33 64.64 20.29 19.95 20.12 221.15 218.63 20 kg zn 450 476 65.88 63.40 64.64 20.04 19.74 19.89 218.15 218.63 0 kg zn 401 358 380 70.77 68.15 60.04 17.71 17.45 17.86 17.71 17.45 17.86 18.01 17.70 17.86 18.01 17.70 17.86 18.01 17.71 17.86 18.05 18.06 <t< td=""><td>Belinka</td><td>0 kg zn</td><td>402</td><td>430</td><td>416</td><td>63.52</td><td>61.13</td><td>62.33</td><td>19.59</td><td>19.30</td><td>19.45</td><td>211.07</td><td>208.98</td><td>210.03</td></t<>	Belinka	0 kg zn	402	430	416	63.52	61.13	62.33	19.59	19.30	19.45	211.07	208.98	210.03
10 kg zn 495 445 470 65.75 63.33 64.54 20.29 19.55 20.12 224.15 218.63 2		5 kg zn	451	401	426	64.67	62.23	63.45	19.91	19.64	19.78	216.63	218.38	217.51
Means 463 449 476 65.88 63.40 64.64 20.36 20.21 224.57 222.42 20.0kg zn 463 441 447 64.96 62.52 63.74 20.04 19.74 19.89 218.36 217.10 21.0kg zn 471 434 456 70.77 68.13 69.45 17.71 17.45 17.58 145.05 143.02 15.0kg zn 471 441 440 73.15 70.50 71.83 18.14 183.1 163.43 160.83 180.65 15.0kg zn 471 449 460 73.15 70.50 71.81 18.14 183.1 163.43 160.83 10.0kg zn 471 449 445 60.08 55.12 56.59 19.41 19.21 193.3 180.08 180.00 19.80 10.0kg zn 471 449 445 60.08 57.36 56.57 57.96 19.84 19.71 192.87 19.80		10 kg zn	495	445	470	65.75		64.54	20.29	19.95	20.12	221.15	218.63	219.89
Means 463 431 447 64,96 62.52 63.74 20.04 19.74 19.89 218.36 217.10 0 kg zn 401 358 380 70.77 68.13 69.45 17.71 17.45 17.58 145.05 143.02 1 0 kg zn 433 396 415 71.98 69.25 70.62 18.01 17.70 17.86 152.09 150.58 1 0 kg zn 478 447 450 71.23 69.57 70.90 18.15 17.82 17.88 18.47 18.14 18.31 162.09 150.58 20 kg zn 446 407 427 72.23 69.57 70.90 18.15 17.82 17.98 156.91 150.58 160.83		20 kg zn	503	449	476	65.88	63.40	64.64	20.36	20.03	20.21	224.57	222.42	223.50
0 kg zn 401 358 380 70.77 68.13 69.45 17.71 17.45 17.58 145.05 143.02 5 kg zn 433 396 416 71.38 69.25 70.62 18.01 17.70 17.86 152.09 150.58 150.00 150.58 100.83		Means	463	431	447	64.96	62.52	63.74	20.04	19.74	19.89	218.36	217.10	217.73
5 kg zn 433 396 415 71.38 69.25 70.62 18.01 17.70 17.86 152.09 150.58 10 kg zn 471 434 453 73.03 70.40 71.72 18.39 17.38 18.19 150.07 156.55 20 kg zn 478 441 460 73.15 70.50 71.83 18.47 18.14 18.31 163.43 160.83 0 kg zn 446 407 427 72.23 69.57 70.90 18.15 17.38 163.43 160.83 0 kg zn 374 312 343 58.05 55.12 56.59 19.41 19.21 19.08 196.27 10 kg zn 471 419 445 60.08 57.38 58.73 20.22 19.59 19.08 196.00 20 kg zn 438 380 409 50.36 56.57 57.96 19.71 19.23 187.76 19.60 5 kg zn 438 366	Sakha 1	0 kg zn	401	358	380	70.77	68.13	69.45	17.71	17.45	17.58	145.05	143.02	144.04
10 kg zn 471 434 453 73.03 70.40 71.72 18.39 17.98 18.19 159.07 156.55 20 kg zn 478 441 460 73.15 70.50 71.83 18.47 18.14 18.31 163.43 160.83 160.83 160.83 18.15 163.43 160.83 18.15 163.43 160.83 18.15 163.43 160.83 18.15 163.43 160.83 18.15 163.43 160.83 18.15 163.43 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 160.83 18.15 1		5 kg zn	433	396	415	71.98	69.25	70.62	18.01	17.70	17.86	152.09	150.58	151.34
20 kg zn 478 441 460 73.15 70.50 71.83 18.47 18.14 18.31 163.43 160.83 Means 446 407 427 72.23 69.57 70.90 18.15 17.82 17.98 154.91 152.75 0 kg zn 374 312 343 58.05 55.12 56.59 19.41 19.21 19.31 182.63 180.47 5 kg zn 430 361 396 59.05 56.28 57.67 19.88 19.22 19.75 188.37 180.47 18.33 180.47 180.47 180.40 190.80<		10 kg zn	471	434	453	73.03	70.40	71.72	18.39	17.98	18.19	159.07	156.55	157.81
Means 446 407 427 72.23 69.57 70.90 18.15 17.82 17.98 154.91 152.75 0 kg zn 374 312 343 58.05 55.12 56.59 1941 19.21 19.31 182.63 180.47 5 kg zn 430 361 396 59.05 56.28 57.67 19.88 1962 19.75 188.37 186.32 10 kg zn 476 428 452 60.08 57.38 58.73 20.24 20.03 20.14 196.40 194.60 20 kg zn 476 428 452 60.27 57.48 58.88 20.24 20.03 20.14 196.40 194.60 ycorthizal 449 406 427 65.52 62.89 64.20 19.34 19.71 19.33 186.00 177.49 Skg zn 438 466 427 65.52 62.89 64.20 19.37 19.09 19.73 187.78 185.00		20 kg zn	478	441	460	73.15		71.83	18.47	18.14	18.31	163.43	160.83	162.13
0 kg zn		Means	446	407	427	72.23		70.90	18.15	17.82	17.98	154.91	152.75	153.83
zn 430 361 396 56.28 57.67 19.88 19.62 19.75 188.37 186.32 zn 471 419 445 60.08 57.38 58.73 20.22 19.99 20.11 192.87 190.80 zn 476 428 452 60.07 57.48 58.88 20.24 20.03 20.14 195.07 190.80 zn 438 380 406 427 65.52 62.89 64.20 19.37 19.09 19.23 187.08 188.05 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.91 1927 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.91 1927 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.91 1927 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.91 1927 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.31 19.47 191.03 188.66 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.31 19.49 191.03 188.66 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.31 19.49 191.03 188.66 zn 438 386 412 65.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.41 19.55 194.80 192.62 zn 488 386 439 463 66.43 63.79 65.12 19.69 19.41 19.55 194.80 192.62 zn 5.2	Bombay	0 kg zn	374	312	343	58.05	i .	56.59	19.41	19.21	19.31	182.63	180.47	181.58
zn 471 419 445 60.08 57.38 58.73 20.22 19.99 20.11 192.87 190.80 zn 476 428 452 60.27 57.48 58.88 20.24 20.03 20.14 196.40 194.60 ss 438 380 409 59.36 56.57 57.96 19.94 19.71 19.33 190.08 188.05 zn 449 406 427 65.52 62.89 64.20 19.37 19.09 19.23 187.78 188.05 zn 438 366 412 65.52 62.89 64.20 19.37 19.09 19.23 187.78 185.09 zn 438 366 412 65.29 63.91 19.27 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 zn 438 366 412 65.29 63.91 19.63 19.41 19.55 194.80 195.62 1gs 439 453		5 kg zn	430	361	396	59.05		57.67	19.88	19.62	19.75	188.37	186.32	187.35
20 476 428 452 60.27 57.48 58.88 20.24 20.03 20.14 196.40 194.60 18		10 kg zn	471	419	445	60.08		58.73	20.22	19.99	20.11	192.87	190.80	191.84
Sample S		20 kg zn	476	428	452	60.27	57.48	58.88	20.24	20.03	20.14	196.40	194.60	195.50
zn 449 406 427 65.52 62.79 19.37 19.09 19.23 187.78 185.97 1 zn 438 367 380 64.11 61.46 62.79 18.90 18.57 18.78 175.60 177.49 zn 438 386 412 65.23 62.59 63.91 19.27 18.99 19.13 185.00 177.49 zn 479 433 456 66.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.47 191.03 188.66 zo 120 486 466 43.79 65.12 19.69 1941 19.55 194.80 192.62 spullcance for: 9.4 0.14 0.14 0.02 194.80 192.62 spullcance for: 9.4 0.14 0.02 0.02 192.62 192.62 rinical (M) 7.1 0.14 0.03 0.03 0.03 NXM 4.4 0.76 0.76 0.06		Means	438	380	409	59.36		57.96	19.94	19.71	19.83	190.08	188.05	189.06
zn 392 367 380 64.11 61.46 62.79 18.90 18.65 18.78 179.60 177.49 zn 438 386 412 65.23 62.59 63.91 19.27 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 zn 479 433 456 66.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.47 191.03 188.66 nes (V) 486 463 66.43 65.12 19.69 19.41 19.48 191.03 188.66 sextense (V) 5.2 0.14 0.10 0.02 194.80 192.62 replace for: 9.4 0.14 0.14 0.02 0.02 194.80 192.62 replace for: 9.4 0.14 0.05 0.03 0.03 0.03 replace for: 9.3 0.26 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.06 NX 4.4 0.76 0.76 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06	Mean for myc	orrhizal	449	406	427	65.52	1	64.20	19.37	19.09	19.23	187.78	185.97	186.87
367 380 64.11 61.46 62.79 18.90 18.65 18.78 177.49 386 412 65.23 62.59 63.91 19.27 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 433 456 66.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.31 19.47 191.03 188.66 439 463 66.43 65.12 19.69 19.41 19.480 192.62 6.2 0.10 0.10 0.02 0.02 0.02 7.1 0.15 0.04 0.03 0.03 7.2 0.26 0.04 0.05 0.04 5.4 0.76 0.76 0.06	Mean for Zir	nc levels												
386 412 65.23 62.59 63.91 19.27 18.99 19.13 185.70 185.09 433 456 66.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.31 19.47 191.03 188.66 439 463 66.43 63.79 65.12 19.69 1941 19.55 194.80 192.62 7 6.2 0.10 0.10 0.02 0.02 192.62 192.62 7.1 0.14 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.06 4.4 0.76 0.06		0 kg zn	392	367	380	64.11		62.79	18.90	18.65	18.78	179.60	177.49	178.55
433 456 66.29 63.70 64.99 19.63 19.31 19.47 191.03 188.66 439 463 66.43 63.79 65.12 19.69 1941 19.55 194.80 192.62 7 9.4 0.10 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 7.1 0.15 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 9.3 0.32 0.47 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 4.4 0.76 0.76 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06		5 kg zn	438	386	412	65.23		63.91	19.27	18.99	19.13	185.70	185.09	185.40
439 463 66 43 63 79 65 12 19 69 19 41 19 55 194 80 192 62 6.2 0.10 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06		10 kg zn	479	433	456	66.29		64.99	19.63	19.31	19.47	191.03	188.66	189.85
9.4 0.10 0.02 6.2 0.14 0.02 7.1 0.15 0.03 7.2 0.26 0.04 9.3 0.32 NS 5.4 0.76 0.06		20 kg zn	486	439	463	66.43	63.79	65.12	19.69	19 41	19.55	194 80	192.62	193.71
es (V) 9.4 0.10 0.02 (Zn) 6.2 0.14 0.02 0.03 (Zn) 7.1 0.15 0.03 0.03 0.32 NS 5.4 0.76 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06	L.S.D. 5% 16	evel of signific	cance for											
(Zn) 6.2 0.14 0.02 hizal (M) 7.1 0.15 0.03 7.2 0.26 0.04 9.3 0.32 NS 4 5.4 0.76 0.06		Varieties ((>		9.4			0.10			0.05			0.95
hizal (M) 7.1 0.15 0.03 7.2 0.26 0.04 9.3 0.32 NS 5.4 0.47 0.06 1×M 4.4 0.76 0.06			Zn)		6.2			0.14			0.02			1.18
7.2 0.26 0.04 9.3 0.32 NS 5.4 0.47 0.06 1×M 4.4 0.76 0.06		Mycorrhiza	(M) le		7.1			0.15			0.03			SZ
0.32 NS 0.47 0.06 1×M 4.4 0.76 0.06		V x Zn			7.2			0.26			0.04			2.41
5.4 0.47 0.06 4.4 0.76 0.06		N×>			9.3			0.32			NS			2.12
4.4 0.76 0.06		Zn×M			5.4			0.47			0.06			2.19
		V×ZN×V	V		4.4			0.76			90.0			3.25

 $(+M)_{-M}$ =with and withcut mycorrhizal fungi ,respectively. NS = Non- Significant Zn x M V x ZN x M

76

REFERENCES

- Abo-El- Soad, I., A; A. Momtaz and M. Mansour (1975). Effect of foliar application with ZnSO₄ and GA₃ on growth and yield of flax crop. Fibra 20(2): 42-50.
- Abo-Zaied T.A. (1997). Comparative study of yield and technological characters of some flax varieties. Ph.D Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura. Univ., Egypt.
- A.O.A.C. (1957). Official methods of analysis of Association of Official Analytical Chemist's. Washington, D.C., 20044, 12 to ed.
- El-Sweify, A.H.H. and S.H.A. Mostafa (1996). Growth yield and quality of flax as affected by genotypes, Potassium fertilizer and plant density. Egypt J.Appl. Sci., 11(7): 116-133.
- Elwan, I.M. and M.O. El- Sharawy (1994). Contribution of VA-mycorrhizal hyphae in supplying maize plants by some nutrients. Egypt J.Appl.Sci 9:477-490.
- Kawai, Y. and Y.Yamamato (1986). Increase information and nitrogen fixation of soybean nodules by VA mycorrhizal. Plant Cell Physiol.27: 399
- Koreish, E.A.; M.E. El-Fayoumy and H.M. Ramadan (1998). Contribution of vesicular – arbuscular mycorrhizal and phosphorin in acquisition of phosphorus and micronutrient by maize (Zea may.L) in acquisition soil. Egypt J.Soil Sci., 38: 101-121.
- Le Clerg, E.L.; W.H.Leonard and A.G.Clark (1966). Field plot technique. Minnesata, U.S.A.
- Massoud, O.N.M.(1999). Study on the effect of *Azospirillium spp.*, VA-mycrrhizal and organic matter amended soil on the plant growth. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Of Sci., EL Menoufia Univ.
- Mourad, N.K.; A.M.Hella and S.M.Gaafar (1988). Effect of different N, ZnSO₄ levels and their combination on yield and fiber quality of flax. Agric. Res. Rev. Ministry of Agric. 66(3): 407-414.
- Pacovsky, R.S.; E.A.Paul and G.J. Bethlenfalvay (1986). Response of mycorrhizal and P fertilized soybean to nodulation by bradyrhizobium or ammonium nitrate. Crop Sci., 26: 145-49.
- Porokhnevich, N.V. and A.E.Bykov, (1972). Effect of Zinc and copper on fermentation of the photosynthetic opportune and yield in fiber flax in the first generation. Agrokhimiya, 2:103-111. (C.F.Field crop Abst.26 (10): 5106.)
- Radwan, S.R. and A. Momtaz (1966). The technologic-properties of flax fiber and methods. EL-Felaha. J.46 (5): 466-476(in Arabic).
- Salama, A.M. (1996). Influence of planting date and nitrogen fertilizer levels on agronomic characteristics of three flax cultivars. J. Agric. Sci., Mansorura Univ., 21(3): 881-891.
- Reda, M.M.A. (2001). Effect of organic, inorganic and Biofertilization on improving some properties of calcareous soil and its productivity of maize plant. J. Agric. Sic. Mansoura Univ., 26 (8): 5085-98.
- Sndecor, G.W. and Cochan, W.G. (1967). Statistical Methods, Sixth Edition, lowa Stata Univ. Press, Ames Iowa USA.
- Smith, S.E. and M.J. Daft (1977). Interactions between growth, phosphate content and nitrogen fixation in mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal *Medicago sativa*. Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 4: 403.

Zedan, M.; T. Nasr El-Din and E.M. El Naggar (1986). Effect of N, P, K and Zn on flax. Zagazig J.Agric.Res. 13(2): 311-328.

تأثير استخدام مستويات مختلفة من الزنك والميخروهيزا علي الكتعسان على الكتعفاف السيد عبد الواحد زهانه حسين مصطفى حسين أبوقايد نجلاء عبد المنعم عشري • قسم بحوث محاصيل الألياف – معهد المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية –الجيزة • قسم بحوث الخلية – معهد المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية –الجيزة

أقيمت تجربتين حقليتين بمركز البحوث الزراعية بالجيزة – مصر، اثناء موسمي المركز البحوث الزراعية بالجيزة – مصر، اثناء موسمي ١٠،٥،٠ كرد ٢٠٠١/٢٠٠١ لدراسة تأثير استخدام أربعة مستويات تسميد من الزنك (٠،٥،٠ كرم/فدان) مع التلقيح بفطر الميكروهيزا علي المحصول وصفات الجودة في الكتان علي ثلاث أصناف من الكتان (سخا ١، بلنكا ، اريانا).

تشير النتائج إلى وجود اختلافات معنوية بين الاصناف تحت الدراسة في محصول القس والبذرة ومكوناتهما وصفات الجودة ، كما أن الصنف سخا ١ أعطي أعلى قيم من محصول القس ومكوناته وطول الألياف وعدد الكبسولات/ نبات ومعامل البذرة ومحصول البذرة / نبات و/ فدن والنسبة المئوية للزيت ومحصول الزيت/ فدان ، كما تشير النتائج الي أن استخدام معذل الزيك ٢٠ كجم أدي الي زيادة معنوية في محصول القش/ نبات و/ فدان وعدد الكبسولات/ نبات والنسبة المئوية للألياف ونعومة الألياف ومحصول الألياف/ فدان ، بينما استخدام المعدل ١٠ كجم/ فدان من الزنك أدي الي زيادة معنوية في محصول البذرة / نبات و/ فدان وعدد البذور/ كبسولة ومعامل البذرة ومحصول الزيت / فدان وطول الألياف ، كما تشير النتائج الي أن النفاعل بين الاصناف لا الميكروهيزا كان معنوي لصفات محصول القش والبذور والألياف ومكوناتها ، كذلك التفاعل بين الأصناف لا الميكروهيزا كان معنوي لصفات محصول القش والبذور والألياف لا الزنك لا الميكروهيزا لكل وصفات الجودة ، كذلك تشير النتائج الي معنوية التفاعل بين الاصناف لا الزنك لا الميكروهيزا لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة و

وبصفة عامة تشير النتائج الي أن زراعة الكتان بعد الذرة الشامية أو تلقيح التربة بفطر الميكروهيزا مع استعمال معدل ١٠ كجم زنك/فدان أعطي أعلى محصول من القش والبذرة والزيت ٠