EFFECT OF SOME AGRICULTURAL TREATMENTS ON SEED PRODUCTION AND QUALITY OF COWPEA

El-Assiouty, F.M.M.

Vegetable Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center

ABSTRACT

Tow field trials were conducted at Vegetable Research Farm of Horticultural Research Institute, Kaha, Kaluobia Governorate during two successive summer seasons of 2001 and 2002 to study the effect of plant spacing (10, 20 and 30 cm) and foliar application with potassium (0, 1, 2, 3 cm/L) on growth, seed yield and quality of cowpea cultivars i.e. Kafr El-Sheikh (1) and Kaha (1). Obtained results could be summarized as follow:

1- Kafr El-Sheikh (1) cv. surpassed Kaha (1) cv. in all measurement growth characters, i.e. plant height, number of branches and leaves, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plants, also in seed yield and its components expressed as number and weight of pods, number of seeds per pod, 100-seed weight (seed index), seed yield per plant and per feddan, produced 35.2 and 39.6% seed yield more than the other cultivar in the two seasons, respectively and gave the highest values of germination (%), seedling length and protein (%) in dry seed.

2- All vegetative growth traits recorded higher values in wider spacing 20 or 30 cm except plant height which was maximum under closer spacing (10 cm). Also, all seed yield components characters were enhanced with increasing plant spacing except seed yield/feddan which was maximum under narrow spacing, as well as, seed quality characters except germination speed recorded higher values under

wider spacing compared to closer spacing.

3- Spraying cowpea plants with potassium increased plant growth characters, seed yield and its components, germination percentage, seedling length and protein (%) in seed. Using potassium at (2 cm/L) was the most effective treatment for all studied characters.

4- Interaction effect of cultivars, plant spacing and potassium were differed for all

studied characteristics.

In general, it may be recommended to grow the used cultivars under closer spacing (10 cm) and spraying plants with foliar application of potassium at (2 cm/L) to obtain the highest seed yield/feddan as well as seed quality..

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) is considered to be one of important legume crops grown in summer season in Egypt for its green pods and for dry seed, which seeds represent a cheif source of protein.

The seeds production and seed quality depend on many factors. Some of these factors are the used cultivars, plant spacing and foliar nutrition with

potassium.

Cowpea cultivars differ genetically in most of vegetative growth characteristics i.e. plant height, number of leaves and branches, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plant as well as seed yield and its components, also seed quality i.e. seed germination characters and protein percentage (Remison, 1980, Morsy, 1986, Fayad, 1997, Mohamed et. al., 1999, Fawzia, 2000, Fawzia and Soad, 2000). Metwally et al, 1998 pointed out that Kafr el-

Sheikh-1 and Kaha-1 as new cultivars of cowpea where the first Cv. surpassed the two commercial cultivars, i.e. Cream-7 and Dokki 331 for seed index, seed yield and protein percentage in summer seasons, whereas, the second one surpassed these commercial cvs. for seed in fall season.

Many reports showed the importance of plant density through inter and intra-row spacing or number of plants per hill on vegetative growth, seed yield and its components as well as seed quality characteristics. Such reports pointed out that, plant growth in low density recorded higher values of all vegetative growth traits than those of in the closer plant density, except plant height which was maximum under closer plant density. Also, low density increased all yield components, while high density increased seed yield per plot (Abdel-Salam, 1963, Saleh et al, 1980, Rajesh et al, 1997, Fawzia, 2000 on cowpea, Shafik et al, 1988, Abdel Gaid, 1996, Ashmawy et al, 1998, El- . Set et al, 1999, Wafaa, 2000, Mohamed, 2003 on faba bean, Ali and Ebaid, 1991 on soybean, Anisa et al, 1995 on common bean, Abd-El-Naby et al 1998, El-Gamiely et al, 1998 on peas.

Among the essential nutrients needed by the plant is potassium, which plays a highly recognized in role in plant life, where an adequate supply of potassium for plant improves the quality and quantity of productivity. The stimulative effects of potassium on plant growth, also the favourable effect on seed yield and quality were reported by Tayo, 1981, Kandagal et al, 1990, Shide et al, 1991, shide and Jadhav, 1995, Hassan 1998, El-Shaikh, 1999, Fawzia and Soad, 2000, on cowpea, El-Mansi et al, 1991 on broad bean. Hewedy et al, 1994, Ramamoorthy et al., 1995, Kandeel and El-Maddah, 1997 on phaseolus spp., Annaduari et al, 1994 on soyabean and El-

Habbasha et al, 1998 on peas.

This investigation aimed to study the response of growth, seed yield and quality of some cowpea cultivars to different plant spacing and foliar spray with potassium.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at Vegetable Research Farm of Horticultural Research Institute, Kaha, Kaluobia Governorate during two successive summer seasons of 2001 and 2002 to study the effect of plant spacing and foliar application with potassium on growth, seed yield and quality of cowpea cultivars i.e. Kafr El-Sheikh-1 and Kaha-1. The soil was loamy clay with PH 7.8. The available content of NPK were 140, 4.5 and 0.6 ppm respectively. Eeach experiment included 24 treatments, which were the combinations of two cultivars, three treatments of spacings and four concentrations of foliar application with potassium as follows:

```
A- Cultivars
```

2- Kaha-1 (cv.2) 1- Kafr El-Sheikh-1 (cv.1)

B- Plant spacing

1- 10 cm (Sp₁) 2- 20 cm (Sp₂) 3- 30 cm (Sp₃)

C- Potassium

Spraying with water (Control)(K₀)

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 (12), December, 2004

- 2- Spraying with 1 cm/L (K₁)
- 3- Spraying with 2 cm/L (K₂)
- 4- Spraying with 3 cm/L (K₃)

The treatments were arranged in a split-split plot design with three replications. Cultivars were assigned to the main plots, plant (hill) spacings were randomly distributed in sub plots, whereas potassium treatments were randomly devoted in the sub-sub plots. Treatments means were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955).

Seed of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata, L. Walp) were sown on April 19th and 1st of 2001 and 2002, respectively in hills 10, 20 and 30 cm apart on one side of ridge. The plot area was (12 m²) consisted of four ridges, each one of 60 cm width and 5m in length. Foliar spray with potassium as K-lequid (37.5 potassium oxid produced by Kafr El-Zayat company for pesticides and chemicals) was done twice at 45 and 52 days from sowing. The normal practices of cowpea production were followed.

At flowering stage, randome samples of 5 plants were taken from the two ridges of each sub-sub plot record the following vegetative growth characteristics (A):

1- Plant height (cm).

2- Number of branches per plant.

Number of leaves per plant.

4- Fresh weight per plant (gm).

5- Dry weight per plant (gm).

6- Leaf area per plant (cm²) was calculated as a relation between area unit and fresh weight of leaves (Koller, 1972) using the following formula:

Leaf area in cm² = Fresh weight of leaves

X leaf area of disks in cm²

Fresh weight of disks

At the seed harvest stage the following data were recorded the following seed yield and its components characteristics (B):

1- No. of pods per plant.

2- Weight of pod per plant (gm).

3- No. of seeds per pod.

4- Seed yield per plant (gm).

5- Seed index (weight of 100 seed) in gm. 6- Seed yield/fed. (ton).

The following data were recorded for seed quality characteristics (C):

- 1- germination percentage : According to the international Rules of (ISTA), 1993.
- 2- Germination speed (days) was calculated according to Edmond and Drapala (1958): Mean number of days requied for germination

$$= \frac{(G_1 \times N_1) + G_2 \times N_2 + \dots G_x \times N_x}{G_1 + G_2 + \dots G_x}$$

Where:

G = Number of germinated seed in certain day.

N = Number of this certain day.

3- Seedling length (cm) was calculated (7) days after germination of the produced seed. 4- Total protein percentage in dry seed were determined using micro-kjeldahl method according to the procedure described in A.O.A.C. (1965) and the total protein percentage were calculated by the multiplication of nitrogen values by 6.25.

All data in both seasons were subjected to proper Statistical analysis according to Snedcor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A-Vegetative growth

A.1. Effect of cultivars

The results reported in Table (1) indicate that, there were significant differences between the two cultivars in all growth characteristics. It is also clear that, Kafr El-Seikh-1 cv. was superior than Kaha-1 cv. These results were true in both seasons. The above finding confirmed the results recorded by Remison, (1980), Morsy(1936), Fayad (1997), Metwally *et al* (1998), Mohamed *et al* (1999), Fawzia (2000) and Fawzia and Soad (2000) working on many cowpea cvs, who reported that, variation between cultivars could be attributed to the genetic factors.

A.2. Effect of plant spacing

It is obvious from the same data in Table (1), that all vegetative growth traits; i.e. plant height, number of branchers and leaves per plant, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plant were significantly affected by plant spacing. Higher values of all growth characteristics were obtained by widening spacing (20 or 30cm) except plant height which was maximum under narrow spacing between plants in both seasons. These results may be explained, as the narrower planting space, the more competition between plants for nutrients, light and water. Wider space for plant gave the chance for more branching and corresponding, more leaves can be born by increasing number of branches per plant (Abd El-Rahman et al., 1983). These results are in harmony with those reported by Abdel El-Salam (1963), Saleh et al (1980), Fawzia (2000) on cowpea, Ashmawy et al (1998), El-Set et al (1999), on faba bean, Abd El-Naby et al (1998) and El-Gamiely et al (1998) on peas.

A.3. Effect of potassium

The growth characters of cowpea plants were influenced significantly by the foliar potassium application during the two experimental seasons (Table 1). All measurements growth characters, i.e. plant height, number of leaves and branches, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plant significantly increased with increasing potassium concentration up to 2cm/L. The highest vales were obtained from foliar spray of 2cm/L potassium (K_2), whereas the lowest values were produced from the untreated control plants (K_0). The favourable effect of potassium might be due to, it is playing a vital role for normal cell division, translocation of carbohydrates and reduction of nitrates particulary in meristems (Black, 1960), in addition to, Edmond et al. (1977) pointed out that potassium is essential in the metabolic processes of important plant

constituents needed for normal plant growth and development. Hassan (1998), El-Shaikh (1999), Fawzia and Soad (2000) on cowpea, El-Mansi et al. (1991) on broad bean, Hewedy et al. (1994), Kandeel and El-Maddah (1997) on common bean, came to the same conclusion. On the other hand, El-Habbasha et al. (1996) found that, spraying peas with potassium at a concentration of 1.5 cm/L gave the shortest plants.

A.4. Effect of interactions

A.4.1. Effect of cultivars x plant spacing

Data tabulated in Table (2) illustrated that, the interaction between cultivars and plant spacing was significant for all vegetative growth traits. On the other hand, plants of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. growth under wider spacing (30cm) produced more number of branches and leaves per plant, higher fresh and dry weight of plant and more leaf area/plant than the other interaction treatments, while, the tallest plants were obtained from Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. growth under close spacing (10cm) in both season. Surpassed Kafr El-Sheik-1 cv. with wider spacing may be due to the vigours growth of cultivar. Similar results were obtained on cowpea by Fawzia (2000).

A.4.2. Effect of cultivars x potassium

The effect of interaction between cultivars and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on vegetative growth are shown in Table (3). It is evident that, spraying plants of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. with potassium at 2cm/L (K_2) increased all growth characters than the other treatments in both seasons. Similar results were obtained by Fawzia and Soad (2000) on cowpea.

A.4.3. Effect of plant spacing x potassium

The interaction between treatments of plant spacing and that of potassium application as foliar spraying on growth measurements of cowpea plants during two seasons are shown in Table (4). It is clear that, the highest values of number of branches and leaves per plant, leaf area as well as fresh and dry weight of plant were obtained from plants grown under wide spacing (30cm) and spraying by potassium at 2cm/L (K_2). On the other hand, the tallest cowpea plants results with narrow spacing (10cm) and potassium foliar spray at 2cm/L (K_2). These results were true in the two experimental seasons. Similar results were obtained by El-Habbasha et al. (1996) on pea.

A.4.4. Effect of cultivars (Cv.) x plant spacing (Sp) x potassium (K)

The interaction effect of Cv. x Sp x K on vegetative growth are shown in Table (5). Data reveal that, plants of Kafr-El-Sheikh-1 cv (cv.1) grown under wider distance i.e. 30cm (Sp₃) with potassium foliar spray at 2cm/L (K₂) enhanced all the characters of plant growth except plant height. On the other hand, the tallest plants with Kafr-El-Sheikh-1 (Cv.1)under close spacing i.e 10cm (Sp1) and potassium spraying at 2cm/L (K₂). These results were true in both seasons.

Table (1): Effect of cultivars, plant spacing and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on growth characters of cowpea

Troatmonte	Plant	Plant height	No	No.of	No	No.of	Leaf are	lant	Fresh wt. Of plant Dry wt. Of plant	. Of plant	Dry wt.	Of plant
Leanielle	(0)	(cm)	branche	branches/plant	leaves	leaves/plant	(cm ²)	n ²)	6)	(gm)	3)	(gm)
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
Kafr El-Sheikh-1	55.6 a	52.2 a	4.2 a	4.8 a	23.5 a	28.4 a	180.9 a	170.3 a	164.5 a		20.4 a	28.1 a
Kaha-1	36.4 b	37.6 b	3.4 b	4.3 b	21.6 b	24.2 b	140.1 b	136.8 b	146.2 b			15.1 b
10 cm.	48.3 a	46.8 a	3.0 c	3.8 c	18.0 c	22.8 c	148.3 c	141.8 c	115.6 c	117.3 c		15.3 c
20 cm.	45.8 b	45.6 b	4.1 b	4.8 b	23.5 b	25.9 b	157.9 b	151.1b	163.5 b		16.8 b	23.3 b
30 cm.	43.9 c	42.3 c	4.4 a	5.1 a	26.2 a	30.1 a	175.3 a	167.7 a	186.8 a	188.2 a	20.8 a	
0 X	42.9 c	39.4 d	3.2 b	4.0 b	18.8 c	18.8 c 22.9 d	149.9 c	143.4 c	119.1 d	117.5 d	12.8 c	
X 1	44.8 b	44.1 c	3.8 ab	4.6 ab	21.9 b	25.0 c	160.3 b	153.9 b	149.7 c		14.4 C	
K2	50.0 a	49.5 a	4.4 a	5.3 a	26.2 a	30.2 a	170.9 a	165.5 a	194.2 a		19.7 a	
K3	46.3 b	46.5 b	3.8 ab	4.4 b	23.3 b	27.1 b	160.9 b	151.5 b	158.3 b	154.1 b	16.4 b	23.5 b

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

	Plant	Plant height	No.of	of.	Ň	No.of	Leaf are	ea/plant	lant height No.of No.of Leaf area/plant Fresh wt. Of plant Dry wt. Of plant	Of plant	Dry wt. (of plant
Treatments	(cm	m)	branche	branches/plant	leave	leaves/plant	(cm ²)	n ²)	(mg)	u)	(gm)	(1)
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001 2002	2002	2001	2002
Kafr El-Sheikh-1												
10 cm	59.1 a	55.1 a	3.1 d	4.0 e	18.5 d	23.4 d	18.5 d 23.4 d 171.2 c 160.2 c	160.2 c		96.8 f 105.0 e 11.4 d 18.1 c	11.4 d	18.1 c
20 cm	55.8 b	53.0 a	4.5 b	5.1 b		28.0 b	178.9 b	170.5 b		151.6 b	22.2 b	30.5 b
30 cm	52.0 c	50.4 b	4.9 a	5.5 a	27.1 a	33.8 a	192.6 a	180.2 a	218.2 a 228.4 a	228.4 a	27.5 a	35.7 a
Kaha-1												
10 cm.	37.5 d	40.4 c	2.9 d	3.7 e	17.5 e	22.3 e	125.4 f	123.4 f	3.7 e 17.5 e 22.3 e 125.4 f 123.4 f 134.4 e 129.6 d 8.7 e 12.5 e	129.6 d	8.7 e	12.5 e
20 cm.	35.8 e	38.1 d	3.6 c	4.4 d	22,0 c	23.9 d	136.9 e	131.8 e	22.0 c 23.9 d 136.9 e 131.8 e 149.0 d 143.1 c 11.3 d 16.2 d	143.1 c	11.3 d	16.2 d
30 cm.	35.9 e	34.3 e	3.8 c	4.8 c	25.3 b	26.5 c	158.0 d	155.3 d	4.8 c 25.3 b 26.5 c 158.0 d 155.3 d 155.1 c 148.2 b 14.1 c 16.5 d	148.2 b	14.1 C	16.5 d

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table (3): Interaction effect between cultivars and foliar spacing with potassium (K) on growth characters of cowpea

Treatments	(cm)	(cm)	branches/plant	s/plant	leaves	leaves/plant	(cr	Lear area/plant (cm²)	Fresh wt. C	Fresh wt. Of plant (qm)	Dry wt. Of plant	of plant
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
Kafr El-Sheikh-1										1	- 00	4004
KO	53.0 c	44.8 c	3.7 ab	4.2 b	20.5 c	25.0 bc	168.4 c	159.5 c	126 8 e	129 1 6	16.40	20.8 4
- X	54.2 bc	51.8 b	4.2 ab	4.9 ab	23.5 b	26.8 b	1796h	1710h	155200	1497 04	10.0	25.00
X 5	59.0 a	57.7 a	4.7 a	5.6 a	26.0 a	31.2 a	1920 a	18443	21249	207 8 2	25.30	250
K3	56.3 b	54.3 b	4.1 ab	4.8 ab	24.2 ab	30.0 a	183.5 b	166.4 h	163.50	159 9 hr	20.00	31.5
Kaha-1										0.00	20.4.0	2
KO	32.8 f	34.0 f	2.7 c	3.9 b	17.2 d	20.3 e	131.5 f	127.3 €	11146	105 8 0	010	14.2
× - ×	35.5 e	36.3 ef	3.4 bc	4.3 b	20.3 c	23.2 d	1410 e	136 8 P	14434	138 3 of	000	11 6 6
K2	41.0 d	41.3 d	4.1 ab	4.9 ab	26.3 a	29.3 a	149.7 d	146 7 4	176.0 h	168 8 h	14.50	16.0
K3	36.2 e	38.7 de	3.5 bc	4.1 b	22.5 bc	24.2 cd	138.2 e	136.6 e	153 0 cd	148 4 de	1264	15.5 af

Table (4): Interaction effect between plant spacing and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on growth characters of

	Plant	height	ž	No.of	Z	No.of	Leaf ar	sa/plant	Fresh wt	Fresh wt. Of plant	L	Dry wt Of plant
Treatments		(cm)	branch	branches/plant	leave	leaves/plant	10)	(cm ²)	0	(dm)		(am)
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
10 cm												1
×	46.0 cde	40.5 f	2.3 d	3.0 d	15.3 h	_	140.1 e	135.4 e	714h	7780	73;	116
×	47.0 cd	44.8 de	3.0 cd	3.6 cd	17.2 gh	_	146.4 e	141.4 e	109.2 a	108 4 f	800	13.8 h
K2	52.5 a	53.0 a	3.7 bc	4.7 abc	21.0 ef	-	156.6 d	149 4 d	1537 d	15484	13.30	18.8.4
K3	47.8 bc	48.8 bc	3.0 cd	4.0 bcd	18.5 fg	24.0 f	150.1 e	141.1 e	128.1 f	128 1 6	10.01	17.10
20 cm			_									
×	42.8 ef	38.5 f		4.3 bc	18.8 fg		147.9 e	139.9 e	137 9 ef	125 4 P	14.2 fm	19 R f
×	44.3 df	45.5 cde		4.9 ab	23.0 cde		157.6 d	150.7 d	16134	143.9.4	15.7.09	21.3
K2	50.5 ab	49.5 b		5.3 ab	27.3 b		166.7 c	1616	193.6 h	173.6	19.7 hc	26 7 90
К3	45.0 cde	48.8 bc	4.1 abc	4.5 abc	25.0 bcd	26.8 de	159.4 d	152.4 d	161.4 d	146.5 d	17.5 cde	25.9.04
30 cm						-					200	3
KO	40.0 f	39.3 f		4.8 abc	22.5 de	_	161.9 cd	154.8 cd	148 0 de	14934	16 8 de	2130
X -	43.3 e	42.0 ef		5.3 ab	25.5 bc	_	176.9 b	169.6 b	1787c	179 7 hc	19.000	2464
K2	47.0 cd	46.0 bcd	5.0 a	5.7 a	30.3 a	33.5 a	189.3 a	185.6 a	235.3 a	236.4 a	26.2 a	31 1 2
K3	45.5 cde	42.0 ef		4.8 abc	26.5 b	_	1731h	1609	185 2 hr	187 6 h	2401	27.0

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table (5): Interaction effect between cultivars (Cv.), plant spacing (Sp) and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on

-			Plant	Plant height	No.ol	o.	No.of	jo.	Leaf an	Leaf area/plant	Fresh w	Fresh wt. Of plant	Dry wt.	Dry wt. Of plant
Ireat	I reatments		3)	(cm)	branches/plant	s/plant	leaves	leaves/plant	5)	(cm ²)	5)	(gm)	(mg)	(u
CV.	Sp.	×	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
		K O	57.0 abc	44.5 hij	2.5 ef	3.0e	16.5 fg	18.0 g	160.3 h	153.9 hij	62.7 i	78.9 j	7.11	11.41
	Col	×	58.5 ab	51.5 cdef	3.0 def	3.7 cde	18.0 efg	20.0fg	168.4 fg	161.2 efgh	80.9 i	84.7	9.5 KI	15.6 hij
	100	K 2	61.0 a	60.5 a	3.7 bcdef	5.0 abcd	20.5 cdef	29.0 bc	182.8 ed	170.4 cde	132.4 fg	138.7 fghi	15.4 ghi	23.8 f
			60.0 a	56.0 abc	3.2 cdef	4.2 bcd	19.0 def	26.5 cd	173.2 ef	155.3 ghi	111.3 h	117.6 i	13.5 hij	21.6 g
			53.0 cde	43.0 ijk	4.2 abcde 4.5 abcde	4.5 abcde	21.0 cde	26.0 cd	169.2 fg	159.7 fgh	150.6 def	131.0 ghi	19.2 ef	24.0 f
Cv (4)	Can		54.0 bcd	54.0 bcde 4.7 abcd 5.3 abcd	4.7 abcd	5.3 abcd	25.0 bc	27.0 cd	179.9 de	171.5 cde	177.6 d	147.9 efg	22.1 de	27.2 e
	200		61.0 a	57.5 ab	4.8 abc	5.5 abc	27.0 ab	29.5 bc	188.2 bc	182.9 b	211.5 b	181.8 c	25.7 bc	36.1 c
			55.0 bc	57.0 ab	4.5 abcd	5.0 abcd	27.0 ab	29.5 bc	178.3 de	167.9 def	172.5 c	145.6 efg	21.9 de	34.7 c
			49.0 e	47.0 fghi	4.5 abcd	5.0 abcd	24.0 bc	32.5 ab	175.8 def	164.8 efg	167.0 cd	177.5 cd	23.0 cd	26.9 e
	Cr.3		50.0 de	-	5.0 ab	5.7 ab	27.5 ab	33.5 a	190.4 b	180.2 bc	207.0 b	216.6 b	26.7 b	32.6 d
	2		55.0 bc	55.0 bcd	5.5 a	6.1a	30.5 a	35.0 a	205.1 a	199.9 a	293.3 a	302.9 a	34.7 a	45.1 a
			54.0 bcd	50.0 defg	4.7 abcd	5.2 abcd	26.5 ab	34.0 a	198.9 a	175.9 bcd	206.7 b	216.6 b	25.5 bc	38.3 b
		V O	35.0 hij		2.0 f	3.0e	14.0 g	20.0 fg	119.8 m	116.8 n	80.0 i	76.6 j	7.51	11.71
	Sn1		35.5 ghij	38.0 klm	3.0 def	3.5 de		21.0 efg	124.3 lm	121.5 mn	137.5 fg	132.2 ghi	7.7 KI	12.0 kl
	2	K 2	44.0 f	45.5 ghij	3.7 bcdef 4.5 abcde	4.5 abcde	64	26.8 cd	130.5 KI	128.4 lm	175.0 c	170.9 cd	11.2 jk	13.7 jk
		K 3	35.5 ghij	41.5 jkl	2.8 def	3.8 bcde	18.0 efg	21.5 efg	127.0 lm	162.9 lmn	145.0 efg	138.7 fghi	8.2 KI	12.5 KI
		K O	32.5 ij	34.0 mn	3.0 def	3.0 def 4.2 bcde	16.0 fg	20.5 efg	126.5 lm	120.2 mn	125.2 gh	119.8 hi	9.2 KI	15.1 ij
	Cus	×	34.5 hij	36.5 lmn	3.7 bcdef 4.5 abcde	4.5 abcde		22.0 ef	135.4 jk	129.9 lm	145.0 efg	140.0 fgh	9.3 KI	15.3 hij
Cv. (2)	200	K 2	40.0 fg	41.5 jkl	4.0 abcde 5.0 abcd	5.0 abcd	27.5 ab	29.0 bc	145.21	140.2 k	175.6 c	165.4 cde	13.6 hij	17.3 h
		×3	36.0 gh	40.5 jkl	3.7 bcdef 4.0 bcde	4.0 bcde	23.0 bcd	24.0 de	140.5 ij	136.9 kl	150.3 def	147.4 efg	13.0 ij	17.0 hi
		2	. 0	24.0	2	-								
		0	31.0]	31.5 n	3.2 cder	3.2 cder 4.5 abcde		20.5 etg	148.11	144.8 JK	129.0 gh	121.0 hi	10.6 JKI	15.7 hij
	Sn3	_	36.5 ghi	34.5 mn	3.7 bcdef 5.0 abcd	5.0 abcd	23.0 bc	26.5 cd	163.3 gh	158.9 fgh	150.0 def	142.8 fg	11.2 jk	16.5 hi
	2	X 2	39.0 gh		4.5 abcd 5.3 abcd	5.3 abcd	30.0 a	32.0 ab	173.4 ef	171.4 cde	175.3 c	170.0 cd	17.7 fg	17.0 hi
		3	37.0 ghi		34.0 mn 4.0 abcde 4.3 abcde	4.3 abcde	26.5 ab	27.0 cd	147.2 i	145.9 ijk	167.7 cde	159.1 def	16.7 fgh	16.0 hi

B- Seed yield and its components

B.1. Effect of cultivars

Number and weight of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, seed index (100-seed weight), seed yield per plant or per fed., influenced significantly by cultivars as shown in Table (6). It is obvious that, Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. surpassed the Kaha-1 cv. in all seed yield characters in both seasons. The superiority of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. due to the high number of pods per plant, number of seed per pod and the heaviest seed index. Kafr El-Sheikh-1 produced 35-2 and 39-6 % seed yield per feddan more than the Kaha-1 cultivar in the first and second seasons, respectively.

These results are in agreement with Remison (1980) Morsy (1986), Fayad (1997), Metwally et al. (1998), Mohamed et al. (1999), Fawzia (2000) and Fawzia & Soad (2000) working on different cultivars of cowpea. They reported that seed yield components were fairly stable with cultivars specifically.

B.2. Effect of plant spacing

Data presented in Table (6) show the effect of plant spacing on dry seed yield and its components expressed as number and weight of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, seed yield per plant or per feddan. It could be summarized that the all traits except seed yield per feddan increased with increasing plant spacing from 10 to 20 or 30 cm, whereas, the close spacing (10 cm) gave the highest seed yield per feedan. This might be due to the increase of number of plants in unit area.

These results are similar to those reported by Abdel Salam (1963), Saleh et al. (1980), Rajesh et al (1997), Fawzia (2000) on cowpea, Shafik et al. (1988), Abdel Gaid (1996), Ashmawy et al (1998), Waffa (2000, Mohamed (2003) on broad bean, Ali and Ebaid (1991) on soybean and Anisa et al. (1995) on common bean.

B.3. Effect of potassium

Data in Table (6) indicate that, number and weight of pods per plant, number of seeds per pods, seed index (100-seed weight), seed yield per plant and per feddan were significantly increased by using potassium as foliar spray. The maximum increases resulted from plants sprayed with 2.0 cm/L potassium (K_2) compared to the other treatments. This treatment gave the highest dry seed yield of 1.169 and 1.342 ton/fed. in the first and the second seasons, respectively. The increment in dry seed yield might be due to the increases in number and weight of pods per plant, number of seeds/pod and weight of 100 seed (seed index), in addition to the role of potassium in plant metabolism and accumulation of carbohydrats (Hassan, 1998). Similar results were concluded by Tayo (1981), Kandagal et al. (1990), Shide et al. (1991) Shide and Jadhav (1995), El-Shaikh (1999), Fawzia and Soad (2000) on cowpea, Annaduari et al. (1994) on soybean, Hewedy et al. (1994) and Ramamoorthy et al. (1995) on Phaseolus spp.

B.4. Effect of interactions

B.4.1. Effect of cultivars x plant spacing

Data in Table (7) revealed that, seed yield and its components were affected by the interaction between cultivars and plant spacing. The highest number and weight of pods/plant, number of seeds per pod, seed yield/plant and seed index (100-seed weight) were obtained from the Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. plants under wide spacing (20 or 30 cm). On the other hand, the cultivar (Kafr El-Sheikh-1) grown under closer space (10 cm) produced the highest seed yield/feddan. Similar trend was observed with morphological traits . In this respect, Jallow (1985) on cowpea, reported that cultivar x plant density reflected highly significant interaction effects on seed yield. Fawzia (2000) on cowpea, found that H.8-9 cv. with low plant density was the superior treatment regarding all seed yield components except seed yield/feddan, while the same cultivar with high density gave the maximum seed yield/fed.

B.4.2. Effect of cultivars x potassium

The effects of interaction between cultivars and foliar application with potassium (K) on seed yield and its components are shown in Table (8). Data reveal that spraying plants of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. with potassium at 2cm/L. (K₂) gave the highest values of seed yield and its components in both seasons. Fawzia and Soad (2000) on cowpea, pointed out that foliar nutrition with potassium-f on IT85D-1010 cv. resulted in the production of the highest total dry yield and its components.

B.4.3. Effect of plant spacing x potassium

The interaction between treatments of plant spacing and that of potassium application as foliar spraying on seed yield and its components of cowpea plants during the two seasons are shown in Table (9), it is obvious that, all seed yield components except seed yield/feddan in wide spaces (20 or 30 cm) combined with potassium at 2 cm/L (K_2) recorded higher values. On the contrary, the lower spacing of 10 cm x potassium at 2 cm/L (K_2) gave the maximum seed yield/fed. In this respect, El-Habbasha *et al.* (1996) on peas, reported that the highest values of number of pod per plant were obtained with dense planting and sprayed by potassium, whereas, wide seeding and using potassium spraying gave the highest number of seeds per pod.

B.4.4. Effect of cultivars (Cv.) x plant spacing (Sp) x potassium (K)

The interaction effect of cultivars x plant spacing x potassium are presented in Table (10). It is clear that, plants of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 (cv.1) grown under wider distance i.e. 30 cm (Sp₃) with potassium foliar spray at 2 cm/L (K_2) recorded the higher values of all seed yield components, except seed yield per feddan. On the contrary, the same cultivar (cv.1) under close spacing of 10 cm (Sp₁) and application of potassium at 2 cm/L (K_2) gave the highest seed yield per feddan. These results were true in the two experimental seasons.

Table (6): Effect of cultivars, plant spacing and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on seed yield and its components

	No	No. of	Wt. of pe	Wt. of pods/plant	No	No.of	Seed vie	eld/plant	Seed index	ndex	S	Sperd
Treatments	spod	/plant	6)	(m.	Seed	Seeds/pod	5	m)	ub)	1)	vield/fe	d. (Ton)
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
Kafr El-Sheikh-1	19.2 a	18.6 a	27.6 a	27.5 a	9.7 a	9.7 a	32.8 a	3243	1793	1822	10112	1 054 9
Kaha-1	17.9 b	17.8 b	27.3 b	25.0 b	8.0 b	7.9 b	23.7 b	23.4 h	16.7 h	16.2 4	0.748 h	0.755 h
10 cm.	14.1 c	14.8 c	18.5 c	20.6 c	8.2 c	830	1930	2046	16.80	16.20	1 007 2	1 182 2
20 cm.	19.8 b	19.3 b	32.6 a	30.9 a	406	900	30.0 h	2000	17.00	17.00	1.030 G	0000
30 cm	2160	20.50	24 4 12	7000		000	7.00	23.30	0 7.11	00.71	0.656 0	0.827
	20.17	20.0 a	0 4.10	27.30	9.4 a	9.2 a	35.3 a	33.5 a	17.8 a	18.2 a	0.684 c	0.673 c
NO	15.4 d	14.4 C	21.8 d	19.5 c	8.3 c	8.2 b	21.4 d	19.2 d	16.6 c	167h	0 644 4	0 587
×	17.8 c	18.2 b	26.7 c	25.3 b	8.7 b	8.7 b	263 c	27.6 h	17 1 hc	17.15	0 805 0	0 866 h
K2	22.1 a	22.8 a	34.0 a	34.5 a	943	1009	35 9 3	30.02	1700	1000	1 160 0	4 242 0
K3	18 8 h	17 Fh	28.0 4	25.0 4	000	2 4	2	000	0.1	0.0	1.109 a	1.342 8

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test

Table (7): Interaction effect between cultivars and plant spacing on seed yield and its components of cowpea plants. 1.006 b 0.808 c 1.017 b 0.708 c 0.539 d 2002 1.348 yield/fed. (Ton) 1.249 a 0.989 b 0.796 d 0.945 c 0.727 e 0.573 f 2001 16.8 c 18.3 b 19.5 a 15.7 d 16.4 c 16.9 c 2002 Seed index gm) 17.2 bc 18.8 a 16.7 cd 17.6 b 16.5 d 16.9 cd 2001 23.5 e 35.0 b 38.8 a 24.9 d Seed yield/plant 28.2 c 22.0 e 34.7 b 41.9 a 28.7 c 16.6 f 25.8 d 2001 2002 9.4 p 9.8 a 7.2 e 8.1 d 8.5 c Seeds/pod No.of 10.3 a 9.0 c 8.2 e 2001 7.5 f Wt. of pods/plant 20.0 f 32.2 a 29.5 b 21.2 e 28.6 c 25.1 d 2002 18.8 e 33.1 a 31.3 d 18.3 f 2001 20.1 b 21.2 a 18.5 d 19.9 c 2002 14.9 pods/plant No. of 14.5 e 20.6 c 22.4 a 13.7 f 19.0 d 20.9 b 2001 Kafr El-Sheikh-1 Treatments 10 cm. 20 cm. 30 cm. 10 cm 20 cm 30 cm Kaha-1

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test

Table (8): Interaction effect between cultivars and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on seed yield and its components of cowpea plants.

	N	No. of	Wt. or pods/plant	ds/plant	ON	No.or	Seed yield/plant (gm)	plant (gm)	yanıı naac	וחפא	vield/fed. (vield/fed. (Ton)
Treatments	spod	/plant	(dmb)	(1)	naac	arbon					1000	0000
	2001 2002	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	7007
Kafr El-Sheikh-1											7 111	7 7000
2	1690	14.7 e	22.1 e	20.9 e	8.8 c	9.0 p	26.1 d	22.4 e	17.2 bc	17.9 D	0.77.0	0.004
	0.00	1070	26 B cd	2630	9 5 b	9.7 ab	30.2 c	32.7 c	17.7 ab	17.9 b	0.918 c	1.033 b
X	10.40	10.4	20.00	100	000	400	7000	40 4 24	1869	1003	1 306 a	1.593 a
K 2	22.3 a	24.3 a	33.7 8	2/./	0.0	0.00	40.00	40 B	0.0	0 1	1000	0000
2 %	19 0 b	17.0 d	27.9 bc	25.3 c	10.0 ab	9.4 b	34.1 b	28.6 d	17.9 ab	17.9 b	1.043 D	0.905 DC
1												
Kaha-1			9 3 00	1006	770	745	16.7 f	16.0 f	16.1 d	15.5 d	0.511 e	0.489 e
OX	13.8 d	14.0 e	10.07	10.21	0			0.0			7 000	70000
	1710	17 9 cd	26.6 d	24.2 d	8.0 de	7.7 C	22.3 e	22.5 e	16.5 cd	16.3 C	0.692 d	0.039 0
		24.24	22 4 2	31 2 h	8 5 cd	936	30.90	33.7 b	17.2 bc	17.0 c	1.032 b	1.091 b
K 2	22.0 a	21.30	5.70	2 4	3						A 727 A	0744 04
K 3	18.5 b	17.9 cd	28.0 b	26.3 c	7.9 e	7.3 C	17.9 cd 28.0 b 26.3 c 7.9 e 7.3 c 24.8 d 21.4 e 16.9 bcd 16.5 c 0.757 d	21.4 e	16.9 DCd	10.00	0.757.0	0.14

Table (9): Interaction effect between plant spacing and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on seed yield and its Means designated by different

	No. of Wt. of pods	of	Wt. of p	Wt. of pods/plant	No.of	of.	Seed yi	Seed yield/plant	Seed index	ndex	Seed	DO T
Treatments	/spod	ds/plant	5)	(mg)	Seeds/pod	pod/s	6)	gm)	(gm)		yield/red. (Ton)	(10n)
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
10 cm					1	766	40 40	1004	16.10	15.10	0 759 fa	0 692 de
KO	11.7 g	11.21	15.31	13.9	90.7	10.7	13.4 g	17.71	0.	1.0	0.00	1000
× 1	13.2 f	14.5 e	17.3 h	19.7 i	8.2 de	8.0 ef	17.1 f	18.8 g	16.7 bc	16.2 de	0.972 cd	1.009 DC
22	1774	19 0 bc	23.6 a	27.9 e	9.1 abc	9.7 abc	27.9 d	31.7 d	17.6 ab	17.1 bcd	1.588 a	1.807 a
4 %	13 9 f	14.5 e	18.0 h	21.0 h	8.2 de	8.0 ef	18.8 f	18.8 g	17.0 abc	16.4 cde	1.070 bc	1.162 b
20 cm												000
C X	15.7 e	15.0 e	22.4 q	21.9gh	8.4 cd	8.4 def	21.7 e	20.8 f	16.6 bc	16.9 bcd	0.61 / ni	0.592 e
2 7	1920	196h	33.3 c	29.7 d	8.5 bcd	9.1 bcde	27.9 d	30.8 d	17.0 abc	17.3 bc	0.781 fg	0.897 cd
- 0	23.50	24 4 3	40.13	4113	9.4 ab	10.3 a	38.9 b	42.9 b	18.0 a	17.9 b	1.110 b	1.222 b
7 2	20.00	18200		3110	92 ab	8 1 def	32.4 c	25.3 e	17.2 abc	17.2 bcd	0.923 de	0.719 de
N.S	20.00	10.2 00	200									
30 cm		7007	30 30	2200	000	8 7 cdef	2934	2476	17 4 ah	17.8 b	0.556 i	0.476 e
YO	18.9 cd	10.90	20.2	26.39	0.0	200	20.00	2000	47.7.00	100	O 664 ahi	0 633 0
- X	20.9 b	20.4 b	29.6 e	16.62	9.3 ap	9.2 apcd	33.4 C	33.3 C	17.7 dD	10.00	100.0	0000
C X	250 a	25.0 a	38.5 b	34.4 b	9.9 a	9.9 ab	40.9 a	45.1 a	18.2 a	19.1 a	0.809 et	0.997 bc
1 0	2407	10 B h	3144	25.5 f	9.5 ab	9 0 bode	37 2 b	310d	18.1 a	18.0 b	0.708 fgh	0.589 e

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 (12), December, 2004

1.087 cdefg .031 defgh Table (10): Interaction effect between cultivars (Cv.), and spacing (Sp) and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on 1.192 bcde 0.863 efghi 0.840 efghi 1.131 cdef 0.734 ghij 1.276 bcd 0.737 ghij 1.259 bcd 0.498 ij 0.401 j 0.528 ij 1.413 bc 0.817 fgh 2.107 a 0.685 hij 0.683 hij 0.568 ij 0.706 hii 0.597 ii 0.551 ij yield/fed. (Ton) 0.853 efghi 1.430 b 0.905 defg 0.760 fghijk 0.834 efghi 0.871 efgh 0.8190 fghij 0.904 defg 0.953 def 0.567 klm 0.714 ghijk 0.656 ijkl 0.582 klm 0.892 efg 1.746 a 1.269 bc 0.754 fgh 1.268 bc 1.027 de 0.685 hijk 0.480 lm 0.626 jkl 0.427 m 1.090 cd 17.4 abcdef 17.5 defgh 16.9 bcdef 18.0 bcdef 16.7 efghi 16.9 efghi 17.6 cdefq 16.7 efghi 17.4 abcdef 18.2 bcde 17.5 abcdef 18.0 bcdef 16.9 efghi 16.5 fghi 18.8 bcd 19.0 bcd 16.1 ghi 16.4 fghi 16.4 fghi 20.6 a 16.5 fghi 16.3 ghi 16.6 fghi 19.1 bc 19.2 b 14.2 | 15.9 hi 15.8 i 2002 Seed index (gm) 7.3 abcdef 17.1 bcdef 18.2 abcde 17.1 bcdef 16.9 bcdef 17.1 bcdef 16.8 bcdef 16.7 cdef 18.4 abcd 17.0 bcdef 18.6 abc 16.5 def 16.3 ef 18.7 ab 16.2 f 16.3 ef 19.0 a 19.0 a 16.2 f 15.8 f 2001 37.0 cde 27.7 fg 26.0 gh 24.0 ij 36.2 e 21.0 kl 38.6 ed 36.8 de 38.8 c 51.6 a Seed yield/plant 22.4 jk 20.31 49.6 b 29.0 f 35.9 e 15.1 n 26.4 gh 17.3 m 17.5 m 24.8 hi 20.41 14.3 n 10.00 29.5 f 2002 22.4 k 27.7 hi 34.3 de 24.1 jk 28.7 gh 30.5 fg 30.6 fg 39.8 c 25.1 ij 15.3 m 16.8 im 33.4 de 15.7 m 26.5 hij 36.1 d 47.6 a 11.0 n 15.0 m 19.21 22.3 K 31.7 ef 44.3 b 36.1 d 43.9 b 2001 9.6 abcde 8.0 efgh 8.3 defg 9.5 abcdef 9.2 bcdef 8.7 defgh 8.9 bcdef 10.1 abc 9.1 bcdef 8.9 bcdef 9.1 bcdef 9.3 bcdef 10.1 abc 10.2 abc 9.9 abcd 6.7 gh 8.1 efg 8.0 cdef 10.5 ab 11.0 a 6.8 gh 7.9 fgh 8.0 efgh 7.1 gh 6.4 h 2002 Viants Seeds/pod lo.of 9.6 abcde 9.3 bcdef 8.6 efgh 9.8 abcd 9.0 cdefg 8.1 ghi 8.3 fgh 8.5 efgh 8.0 ghi 10.3 ab 10.2 ab 10.7 a 8.4 fgh 8.1 ghi 9.9 abc 10.4 ab 10.5 a 8.0 ghi 8.2 fgh 8.1 ghi 7.0 hi 7.0 i 2001 7.0 i seed yield and its components of cowpe Wt. of pods/plant 21.6 j 28.4 ef 24.6 ghi 25.7 gh 26.1 gh 24.7 ghi 24.5 ghi 26.3 g 38.1 b 18.5 k 32.9 c 44.0 a 31.2 cd 24.1 hi 43.1 a 29.7 de 23.5 || 19.0 k 26.5 fg 21.6 14.01 17.7 k 2002 13.81 26.5 g 32.9 e 37.2 cd 16.6 jkl 25.0 g 17.7 ijk 38.4 bc 25.8 g 29.4 f 39.4 b 30.2 f 22.2 h 29.8 f 18.2 ij 32.8 e 41.7 a 32.5 e 15.9 kl 33.8 e 36.2 d 14.7 i 17.9 ijk 19.7 18.3 fgh 15.7 g 19.7 efg 20.2 cd 15.0 gh 20.0 cde 21.8 cde 20.0 cde 19.8 cde 11.7 ij 14.0 gh 19.0 cde 16.0 fg 20.7 c 9.7 cde 18.3 fgh 18.0 def 14.3 gh 23.0 b 17.8 ef 27.0 a 18.0 def 13.0 hi 18.3 de 16.0 fg 22.8 b 26.0 a 10.7 i 2002 pods/plant 20.0 def 17.7 gh 20.0 def 25.6 a 21.5 cde 20.2 def 10.8 k 12.7 ijk 18.6 fgh 24.3 ab 13.7 ij 17.0 h 24.3 ab 22.0 cd 13.0 ij 13.0 ij 23.3 bc 21.0 de 14.8 i XXXX 0 - 0 & V V K 2 ×3 N V K2 X 3 K 2 X X X 7 ×3 X K 2 X K3 Z Z **Freatments** Sp2 Sp3 Sp2 Sp3 Sp1 Sp1 Sp. Cv. (1) Cv. (2) S.

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test

7375

C- Seed quality characteristics

C.1. Effect of cultivars

Data in Table (11) demonstrate clearly that, there were significant differences between the two cultivars in all seed quality characteristics except germination speed which was not significantly influenced by the cultivars in the first season only. Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. was surpassed Kaha-1 cv. in germination percentage of the seed produced, seedling length and seed protein percentage in both seasons. In this respect Metwally et al. (1998) on cowpea found that seed protein percentage increased in Kafr El-Sheikh-1 than the other cultivars (Kaha-1, Cream-7 and Dokki 331). Moreover, Fawzia and Soad (2000) pointed out that the IT 85-D-1010 cv. had the highest significant values of seedling vigour and protein content, whereas Dokki 331 cv. surpassed IT 85-D-1010 cv. in germination % and radical length. Similar results on cowpea cultivar were also reported by Fawzia (2000).

C.2. Effect of plant spacing

The results in Table (11) showed that wide spacing between hills i.e. 20 and 30 cm resulted in a significantly higher values for germination percentage, seedling length and protein (%) than those of narrow spacing i.e. 10cm in both season, whereas different plant spacings did not reflect any significant effect on germination speed. Similar results were obtained by Anisa et al. (1995) on climbing bean and Fawzia (2000) on cowpea.

C.3. Effect of potassium

Germination percentage, germination speed, seedling length and protein content as affected by foliar spraying with potassium are given in Table (11). Results show that, all concentrations of potassium had favourable effect on seed quality characters. Spraying with potassium at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) was the most effect treatment in increasing the germination percentage, seedling length, protein percentage in both seasons, whereas the same treatment minimized significantly the number of days for germination i.e. increased the speed of germination in the first season only. The stimulative effect of foliar nutrition with potassium on seed quality was reported by Fawzia and Soad (2000) . They found that potassin-f was superior for giving maximum germination percentage and seedling vigour, i.e., radical length, plumule length and enhanced crude protein (%).

C.4. Effect of interactions

C.4.1. Effect of cultivars x plant spacing

As for the combined effect of both cultivars and plant spacing, data in Table (12) indicate that, plants of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. grown under 30 cm in the second season and plants of Kaha-1 cv. grown under spacing of 20 cm in the first one recorded the highest values of germination percentage, whereas the same cultivars under spacing of 30 cm in the first season and the second one respectively minimized significantly the number of days needs for germination i.e. increased the speed of germination. Also, this study clearly shows that Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. grown under wider space (20 or 30 cm) gave the tallest seedlings. On the other hand, Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. under 30 cm exhibited superior protein content in seeds in both seasons. Similar results were obtained by Fawzia (2000) on cowpea.

Table (11) : Effect of cultivars, plant spacing and foliar spraying with Potassium (K) on seed quality characters of

	Germi	Sermination	Germinati	Sermination speed	Seedlin	Seedling length	Pro	Protein
Treatments	01	%	(days	ys)	5)	m)	•	%
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
Kafr El-Sheikh-1	95.4 a	90.0 b	3.14 a	3.11 b	36.8 a	35.2 a	21.5 a	20.8 a
Kaha-1	94.3 b	91.6 a	3.10 a	3.16 a	32.4 b	33.1 b	20.4 b	19.81
10 cm	94.2 b	87.3 c	3.13 a	3.19 a	33.5 c	33.2 b	20.2 c	19.4
20 cm	95.1 a	91.6 b	3.11 a	3.16 a	35.5 a	34.6 a	21.0 b	20.2
30 cm	95.2 a	93.7 a	3.11 a	3.05 b	34.8 b	34.6 a	21.7 a	21.3
KO	93.5 c	85.8 d	3.21 a	3.12 ab	32.9 c	32.7 c	19.9 c	19.3
7	94.8 b	91.0 c	3.11 ab	3.19 a	34.0 bc	33.7 bc	20.7 b	20.2
K 2	96.8 a	94.0 a	3.09 b	3.15 a	36.4 a	36.0 a	22.0 a	21.3
K3	94 2 ab	92.5 h	3 07 h	3 07 h	35 1 h	34 2 h	21 2 h	203

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly at 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Treatments	Germination %	nation 6	Germination speed (days)	lation speed	Seedlin (Cl	Seedling length (cm)	Protein %	ein
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
Kafr El-Sheikh-1								
10 cm	93.3 d	84.4 f	3.10 ab	3.18 b	35.2 c	33.6 b	20.9 cd	19.9 c
20 cm	94.5 c	91.1 d	3.13 ab	3.09 c	38.2 a	35.9 a	21.4 b	20.8 b
30 cm	95.1 b	94.6 a	3.08 b	3.06 cd	37.1 b	36.1 a	22.2 a	21.7 a
Kaha-1								
10 cm	95.1 b	90.1 e	3.16 a	3.20 ab	31.8 e	32.9 b	19.6 e	18.9 d
20 cm	95.6 a	92.0 c	3.10 ab	3.23 a	32.7 d	33.2 h	20.6 d	19.7 c
30 cm	95.4 ab	92.7 b	3.15 ab	3.04 d	32.6 d	33.1 b	21.1 bc	20.8 b

C.4.2. Effect of cultivars x potassium

Data in Table (13) reveal that, growing cowpea plants of Kaha-1 cv. and potassium foliar spray at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) being the most efficient and superior treatment in germination percent. The results also, show that Kafr El-Sheikh-1 cv. combined with potassium at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) gave the tallest seedlings and increased protein (%) in seeds. It is also observed from the same data that foliar nutrition of cowpea plants Kaha-1 cv. with potassium at 0.0 cm/L (K_0) or at 1.0 cm/L (K_1) induced significantly delay in the speed of seed germination in the first and second seasons, respectively.

C.4.3. Effect of plant spacing x potassium

Date of the interaction between plant spacing and foliar spraying with potassium are presented in Table (14). It is clear that, cowpea plants grown under spacing of 20 cm combined with potassium at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) was the most obvious treatment in increasing the germination percentage and gave the tallest seedlings in both seasons. On the other hand, control plants (K_0) grown under closer spacing (10 cm) induced significantly delay in the speed of seed germination. Moreover, plants grown under wider spacing (30 cm) with potassium at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) produced highest value of protein (%) in seeds.

C.4.4. Effect of cultivars (Cv.) x plant spacing (Sp) x potassium (K)

The interaction effect of cultivars x plant spacing x potassium, are presented in Table (15). It is clear that, plants of Kaha-1 (cv. 2) grown under spacing of 30 cm and sprayed with potassium at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) recorded the higher value of germination percentage, whereas, the control plants (K_0) of the same cultivar grown under spacing of 10 cm delayed speed of seed germination. On the other hand, plants of Kafr El-Sheikh-1 (cv. 1) grown under spacing of 30 cm with potassium foliar spray at 2.0 cm/L (K_2) gave the tallest seedlings and increased protein (%) in seeds. These results were true in the two experimental seasons.

Finally, the present investigation recommended the importance of plant spacings and potassium foliar spray to improve growth, seed yield and quality of used cultivars and it can be concluded also that, cowpea cultivars grown at closer spacing (10 cm) and sprayed with potassium at 2 cm/L. gave the

highest seed yield/fed.

quality Table (13) : Interaction effect between cultivars and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on seed

Treatments	%	ination %	Germinat	Germination speed (davs)	Seedlin	Seedling length (cm)	Pro	Protein %
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2000
Kafr El-Sheikh-1				A STREET, STRE				7002
0	93.2 ef	87.0 e	3.12 b	3.05 d	34.8 cd	33.8 bc	20.3 cd	100
-	94.5 cde	89.3 d	3.12 b	3.12 bcd	36.3 bc	34.7 b	21.1 bc	20.00
2	96.7 ab	92.7 b	3.08 b	3.22 ab	38.9 a	36.6 a	22.8 a	21.5 00
3	92.8 f	91.2 c	3.08 b	3.05 d	37.2 b	35.5 ab	21.7 b	2120
Kaha-1		20000	-	- Common of the				OB C
0	93.8 def	84.7 f	3.30 a	3.18 abc	30.9 a	31.6 d	19.5 d	100
-	95.2 cd	92.7 b	3.10 b	3.27 a	31.8 fg	32.6 cd	20.4 cd	10.00
2	97.0 a	95.3 a	3.10 b	3.08 cd	33.9 de	35.3 ab	21.2 bc	20.00
3	95.5 bc	93.8 b	3.05 b	3.08 cd	32.9 ef	33.0 cd	20.6 c	0.00

Table (14) : Interaction effect between plant spacing and foliar spraying with potassium (K) on seed quality characters of cowpea plants.

Treatments	Germi	Germination %	Germinat (da	Germination speed (days)	Seedlin (c	Seedling length (cm)	Pro	Protein %
	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2000
0 cm	11 000000000000000000000000000000000000			-				7007
0.3	92.8 e	83.3 g	3.35 a	3.33 a	31.9 e	31.6 f	19.4 e	186
	94.0 de	88.0 e	3.10 b	3.15 bc	32.9 de	32.4 ef	20.2 cde	10.50
. 2	96.5 abc	90.0 cd	3.00 b	3.23 ab	35.6 abc	35.3 abc	21.3 bc	20.519
8	93.5 de	87.8 e	3.07 b	3.05 c	33.7 cde	33.7 cdef	20.2 cde	19.00
0 cm	and the same of th	The second live of the second second						
0	93.5 de	85.5 f	3.10 b	3.00 c	34.0 cde	33.5 cdef	20.0 de	19106
_	95.3 bcd	91.0 c	3.15 b	3.36 a	34.7 bcd	34.1 bcde	20.8 cd	20 4 edg
2	97.3 a	95.8 a	3.15 b	3.23 ab	37.2 a	36.5 a	22.0 ab	212 5
3	94.3 de	94.0 b	3.11 b	3.05 c	35.9 abc	34.2 bcde	21.3 bc	200 426
0 cm								an or
0	94.3 de	88.8 de	3.18 ab	3.03 €	32.8 de	33.0 def	20.5 cde	20 1 242
-	95.3 bcd	94.0 b	3.08 b	3.08 c	34.5 bcd	34.6 abcde	21.3 bc	21. Cue
2	96.8 ab	96.3 a	3.13 b	3.00 c	36.5 ab	36.1 ab	22.8 a	22.40
3	94.8 cd	95.8 a	3.08 b	3 10 bc	35 6 abc	34.9 abcd	22.1 ab	210.48

- El-Habbasha, K.M.; Safia M. Adam and Fatma A. Rizk (1996); Growth and yield of pea (Pisum sativum L.) plants as affected by plant density and foliar potassium application. Egypt. J. Hort., 23 (1): 35-51.
- El-Mansi, A.A.; M.A. El-Beheidiei and E.A. El-Ghamriny (1991): Effect of foliar nutrition with P₂O₅ and K₂O on growth and yield of broad bean plants. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 18 (6): 1959-1969.
- El-Set. A. Abdel Aziz and F.H. Shalapy (1999): Physiological studies on response of new released faba bean varieties to different plant populations. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (5): 1229-1244.
- El-Shaikh, Kh. A.A. (1999): Effect of some agricultural practices on cowpea production. Ph. D. Thesis. Fac. Agric, Minia Univ., 168pp.
- Fawzia, A. Helal (2000): Effect of plant density on growth, yield and its components, seed germination and cheimical composition of some cowpea cultivars. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27 (4): 859-874.
- Fawzia, A. Helal and Soad, A. El-Sayed (2000): Growth, yield, germination, seedling vigour and seed quality of some cowpea cultivars as affected by sowing date and foliar nutrition with potassium-f and novatrein. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27 (4): 875-898.
- Fayad, A.M. (1997): Evaluation of some cultivars and mutants of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*, L.) under Kafr El-Sheikh conditions. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Tanta Univ.
- Hassan, Z.F.F. (1998): Effect of sowing dates and foliar spray with phosphorus and potassium on growth and yield of cowpea. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric, Cairo Univ., 111pp.
- Hewedy, A.M.; M. Hafez and M.A. Morsi (1994): The effect of some nutrients and biostimulants on plant growth, yield and seed quality of common bean. Menofiya J. Agric. Res., 19 (4): 1929-1944.
- ISTA (1993): International Rules for Seed Testing. Seed Sci. and Tech., 21: 25-46.
- Jallow, A.T. (1985): Growth, development and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*, L.) in response to spacing, nitrogen and plant growth retardants. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of West Indies.
- Kandagal, S.S.; Y.C. Panchal and S. Manjunath (1990): Effect of growth regulators and nutrients on yield and components of mungbean genotypes. J. Maharashtra. Agric. Univ., 15: 199-200 (C.F. Soil and Fertilizers, 54: 12138), 1991.
- Kandeel, Sh and El- H.L. El-Maddah (1997): Effect of salinity and potassium levels on growth and some macronutrients content of snap bean varieties, (*Phaseolns vulgaris*, L.).Zazaig J.Agric. Res.,24 (1):169-180.
- Koller, H.R.C. (1972): Leaf area-leaf weight relationship in soybean canopy. Crop Sci., 12: 180-183.
- Metwally, E.I.; A.M. Hewedy; M. Hafez and M.A. Morsy (1998): Kafr El-Sheikh-1 and Kaha-1 new cultivars of cowpea. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23 (8): 3887-3897.
- Mohamed, A.EL.K (2003): Effect of planting dates and plant density on growth and yield of some faba bean varieties. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., 96pp.

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 (12), December, 2004

- Mohamed, F.I.; F.A. Helal and A.Y. El-Tawell (1999): Resons of growth, seed yield and its components and seed chemical constituents in some cowpea cvs. to follage nutrition. Zagazig J. Agric Res., 20 (4): 1125-1135.
- Morsy, A.M. (1986): Effect of plant density and N-fertilizer on seed yield of cowpea. M. Sc. Thesis, Zagazig Univ. (Benha Branch) Fac. Agric., Moshtohor. 98 pp.
- Ramamoorthy, K; M. Ramasary and K.S. Jehangir (1995): Respons of rainfed black gram (Phasealus mungano) to foliar nutrition of potassium. Indina J. of Agron., 40 (1): 114-119.
- Rajesh, K., B.D. Yadav; R.K. Joon and R. Kumar (1997): The effect of inter and intra-row spacings and variety on the seed yield of cowpea. International J. of Tropical Agric. Pubi. 1998, 15: 1-4, 233-236.
- Remison, S.U. (1980): Varietal respons of cowpea to a range of densities in forst zone. Expt. Agric., 16 (2): 201-205 (C.F. Field Crop Abast. 33: 10319).
- Saleh, H.H.; A.M. Hammoda and M.H. Khalifa (1980): Effects of density treatments and fertilization levels on the productivity of cowpea. Agric. Res. Revi., 58 (3): 77-86.
- Shafik, J.; M.S. Saed and M. Mustafa (1988): Effect of between row and plant spacing on some growth characters, yield and its components in two broad bean varieties. Menofya J. Agric., 20 (2): 231-249.
- Shide, A.K. and B.B. Jadhav (1995): Influence of NAA, Ethrel and KNO₃ on leaf physiology and yield of cowpea. Annals of plant Phsiology., 9 (1):
- Shide, A.K; B.M. Jamadagni and S.P. Birari (1991): Effect of foliar spray of growth regulators and KNO₃ on growth and yield of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata, L., Walp) variety Vem-8. Indian J. of plant physiology, 34: 392-395.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980): Statistical Method 7th Edition. Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames Iowa., U.S.A.
- Tayo, T.O. (1981): Studies on effect of foliar spray of nutrients on performance of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata, L., Walp). J. of Agric. Sci. U.K., 96 (2): 375-388.
- Wafaa, Kh. M. (2000): Response of two faba bean varieties to different plant densities and distributions.Ph.D.Thesis. Fac. Agric,Cairo Unvi.,137 pp.

تأثير بعض المعاملات الزراعية على إنتاج وجودة تقاوى اللوبيا فتحى محمد محمود الأسيوطى أقسام بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بمزرعة الخضر بقها – محافظة القليوبية خلال موسمى ٢٠٠١ ، ٢٠٠١ استهدفت دراسة تأثير مسافات الزراعة والرش بالبوتاسيوم على النمو والمحصول البذرى وجودته لصففى اللوبيا كفر الشيخ (١) ، قها (١) . وقد تضمنت كل تجربة ثلاث مسافات للزراعة (،١، ٢، ٢٠سم) ، أربعة تركيزات للرش بالبوتاسيوم (صفر ،١، ٢، ٣سم/لتر) ويمكن ايجاز أهم النتائج فيما يلسمين :

أولا: تأثير الأصناف

تفوق الصنف كفر الشيخ (١) على الصنف قها (١) في جميع صفات النمو خلال موسمي الزراعة متمثلا في طول النبات – عدد الأفرع والأوراق – مساحة الورقة – الوزن الطازج والجاف للنبات كذلك في جميع صفات المحصول البذري ومكوناته مثل عدد القرون – وزن القرون – عدد البذور في القرن وزن السامة الريادة في السامة الزيادة في المحصول البذري للغدان – وقد بلغت نسبة الزيادة في محصول الغدان للصنف كفر الشيخ (١) ٣٩,٦، ٣٥,٢ عن الصنف قها (١) في الموسم الأول والشاني على التوالى . أما صفات جودة البذور المتمثلة في نسبة وسرعة الإنبات ، طول البادرة ، محتوى البذور من البروتين فقد تفوق الصنف كفر الشيخ (١) في جميع الصفات باستثناء سرعة الإنبات في الموسم الأول وقط .

ثانيا: تأثير مسافات الزراعة

سجلت النباتات المنزرعة في المسافات الواسعة (٢٠ أو ٣٠سم) أعلى قيم لكل قياسات النمو الخضرى باستثناء طول النبات الذي بلغ أقصاه مع المسافات الضيقة (١٠سم) وقد زاد المحصول البذري ومكوناته بزيادة مسافات الزراعة باستثناء محصول البذور الفدان حيث بلغ أقصاه مع المسافات الضيقة (١٠سم). كذلك أدى استخدام المسافات الواسعة إلى الحصول على أعلى جودة لصفات البذور (نسبة الإنبات - طول البادرة - محتوى البذور من البروتين) مقارنة بالمسافات الضيقة باستثناء سرعة الإنبات التي لم تتأثر معنويا بمسافات الزراعة .

ثالثًا: تأثير البوتاسيوم

أدى الرش بالبوتاسيوم إلى زيادة معنوية فى قياسات النمو فى النبات ، كذلك المحصول البذرى ومكوناته بالمقارنة بالكنترول بالإضافة إلى الحصول على أعلى قيم لنسبة الإنبات ، طول البادرة ومحتوى البذور من البروتين . وكان الرش بالبوتاسيوم بتركيز ٢سم/لتر أكثر فاعلية فى زيادة جميع الصفات التى درست .

رابعاً: تأثير التفاعل بين المعاملات

أختلف تأثير التفاعل بين المعاملات بالنسبة لصفات النمو والمحصول البذرى ومكوناته وكــذا صــفات الجودة للبذور .

وللحصول على أعلى محصول بذرى للفدان ينصح بزراعة أصناف اللوبيا المستخدمة على مسافات ضيقة (١٠سم) مع الرش بالبوتاسيوم بتركيز ٢سم/لتر .